## CONTROL AND TRANSITIVITY IN UPRIVER HALKOMELEM

## Brent D. Galloway Coqualectza Education Training Centre

O. <u>Introduction</u>. Halkomelem is a Coast Salish language (Central Salish group) spoken along the Fraser River, B.C., from Five-mile Creek (five miles above Yale) to Vancouver, along part of the east coast of Vancouver Island (from Malahat to Nanoose), and in the area of Everson and Deming, Washington. It is spoken on both sides of the rivers within these areas and especially along the Fraser River and its tributaries. Upriver dialects include Tait, Chehalis, and Chilliwack River dialects, and within each of these there are village microdialects.

Control suffixes in Halkomelem are transitivizers which indicate whether the subject had full control of the verbal action (did it purposely), had little control of the verbal action (did it accidentally, happened or managed to do it), or had control over someone else's action and caused someone else to do it. The control suffixes are the only transitivizing devices in the Upriver dialects of Halkomelem. Since they are obligatory with all transitive verbs (Vt) and a decision as to degree of control must be made for each Vt, control suffixes seem to have the status of grammatical inflection rather than that of derivation. Perhaps also because they are obligatory with transitive verbs the control element is often covert in the translation. But it is also interesting to discover that at least one of the intransitivizing suffixes ( $-\pounds \cdot ls \sim -\varrho ls$ ) also contains features of control semantically.

Before discussing the transitivizers in detail it may be helpful here to sketch the phonemes of Upriver Halkomelem:

Cbstruents plain	P	t	с	(k)	k <sup>W</sup>	q	qW		• ;	
glottalized	p' 0'	ቲ' ኢ'	,c '	(k*)	k' <sup>w</sup>	đ,	q,w	?		
Spirants	•	Ŧ	s	xy	xW	x	xW	h		
Sonorants	m	1		У	W				•	
High				i	u				•	
Mid				εə	0			``	#	
Low				a			un	narked	1 ##	ž
(Notes: $/\theta'$ , $\lambda'$ , c, and c' are affricates. $/k/$ and $/k'/$										

are found almost exclusively in borrowings. /c/ and /c'/ have allophones [c] ~ [&] and [c'] ~ [&'] respectively (the variation is largely free variation with partial complementary distribution). Length functions

somewhat like an obstruent and corresponds to /?/after vowels in Downriver and Island dialects of Halkomelem; length (/ • /) after consonants generally represents gemination; length of two or more morae (/:/, etc.) is used solely for emphasis. Stops are unaspirated only prevocalically after s (s\_\_V) or before syllabic consonants ([1] and [m]); elsewhere stops are aspirated. /s/ has allophones [§] before  $[x^W]$ , and [s] elsewhere. /i/ has allophones [e] in free variation with [i<sup>9</sup>] bet-. ween postvelars, [i<sup>9</sup>] elsewhere before postvelars, and [i] elsewhere; the allophones remain the same when length occurs between /i/ and postvelars, but the main vowel not the glide in the allophone is lengthened (thus [e.] or  $[i^{\vartheta}]$  which usually seem like [ey] or  $[i^{\vartheta}]$ ).  $/\epsilon/$  has allophones [e] between postvelars or glottals and y (Q,?,h\_y), [æ] elsewhere before y or length, and  $[x] \sim [\varepsilon]$  elsewhere (usually [x] when stressed and  $[\varepsilon]$  when unstressed, but also much free variation). /e/ has allophones determined by the speed of speech and by three sets of consonants:  $Y = [1, \frac{1}{2}, x^y, y, s, \epsilon, \epsilon', k, k']$ (largely palatals),  $W = [k^W, k^{,W}, x^W, q^W, q^{,W}, x^W, m, w]$  ([w], [m], and labialized consonants), and X = the remaining consonants ([p,t,č,q,p',t0',t',k',č',q',?,0,š,x,h]). The allo-

phones of /e/ are [I] central unrounded lower-high vowel, [I] front unrounded lower-high, [U] back rounded lowerhigh, and [e] central unrounded mean-mid (stressed or unstressed). Thus,

/e/ → [I] in allegro unstressed syllables
At normal speed → [I]: x<sup>y</sup>, d\_\_\_, \_\_x<sup>y</sup>, y\_\_m
At normal speed → [U]: k<sup>,w</sup> ´ d, x<sup>w</sup>\_1
At normal speed → [e]: X\_X, (Y,W,X)\_#, 1\_\_(d,d')
At normal speed elsewhere → [I] in free variation
with [e]: (Y,W,X)\_Y, Y\_X
At normal speed elsewhere → [U] in free variation

with [ə]: (Y,W,X)\_\_\_W, W\_\_\_X

(Items separated by commas within parentheses mean that one item or another within the parentheses must occur.) With some speakers [d] and  $[\xi]$  are nearly in free variation, and as a result  $[\xi]$  is a member of the palatal set, Y, which conditions  $/e/ \rightarrow [I]$ . [u] and [o] are neither in complementary distribution nor free variation in Upriver Halkomelem and so must be separate phonemes; /o/ is more common in the Chilliwack River area (probably influenced by Nooksack), while Chehalis and Tait dialects have /u/ more common (even corresponding to Chwk: /o/ in a few words). /a/ has allophones [o] in free variation

with [a] in the environment:  $C^{W}$  or  $\underline{\ } C^{W}$  (where  $C^{W}$  is any labialized consonant); elsewhere  $/a/ \rightarrow$  [a]. The [b] allophone was more frequent in Bob Joe's speech (used about 43 percent of the time) than in Amy Cooper's; it was hardly found at all in Dan Milo's speech, and all three of these speakers spoke the Chwk. dialect. /'/ is high-pitch stress, /'/ is mid-pitch stress, and unstressed syllables with low pitch are unmarked. /'/ has several allophones: [ $^{6}$ ], loud stress with high and

unstressed syllables with low pitch are unmarked. /'/ has several allophones: [.6], loud stress with high and level pitch which seems to be about the musical interval of a sixth above unstressed low pitch; this allophone is found only on short vowels immediately preceding a weakened word boundary (on the vowel in #CV(#) or #CCV(#)); the short vowel is always at the end of a monosyllabic demonstrative article or particle or auxiliary le or me. Another allophone is [<sup>5-1</sup>], loud stress with high falling pitch which starts about 5 tones above unstressed low pitch (1) and falls to low pitch; this allophone occurs on long vowels in word-final syllables, and in free variation with [.5 or 4] on the last long vowel in a word in non-final syllables. Finally / / has allophone [<sup>,5</sup> or <sup>4</sup>] elsewhere, loud stress with high level pitch varying between 4 or 5 tones above low pitch.

/'/ is level mid pitch about 3 tones above unstressed low pitch, with loudness ranging from moderate to loud; it has no allophones. Both /'/ and /'/ can occur more than once within a word; words with as many as four of each have been attested.)

110

1. <u>Transitivizers (control suffixes)</u>. As explained in the introduction, the control suffixes are the only transitivizing devices in Upriver Halkomelem. The six that have been found so far are:

-(e)T ~ -&T ~ -&(·)T ~ -&(·)T 'do purposely to s-o/s-th'
-1 'do accidentally(to s-o/s-th), happen to do(to s-o
or s-th), manage to do(to s-o/s-th)'

-meT 'happen (with little control) to do an action not directly affecting s-o or s-th' (mostly in verbs of mental or emotional action)

-(e)les '(happen to, manage to) do to s-o or s-th' (majority in verbs of mental or emotional action) The meanings given for these suffixes are seldom overtly translated as given here. The usual case is for these semantic elements to combine with the root

6

5

or stem meaning, to yield a gloss more succinct than the sum of its parts. For example, ?ć'y-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'chase s-o or s-th' < 'keep on going' + 'cause to' + '3rd person object', mé-x<sup>y</sup> 'take it off (of a button, etc.)' < 'come off' + 'do purposely to inanimate object', t'i'lém-t 'sing it' < 'sing' + 'do purposely to s-th'. As can also be seen from some of the examples, the control suffixes are often translated as mere transitivizers with the degree of control more implied than overtly stated (but definitely present semantically).

