Language contact in the northernmost regions of the Pacific Northwest: Tlingit elements in Tahltan

Hank Nater

Abstract: Tahltan has been noticeably affected by Tlingit on the lexical level. The purpose of this study is to present to the reader that portion of Tahltan lexicon that is rooted in Tlingit, and to describe semantic and morphological properties of, and phonemic changes undergone by, Tlingit-derived vocabulary. I will also show that Tahltan ← Tlingit lexical copying is not merely a corollary of trade-related contact, and that migrations, remigrations and intermarriage were the fundamental driving forces behind such vocabulary transfer.

Keywords: Tahltan, Tlingit, language contact, lexical copying, morphosemantics of copied lexicon, socio-cultural interaction

1 Introduction

The subject matter of this article is a lexical copying link that connects Tahltan with Tlingit. Tahltan, an Athabascan language, is still spoken in northwestern British Columbia, and borders on Tlingit, a Na-Dene language that has speakers in British Columbia, Yukon, and Alaska.

In Nater 1989:41, I stated that retention of the uvular series in Tahltan is due to Tlingit influence, and that some Tahltan vocabulary, too, is of Tlingit origin, while in Nater 2016 (essentially a follow-up on Nater 1994:180, 8th to 5th lines from bottom), the Tlingit origin of Tahltan kóŝa 'urine odor' and Lillooet k^{w} -sa? 'urinate (men or animals)' was contemplated. Although my earlier claims in re Tlingit influence remain valid, I have to date shown only seven instances of Tahltan←Tlingit copying in print (five in Nater 1989, two in Nater 2016). As many more such pairs have been on record for quite some time, publication of a comprehensive list of Tahltan←Tlingit copied lexicon is long overdue: in this contribution, I provide such a list. In Section 2 below, I consider the geographic proximity, trade routes, and migration patterns that made Tahltan-Tlingit linguistic interaction possible; in Section 3, I identify the Tahltan and Tlingit phoneme inventories as well as phonemic shifts that affected copied lexicon, and ascertain a one-way direction of copying; in Section 4, the data as such are presented; in Section 5, I discuss less often considered factors that have played vital roles in the transfer of Tlingit lexicon, degrees of copyability that can only be ascribed to intimate contact, and a pseudo-suffix /-a, -e/.

Contact info: hanknater@gmail.com

In Papers for the International Conference on Salish and Neighbouring Languages 52, University of British Columbia Working Papers in Linguistics 45,

Andrei Anghelescu, Michael Fry, Marianne Huijsmans, and Daniel Reisinger (eds.), 2017.

2 Trade routes and contact areas

Until about the middle of the nineteenth century, mercantile interaction between Tahltan and Tlingit traders happened mainly in or through the following zones:

- via the Chilkoot Trail, an established Tlingit (*Jilkoot Kwáan*) trade route prior to the Klondike Gold Rush, see <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chilkoot Trail#Indigenous use;</u>
- in the area comprising Teslin (*Deisleen <u>K</u>wáan*), Carcross/Tagish, Atlin (*Áa Tlein <u>K</u>wáan*), and Taku (*T'aa<u>k</u>u <u>K</u>wáan*) (Emmons 1911);
- north of Wrangell (*Shtax'héen <u>K</u>wáan*) where Tlingit merchants traveled up the Stikine to meet with Tahltan traders (Emmons 1911).

Earlier, migrations and remigrations had taken place, predominantly in the Taku-Tahltan and Stikine-Tahltan regions (Emmons 1911:20–21; see further Section 5.2). The portion of the map by Hope 2000 that includes the above-mentioned locations is shown below.



Figure 1 Tlingit territory bordering on Tahltan

On Tlingit-Tahltan contact and migrations, and the coastal origin of the inland Tlingit, De Laguna 1972 states:

From southeastern Alaska, access to the interior beyond the mountains is possible only along such rivers as the Stikine and Taku, or from the head of Lynn Canal in the northwest over the White, Chilkoot, and Chilkat Passes. These inland routes, or "grease trails," were formerly controlled by local Tlingit sibs who monopolized the trade with the Athabaskan bands in the interior. Down these valleys in ancient days, according to Tlingit tradition, had come adventurous groups who lost their original identities and became Tlingit sibs. In reverse direction have also moved small groups of coastal Tlingit who went to find inland homes. ... These Inland Tlingit live a life which is largely indistinguishable from that of their Athabaskan neighbors, based as it must be upon the hunting of moose and (formerly) caribou, trapping fur bearers to trade, and catching fish in inland lakes or at the headwaters of the rivers. The climate is continental, with great extremes in temperature, but is much drier than on the coast. It is a harsh land, of scattered food resources and consequently of relatively small, wandering bands. (De Laguna 1972:15)

For further details on Tahltan-Tlingit interaction, see Section 5.2.

3 Phoneme inventories of Tahltan and Tlingit, phonemic shifts

The data in Section 4 reveal sound changes that transpired after Tlingit words were copied into Tahltan. In order to determine the nature and origin of these changes, I collate the Tahltan and Tlingit phoneme inventories in Figures 2 and 3 below. A comparison yields the following findings: Tlingit rounded uvulars and glottalic fricatives are not matched in Tahltan, while Tlingit lacks labials, interdentals, and certain fricatives, sonorants and vowels found in Tahltan (phonemes not common to both languages are shaded). Further on, I show that many of these differences are the result of phonemic shifts within Tahltan that also influenced Tlingit lexicon copied into Tahltan.

