A Note on [?]^a Constructions in Lushootseed

Vi Hilbert, <u>t</u>aq^wšəblu Indian Studies University of Washington

and

Thom Hess University of Victoria

While preparing a Lushootseed Reader, we came across two difficult sentences in a fascinating text on how to play the Bone Game, sləhal. The sentences are:

- (1) k^wa? ləcužəčadadid dx^w?al ti?ə? s?acuss (h)əlg^wə? dəx^wžəbil ?ə ti?ə?. Instead he is sending-his-power-of-thought-over-them to their faces so that these (opponents) become heavy.
- (2) xačadadid ti?a? stabtablaxad ?a ti?a? da(x")x"ak"ils, dax"g"akalads
 ?a ti?a? sud^zig^wicuts.

He directs-his-thoughts to the arms of these (opponents) so that they become tired, so that they stop their back-and-forth-movement.

Students are troubled by the fact that the verb in $d \ni x^{W}$ - clause (1) does not have an -S suffix while in clause (2) it does:

(1) ... $d \ni x^{\mathsf{w}} \check{x} \ni bil ? \ni ti? \ni ? \dots$ so that they become heavy.

(2) ... dəx^wg^wə kəlads [?]ə ti[?]ə[?] sud^zig^wicuts. ... so that they stop their swaying back and forth.

Both sentences were grammatical, but why?

The name Lushootseed is now being used instead of Puget Salish. Lushootseed is based upon the Indian name for the language, dx^Wləšucid.

The problem lies with the several uses of ?a. Phrases beginning with this particle look the same but fit into the rest of the sentence in several different ways. Some other Salish languages have a similar ? word, so its use in Lushootseed and the explanation for the difference above may have general interest.

The ?Ə in Lushootseed links six different types of phrase and clause to the rest in the sentence. These six are:

- Phrases of manner and instrument: Ι
 - (3) $^{\gamma}u^{\gamma}\partial^{1}\partial d^{\gamma}\partial t\partial qa$. He ate a lot. He worked hard. (4) [?]uyayus [?]ə tə tib. (5) [?]upusud [?] to čia[?]. He threw a rock at someone. (He threw at someone with a rock.)

Phrases expressing patient of verbs that do not have a transitive suffix: II

- (6) $^{9}ug^{W} = \overset{\circ}{c}^{2}b$ 9 ti $\overset{\circ}{x}^{W}ubt$. He looked for the paddle.
 - (Compare (7) $^{9}ug^{\psi} \partial_{c}^{\partial} d$ ti $\check{x}^{\psi}ubt$. He looked for the paddle.

The - d is a transitive suffix; therefore no 2 precedes ti \check{x}^{W} ubt.)

(8) [?]utəqalik^w [?]ə tə səpləl. She made bread.

3 III Phrases expressing agent of "passive" verbs:

- (9) [?]uk^wax^wat^əb [?]ə tsi dsqa tsi[?]ił sk^wuyčəł. My older sister helped our mother.
- (10) $^{2}ux = \lambda t^{2}b$ $^{2} = t = sq^{2} = bay^{2}$. A dog bit someone.

Similar to ?Ə is the word ?al which introduces phrases of location and time. Often, '> replaces 'al in both of these, especially in phrases of location.

The term passive is not particularly appropriate for Lushootseed. More precisely, we mean those verbs that end with a transitive suffix plus {b}.

Ch, Cz invert 01

ł

- -mt+ ¢ or t

Ch.Cz

Y

IV Phrases following benefactive verbs that express the item transferred:

- (11) [?]utag^wyic tsi[?]i¹ <u>?</u>[?] ti[?]?[?] xaws q^w(?)¹i[?]s[?]d.
 She bought me a new (pair of) shoes.
- (12) [?]uqadayic ti[?]i[‡] <u>?</u>_∂ ti X^wubt.
 He stole the paddle from me.
- (13) [?]utilc tsi[?]it staday? <u>?a ta saplal</u>.
 That woman gave me some bread.
- Phrases expressing possessor:

62

-ši-++

- tux- +

V

<u>Ch</u> X of X Cz (?) t

?