Control transitivizers are immediately followed by object personal pronoun suffixes, summarized below. (I have used a few abbreviations in this section which should be mentioned: 's-d (someone) actually replaces 'him, her, them, someone'; 's-th' (something) actually replaces 'it, them, something'; but since the gender and number are specified elsewhere in the sentence these abbreviations are suitably neutral. The translation of  $? \varepsilon \cdot y \text{stex}^W$  could also be given 'chase him, her, it, them'. The choice of 's-o' or 's-th' or of both 's-o/s-th' depends mostly on the context; so I have glossed the examples in this section as I obtained them, and the s-o or s-th should be understood to depend somewhat on

7

context. Also the morphophoneme T is realized as  $/\theta/$ before 1st person singular and 2nd person singular object personal pronouns, and as /t/ elsewhere.) Summary of Personal Pronoun Affixes:

112

Subj. occurs especially when passive verbs are subordinated to negative verbs verb {or {li. ?1 lst transitivizer; elsewhere the 3rd person object is marked by zero or is set is -álx<sup>W</sup> in free person plural does not have any specifically passive forms, so the act-The dependent Subjunctive Sub (Subord.subj.wi neg. or doubt) on ?.. on au passive merely adds -bt to the end of the passive object pronouns; it Object set 1 has 3rd person object marker  $-ex^W$  after the The 3rd -1 partial control transitivizer and after the -sT causative control variation with - $\operatorname{\acute{e}x}^W$  for some speakers of Tait and Cheh. dialects. -ép~-elep  ${\rm sk}^{\rm i}{}^{\rm V}\ell y$  'can't, is impossible' or with we- 'if; when'. м<sup>хө-</sup> - 64 000 μ μ ive object -álx<sup>W</sup> substitutes with a 3rd person subject. Possess. or d. Subject nom. Verb -elep -cet unmarked; 1st person plural object marker in this u u o H တူ Nom. Po Subord. on wd. before - 2 ( 2 ) - (2) 10-Subject -0--0s -cex<sup>w</sup> -cet -cep -0.01 -àlèm-èt -èlàm-bt Depend. Passive Object -à.m-àt (-álx<sup>W</sup>) -dm-dt Passive Chject (-álx<sup>W</sup>) -èlàm -àlòm -è-m 100--Ø~-ex<sup>w</sup> Notes: Object -álx<sup>W</sup> -ále 2 sg −áme -áx<sup>y</sup> l pl 1 55 p1 H З N

8

-es appears with all transitive verbs; elsewhere

rson subject pronoun

it is zero or unmarked. However -es cannot be used with 2nd person objects: instead the 2nd person objects are made passive. The subject pronouns with -c usually have phonetic realizations [dil], [čUx<sup>W</sup>], [dit] and [čæp]. Fronoun affixes for subordinate subject are the same as those for possessive pronoun affixes used with nominals: -el and - $\varepsilon$  are attached to the word before the nominal (usually a demonstrative article), while -s, -cet, and -elep are attached to the nominal itself (note that in 2nd person plural  $-\varepsilon$  is attached to the word before the nominal and -elep is attached to the nominal). With subordinate verbs the -el and -s are attached to the word before the subordinate verb (usually the article  $k^{,W} \Theta$ ) and in all persons an -s nominalizer is added after this; -s. -cet. and -elep are added to the subordinate verb where required. Thus subordinate clauses are first nominalized and then possessed by their subjects: for example, compare the following sets: t-el slex Wet 'my cance'. t-c(?) sléx Wel 'vour cance'. te sléx Wel-s 'his/her/its/ their cance', to  $sl\delta x^W \partial t - c \partial t$  'our cance',  $t - \varepsilon(?) sl\delta x^W \partial t - \partial t \partial p$ 'you folks's cance'; sh'is k'"-el-s ?itet 'he wants that I sleep', k<sup>,W</sup>-s(?)-s ?itet 'that you sleep', k<sup>,W</sup>e-s ?itet-s 'that he/she/it/they sleep', k'"a-s ?itat-cat 'that we sleep', and  $k^{,W} - \epsilon(?) - s$  ?itet-elep 'that you folks sleep'. Subjunctive subject pronouns are used after negative verbs (themselves inflected with regular subject pronouns as well) and also after verbs prefixed with wo- 'if, when'. So ?éwe-cel lém-él 'I'm not going.' or ?éwe-cel lí-l lém 'I'm not going.' (equivalent constructions) or we-lém-él 'if I go, when I go' or yáswe we-lém-él 'perhaps I'm going'

or  $x^{W_{\Theta}}e^{\epsilon}-\epsilon p$  ?1-p tatelá·met 'You folks don't yet understand.! Lastly it should be mentioned that 2nd person subordinate subject and possessive suffix  $-\epsilon$ (?) has the shape  $-\epsilon$  in the Chwk. dialect and  $-\epsilon$ ? in the Cheh. dialect and most Tait microdialects.

114

The first control suffix listed is  $\{-(\bullet)T\}$  'do purposely to s-o/s-th'. It has several stressed allomorphs, -6T, - $\acute{a}(\cdot)T$ , and - $\acute{e}(\cdot)T$ , which must be accounted for by morphophonemic rules with morphenic and phonological conditioning. Cut of over 400 transitive verbs only the following show stressed control suffixes:

-é(·)T: tqźt 'close s-th' (cp. s-təq-tźl 'door'), k<sup>w</sup>əx<sup>y</sup>é(·)t 'count s-th/s-o' (cp. k<sup>w</sup>(ə)x<sup>y</sup>é·m 'count'), k<sup>w</sup>əlś·t 'hold s-th (in hand)' (cp. k<sup>w</sup>śl·əx<sup>w</sup> 'get s-th, get s-o'?), k<sup>w</sup>əlźt 'pour it out, spill s-th or s-o', k<sup>w</sup>əlź@áx<sup>y</sup>əs 'he spilled me (from a canoe)' (cp. k<sup>w</sup>éł 'to spill'), səq'źt (~ séq'et) 'split it, crack it'

9

(cp. séq' 'it split, it cracked' and ±séq' 'half, be half, half dollar, half-breed'), wee'ét 'tease s-o', wee'éeàmè 'tease you', lemé't 'kick s-o or s-th', lemé'éax<sup>y</sup>es 'he kicked me', cesé't 'send s-o', x<sup>w</sup>tét 'tear s-th' (cp. x<sup>w</sup>ét 'to tear' and x<sup>w</sup>etx<sup>w</sup>ét 'all torn up'), ?eyétes 'he went (or chased) after s-o/s-th without slowing (till he caught it)', q'eyq'xééáx<sup>y</sup>es 'he argued with me', and x<sup>y</sup>e?ét 'carry s-th/s-o (baby).in one's arm'.

x<sup>W</sup>pót 'pick s-th up from floor or ground', xt'ót 'put a spell on s-o' (cp. xt'ć·ls 'cast or throw a spell'), qe0'ót 'press it down (like yeast dough)', tełót 'spread it out (of blanket, net, etc.)', qewót 'warm s-th/s-o up', k'<sup>W</sup>emót 'bring up s-o (a child), raise s-o (as a child' (k'<sup>W</sup>emlámet 'raise oneself, make it through childhood'), c'q'<sup>W</sup>ót 'poke it, pick it up on a fork or spike' ~ c'óq'<sup>W</sup>t 'poke s-o'.

The roots in all these examples are  $C_1 \circ C_2$  or  $C_1 C_2$ ; in other derivations or inflections of these roots, the roots always have the shape  $C_1 C_2$  or  $C_1 \circ C_2$  or at most  $C_1 \circ C_2$ (with the exception of a few continuatives and participles). For example:  $p \circ q^W$  'broken in two',  $\theta \circ \circ x^W$  'wash',  $1 \circ x^W - \theta + c \varepsilon$ 'spitting' (where the stress shift to root is 'continuative'), s-t=q-t $\varepsilon$ l 'door',  $1-s \circ q$ ? 'half',  $x^W \circ t$  'to tear',  $x \circ t$  'to hurt',  $\lambda \circ \circ x^W$  'covered', s-q' $\circ p$  'a gathering',  $q^W \circ s$  'fall into water'. The only exceptions or counterexamples found so far are continuatives and participles (which use continuative inflection and/or s- prefix):  $k^{*W} \circ x^{*Y} t$  'counting s-th',  $q^* \circ p \circ t$  'collecting s-th',  $q \circ q \circ \circ t$  'kneading it (bread), pushing it down (bread, hops, etc.)',  $\theta \circ q \circ t$  'spearing s-th',  $s \circ \theta \circ \varepsilon k^{*W} \cdot (pulled)$ tight', slic' 'full'. (Note that these exceptional con-

11

tinuatives seen to all have ablaut to stressed  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ .)

So it seems that the roots found before stressed transitivizers are zero-grade or schwa-grade roots. Most are only found in zero-grade or schwa-grade; the others are found in é-grade in continuatives and perhaps in other grades in participles, but in zero-grade or schwagrade elsewhere. It is not clear yet whether the root vowel grade triggers the selection of the stressed transitivizer or vice versa. But roots taking  $-\acute{a}(\cdot)T$  all end in a labialized consonant  $(C^W)$ , w, or h, while those taking  $-\acute{e}(\cdot)T$  never end in  $C^W$ , w, or h. Unfortunately roots taking  $-\acute{e}T$  do not also show complementary distribution in this regard. However it seems possible to set up two classes of roots which take stressed transitivizers: one which takes transitivizers with  $\bullet$  and one which takes transitivizers with non-schwa vowels.

A handful of transitive examples have an abnormally stressed 3rd person object suffix after the control suffix:  $x = 1 - \epsilon x^{W}$  'hurt s-o/s-th (by accident)' (cp.  $x = 1 - \epsilon x^{Y} - \epsilon x^{W}$  'hurt me (by accident)',  $x = 1 - \epsilon x^{W}$  '(he got hurt), s-o hurt me, he was hurt'),  $1 = k^{W} - 1 - \epsilon x^{W}$  'break it accidentally (of a bone or sticklike object)! (cp.  $1 = k^{W} - 1 - \epsilon x^{W}$  'ne got a bone broken',  $1 = k^{W} - 1 - \epsilon x^{W} - \epsilon x^{W}$  of the sticklike object)!