The Tahltan phonemes can be tabulated as shown in Figure 2 below. Lenis plosives (/b/, /d/, etc.) are phonetically voiced. Voicedness of lenis plosives is especially evident in word-final position: $liy\dot{a} \cdot b$ [...bə] 'devil', $di \cdot ze\lambda$ [...d] 'this only', $2\dot{e} \cdot \hat{s}\hat{c}e \cdot d$ [...də] 'I have eaten'. Fortis oral stops are voiceless and aspirated, while fortis affricates are likewise voiceless, but have an optionally slightly prolonged fricative release (e.g. ca2 'beaver' = [•(s)a2], not *[•ha2]). I write / \ddot{e} / where /e/ alternates with / ∂ / (cf. Nater 1989:29), and / \hat{s} /, / \hat{z} / etc. = [θ - \S], [$\tilde{\partial}$ -z], etc. (Nater 1989:39). I have recorded /•/ only in $ta \cdot \cdot$ 'town' and $gand\dot{a} \cdot \cdot$ idiolectal variant of $gamd\dot{a} \cdot \cdot$ 'horse'.

Tahltan consonants

b	d	ŝ	3	ž	λ		g	G	g^{w}	
	t	ĉ	c	č	λ		k	q	\mathbf{k}^{w}	?
	ť	ĉ'	c'	č'	λ'		k'	q'	k' ^w	
m	n	â	z	ž	1	У	γ	R	w	
(•)	•	ŝ	s	š	ł	ŷ	х	χ	Xw	h
	n'									

Tahltan vowels

i [i]	ə [1]	e	а	0	u
i'		e	a'	0.	u

Figure 2 Tahltan phoneme inventory (Nater 1986 & 1989:27)

Again, Tlingit differs from Tahltan in that it lacks phonemes shaded in Figure 2, whereas it features glottalic fricatives and rounded uvulars shaded in Figure 3 (formerly also */ul = "y", see Krauss & Leer 1981:146) that are absent in Tahltan. Note that /n/, /y/, /w/ pattern as voiced fricatives in Tahltan, but as sonorants in Tlingit.

Tlingit consonants

d	3	ž	λ	g	G	g^{w}	\mathbf{G}^{w}	
t	c	č	λ	k	q	\mathbf{k}^{w}	q^{w}	?
ť	c'	č'	λ'	k'	q'	k' ^w	q'w	
	s	š	ł	х	χ	\mathbf{X}^{w}	$\chi^{\rm w}$	h
	s'		4'	x'	χ'	x' ^w	χ' ^w	
1	1	У		*щ		v	v	

Tlingit vowels

i [1]	e	а	u
i'	e	a'	u'

Figure 3 Tlingit phoneme inventory (based on Edwards 2009:12)

Shifts that have affected Tlingit-derived Tahltan lexicon are itemized below. Parenthesized numbers refer to entries in Section 4 that have undergone these changes. Shifts (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) are correlated with dissimilarities marked in Figures 2–3, and (a), (b), (d), (e), (h) with phonological developments in Tahltan shown in Figure 4 and mentioned in Section 5.3. Henceforth, C = consonant, V = vowel.

- (a) Tlingit /...w...n.../ \rightarrow Tahltan /...m...n.../ (1, 36, 78);
- (b) Except in recent borrowings, (I) Tlingit /s/ series → Tahltan /ŝ/ series (14–22, 32, 38, 39, 49, 51, 54, 64, 66, 69, 79) and (II) Tlingit /š/ series → Tahltan /s/ series (24, 25, 53, 86);
- (c) Tlingit glottalic fricative → Tahltan glottalic plosive: /s'/ → /ĉ'/ (→ /ŝ#/); /x'/ → /k'/ (→/k#/); /4'/ → /λ'/ (→/λ#/); /χ'(w)/ → /q'/ (→/q#/) (5, 9, 11, 16–20, 33, 34, 41, 47, 51, 57, 60, 61, 62, 64, 66, 69, 74, 77, 79, 83, 84, 85);
- (d) (I) Tlingit /V([•])K^w → Tahltan /o([•])K, u([•])K/ (9, 22, 30, 34, 43, 44, 60) and (II) Tlingit /K^w → Tahltan /Ko/ (54, 58) (/K/ = velar or uvular);
- (e) Tlingit /Vn#/ is always copied as /V•#/ (22, 34, 36, 45, 52, 55, 72, 79, 88);
- (f) In copied trisyllables, stress often falls on the first vowel (CVC(C)VCV) (1, 6, 10, 14, 20, 30, 32, 53, 55, 66, 69, 70, 78, 85, 86);
- (g) Scrambling: phoneme(s) added and/or altered, sequence changed (10, 23, 26, 32, 35, 66, 77, 79, 80, 81);
- (h) Occasionally (in seventeen out of sixty-three entries), Tlingit /VC#/ → Tahltan /VCa#, VCe#/ (where copied Tlingit voiceless /C/ usually undergoes voicing in Tahltan, see Section 5.3) (13, 21, 23, 29, 33, 38, 39, 40, 46, 47, 53, 54, 75, 77, 83, 86, 89).

(a), (b), (d), (e), (h) are not just linked with Tlingit \rightarrow Tahltan lexical copying, but are concomitant with systemic phonological developments in Tahltan. This is a strong indication that copying was indeed done from Tlingit to Tahltan (before sound changes took effect), rather than the other way around. For instance, Tlingit certainly would not have copied Tahltan $\hat{c}'ina$ as s'ina' (16), $2\hat{e}'\lambda a$ as $\hat{e}' i'$ (83), k'ak as k'ink' (57), etc. Also, the Tlingit forms generally have a more archaic appearance and more transparent structure – in terms of analyzability – than their Tahltan matches (e.g. entries 20, 22, 31, 34, 35, 39, 65, 69, 78, 80, 84). Figure 4 below illustrates pre-Tahltan shifts that parallel (a), (bI–II), (dI–II), (e) (with samples copied from Nater 1989:32–38).