- (14) ti sq^wəbay? ?ə ti?ił stubš. That man's dog. (The dog of that man.)
- (15) tə səpləl <u>?ə tsi dsk^wuy</u>. My mother's bread. (Bread <u>of my mother</u>.)
 (Compare (16) ti sq^wəbay?s his dog; (17) tə səpləls her bread)
- VI Subordinate clauses expressing manner, means, (or sometimes location (instead of [?]al). This is an extension of I.)
 - (18) [?]uk^wax^wat^əb [?] tsi dsqa <u>?</u> ti sutəqalik^ws.
 My older sister helped with her (someone else's) bread-making.
 - (19) [?]ušudub [?] to sq^w abay[?] <u>?</u> ti su[?]ig^w ats.
 A dog saw it climb (the tree).

Here *benefactive* is a cover term designating verbs having the secondary suffix -yi- and verbs of giving like $\pm il$ -. The action may be to one's detriment (*cf.* 12) as well as benefit.

It may well be that, from the Lushootseed point of view, phrases I, II, and IV state the same type of information and are grammatically equivalent. It would be interesting to compare the grammatical formations that related languages use to express these concepts. Do any other Salish languages convey all of these concepts with the same grammatical structures as does Lushootseed?

- 3 -

Subordinate clauses have one characteristic that bears upon the problem, viz., the agent of the verb is expressed by the same affixes that denote possession. For third person that affix is the suffix -S; and like possessive constructions, the -S can be replaced by an ? phrase. (Compare (16) and (17) with (14) and (15).) Therefore, it is possible to make explicit who was helped with the bread-making in (18) and who (or what) climbed (the tree) in (19) by replacing -S with ? ... as in (20) and (21).

(20) $^{9}uk^{W}ax^{W}at^{\Theta}b^{\circ}$ tsi dsqa $\frac{^{9}}{1}$ tsi $\frac{^{9}}{1}$ tsi ^{9}it sk ^{W}uy čet.

My older sister helped our mother make (bread). (My older sister helped with the bread-making of our mother.)

(21) [?]ušudub [?]ə tə sq^wəbay[?] <u>?</u>ə ti su[?]ig^wəł <u>?</u>ə ti xa[?]xəlus.

A dog saw a raccoon climb (a tree). (A dog saw the climbing of a raccoon.)

Sentence (9) can be expanded with this type of subordinate clause giving (22):

(22) [?]uk^wax^wat^əb [?]ə tsi dsqa tsi[?]ił sk^wuyčəł [?]ə ti sutəqalik^ws.

My older sister helped our mother make (bread). (My older sister helped our mother with her bread-making.)

Sentences (20) and (22) are synonymous, but in (20) tsi?it sk wuycət our mother is patient in the independent clause while it is agent of the subordinate clause in (22).

In most situations toqalik^W refers to making bread. (Literally, it means patterned or organized plastering, stroking - note stoqx^W beaver, the animal that plasters its home with its tail.) Nevertheless, there are times when, either for clarity or style, we choose to mention soplol bread (or flour) specifically as in sentence (8). Including mention of to soplol in (22) gives the following:

(23) [?]uk^wax^wat^əb [?]ə tsi dsqa tsi[?]ił sk^wuyčəł [?]ə ti suⁱtəqalik^ws [?]ə tə səpləl.
My older sister helped our mother make bread.

Contrasting (23) with (20) and (18) provides the explanation needed for the problem raised concerning the presence of -s in (2) and its absence in (1). (Subordinate clauses with $d\partial x^{W}$ - and s- pattern identically.)

The ?ə phrases are different; even though they look the same, they represent a different grammatical facet of the language. In (1) there is no agent of the verb in the subordinate clause, $d \Rightarrow x \\ \Rightarrow bil$ so (they) become heavy; and ?ə ti?ə? this (these opponents in this case) is patient as in II. In (2) there is an agent expressed by -s as in VI and the following ?ə phrase is another subordinate clause expressing manner. It is treated grammatically much like II. (The -ad of g $\Rightarrow \lambda \Rightarrow lad stop$ is not a transitive suffix inspite of its similar shape to a large class of transitives.)