'he broke my hand (accidentally)'), c'eq'W-l-éxW 'hit s-o/s-th accidentally with a piercing projectile',  $x^{y}$ eq-l- $\varepsilon x^{w}$  'complete s-th',  $\theta \Rightarrow x-1-\varepsilon x^{w}$  'discover s-th'; k<sup>W</sup>el-l-éx<sup>W</sup> 'catch s-th (ball, animal, disease)',  $cen-1-\delta x^W-es$  'he met up with her'; q'el-st- $\ell \cdot x^W$  'fool s-o' (cp. g'el-s0-áx<sup>y</sup>-es 'he fooled me' and g'ig'el-st- $\varepsilon \cdot x^{W}$ 'fooling s-o', q'iq'el-s0à.m '(I'm being fooled), they're fooling me'), sisi-st-éx<sup>W</sup>-es 'he's scaring them'. These cases are peripheral to control suffixes but seem explainable in much the same way as the stressed control transitivizers (with zero- and schwa-grade roots). In fact many of the roots are the same roots (xel, lek<sup>W</sup>, c'ec'<sup>W</sup>,  $k^{W}$  al). The exceptions are cam-l- $\delta x^{W}$ -as which is related to ca.m-tel 'meet up with each other, elope' and sisist-éx<sup>W</sup>-es (sisi < sí·si, certainly not a zero-grade or schwa-grade root in Upriver Halkomelem).

Some idea of the semantic effect of control suffixes can be obtained from the examples given above and from the examples which now follow:

{-(\*)T} 'do purposely to s-o/s-th': k'<sup>W</sup>Éc-et 'look at s-o/s-th' (cp. k'<sup>W</sup>Éc 'to see'), 'Ey-Ét 'go after (or chase after) s-o/s-th without slowing till it is caught' (cp. 'É·y 'keep on going', 'É·y-el 'go away, go on one's

14

way'), k<sup>W</sup>ác<sup>W</sup>-et 'hit s-o/s-th intentionally with a stick-like object, club s-o/s-th intentionally'. lic'-et 'cut s-th/s-o (on purpose)', há·q<sup>W</sup>-ət 'smell s-th/s-o on purpose' (cp. ha.dw-em 'smell, give off smell' and há o<sup>W</sup>-els 'smelling, sniffing (of animals for ex.)'), tá·l-t 'think about s-th/s-o', k<sup>W</sup>el-é·t 'hold s-th in one's hand', x1-st 'hurt s-o', lek"-at 'break s-th (bone or stick)', c'q'"-st 'poke s-th/s-o, pick s-th up on a sharp object'. ?avám-t 'delay s-o on purpose'. k<sup>W</sup>etéx<sup>W</sup>-t 'bring s-th/s-o inside' (cp. k<sup>W</sup>étex<sup>W</sup>-t 'bringing s-th/s-o inside', k<sup>W</sup> atx<sup>W</sup>-1·1-am 'come inside, go inside', and k<sup>W</sup>etx<sup>V</sup>-élcep 'bring in firewood'), q'ex<sup>y</sup>-í·l-t 'accompany s-o', peté m-et (or pté m-et) 'ask s-o' (cp. peté m or pté n 'ask'), lép'-et 'eat s-o', mé y-t-es 'he helps s-o', píx<sup>W</sup>-eC-àx<sup>y</sup>-es 'he brushes me off', k<sup>W</sup>é·-t-álx<sup>W</sup>-es 'he lets us go', ?iwes-t-es 'he teaches s-o', ?áx wes-t-es 'he gives it to s-o', A'ew-t 'bark at s-o/s-th', tas-et 'mash s-th (berries, etc.)' (tas 'get hit by something moving, get bumped, get mashed'), six-et 'move s-th over' (cp. sex-fyl-en 'move over'), lepec-t 'send s-th' (lepec 'send'). leg'el-ces-t 'turn the tables on s-o'. ?ik'"-et 'throw s-th away, discard s-th' (?ik'" 'lost, be lost'), Giy-t 'make s-th, fix s-th' (Giy 'make, fix'), xsym-leg<sup>W</sup>-t 120

'pull s-o's hair' (xéym-ət 'grab s-o/s-th', -ləq<sup>W</sup> 'on the hair, on the top of the head'), q'á·y-t 'kill s-th/ s-o' (q'á·y 'die'), qíq'-ət 'apprehend s-o, catch s-o' (qíq' 'apprehended, caught, grounded').

{-1} 'do accidentally (to s-o/s-th), happen to do (to s-o/s-th), manage to do (to s-o/s-th)': k<sup>w</sup>éc-l-ex<sup>w</sup> 'see s-th/s-o', sf.silex" 'scare s-o accidentally' (sf.si 'be scared, afraid, nervous'), (Cheh., Tait) 1-q'1-1-ax [±q'61.Ux<sup>W</sup>] and (Chuk.) s±6q'al-l-ax<sup>W</sup> [s±6q'al.Ux<sup>W</sup>] 'know s-th/s-o', k'<sup>W</sup>eq<sup>W</sup>-l-áx<sup>y</sup>-es 'he hit me accidentally (or ' unintentionally) with a stick-like object', tec'-l-áxy-es 'he cut me accidentally', ha.qW-l-exW 'catch scent of s-th/s-o, smell s-th/s-o', tél-l-ex<sup>W</sup> [tél·Ux<sup>W</sup>] 'understand s-th/s-o', k<sup>W</sup>əl-l-əx<sup>W</sup>-əs 'he aught s-th', xəl-l-éx<sup>W</sup> 'hurt s-o unintentionally', lek<sup>W</sup>-l-éx<sup>W</sup> 'break s-th (esp. a bone) accidentally', c'eq'"-1-&x" 'hit s-o/s-th accidentally or unintentionally with a piercing projectile'. ?&.yelex<sup>W</sup>-l-ex<sup>W</sup> 'save s-o, save s-o's life' (?&.velex<sup>W</sup> 'be alive, live'), mélq-l-ex 'forget s-th/s-o' (mélq 'to forget'), p&t-l-axW 'recognize s-o/s-th', wac'ax'-l-axW 'drop s-th by accident' (wec'sk' 'drop down'), célg-l-ex" 'dropped it' (célq 'to fall'), xéyxel-l-ex" 'insult s-o' (xéyl-ex 'make war'), xel-wil-1-àmè 'disappoint you'

16

(x=1-wit 'disappointed', -wit 'in the mind or feelings'), q'ep'-l-áx<sup>V</sup>-es 'he infected me, he passed a sickness on to me, he got me addicted (to an activity, food, drug, etc.)', ?ák'<sup>W</sup>-l-ex<sup>W</sup> 'lose s-th' (?fk'<sup>W</sup> 'lost'), yéq'-l-ex<sup>W</sup>-es 'ho fell a tree, he accidentally fell it' (yéq'-et 'fall it (of a tree, on purpose)', yéq' 'to fall (of a tree)', s-yéyeq' 'a fallen tree, log').

{-s2} 'cause (s-th/s-o) to do, make (s-o/s-th) do': sisi-st-éx" 'scaring s-o/s-th', g'el-st-é·x" 'fool s-o. deceive s-c'. ?ć.y-st-exW 'chase s-c'. ?avém-st-exW 'delay s-o, slow s-o down', k<sup>W</sup>etfx<sup>W</sup>-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'keep s-o/s-th inside'. s-k<sup>W</sup>ətéx<sup>W</sup>-st-əx<sup>W</sup> 'leave s-th inside' (cp. s-k<sup>W</sup>ətéx<sup>W</sup> 'be inside (a building, cave, etc.)'), ?& yelex W-st-ex W 'keep s-o alive', ?im-exy-se-ame-cel 'I make you walk' (cp. ?im-ex<sup>y</sup> 'walk'. ?im-et 'step on s-th'. -ex<sup>y</sup> is lexical suffix meaning 'upright'), xit'e-st-exW-es 'he said to s-o' (cp. xét'e 'say'), le?emé-st-ex<sup>W</sup>-es 'she took s-o. he brought s-o', ?ey-st-ex"-es 'he likes s-th/s-o'. qəl-st-əx<sup>W</sup>-cəl 'I don't like s-th/s-o', silfx<sup>W</sup>-st-əx<sup>W</sup> 'make s-o slow' (cp. silix" 'slow down, go slow'). ?á·l-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'put s-th/s-o aboard', x<sup>W</sup>lelé-n-s0-à·m 'you are called to listen/witness, they made you listen' (x<sup>W</sup>lélé.-m 'listen', x<sup>W</sup>lélé. 'listen hard'), s-c'éc'el-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'carry it carefully, handle it with care' (cp. c'éc'el 'very, be very'), qéx-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'make it lots, make it thick' (qéx 'be many, lots'), ?ówe-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'deny s-th' (?ówe ~ ?ówe 'be not, not be, no'), 6'q'elxamé-st-em 'he was made to kneel' (0'q'elxém 'kneel'), mé-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'bring s-th, fetch s-th' (me ~ mf ~ ?emf 'come'), xéyxe-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'make s-o ashamed' (xéyxe 'be ashamed'), ?epalés-st-ex<sup>W</sup> -es 'it costs ten dollars' (?epál-es 'ten dollars', ?é'pel 'ten'), leqtá·lé-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'join s-th together', s-x<sup>W</sup>á·x<sup>W</sup>el-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'holding s-th up' (cp. x<sup>W</sup>él-x<sup>Y</sup> 'lift s-th' ), ?iyá·lem-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'obey s-o' (?iyá·lem 'be alright, correct, okay, can'), xté·-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'do it' (xté· 'do').