<u>Shift</u>	Proto-Athabascan	<u>Tahltan</u>
*/wn/ \rightarrow /mn/	* <i>wən</i> 'lake'	me •
*/s/ series \rightarrow /ŝ/ series	*ce ⁻ 'stone'	ĉe [.]
*/š/ series \rightarrow /s/ series	*t'e'?š 'charcoal'	t'e's
$*/\Im K^w/ \rightarrow /OK/$	* $\hat{\lambda}$ ' $\partial \chi^{w}$ 'grass'	λ 'ox
$*/K^w a \to /Ko/$	*qwən''fire'	kon'
*/n#/ → / . #/	*dəkən 'stick'	dečá •

Figure 4 Phonemic shifts from proto-Athabascan to Tahltan

4 The data

Tahltan entries listed below are from Nater 1986, while Tlingit data has been copied from Edwards 2009 (except where otherwise noted). Chinook Jargon words have been copied from Gibbs 1863. Entries copied from sources other than Nater 1986 have been retranscribed into a phonemic orthography.

- (1) Tahltan ménedu⁺ 'domestic sheep' ← Tlingit wanadú⁺ 'id.' (← *maladú⁺
 ← Chinook Jargon lamato) || /m/ ← /w/, CÝCVCV
- (2) Tahltan *dá na* 'money' ← Tlingit *dá na* 'id.' (← Chinook Jargon *dala*)
- (3) Tahltan *du'š* 'cat' ← Tlingit *dú'š* 'id.' (← Chinook Jargon *puspus/pišpiš*)
- (4) Tahltan ta ⁴ 'flat basket' ← Tlingit tá ⁴ 'id.'
- (5) Tahltan *ta'q'á* λ 'needle' \leftarrow Carcross Tlingit *tá'* χ '*á* λ ' 'id.', see <u>http://www.</u> <u>drangle.com/~james/athabaskan/tahltan.html</u> || /q'/ \leftarrow / χ '/, / λ #/ \leftarrow / λ '/ \leftarrow / λ '/
- (6) Tahltan tóq'ata i 'pants, trousers' ← Tlingit tuq'atá i 'id.' || CÝCVCVC
- (7) Tahltan *tuhá 'ye* 'nail, spike' ← Tlingit *tuhá 'yi* 'id.'
- (8) Tahltan *t'i'ya* 'fish hook' \leftarrow Tlingit *t'e'\chiá*' 'id.' $||/y/ \leftarrow /y/ \leftarrow /B/$? (cf. Nater 1989:32)
- (9) Tahltan *t'o'q* 'wart' \leftarrow Tlingit *t'á'\chi'w* 'id.' || /o'q#/ \leftarrow /a'q^w#/ \leftarrow /a'q'w#/ \leftarrow /a'\chi'w#/
- (10) Tahltan t'ú šneyet, t'ú šĸane(`)t 'bottle' ← Tlingit t'u č'ine t 'id.' || CVCCVCV, scrambling
- (11) Tahltan t'u'k 'stinging nettle' \leftarrow Tlingit t'ú'k ''id.' || /k#/ \leftarrow /k'#/
- (12) Tahltan ná wi 'liquor' ← Tlingit ná w 'id.' (← Chinook Jargon lam) || Tahltan /...i/ ← Tlingit /-i/ 'its (poss.)'
- (13) Tahltan $\sqrt{na'g^w}$, $\sqrt{na'we'}$ medicine' \leftarrow Tlingit $n\dot{a'k^w'}$ id.' $\parallel (/...e\#/added)$

- (14) Tahltan ĉóda t'a χ 'kerchief' ← Tlingit sada t'a y 'id.' || irreg. /ĉ/ ← /ŝ/ ← /s/, /χ/ ← /k/ ← Tlingit */ψ/?, CVCVCV
- (15) Tahltan $\hat{c}a \cdot \hat{s}$ 'bear root' \leftarrow Tlingit $c\dot{a} \cdot c$ 'id.' $|| / \hat{c} / \leftarrow / c /$, irreg. $|\hat{s} / \leftarrow / c /$
- (16) Tahltan \hat{c} '*i*'*na*' 'candle' \leftarrow Tlingit *s*'*i*'*ná*' 'lamp' $\parallel /\hat{c}' / \leftarrow /s'/$
- (17) Tahltan $\hat{c}'i'q$ 'tobacco' (cf. 20) \leftarrow Tlingit s'e'q 'smoke' $||/\hat{c}'/ \leftarrow /s'/$
- (18) Tahltan $\hat{c} \, \partial \hat{s} \, \hat{a}^{\,\cdot}$ 'cloth' \leftarrow Tlingit s'isa' 'id.'|| $\hat{c}' / \leftarrow /s' / , \hat{s} / \leftarrow /s /$
- (19) Tahltan $\hat{c}' e \hat{\lambda}$ 'rubber' \leftarrow Tlingit $s' e \hat{\ell}'$ 'id.' $\parallel /\hat{c}' / \leftarrow /s' / , /\hat{\lambda} \# / \leftarrow /\hat{\lambda}' / \leftarrow /\hat{4}' /$
- (20) Tahltan $\hat{c}' \dot{a} \chi da' qe' t$ 'tobacco pipe' (cf. 17) \leftarrow Tlingit *s'iqda'ke't* 'id.' (*da'ke't* 'container') $\|/\hat{c}'/ \leftarrow /s'/$, vowel assimilation, irreg. $/\chi/ \leftarrow /q/$ and $/q/ \leftarrow /k/$, CVCVCV
- (21) Tahltan $\hat{s}\dot{a}$ 'ga 'eulachon' \leftarrow Tlingit $sa \cdot k$ 'id.' $|| /\hat{s} / \leftarrow /s /, ... a \# / added, /gV \# / \leftarrow /k \# /$
- (22) Tahltan ŝukné · 'flour' ← Tlingit sak^wné n 'flour, bread' (← Chinook Jargon sapolil) || /ŝ/ ← /s/, /uk/ ← /ak^w/
- (23) Tahltan c'eqóhge ~ č'ehqóx 'skin canoe' ← Tlingit ǯa'qúχ 'id.' || irreg. /c', č'/ ← /ǯ/, insertion of /h/, irreg. /G, x/ ← /χ/, scrambling, /...e#/ added in one allomorph
- (24) Tahltan skádi 'crazy, insane' ← Tlingit š kaha dí 'id.' || /s/ ← /š/
- (25) Tahltan sá nah 'valley' \leftarrow Tlingit ša ná χ 'id.' || /s/ \leftarrow /š/, /h/ \leftarrow / χ /
- (26) Tahltan sóga 'being fine, doing well' ← Tlingit sagú 'joy' || scrambling: /ó/ ≒ /a/
- (27) Tahltan čiyé't 'pillow' \leftarrow Tlingit šaye't 'id.' || irreg. /č/ \leftarrow /š/, /y/ \leftarrow */ μ /?
- (28) Tahltan čəł 'cache' ← Tlingit čił 'id.'
- (29) Tahltan čá še 'humpback salmon' ← Tlingit čá s' 'id.' || shibilant assimilation (/šV/ ← /č#/ ← /č'/ ← /c'/, cf. Nater 1989:27–28), /...e#/ added
- (30) Tahltan čógena 'towel' ← Tlingit žig^wé na 'id.' || CÝCVCV, /og/ ← /ig^w/, irreg. /č/ ← /ž/
- (31) Tahltan dá na <u>šu</u> '<u>half</u> dollar' ← Tlingit dá na <u>šu wú</u> 'id.'
- (32) Tahltan Å'áŝake ŝ, Å'áŝaqe t 'ring' ← Tlingit Å'iqkakí s 'id.' || CVCVCV, /ŝ/ ← /s/, scrambling
- (33) Tahltan $\lambda' \dot{u}' ga$ 'coho' \leftarrow Tlingit 4' u'k 'id.' $\parallel /\lambda' / \leftarrow /4' / , /...a\# / added, /gV# / \leftarrow /k# /$