(2) ... dəx^wg^wə lads [?]ə ti[?]ə[?] sud^zig^wicuts.
... so they (-s) would stop their (-s) swaying back and forth.

(23)... ti sutəqalik^ws [?]ə tə səpləl.

... her (-s) baking bread.

While it would seem easier to learn the single word ?? in place of a variety of terms like *for*, *with*, *of*, *by*, etc. as in English, the many uses of ?? constructions prove instead to be a stumbling block around which the teacher must carefully guide the student.

Upper Chehalis

I.	[?] it yusmix ^w tat stiqiws $\underline{1}$ t xiwič. He worked his horse hard. p.134.35-38	
II.	?it ?ə́xm1 1 t swawa?. I saw a cougar. p.165.19	
	[?] it is mit t sx as. He looked for blackberries. p.164.17	
	?it sa mi t sa mi. He made a wedge. p.164.16	
III.	[?] it $\lambda = x^{w}$ tm čn <u>1</u> tat cičs. I was stung by the bee.	
IV.	tit čáłtux ^w t čn_spatáln. I gave him a rock. p.50.87-92	
V.	tit yəmcišs tat qilitn the fat of the elk WO only	
VI.	?	
Cow	litz	
	s ² iłann <u>ł</u> t qi j aluq ^w s. He's eating soup. J11.185	
Ι.	s [?] iłann <u>ł</u> t qiỷaluq ^w s. He's eating soup. J11.185	
Ι.	s [?] iłann <u>ł</u> t qiỷaluq ^w s. He's eating soup. J11.185 <u>but</u> kəxl tit s [?] iłani. He ate fast. J12.76	
I. II.	s [?] iłann <u>ł</u> t qiỷaluq ^w s. He's eating soup. J11.185 <u>but</u> kəxl tit s [?] iłani. He ate fast. J12.76 skamł t səxtk ^w lx looking for spirit power M5.145	
I. II. III.	s [?] iłann <u>1</u> t qiỷáluq ^w s. He's eating soup. J11.185 <u>but</u> xaxt tit s [?] iłani. He ate fast. J12.76 sxamł t saxtk ^w lx looking for spirit power M5.145 ² ie samln <u>1</u> t yaxawt. He's making a fish trap. M4.155	
I. II. III.	s [?] iłann <u>1</u> t qiỷáluq ^w s. He's eating soup. J11.185 <u>but</u> ảoại tit s [?] iłani. He ate fast. J12.76 sảami t soạtk ^w lx looking for spirit power M5.145 ² it sámiln <u>1</u> t yaṇaŵt. He's making a fish trap. M4.155 [?] it tok ^w tm <u>1</u> t psáyq. He got bitten by a mosquito. M9.56	
I. II. III. IV.	 s[?]iłann <u>1</u> t qiỷáluq^ws. He's eating soup. J11.185 <u>but</u> xáxi tit s[?]iłani. He ate fast. J12.76 skámi t sáxtk^wlx looking for spirit power M5.145 ²it sámln <u>1</u> t yaxáwt. He's making a fish trap. M4.155 ²it ták^wtm <u>1</u> t psáyq. He got bitten by a mosquito. M9.56 ²it sášn kn <u>1</u> t wił. I made a boat for him. J14.43 	
I. II. III. IV.	 s[?]iłann <u>1</u> t qiỷáluq^ws. He's eating soup. J11.185 <u>but</u> xáxi tit s[?]iłani. He ate fast. J12.76 skámi t sáxtk^wlx looking for spirit power M5.145 sámiln <u>1</u> t yaxáwt. He's making a fish trap. M4.155 ?it ťák^wtm <u>1</u> t psáyq. He got bitten by a mosquito. M9.56 ?it sášn kn <u>1</u> t wił. I made a boat for him. J14.43 <u>but</u> ?it yáy<u>tx^wc stúk^wali</u>. He told me his dream. J16.42 sčátx^wň <u>1</u> kax^wô? bear grease M4.289 	