{-meT} 'happen (with little control) to do an action not directly affecting s-o/s-th' (mostly in verbs of mental or emotional action): k<sup>,w</sup>óck<sup>,w</sup>ec-met 'expect s-o, look for s-o', si·si-met 'be afraid of s-th/s-o', q'él-met 'believe s-th/s-o' (q'é·l 'believe'), s-q'ex<sup>y</sup>-i·l-met 'follow s-o', ?éliye-met 'dream of s-o/s-th' (?éliye 'to dream'), s-qel-wit-met 'hate s-o', sq'á-me@-àx<sup>y</sup> 'come with s-o' (sq'á 'be'together'), 0'éx<sup>w</sup>-met 'pity s-o, feel sorry for s-o', c'ímél-met 'get close to s-o' (c'ímél 'get close, near(ly)'), x<sup>w</sup>íléx<sup>y</sup>-met 'stand up for s-o respected' (x<sup>w</sup>i-l-éx<sup>y</sup> 'stand up, rise from seat'),

17

edl-met 'admire s-o' (GéGel-met 'admiring s-o', cp. 0'éle 'heart'), A'alk'al-mat 'get used to s-th/s-o', s-iwal-mat 'sense s-th/s-o' (-iwel 'thoughts, feelings, insides'), st'swel-met 'thinking about s-th' ((Chwk.) ste?E-wel -(Cheh., Tait) st'é-wel 'guess, think'), xwlélé:-met 'listen to s-o/s-th', sq'eq'á-met 'stay together with s-o' (se'eq'á ~ se'á 'be together'), lów-met 'run away from s-o' (le'w 'run away; cured', liw ~ h'iw 'run away'). G'iwSl-met 'be fed up with s-th/s-o' (G'-iwSl 'annoyed'), wawistóleg-met 'jealous of s-o' (wawi-stéleg or wawist-éleg 'jealous'), xVál-met 'look after s-o, take care of s-o' (x al-no-Got 'take care of oneself, look after oneself'),  $q^{W}$ él-met 'scold s-o, bawl s-o out' ( $q^{W}$ è'l 'talk, speak'). probably t'ilem-me0-áxy-es 'he sings about me' (cp. t'ilem-t 'sing s-th'), possibly si yé me@axy es 'he/she flirts with me' (root si yé m 'chiefs'??).

 $\{-(9)\]$  '(happen to, manage to) do regarding s-o or s-th' (this is the least common of the transitivizers): ' $\pounds$ .y- $\]$  'leave s- $\]$ 's-th behind' as in ' $\pounds$ .y- $\]$  's- $\]$ ''s- $\]$ 's- $\]$ ''s- $\]$ ''s- $\]$ ''s- $\]$ 's- $\]$ ''s- $\]$ ''s- $\]$ '

pétem 'asking', pétem-et 'asking s-o', and pétem-les-áxy-es in free variation with petem-es-axy-es 'asking for/about/ after s-o'), mélq-eles 'forget about s-o/s-th, forget s-o or s-th in one's mind' (cp. mélg-l-exW 'forget s-o/s-th behind'), hék<sup>,W</sup>-ələs 'remember s-o/s-th' (hék<sup>,W</sup>-ələs-áx<sup>y</sup>-əs 'he remembered me'), h'i'-ls-áxy-as 'he/she loves me, he/ she likes me' (s-x'i. 'want, desire'), xWe?i.-ls 'hereached s-o/s-th (here)' ((Tait) x<sup>W</sup>e?1·-ls-áx<sup>W</sup>-es 'he reached us here', cp. x<sup>W</sup> =?i·l-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'bring s-o/s-th here' (< x<sup>W</sup> = ?1 · 'get here, arrive' + -1 ·1 'come, go' + -sT 'cause to' + -ex" '3rd person object'; x"e- 'get, become' + ?i. '(be) here')), x<sup>W</sup>eli.-ls 'he reached s-o/s-th there'  $(x^{W} \circ li \cdot - ls - ax^{Y} - \circ s$  'he reached me there', cp.  $x^{W} \circ li \cdot l - st - \circ x^{W}$ 'bring s-o/s-th there'), k<sup>W</sup>ú·-ls 'hang onto s-o' (as in k<sup>W</sup>ú·-ls-áx<sup>y</sup>-es 'he/she hangs onto me'. cp. k<sup>W</sup>ú·-T 'take s-o/s-th').

 $\{-(e)x^{y}\}$  'do purposely to s-th/s-o (especially to an inanimate object)': lép'-ex<sup>y</sup> 'eat s-th' (cp. lép'-eT 'eat s-o'), léw-ex<sup>y</sup>-es 'he put it in' and léw-ex<sup>y</sup>-em 'it was put in' (lí·w 'inside (something)', lew-í·l-em 'go inside a hole'), té·l-x<sup>y</sup> 'track s-th (or s-o), follow tracks of s-th',  $k^{w} é \cdot l - x^{y}$  'hide s-th (an object, not a person)' ( $k^{w} é \cdot l$  'hide, hide oneself'),  $\lambda$ 'p-í·l-x<sup>y</sup> 'bring

s-th down (from upper shelf, upstairs, etc.)' ( $\lambda$ 'p-f·l 'descend, go down'), w $\mathcal{E} \cdot l - x^{y}$ -es 'he threw it (upwards)' (w $\mathcal{E} \cdot ls$  'throw to a crowd, scramble-give (['throw a pole or blanket to a crowd at a winter ceremony; the thrower gives each person a gift proportionate to the portion of pole or blanket he is able to hold onto; coins are also sometimes thrown'])'), h $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{k}^{w} - \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x}^{y}$ -es 'he used it, he uses s-th', h $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^{,w} - \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x}^{y}$  'put s-th on (clothes, shoes, etc.)',  $\mathbf{x}^{w}\mathcal{E} l - \mathbf{x}^{y}$  es 'he lifted it',  $\mathbf{x}^{w} - \mathbf{e} \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{x}^{y}$  'open s-th (a door for ex.)' and  $\mathbf{m}\mathcal{E} - \mathbf{x}^{y}$  'take s-th off (from something it is fastened to), unfasten s-th' and  $\mathbf{men}\mathcal{E} - \mathbf{x}^{y}$  'to separate or split up people fighting' (all compared with memé 'it came off (a button, etc.)'),  $\mathbf{t} \mathcal{E} m - \mathbf{e} \mathbf{x}^{y}$  'pass by s-th/s-o'.

The examples above include all of the examples found so far of  $\{-(\circ)los\}$  and  $\{-(\circ)x^{y}\}$  transitivizers, which are not common. Only a selection of examples obtained so far of the other transitivizers has been listed in the above lists. The tables which follow on the next two pages show all of the examples found so far with more than one transitivizer attested on the same root. "s-o/t" stands for "s-o/s-th" or in other words for 'him, her, it, them'.  $\{-(e)_X^Y\}$ a-o∕t behind after/for non {-(e)les} ۥyeles go awa leave about •Wéck<sup>•W</sup>ecmet look for/expect be afraid of q°61met believe .simet -meT } an WA.vwelstexW holding s-th sisistéx<sup>W</sup> scaring of s-0/ N.S. (-sT) uninten -0-8 understand k, Wéclex<sup>W</sup> tionall e£l€x<sup>W</sup> purposely F about urpose any osely k<sup>,w</sup>écet look at asking op et s--(e)T) Com

22

126

21



Conclusions about the meanings of these control suffixes come from several sources: comparing all the examples with each suffix and extracting the common semantic elements from them for each suffix, contrasting each example with its intransitive version (if attested) to see which semantic elements have been added, and contrasting the transitives of each root which is attested with more than one transitivizer to see how the meanings of the transitivizers contrast.