- (34) Tahltan $\lambda' u'k' \dot{e} \cdot \text{'socks'} \leftarrow \text{Tlingit } \dot{f}' \dot{i}' x''' \dot{a}n \text{'id.'} (\text{''wool boot''}) || /\lambda' / \leftarrow /\dot{q}' / , /u'k' / \leftarrow /i'x''' /$
- (35) Tahltan *legáyi* 'avalanche area' ← Tlingit λe't qa'di 'avalanche' ("snow slide") || irreg. shifts (scrambling)
- (36) Tahltan gəmdá · · 'horse' ← Tlingit gawdá 'n 'id.' (← Chinook Jargon kiúatan) || /m/ ← /w/
- (37) Tahltan gešú⁺ 'pig, pork' ← Teslin/Carcross Tlingit gešú⁺, see <u>http://www. drangle.com/~james/athabaskan/tahltan.html</u> (← Chinook Jargon košó)
- (38) Tahltan $g\dot{a}\dot{3}a$ 'forked tent pole' \leftarrow Tlingit $g\dot{a}\dot{s}$ 'house post' $\|/\hat{3}V/ \leftarrow /\hat{c}'/ \leftarrow /\hat{c}'/ \leftarrow /\hat{s}'/, ...a\#/$ added
- (40) Tahltan $g\dot{a}$ ne 'smoke vent' \leftarrow Tlingit ga n 'smokehole' $\parallel / \dots e \# / added$
- (41) Tahltan $\sqrt{ga'g} = /\sqrt{ga'g} \cdot / \operatorname{'pray'} \leftarrow \operatorname{Tlingit} \sqrt{ga'x'} \cdot \operatorname{id.'} || /g \cdot \# / \leftarrow /k \# / \leftarrow /k^2 / \leftarrow /x^2 /$
- (42) Tahltan ga'w 'drum, bell, clock' ← Tlingit ga'w 'id.'
- (43) Tahltan $\sqrt{g}o\lambda$ 'punch' \leftarrow Tlingit $\sqrt{g^w}a^{\sharp}$, $\sqrt{g^w}a^{\sharp}$ 'id.' || /go/ \leftarrow /g^wa/, irreg. / λ / \leftarrow / $\frac{4}{}$ /
- (44) Tahltan goxé ? 'cranberry' \leftarrow Tlingit $kax^{w}e'\chi$ 'id.' || irreg. $/g/ \leftarrow /k/$, $/ox/ \leftarrow /ax^{w}/$, irreg. $/e'?/ \leftarrow */e'h/ \leftarrow /e'\chi/$
- (45) Tahltan $gu' \cdot 'gold' \leftarrow$ Tlingit $gu'n 'id.' (\leftarrow$ English gold)
- (46) Tahltan gú na 'springwater' ← Tlingit gu n 'spring (of water)' || /...a#/ added
- (47) Tahltan $\sqrt{g\dot{u}} \cdot \lambda e$ 'burl' \leftarrow Tlingit $g\dot{u}nt$ 'id.' $\parallel /\lambda V / \leftarrow /\lambda \# / \leftarrow /\lambda' / \leftarrow /t'/, /...e\#/$ added
- (48) Tahltan ket'išá' 'awl, needle' ← Teslin/Carcross Tlingit ket'íša' 'id.', see <u>http://www.drangle.com/~james/athabaskan/tahltan.html</u>
- (49) Tahltan kené'ŝ 'cross' \leftarrow Tlingit kané'st 'id.' (\leftarrow Russian $\kappa pecm$) || /ŝ/ \leftarrow /s/ \leftarrow /st/, /n/ \leftarrow */l/ \leftarrow /r/
- (50) Tahltan këná ?ët 'coat' ← Tlingit kina ?át 'id.'
- (51) Tahltan $ke\hat{c}'\hat{o}'q$, $qe\hat{c}'\hat{o}'q$ 'northern lights' \leftarrow Tlingit $gis'\hat{u}'q$ 'id.' $||/\hat{c}'/ \leftarrow /s'/(/k/ \rightarrow /q/assimilation)$
- (52) Tahltan *kex^wá* 'silver fox' ← Tlingit *kax^wa* 'n nagas 'é' 'id.' (*kax^wa* 'n, *kex^wa* 'n (Carcross) 'frost', *nagas 'é'* 'fox', see

http://www.drangle.com/~james/athabaskan /tahltan.html)