The transitivizers can be looked at semantically from several angles; each perspective has some validity and sheds some light on the subject. First there are the glosses:

- {-(e)T} /'do purposely to s-o/s-th, do intentionally to s-o or s-th'/
- {-l} /'do accidentally (to s-o/s-th), happen to do (to s-o/s-th), manage to do (to s-o/s-th)'/
- {-sT} /'cause (s-o/s-th) to do, make (s-o/s-th) do, keep (s-o/s-th) doing, make (s-o/s-th) in one's mind to be'/ '

24

{-meT} /'happen (with little control) to do a (mental or emotional) action regarding s-o/s-th (not directly affecting the object)'/

{-(e)les} /'(happen to, manage to) do an action regarding s-o/s-th'/

{-(@)x<sup>y</sup>} /'do purposely to s-th (rarely to s-o)'/
Each closs represents a sememe. Items separated by commas
or slashes are allosemes conditioned by the meanings of
root or sten (root + derivational affixes), and conditioned by the semantic context of the phrase, sentence,
speech event, and shared information between speaker and
hearer.

The choice of ['s-o'] or ['s-th'] is conditioned by the semantic environment external to the verb, in the third person. ['Furposely'] and ['intentionally'] are free variants in  $\{-(\circ)T\}$  and probably in  $\{-(\circ)x^{y}\}$ . With  $\{-1\}$  and  $\{-sT\}$  the third person object is parenthesized in the gloss because with these transitivizers there is a third person object suffix  $\{-ex^{W}\}$  (the other transitivizers have  $\{-b'\}$  or are unmarked for third person object).

With (-1) and {-(e)les} the alloseme ['manage to do'] implies intent but little control; it is not literally attested in many examples given here, but it seems to be present (along with ['accidentally'] and ['happen to']) with verbs of mental or physical accomplishment, like: k'Wéclex<sup>W</sup>, ±q'él·ex<sup>W</sup>, há·q<sup>W</sup>lex<sup>W</sup>, tél·ex<sup>W</sup>, pétlex<sup>W</sup>, ?ć·yelex<sup>W</sup>lex<sup>W</sup>, yéq'lex<sup>W</sup>, cémléx<sup>W</sup>, k<sup>W</sup>él·éx<sup>W</sup>, c'eq'<sup>W</sup>léx<sup>W</sup>, @exléx<sup>W</sup>, and x<sup>y</sup>eqléx<sup>W</sup>; hék'<sup>W</sup>eles, x<sup>W</sup>e'i·ls, and x<sup>W</sup>eli·ls, possibly also k<sup>W</sup>ú·ls and ?ć·yeles. With k<sup>W</sup>él·éx<sup>W</sup> one '(manages to) catch' a ball or an animal (for example) but '(happens to) catch' a disease.

The ['accidentally'] alloseme (always in free variation with ['happen to'] and ['unintentionally']) is also present in the list just quoted, and the choice seems to be determined by the semantic context external to the verb. On the other hand, ['accidentally'] and its free variant allosemes seem to be present, with ['managed to'], when the verbs are ones with negative connotations (for example causing pain or physical or emotional discomfort): x=lfxw, lekwlfxw, sf.silexw, q'fp'lexw, k'wfqwlexw, lfc'lexw, mflqlexw, xfyx=lexw, x=lwiftexw, ?ák'Wlexw, wec'ft'lexw, cflqlexw; mflqeles and possibly ?f.y=les.

The allosemes of {-sT} are more overtly attested in the glosses of the examples found (['cause (s-o/s-th) to do'] and ['make (s-o/s-th) do'] are free variants): ['make (s-o/s-th) in one's mind to be']: ?fystex<sup>W</sup> 'like s-o/s-th' (< ?fy '(be) good'), qflstex<sup>W</sup> 'dislike s-o or s-th' (< qfl '(be) bad'), ?fwestex<sup>W</sup> 'deny s-o/s-th',

25

'iyá·lemstəx<sup>W</sup> 'obey s-o/s-th', 'epaléstəx<sup>W</sup> 'costs ten dollars'.

['keep (s-o/s-th) doing']: sisistéx<sup>W</sup> 'scaring s-o' (probably < 'keep s-o being scared', sf.si 'be scared'), k<sup>W</sup>etéx<sup>W</sup>stex<sup>W</sup> 'keep s-o/s-th inside'. (sk<sup>W</sup>etéx<sup>W</sup>stex<sup>W</sup> 'leave s-th inside' may belong here too), ?&.yelex wstex 'keep s-o/s-th alive', sc'éc'elstex<sup>W</sup> 'carry s-th carefully, handle it with care',  $sx^{W} a \cdot x^{W} = 1 st + x^{W}$  'holding s-th up'  $(x^{w} \in 1-x^{y} \text{ 'lift s-th', s- + infixed reduplication = past})$ participle; thus  $sx^{W} a \cdot x^{W} a - lifted' + -sT + -ax^{W} \rightarrow$ '(keep s-th lifted), holding s-th up')(also notice sprefix (participial) on  $sk^{W}$  etéx stex and sc'éc'elstex<sup>W</sup>). ['make/cause (s-o/s-th) to do!]: ?&.ystex<sup>W</sup> 'chase s-o or s-th' (?é.v 'keep on going'). ?iméx<sup>v</sup>stex<sup>W</sup> 'make s-o walk', le?eméstex<sup>W</sup> 'take s-o, bring s-o', silíx<sup>W</sup>stex<sup>W</sup> 'make s-o slow (make someone slow down, make s-o go slow)'. ?á·lstex<sup>W</sup> 'put s-th/s-o aboard' (?á·l 'get aboard'),  $x^{W}$ lɛlɛ́·mstəx<sup>W</sup> 'make s-o listen, call s-o to witness', qéastex" 'make it lots, make it thick (of frosting for example)', @'q'ełyamóstex<sup>W</sup> 'make s-o kneel', méstex<sup>W</sup> 'bring s-th/s-o, fetch s-th/s-o' (me 'come'), x&yxestex" 'make s-o ashamed', leotá·léstex" 'join s-th together' (least 'patch it (by joining)', leaowel 'patch a cance'. Healies 'to button').

132

['make (s-o/s-th) do'] and/or ['keep (s-o/s-th) doin5']: q'elsté·x<sup>W</sup> 'deceive s-o, fool s-o' (< q'é·l 'believe'), possibly ?é·ystex<sup>W</sup> 'chase s-o' (\*'make them keep on going' or \*'keep them keeping on going') and ?ayémstex<sup>W</sup> 'delay s-o, slow s-o down'.

Two examples fit all these allosemes poorly: xét'estex<sup>W</sup> 'say to s-o' and xté·stex<sup>W</sup> 'do s-th' (gloss may be in error).

These {-sT} allosemes are determined by the root meanings, but the semantic generalizations that can be made for each alloseme group from the present examples seem ad hoc (roots expressing 'attitudes or values' for ['make (s-o/s-th) in one's mind to be'], 'physical states' for ['keep (s-o/s-th) doing'] and 'actions' for ['make or cause (s-o/s-th) to do']. More examples are needed for a better formulation.

The gloss for  $\{-m \circ T\}$  is quite long but can be condensed by omitting the parenthesized elements. The features 'mental/emotional action' actually apply as a semantic description of the roots found with this transitivizer. The word 'regarding' is an improvement over the glosses given earlier for both  $\{-m \circ T\}$  and  $\{-(\circ) \ l \circ s\}$ ; it seems to capture the idea of the action not directly

27

>

affecting the object, and it is compatible with all the examples.

Nore examples are needed of  $\{-(e)\)$  before semantic environments conditioning 'happen to' and 'manage to' allosenes can be surely stated. For some of the examples the control allosenes seem to be distributed as they are for  $\{-1\}$ . But for peté·m(e)les 'ask for/after/ about s-o', neither the 'happen to' nor 'manage to' element seems to be implied, and for  $\lambda$ 'f·ls 'love/like s-o' the 'happen to' seems most appropriate although the root has no negative connotation. Elicitation is continuing in hopes of adding to the eight examples found so far.

Another perspective on Upriver Halkomelem transitivizers is provided by attempts to isolate semantic components or distinctive features. The term 'control' is such a semantic feature, as is 'causative' and 'intent'. Others which seem relevant, especially for the less common transitivizers, {-meT}, {-(e)les}, and {-(e)x<sup>y</sup>}, are 'action has direct result on object', 'realization of awareness' and 'inanimate object preferred'. These features or components may be + or - or unmarked (U). 'Control' and 'causative' however are somewhat more complex. Ron Beaumont links the two in Sechelt in an interesting paper, "Causation and Control In Sechelt," given at the 12th International Conference on Salishan Languages, Aug.18-20, 1977 at Omak, Washington. He suggests that the Sechelt transitivizers, -(V)t-,  $-n \circ x^{W}-$ , and  $-st \circ x^{W}-$  (often translated respectively 'on purpose, intentionally', 'accidentally, unintentionally, happen to, manage to, with lack of control' and 'causative'), can be described in terms of 'controll<u>ing</u> subject' vs. 'controll<u>ed</u> subject' and 'strong causation' vs. 'weak causation', and 'causative' vs. 'non-causative'. Thus:

Sechelt -(V)t- controlling subject

-nex<sup>W</sup>- controlled subject + causative (on subj.)
-stex<sup>W</sup>- controlling subject + causative (on obj.)

134

This is a useful approach because it helps to clarify both the nature of the 'control' and of the 'causative'. With  $-nex^{W}$ - the controlled subject is "controlled" by an unspecified outside agency; the subject (S) is caused to act (or complete the act) by some outside agency. With  $-stex^{W}$ - the subject is controlling the object and causing the object (O) to act. Similar things can be seen in the Upriver Halkomelem cognates, -(e)T,  $-1-ex^{W}$ , and  $-sT-ex^{W}$ and in the other transitivizers too. But for Upriver Halkomelem several other features must also be specified to account for the six transitivizers. The features

29

1	3	5

which may	be r	eleva	nt ar	e sho	wn in	the	following	chart.
inanimate object preferred	Û	n	-, some U	Ŋ	n			
realiza- tion of avaro- ness	1	1+ 55	1	+	1 +	t		
action has direct result on obj.	+	+ and +	+	1	ا ج	+		
intent	+	- (manage to +)	most U some +	n	U (manage to +)	+		
ative cn C	1 <u>,</u> +	ين +	+.	1	ب ۱	ł		
<u>cannative</u> on 5 cn 0	1	+	1	+	+	t		
<u>alt, P</u>	controll- -inJ S	controll- -ed S	controll- -ing S	controll- -ed S	controll- -ed S	controll- -ing S		
control 01+./1	much	none/ little	much	little	little	тисћ	•	•
	ш(ө) <b>-</b>		n S F	Lem-	-(e)leg	-(9)x <sup>y</sup>		
				31				1

The preceding chart is not an obligatory apparatus necessary to explain Halkomelem transitivizers. It merely summarizes semantic observations which can be made from the examples I have given so far. Some have not been mentioned so far. Alternate analysis #1 of control specifies how much control the subject has. Alternate analysis #2 analyzes the subject's control as in Beaumont 1977. The causative columns analyze causation as in Beaumont 1977 but contain a few surprises. Unlike Beaumont's data, my data shows a few -(+)T verbs which may have a causative effect: k'Welt' pour it out, spill s-o/s-th on purpose' (k'"61 'spill'), q"set 'launch or push s-o/s-th into the water' (q<sup>W</sup>és 'fall into water'), xlst 'hurt s-o (on purpose)' (xsl 'to hurt'), gewst 'warm s-th/s-o up', ?ayámt 'delay s-o on purpose' (?áyem 'slow, late')(but cp. ?ayomstox 'delay s-o, slow s-o down'), k<sup>W</sup>etéx<sup>W</sup>t 'bring s-th/s-o inside' (but compare  $k^{W}$ etéx<sup>W</sup>stəx<sup>W</sup> 'keep s-o/s-th inside' and  $sk^{W}$ ətéx<sup>W</sup>stəx<sup>W</sup> 'leave s-o/s-th inside'), and especially q'á.yt 'kill s-th/s-o' (cp. q'á·y 'die'). ' A few of these operate very much like causative {-sT}. But the difference is that the -(2) examples emphasize intent ('on purpose') and emphasize that the action has a direct result on the

object and is a more active manipulation of the object. The causative -sT is usually unmarked for intent, and even when intent seems positive it is never expressed overtly in the translation.

Some -1 verbs also may show causation on the object (in addition to causation on the subject), for example: si.silex" 'scare s-o accidentally' (si.si 'be afraid, be scared')(but cp. sisistéx<sup>W</sup> 'scaring s-o'), xelléx<sup>W</sup> 'hurt s-o unintentionally' (cp. x61 and x16t), ?£.y010x<sup>W</sup>10x<sup>W</sup> 'save s-o's life, save s-o' (?&.yelex" 'be alive')(but cp. ?é·yələx<sup>W</sup>stəx<sup>W</sup> 'keep s-o/s-th alive'), xəłwiłləx<sup>W</sup> 'disappoint s-o' (xelwil 'be disappointed'), g'dp'lex" 'infect s-o, pass on a sickness to s-o, get s-o addicted (to an activity, food, drug, etc.)' (cp. q'ép' 'get addicted'). Fortunately here too there are minimal sets with -sI causative; these sets emphasize the lack of intent ('accidentally, unintentionally') with {-1} and the 'keep s-o/s-th doing' alloseme with {-sT}. With  $\{-n \in \mathbb{T}\}$  and  $\{-(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{T}\}$  no causative elements on the object can be seen. With  $\{-(\vartheta)x^{\overline{J}}\}$  the same is probably true (no causative can be seen), though  $\lambda$ 'pi·lx<sup>y</sup> 'bring s-th down (from upper shelf, upstairs, etc.)' (cp. \*'pi'l 'go down, descend') is a possibility.

An interesting aspect of the 'intent' feature is that {-meT} and {-(e)les} are unmarked in regard to 'intent' and {-sT} is usually unmarked. This means that the action could be done on purpose or accidentally, intentionally or unintentionally. No statement on intent is made or implied. However, positive intent must be attributed to those verbs with allosemes ['managed to ...'].

Under the feature 'action has direct result on object' notice that  $\{-meT\}$  and  $\{-(e)les\}$  are both negative in this feature (both involve actions 'regarding' the object). But also notice that  $\{-l\}$  is either positive (its action has a direct (though unintended) result on the object) or involves 'realization of awareness'. This latter feature may instead be the semantic environment provided by the root to produce an action with no direct result on the object. But it is also interesting to note that practically no  $\{-(e)T\}$ ,  $\{-sT\}$ , or  $\{-(e)x^Y\}$  verbs found so far are verbs of realization of awareness (only three such have been found:  $?éystex^W$  'like s-th/s-o', qélstex<sup>W</sup> 'dislike s-th/s-o', and témex<sup>Y</sup> 'desire s-th, wish for s-th').

Most of the transitivizers are unmarked in preference of animate or inanimate objects. But  $\{-(e)x^y\}$  pre-

33

fers inanimate objects, and {-sT} prefers animate objects. As Tom Hukari notes in his excellent paper on the Cowichan dialect of Halkomelem, "Transitivity in Halkomelem," given at the 11th International Conference on Salishan Languages, Aug.12-14, 1976, at Seattle, Washington, the Cowichan transitivizer -( $\Rightarrow$ ) & (cognate to Upriver {-( $\Rightarrow$ )x<sup>y</sup>}) may be viewed as a suppletive allomorph of Cowichan -t (cognate to Upriver  $\{-(\vartheta)T\}$ ). So may the Upriver  $\{-(\vartheta)x^{y}\}$ . But, as with Cowichan, speakers of Upriver Halkomelem are reluctant to use  $\{-(\vartheta)x^y\}$  with first and second person pronoun object inflections. When necessary they do form words like yelf  $\cdot w - x^y - ax^y - s$  'he passed by me', but they are said hesitantly.  $\{-(3)x^{\mathcal{T}}\}$  may well be in the process of developing as a separate morpheme by the addition of ['inamimate object'] as one of its required semantic components (in contrast to unmarked  $\{-(a)T\}$ ). The one minimal pair (with 16p'-oT somewhat hesitantly produced) seems to confirm this.

There is no space here to treat the syntax of transitivity in Upriver Halkomelem, as Hukari 1976 did for Cowichan. But with a few corrections<sup>1</sup> this syntactic subject is covered in my Ph.D. dissertation ("A Grammar of Chilliwack Halkomelem," University of California at Berkeley, 1977). As noted in the dissertation, there are some intransitive words which cannot take object affixes but which must take nominal phrase objects (prepositional verbs for example). A few words like lám 'go, going, go to, going to', mí ~ ?emí 'come (to), coming (to)', and sk'f' 'want, want s-th' (inflected as a nominal) also frequently have NP objects but cannot have personal pronoun object affixes. These words are all intransitives from the Halkomelem viewpoint.

2. Intransitivizers. Since unadorned verb roots and verbs without transitivizers are all intransitive, intransitivizers are not needed with many verbs.  $\{-(*)\Theta + \}$ 'get, become, go' and  $\{-i\cdot1 - -ei\}$  'go, come, get' act somewhat like intransitivizers but are probably best considered as lexical suffixes which do not change the intransitivity of the root; they add a clear lexical meaning and are not needed to intransitivize the root. In fact some examples have been seen of transitivizers added after  $\{-i\cdot1 - -ei\}$ , as in  $q^*ex^y - i\cdot1 - t 'go/come/be$ a partner with s-o, accompany s-o',  $\lambda^*p - i\cdot1 - x^y$  'bring s-th down', me0'- $i\cdot1 - t$  'make it blue; dye it, color it (any color)' (cp. me6'- $i\cdot1$  'go blue, get blue' and c'-mé6' 'blue, be blue').

36

۶

This leaves the intransitivizers  $\{-\infty\}$  and  $\{-\varepsilon\cdot\}$ .  $\{-\infty\}$  'intransitive' (and  $\{-\infty\}$  'middle voice' as well) appears to have several allomorphs, namely -m (after vowels), - $\delta$ m (after 1 or y which follows a high-stressed vowel, i.e.  $\hat{V}(1,y)$ ), - $\delta$ m (after 1 or y which follows a mid-stressed vowel,  $\hat{V}(1,y)$ ), - $\delta$ ·m (after a few morphenes which are either vocalically a weak or zero grade or which become so before the stressed suffix), and - $\hat{a}\cdot$ m (after a few morphemes with root vowel  $\hat{a}\cdot$  which metathesizes to the -om suffix).