- (53) Tahltan ke□ sese 'red willow' ← Tlingit ke'šíš 'alder' (but which 'red willow' would resemble alders?) || /s/ ← /š/, /...e#/ added, CVCVCV
- (54) Tahltan $k \delta \hat{s} a$ 'urine odor' \leftarrow Tlingit $k^{w} a \hat{s}$ 'urine' (Krauss 1970:1176) $||/\hat{s}/$ $\leftarrow /s/, /ko/ \leftarrow /k^{w} a/, /...a #/ added$
- (55) Tahltan kúwaga · · 'deer' ← Tlingit *guwaka* 'n 'id.' $||/g/ \leftrightarrows /k/, /g/ \leftarrow /_G/,$ CÝCVCV
- (56) Tahltan ku'x 'rice' ← Tlingit kú'x 'id.'
- (57) Tahltan $k' \partial k$ 'cured fish heads' \leftarrow Tlingit k' ink' 'id.' $|| /k#/ \leftarrow /k'#/$
- (58) Tahltan $k' o \lambda'$ 'pot' \leftarrow Tlingit $q' w a \lambda'$ 'id.' $\parallel /k' o / \leftarrow /q' w a /$
- (59) Tahltan k'unc 'potatoes' \leftarrow Tlingit k'únc ''id.' || /c#/ \leftarrow /c'/
- (60) Tahltan $k'ugá\lambda$ 'safety pin' \leftarrow Tlingit $\chi' \acute{e'g}$ " \acute{at} ''id.' $\parallel /k' / \leftarrow /q' / \leftarrow /\chi' /, /ug / \leftarrow /e \cdot g$ ", $/\lambda \# / \leftarrow /\lambda' / \leftarrow /4' /$
- (61) Tahltan k'uk 'book' \leftarrow Tlingit x'úx' 'id.' $\parallel /k' / \leftarrow /x' / /k\# / \leftarrow /x' # /$
- (62) Tahltan k'uk'a' 'cup' \leftarrow Tlingit gux'a' 'id.' $||/k'_2/ \leftarrow /x'/$, irreg. $/k'_1/ \leftarrow /g/$ (assimilation)
- (63) Tahltan *xət* 'house' ← Tlingit *hit* 'id.'
- (64) Tahltan xa'ŝ 'leather' ← Tlingit a xá's'i 'its skin (of fish)' or xa's 'bison, muskox, cow, horse'
- (65) Tahltan *Gáyesdá na* 'small change' ← Tlingit *Gayé's* 'iron, tin', *dá na* 'money' (for which see entry (2))
- (66) Tahltan $q \partial \hat{c}' \dot{a} \chi o' \imath e' t$ 'frying pan' \leftarrow Tlingit $kas' \dot{u}g''a' ye' t$ 'id.' || irreg. /q/ \leftarrow /k/, scrambling, $\hat{c}' \neq s'$, ... CVCVCV, Tlingit /y/ $\leftarrow */u/?$
- (67) Tahltan *qanú'k*^w 'phoebe' ← Tlingit *ganu'k* 'petrel' (however, these birds represent different species that are found in different environments)
- (68) Tahltan qá'tu' 'chickadee' ← Tlingit qa'tu wú 'id.'
- (69) Tahltan qá 'χ 2όŝa' 'soap' ← Tlingit qá '-χ 2ús 'a' 'man-on soap' (see <u>http://www.drangle.com/~james/athabaskan/tahltan.html</u>) ← 2ús 'a' 'soap' || CÝCCVCV, irreg. /ŝ/ ← */ĉ'/ ← /s'/
- (70) Tahltan $q\dot{a}$ 'wa'ga 'window' \leftarrow Tlingit χa 'wa' $g\dot{e}$ ' 'id.' || CVCVCV, irreg. /a/ \leftarrow /e'/, irreg. /q/ \leftarrow / χ /
- (71) Tahltan qu'q 'box' \leftarrow Tlingit qu'k 'id.' ||/q/assimilation
- (72) Tahltan q'ana χá 'fence, enclosure' ← Tlingit q'aná χán 'id.' (← Chinook Jargon q'əláχan)
- (73) Tahltan q'a⁴tú 'pocket' ← Tlingit ga⁴tú 'id.' || irreg. /q'/ ← /G/

- (74) Tahltan q'aχá'di 'door' ← Tlingit χ'ahá't 'id.' || /q'/ ← /χ'/, Tahltan /...i/
 ← Tlingit /-i/ 'its (poss.)'
- (75) Tahltan q'aχá ne 'effeminate man, 'sissy'' ← Tlingit q'atχá n 'coward' || cluster alleviation, /...e#/ added
- (76) Tahltan $g^{we'}$ bag, sack' \leftarrow Tlingit $g^{we'}$ id.'
- (77) Tahltan $k''a' \cdot a a'$ coho (in fresh water)' \leftarrow Tlingit $\chi' \dot{a} \cdot k^{w}$ 'id.' || scrambling ($k''a' \cdot a \leftarrow *k'' \cdot a \cdot q \leftarrow *q' \cdot a \cdot k^{w} \leftarrow \chi' \dot{a} \cdot k^{w}$), /...a#/ added
- (78) Tahltan wáχdá na ', máχ dá na 'glasses' ← Tlingit waqdá na 'id.' ("eye-money") || CÚCCVCV, /m/ ← /w/
- (79) Tahltan 2iŝohqá · , 2iŝĉ 'ohqá · 'whiteman' ← Tlingit gus 'k'iyi' q^wá n 'id.'
 || scrambling
- (80) Tahltan 2ił šá wët 'widow' ← Tlingit ł s'a ti ša wát 'id.' (s'a ti 'man, master', ša wát 'woman') || scrambling
- (81) Tahltan ?etuté 'y, ?etuté 'yi 'bullet' ← Tlingit at katé 'id.' || cluster alleviation, scrambling
- (82) Tahltan $\frac{2e\lambda i}{\lambda}$, $\frac{2e\lambda a}{\lambda}$ 'mother' \leftarrow Tlingit $\frac{\lambda a}{\lambda}$ 'id.' || irreg. $\frac{\lambda V}{\leftarrow}$
- (83) Tahltan $2\dot{e} \lambda a$ 'sea, ocean' \leftarrow Tlingit $\dot{e} \dot{f}$ 'ocean, salt water' $|| /\lambda V \# / \leftarrow /\lambda \# / \leftarrow /\lambda' / \leftarrow /\lambda'$
- (84) Tahltan ?e 4kú x 'salt' ← Tlingit é 4 kú x 'id.' ("ocean-rice", cf. 83, 56)
- (85) Tahltan 2ašóna-g^wa 'λ 'night hawk' ("makes fart-like noise with its wings when completing its dive") ← Tlingit G^wa # ' 'fart' || ...CVCVCV, /λ#/ ← /λ'/ ← /4'/
- (86) Tahltan 2á seda 'steelhead' ← Tlingit a šát 'id.' || CÚCVCV, /s/ ← /š/, /...a#/ added, /dV#/ ← /t#/
- (87) Tahltan 2úna⁺ 'gun' ← Tlingit ú⁺na⁺ 'id.'
- (88) Tahltan $\sqrt{2u} \cdot \text{'shoot'} \leftarrow \text{Tlingit } \sqrt{2un'} \text{id.'}$
- (89) Tahltan dé la, dé le 'pitchwood' ← Tlingit té 'f 'pitchwood' || /...a#, ...e#/ added, /IV#/ ← /{#/, irreg. /d/ ← /t/

There are in Tahltan a few loan translations as well. These, the numerals 6–9, consist of a fossilized prefix /na^{*}s-/ followed by 'one', 'two', 'three', 'four', and are calques from Tlingit. /na^{*}s-/ may continue older */na^{n·}?-s-/ (compare proto-Athabascan */na^{*}n' -/ 'across' (Krauss & Leer 1981:198) and perhaps /-s-/ 'formative' (Nater 1986)). While similar formations do not appear to exist in other Athabascan languages, Tlingit has terms for 6–8 that are analogous to the

	'one'	'two'	'three'	'four'
Tahltan	† <i>áge</i>	ŧaké [·]	tá t'e	fé'nt'e
Tlingit	λé x '	dé '\chi	nás 'k	daˈx 'uˈn
Tahltan	'six' na⁻s-4óge	'seven' na⁻s- ł aké⁻	'eight' na⁻s-tá⁻t'e, na⁻s- tá?e	'nine' na′s- ł é′nt'e
Tlingit	҄ л́e`-du`šú	dax-adu šú	nas'g-adu'šú	gu'šúq

Tahltan ones, but with the suffix /-(a)du šú/ (as per Krauss 2009 of verbal origin: 'extending to') added to 'one', 'two', 'three':

Figure 5 Numbers 1–4 and 6–9 in Tahltan and Tlingit

The Tlingit term for 'nine' is not obviously derived from 'four' (but note the resemblance between $gu \underline{su} q$ and /-(a) $du \underline{su}$ /); thus, Tahltan 'nine' is not a direct calque from Tlingit. However, Tahltan 'nine' is built on the same template as Tahltan 6–8, and its structure should therefore indeed be regarded as Tlingit-inspired. Note further that while Tahltan 'one', 'two', 'three' (as well as 'five') have Athabascan etymologies (see Nater 2016:113–114 and Rosenfelder 2016 (under the rubric 'Eskimo-Aleut, Na-Dené') for cognate forms), I have so far not been able to determine the source of fe nt'e 'four'.

5 Final observations

In the following subsections, I consider morpho-semantic aspects of copied vocabulary, socio-cultural factors, and the pseudo-suffix /-a, -e/.

5.1 Semantic and morphological aspects of copied vocabulary

Most Tlingit-based Tahltan vocabulary consists of nouns (sixty-four) pertaining to tradable items (food, fishing gear, tools, clothing, man-made structures, domestic animals, etc.: entries 1–8, 10, 12–23, 27–34, 36–38, 40, 42, 44, 45, 48–50, 52, 56–66, 69–74, 76–78, 81, 84, 86, 87). Fourteen nouns refer to non-tradable things commonly seen in the Tahltan-Tlingit region (flora, fauna, natural features and phenomena): (11) 'stinging nettle', (25) 'valley', (35) 'avalanche area', (39) 'jackpine', (46) 'spring water', (47) 'burl', (51) 'northern lights', (53) 'red willow', (55) 'deer', (67) 'phoebe', (68) 'chickadee', (83) 'ocean', (85) 'night hawk', (89) 'pitchwood'. Four nouns have to do with societal status: (75) 'effeminate man', (79) 'whiteman', (80) 'widow', (82) 'mother'. The remaining two nouns that refer to non-tradable items are: (9) 'wart' and (54) 'urine odor'. Non-nominal copies are rare: two pseudo-adjectives ((24) 'crazy, insane', (26) 'being fine, doing well') and three verb stems ((41) 'pray', (43) 'punch', (88) 'shoot').

The following diagram summarizes the distribution and morphological status of copied Tahltan lexicon.