Some examples of the {-em} intransitives include: q;<sup>w</sup>élém ~ q;<sup>w</sup>él'ém 'barbecue, roast, put in oven' (q;<sup>w</sup>él 'cooked; ripe'), łoqlí·sem 'to button' (lúł słeqlí·s 'it is buttoned'), síq;<sup>w</sup>em 'peel (codar bark)', 0'ém ~ c'ém 'chew' (0'ét ~ c'ét 'chew s-th'), k;<sup>w</sup>x<sup>y</sup>é'm 'count' (cp. k;<sup>w</sup>x<sup>y</sup>ét 'count s-th/s-o', k;<sup>w</sup>éx<sup>y</sup>et 'counting s-o/s-th', k;<sup>w</sup>x<sup>y</sup>é·ls 'count'), qá·m 'pack water, fetch water, (also 'dip water' in some dialects)' (qá· 'water'), łí·m 'pick (fruit, leaves), picking', t'f·lem ~ t'flém 'sing', x<sup>w</sup>i(y)x<sup>w</sup>iyém 'tell stories, tell children's stories', táx<sup>w</sup>esem 'pull a canoe (by rope)(usually through rough water)', c0'éylem 'spearing silver spring salmon (sq<sup>w</sup>éxem) in clear water after waiting for them', 0fyém 'bake (bread, etc.), fix (food)' (Gíy 'fix, make', Gíy-t 'make s-th, fix s-th', Gíy-Oet 'fix oneself up'), k'<sup>W</sup>fk'<sup>W</sup>ec'em 'butchering' (k'<sup>W</sup>ic'-et 'butcher s-th'), k<sup>W</sup>61'em 'get, fetch' (cp. k<sup>W</sup>elft 'hold s-th', k<sup>W</sup>61'6x<sup>W</sup> 'catch s-th', k<sup>W</sup>ú·t 'take s-th/s-o'), ?ć·x<sup>W</sup>em 'give, giving' (aspect unclear)(cp. ?áx<sup>W</sup>-es-t 'give s-th'), O'éyé·n 'marry a sibling of one's deceased spouse' (O'éyé 'sibling of deceased spouse'), cémem 'pack (on one's back)' (cém-et 'pack s-th/s-o on one's back')(the imperative form is interesting, cémem-le 'pack some!, pack a bit! (on your back)'), k'<sup>W</sup>íyè·m 'stingy of food, refuse to give (food)' (k'<sup>W</sup>íystes 'he refuses s-o something'), hé·k'<sup>W</sup>elem 'remember' (cp. hé·k'<sup>W</sup>eles 'remember s-o/s-th', may shed some light on the origin of {-(e)les}), x<sup>W</sup>áyóm 'to sell' (cp. x<sup>W</sup>á·ym-et 'sell s-th', s-x<sup>W</sup>iym-é·le 'a store').

All the examples have subjects which are agents and implied objects which are patients not equivalent to the agent subject. The agent is usually animate. The fact that the agent and patient are different from each other proves that these are neither middle voice nor reflexive. Other groups of examples could be cited which are successively harder to distinguish from the middle voice. An attempt is made in section 6.1.6 of my

38

37

dissertation to sort these out for Upriver Halkomelem. The passive voice can be defined as a verb with an object pronoun being acted upon by an unspecified subject pronoun: the middle voice can be defined as a verb with a subject proncun acting on or for itself without an object pronoun: the active voice in Halkomelem is perhaps best defined as non-passive and non-middle. The middle voice is similar semantically to reflexives and also to many intransitives which have a patient (semantically) as subject. However, middles (with {-om}) are found most clearly and characteristically with verbs having somatic lexical suffixes or applying to actions done to one's own body. Thus x<sup>y</sup>á·k'<sup>W</sup>en 'take a bath, bathe (oneself)' (vs. x<sup>V</sup>á·k<sup>1</sup>/-eF 'babhe s-o'), ?í·0'em 'to dress (oneself)', lé·xem ~ k<sup>w</sup>é·lem 'serve oneself (food, drink)'. E'ex<sup>W</sup>-á·s-en 'wash one's face', x<sup>W</sup>ám-x<sup>V</sup>el-em 'run' (<  $x^{M}$  in 'hurry, be fast' +  $-x^{Y}$  el '(on the) foot/feet' + -on 'middle voice, (one's, etc.)'), qWeyy-6lec-om 'shake cne's hins', ?exá.yeildm ~ ?exá'yeilén 'shave oneself (on the jaw)' (< ?ix 'scratch, scrape' + -a.y0al 'on chin or jaw' + -1.1 'come, go, get' + -om), etc. are all middle voice. Reflexives will be considered later. The suffix -é·ls - -els is also an intransitivizer.

- $\pounds$ ·ls occurs in non-continuative forms, and -els occurs in continuative forms, consistently. Since continuatives are inflected forms and the non-continuatives are the base forms, it follows that - $\pounds$ ·ls is the base form of this intransitive suffix; then, since most of the examples found with this transitivizer form their continuatives by ablaut and stress-shifting, it is natural to expect -els as the unstressed version of - $\pounds$ ·ls. The stressshifting even takes place in the continuatives formed by reduplication however because a morphophonemic rule operates to de-stress, downgrade to schwa, and drop root yowels before stressed suffixes like - $\pounds$ ·ls.

144

Here are some examples of  $\{-\ell \cdot ls\}$ :  $\pm iq^W \ell \cdot ls$  'boil' ( $\pm i \cdot tq^{W_{em}}$  'is boiling, (being boiled)'),  $yeq^W \ell \cdot ls$  'burn at a ritual, perform a burning' and  $h\ell yeq^W els$  '(performing a) burning at a ritual for the dead' ( $y\ell q^W$  'burn',  $h\ell yeq^W$  'burning', this root and a number of others beginning in y or 1 have  $h\ell$ - prefixed for continuative; another group beginning in m or w have  $h\ell$ - prefixed for continuative aspect),  $\theta i yq^W \ell \cdot ls$  'dig' and  $\theta \ell yq^W els$  'digging' ( $\theta j q^W t$  'dig s-th up'),  $x^W eq^W \ell \cdot ls$  'drag (for ex. drag the river for a body)' ( $x^W eq^W \ell t$  'drag s-o/s-th', some speakers have  $k^{W}$  instead of  $q^W$ ),  $\pm i k^W \ell \cdot ls$  'hook (fish

39

for ex.)' (li'.k'" 'hooked, gaffed', lik'" at 'hook it, caff it'), k<sup>W</sup>x<sup>W</sup>éls ~ k<sup>W</sup>x<sup>W</sup>è'ls (é probably misrecorded for  $\ell$ .) 'knock (once), rap' and  $k^{W} \acute{a} k^{W} \mathrel{e} x^{W} \mathrel{e} ls$  'knocking, rapping' (k<sup>W</sup>ák<sup>W</sup>ex<sup>W</sup>em 'rapping, knocking (in distance)', k<sup>W</sup>ák<sup>W</sup>ex<sup>W</sup>ecesem 'knocking with one's hand', k<sup>W</sup>áx<sup>W</sup>et 'beat or rap on it (drum, wood, etc.)'), 0q'&·ls 'to spear' and Of.q'els 'spearing (fish for example)' (Oq'et 'spear s-th/s-o', 6ég'tes 'he's spearing s-th'), 'elgé'ls 'buy' (?ilágat 'buy s-th'), xat'k' é ls 'carve in wood' and xét'k' "els 'carving, whittling', lc'é ls 'cut (for ex. wood with a saw)' (lic'et 'cut s-th/s-o, cut s-th off', Esc'ces 'cut on the finger/hand'), c'ek<sup>W</sup>xEls 'fry' and c'ék'<sup>W</sup>xəls 'frying' (c'ék<sup>W</sup>xt 'fry s-th', c'ék<sup>W</sup>xt 'frying s-th'), xt'é ls 'cast or throw a spell' (xt'ét 'cast or throw a spell on s-o'), yeq'é ls 'to file (abbrasively)' (yég'et 'file s-th'), g'etxé ls 'to rattle (cans, etc.), to shivaree or wake newlyweds' (q'étxem '(make) a scraping or rattling sound (dishes, metal pots, food off dishes, wagon on gravel, etc.)', q'étytes 'he's rattling s-th (dishes, etc.)'), gétzels 'feeling around' (gétzt 'feel s-th/s-o (with one's hands, etc.)'), &'émo, Wels 'making a crunching/crackling noise (ice breaking, eating apples, etc.)', xéyxeq'els 'scratching (without breaking surface)'

(xéyq'et 'scratch s-th (w/o breaking its surface)'), yéyeq'els 'falling (of trees)' (yéyeq'et 'falling s-th (a tree)', léleq'els 'laying down, putting down (bricks. foundation, probably anything)' (161eq'et 'putting s-th down!, léloq' 'laying down (on the ground for ex.)'). łźłewels '(an Indian doctor) working, curing' (łć.wet 'cure s-o by Indian doctoring', 16.w 'cured by Indian doctoring (by a shaman, Indian doctor)'), t'éqels 'farting' (t'sq 'to fart'), c'stx "els '(mice) chewing (a wall, a box, etc.--esp. the sound)', t'61eq'Wels 'scratching to get in' (t'52eq' tes 'he scratched on s-th'). t'elt'61eq'Wels 'scratching repeatedly to get it' (t'elt'éleq' tes 'it has scratched s-th up'), há q els 'smelling, sniffing (of dog, other animals)' (há  $q^{W} \Rightarrow m$ 'smell, give off smell', há q wet 'smell s-th on purpose', há q<sup>W</sup>lex<sup>W</sup> 'happen to smell s-th, catch scent of s-th'), sé yt 'els 'tickling' (sé yt 'em 'being tickled', sé yt 't 'tickling s-o', siyt't 'tickle s-o').