Figure 6 Copied lexicon: distribution and morphology

Tlingit-based nouns and verb stems are "morphologically integrated" insofar as most copied nouns can be combined with possessive affixes and undergo morpheme-final consonant voicing (see Nater 1989:32), while copied verb stems accept verbal prefixes (but are immune to allomorphy, cf. Nater 2006:57–59 on invariable verb stems in Tahltan):

<i>t'o'q</i> 'wart'	→	<i>met'ó Ge</i> 'his wart'
gwef 'sack'	→	<i>?esg^wé⁻le</i> 'my sack'
<i>?úna⁺</i> 'gun'	→	?ən?úna [·] 'thy gun'
√ <i>ga`g</i> 'pray'	→	dadénesga [•] g 'I pray'
$\sqrt{go\lambda}$ 'punch'	→	naní hgož 'I punched it'
$\sqrt{2u} \cdot \text{'shoot'}$	→	\hat{si} 't' $\hat{u} \cdot$ 'we shot'

In contrast, pseudo-adjectives cannot, unlike true adjectives and adjectival roots, be applied as an affixal qualifier. Consider the examples below, where (c2) and (d2) are not acceptable:

. ,	za' ?at'é dí∙déne-za'	'he is (?at'é) bad (ʒa`)' 'this (di•) bad (ʒa`) man (déne)'
(b1)	?u-čóh	'he is (?u-) big (-čóh)'
(b2)	dí∙déne-čo`	'this (dí•) big (-čo⁻) man (déne)'
(c1)	skádi ?at'é	'he is (<i>?at'é</i>) insane (<i>skádi</i>)'
(c2)	*dí•déne-skádi	'this (<i>dí</i> •) insane (<i>skádi</i>) man (<i>déne</i>)'
(d1) (d2)	sóga ?at'é *dí•déne-sóga	<pre>`he is (?at 'é) doing well (sóga)' `this (di•) well-doing (sóga) man (déne)'</pre>

This bias against applying native morphology to the two copied adjectives is likely linked with the nominal status of Tlingit sagú 'joy' and un-adjectival structure of *skádi* (Tahltan post-nominal adjectives seldom exceed /-CVCV/).

5.2 Socio-cultural considerations

Bound forms (and morphological processes in general) normally resist copying (cf. Mithun 2013, Nater 2014), and one would not expect verb stems to be exempt from this rule. However, similarities in verb templates (Leer 2008:1), together with increased bilingualism, do account for the sporadic adoption of a verb stem (again, cf. Mithun 2013). Regarding intimate Tahltan-Tlingit contact, note:

Reviewing the events set forth in these family narratives, which, taken together, constitute all that there is of tribal history, it appears that at some early period a general westward movement prevailed among the interior people. It was not a wave of migration, as of a vanquished people fleeing before an enemy, but rather a restless wandering of bands or families seeking new homes. The routes followed were naturally along the rivers and lakes until the headwaters of the Taku and the Stikine were reached. Here favorable conditions seem to have been found and permanent camps were made. ... With natural increase and the accession of new parties the westward movement was resumed down the rivers to the coast. Here they met the Tlingit, a more aggressive and virile people, among whom, through intermarriage and environment, they forgot the ways of the trail and the woods and became sea hunters and fishermen. Then in generations following when the coast and the interior peoples had come in contact, individuals drifted back to the homes of their forefathers, strangers to the mother tongue and the simple life of the Dene, bringing with them the superstitions and the traditions of the coast, together with the social organization and the elaborate ceremonials, that have for their end the glorification of family in the display of the totemic emblems. Intercourse through trade relations was likewise responsible for these changes, but in a lesser degree. (Emmons 1911:20-21)

It is these "strangers to the mother tongue" who first facilitated the transfer, most likely via their peers and offspring, of Tlingit vocabulary to Tahltan.

Tlingit cultural influence is evident in Tahltan traditions and paraphernalia (dances, ceremonial blankets, Wolf vis-à-vis Crow moieties, matrilineal descent, etc.). On the origin of Tahltan matriarchy and moieties, Emmons 1911 notes:

The eastern divisions of the Nahane are said to be patriarchal in government, with but a loosely organized social system. It is probable that the Tahltan were originally the same; but at some later period they borrowed the social organization of their Tlingit neighbors of the coast, which is founded on matriarchy and is dependent on the existence of two exogamous phratries which marry one with the other and which supplement each other on all occasions of ceremony. These phratries are known as Cheskea da, 'one family raven,' and Cheona da, 'one family wolf,' and from their principal totemic emblems may be thus distinguished as Cheskea, Raven, and Cheona, Wolf. Of the former there is but one family, the Kartchottee; of the latter there are three families; the Tuck-clar-way-tee, the Tal-ar-ko-tin, and the Nan-yi-ee. Besides the phratral crest which is the birthright of every individual, the subdivisions or families assume other emblems, which may be displayed to the exclusion of the former. In explanation of this subdivision among the Tlingit I believe that originally the phratries consisted of two families and that with the increase in numbers, parties went forth to seek new homes and in time took upon themselves the functions of independent families and assumed new crests while always retaining that of the phratry. Strange people coming among them took their places as separate families within the group. (Emmons 1911:13-14)

In my notes, however, $c \geqslant k'i' \ge ($ "Cheskea") consistently translates as 'crow', and the Tahltan moieties/phratries are $C \geqslant k'i' \ge$ 'Crow' and *Č'iyó'ne* ("Cheona") 'Wolf' (with /•da/ 'about' (not *'one') added in Emmons' notes). Emmons' "Tal-ar-ko-tin" is *Talá'go't'i'n* 'an ethnic division' (my notes) (/talá'ga(h)=ho-t'i'n•/ 'people (/-t'i'n•/) of (/-ho-/) **Talá'ga(h)*' (cf. /ta-/ 'pertaining to (body of) water', / \sqrt{la} 'hand, branch', /•gah/ 'along')), but I cannot identify "Tuck-clar-way-tee" (cf. $ta\lambda'ah$ 'Dease Lake' and /...ho-t'i'n•/ 'people of ...'?), "Kartch-ottee" (cf. /...ho-t'i'n•/ 'people of ...'?) and "Nan-yi-ee".

5.3 The pseudo-suffix /-a, -e/

In Nater 2016:115, an enigmatic element /...a/ (with single occurrence) was identified; however, it was at the time unclear whether this /...a/ was a petrified suffix or reduplicated vowel. But it has since been established that this element – along with allomorphic /...e/ – occurs in words other than kosa as well, and that it is indeed suffixal in nature. Although the exact origin and function of /...a, ...e/ in entries 13, 21, 23, 29, 33, 38, 39, 40, 46, 47, 53, 54, 75, 77, 83, 86, 89 still cannot be determined with certainty, it is evident that /...a, ...e/ is, except in entries 53 and 54, preceded by a consonant that either remained or became voiced after a word was copied from Tlingit and /...a/ or /...e/ was added. (A connection with proto-Athabascan */-ə?/ 'inalienable possession' (as in 'bark', 'gristle') and/or */-ə/ (undefined) (as in 'trail', 'little') (Krauss & Leer 1981, pp. 191, 195, 200) is moot.)

Voicing associated with a following (originally suffixal) vowel is a familiar phenomenon in Tahltan (cf. Nater 1989:32), and it is therefore likely that Tahltan /...a, ...e/ was added – as a pseudo-suffix – to Tlingit loan words in

order for neologisms to conform to the common CVJV morphemic structural pattern (J = any voiced consonant) as found in e.g. $y\dot{a}ze$ 'small', $\hat{c}\hat{e}\hat{z}e$ 'every', $t'\dot{o}\check{z}e$ 'milk', $\hat{s}\dot{u}\lambda e$ 'drinking straw', $b\dot{e}de$ 'food', $d\dot{e}ge$ 'be off!', $di\gamma i$ 'tea'.

Finally, note that the allomorphs /-a/ and /-e/ are almost evenly distributed, while they are in free variation in 'jackpine' and 'pitchwood'.

with /-e/

with a	
(21) ŝá ga 'eulachon'	(13) $\sqrt{na'we'}$ medicine'
(33) <i>\lambda'\u00edu'ga</i> 'coho'	(23) c'eqóhge 'skin canoe'
(38) $g\dot{a}\dot{3}a$ 'forked tent pole'	(29) čá že 'humpback salmon'
(46) gú na 'springwater'	(40) gá ne 'smoke vent'
(54) kóŝa 'urine odor'	(47) $\sqrt{g\dot{u}\lambda e}$ 'burl'
(77) <i>k'^wá 'ga</i> 'coho'	(53) ke ^[] sese 'red willow'
(83) $2\dot{e}'\lambda a$ 'sea, ocean'	(75) q'axá ne 'effeminate man'

(86) 2á'seda 'steelhead'

with /-a/

(39) gá źa, gá źe 'jackpine'

(89) dé'la, dé'le 'pitchwood'

Figure 7 Distribution of pseudo-suffixal /-a/ and /-e/ in copied lexicon

References

- Anonymous (2010). The Tahltan Language. http://www.drangle.com/~james/athabaskan/tahltan.html
- De Laguna, Frederica (1972). Under Mount Saint Elias: The History and Culture of the Yakutat Tlingit, Part One. Smithsonian Contributions to Anthropology Volume 7.

Edwards, Keri (2009). Dictionary of Tlingit. Sealaska Heritage Institute.

Emmons, George T. (1911). *The Tahltan Indians*. University of Pennsylvania Museum.

Gibbs, George (1863). A Dictionary of the Chinook Jargon, or, Trade Language of Oregon. Cramoisy Press.

Hope, Andrew (2000). *Traditional Tlingit Territory*. http://www.ankn.uaf.edu/ANCR/Southeast/TlingitMap/TlingitMap.pdf

Krauss, Michael E. (1970). *Eyak Dictionary*. (EY961K1970b, a pdf found under <u>www.uaf.edu/anla/collections/dictionaries/</u>)

Krauss, Michael E. (2009). *Numerals*. <u>http://www.uaf.edu/files/anla/ey_numerals.pdf</u>

Krauss, Michael E. and Jeff Leer (1981). *Athabaskan, Eyak, and Tlingit Sonorants.* ANLC Research Paper 5.

- Leer, Jeff (2008). *Recent Advances in AET Comparison*. ANLC, draft of 1/28/2008.
- Mithun, Marianne (2013). Challenges and benefits of contact among relatives: morphological copying. *Journal of Language Contact* 6:243–270. Koninklijke Brill NV.

Nater, Hank (1986). Tahltan field notes.

Nater, Hank (1989). Some comments on the phonology of Tahltan. *IJAL* 55:25–42.

Nater, Hank (1994). The Athapaskan component of Nuxalk. IJAL 60:177–190.

- Nater, Hank (2006). Athabascan verb stem structure: Tahltan. *What's in a Verb?* Studies in the Verbal Morphology of the Languages of the Americas. LOT.
- Nater, Hank (2014). The position of Bella Coola within Salish: bound morphemes. *Papers for the 49th International Conference on Salish and Neighbouring Languages*. UBCWPL 37.
- Nater, Hank (2016). A short note on Lillooet and Tahltan shared lexicon. *Papers* for the 51st International Conference on Salish and Neighbouring Languages. UBCWPL 42.
- Rosenfelder, Mark (2016). *Numbers from 1 to 10 in over 5000 Languages*. <u>www.zompist.com/numbers.shtml</u>
- Wikipedia (2017). *Chilkoot Trail.* https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chilkoot_Trail#Indigenous_use