The semantics of  $\{-\epsilon\cdot ls\}$  is interesting. All the examples show: the subject is a semantic agent, doing the action on purpose (except where the agent is inanimate), and the semantic focus is upon the activity not upon its results. The 'on purpose' element is most

41

interesting because in the few examples where there is an -om intransitive with the same verb root, the -om intransitive has the implication 'not on purpose, happen to, accidentally'. The 'not on purpose, (etc.)' element however only seems to turn up in verbs which also have contrasting -é.ls forms; in the other examples cited above of -em intransitivizer the action seems to be done almost always 'on purpose'. At any rate, {-é·ls} would seem in part to be the intransitive equivalent of purposeful control transitivizer {-(>)T}! The other strong semantic element in  $\{-\varepsilon \cdot ls\}$  is 'focus upon the activity not upon its results'. And it is here that most  $\{-\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{ls}\}$  verbs are contrasted with  $\{-(\circ)T\}$  counterparts;  $\{-\varepsilon \cdot ls\}-\{-(\circ)T\}$ pairs are fairly compon: notice that  $\{-\epsilon \cdot ls\}$  has the feature 'focus upon the activity and not the results' while  $\{-(e)T\}$  (and  $\{-sT\}$  and  $\{-(e)x^{y}\}$  as well) have the feature 'action has direct result on object' (treated above on pp.31 and 34).

## 3. Benefactive, reflaxive, and reciprocal.

{-(0)lc}'benefactive, for s-o' (precedes control suffixes)

- {-l-á·met} '(happen to/accidentally/manage to) do to oneself' (replaces -l control and object pronouns)
- {-(@)@@t 'reflexive, oneself, itself' (replaces control suffixes and object pronouns)
- (-tel) 'reciprocal, one another, each other' (replaces control suffixes and object pronouns)

148

Benefactive {-(\*) ±c} follows the stem (i.e. follows all the lexical suffixes) and precedes the control suffix  $\{-(\vartheta)T\}$ ; it might also, in the shape of  $-(\vartheta)$ , precede the control suffix {-sT}, but this is poorly attested and doubtful. The schwa is dropped from  $\{-(\theta) \pm c\}$  after vowel-final stems; otherwise it is present (-==tc); it is stressed (high stress) after  $\Theta$  'make, fix'. {-( $\Theta$ ) $\pm c$ } can also be used as a malefactive but with somewhat humorous force as in the English constructions. Examples: Oiy-61c-et 'make it for s-o', Ofy-elc-et 'making it for s-o', qa.-lc-ee-axy-es te qa. 'he brought me the water' (cp. q4 -m 'fetch water, pack water'), cel ?il6q-elc-et 'I bought it for him', ?iléq-əłc-tá·lx<sup>W</sup>-łe 'buy it for us!',  $k^{W} \mathcal{E} \cdot 1 - x^{Y} - \vartheta \mathbf{1} \mathbf{c} - \theta - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{x}^{Y} - \vartheta \mathbf{s} \theta \mathbf{u} \mathbf{\lambda}$ 'à 'she hid it for me', k<sup>W</sup>É·l-x<sup>y</sup>-elc-t-em θúk'à 'it was hidden for her', k<sup>W</sup>É·l-x<sup>y</sup>-elc-O-amé-cel-ce 'I'll hide it for you' (last three examples apparently have  $\{-(\vartheta)x^{y}\}$  transitive control suffix for the direct object and  $\{-(0)\mathbb{T}\}$  transitive control suffix for the benefactive object!),  $c^{+}e^{W_{x}}-e^{+}c^{-}e^{-Ax^{y}}-ce^{W}$  '(you) fry it for me(!)', q, Wem-ews-elc-G-áx J-cex '(you) pluck it for me(!)',  $q^W$ éls-elc-t-es  $\Theta$ e siélí te swéqe $\Theta$ -s 'the woman boiled it for her husband', pix W-elc-t-le 'brush it for s-o!',

44

Hic'-etc-G-and-cel-ce te sméyee 'I'll cut off the meat for you', cel yéq<sup>W</sup>-et(c)-t 'I burned it for s-o', yeq<sup>W</sup>-et(c)-G-áx<sup>Y</sup>-cex<sup>W</sup> '(you) burn it for me(!)' (cp. yeq<sup>W</sup>-etcep-G-áx<sup>Y</sup>-te 'make a fire for me', -etcep 'firewood'), p'ówiy-etc-G-áx<sup>Y</sup>-cex<sup>W</sup> te(1) s(e)qfws 'Fatch my pants for me!', petfm-etc-G-áx<sup>Y</sup>-cex<sup>W</sup> we?ds-ut x<sup>W</sup>e?f. te-1 s-?à.m 'Ask for me if my order is in!', mt.te-tc-G-áx<sup>Y</sup>-cex<sup>W</sup> '(you) bait it for me(!)', k<sup>W</sup>ú-tc-G-áx<sup>Y</sup>-es 'he took it for me', celáq-etc-t 'divide it in half with s-o (for s-o)', qá.qe-tc-feáx<sup>Y</sup>-es te-1 tf '(s)he drank my tea on me', cex<sup>W</sup> lek<sup>W</sup>á-tc-G-áx<sup>Y</sup> te-1 syble 'you broke my leg for me', ?i-f-st-ex<sup>W</sup>-cex<sup>W</sup> (?)à te sq<sup>W</sup>emé·y 'Just leave it here for the dog!', h'es ?f-f-st-ex<sup>W</sup>-es te sq<sup>W</sup>emé·y 'That's what he left here for the dog' (cp. ?f-st-ex<sup>W</sup> 'leave s-th here'). Notice that with benefactives the direct object is

clways inanimate, and the object suffixes apply only to the benefactive object.

 $\{-1-4\cdot$ nət $\}$  and  $\{-(e)\Theta + \}$  are the two reflexivizing suffixes in Upriver Halkomelem. Both replace control suffixes and their following object pronoun suffixes.  $\{-1-4\cdot$ nət $\}$  is less common of the two and seems to contain the -l control suffix, 'do accidentally, happen to do, manage to do'. It also has allomorphs  $-la(\cdot)m + t$  (when high stress precedes in the word), -16mét (after ±isté(1)), and -lá(·)met (elsewhere). The allomorph -là·mèt is homophonous with the -1 control + 2nd person sg. passive + subordinate - at (for subordinate passives), but the syntactic environments of the two rule out equating the two. Both  $\{-l \texttt{a} \cdot \texttt{met}\}$  and  $\{-(\texttt{e}) \texttt{Get}\}$  can be followed by normal subject pronoun suffixes. Examples of {-lá·mət} include: c'isemlà·mèt 'grow up, raise oneself' (c'i·sem 'grow'), xéyxelà·mèt 'shame oneself, be embarassed' (xéyxe 'be ashamed'), qWá·làmèt 'make it through the winter', 'itetlámet 'fall asleep' ('itet '(to) sleep'), ±xsylex<sup>y</sup>lámet 'stand up by oneself' (±xéyléx<sup>y</sup> 'stand'), k'<sup>W</sup>emlá·met 'raise oneself, pull through (illness, crisis, or childhood)' (k'"émet 'raise s-o'), tel.á.met ~ tatel.á.met 'understand' (cp. tél·ex" 'understand s-th, learn s-th. find s-th out', and esp. ta.l-Oot 'wonder, think about s-th'), si silàmòt 'scare oneself, do something dangerous and get even more scared than expected', &'ewlandt 'escape, get oneself free (like from a trap)' (x'iw 'run away, escape'), wec'é làmbt 'bring oneself to a summit (of mountain for ex.), masturbate' (wec'é 'get to the top or summit', s-c'ec'é 'be on top of'), xWe?ilàmèt 'manage to get here' (xWe?i. 'get here, arrive').

45

±il·àmòt 'get to the shore (from water), land', ±istólémót
'feel sorry for oneself'.

There are more examples of  $\{-(2)\in t\}$  reflexive: lá seat 'get fat, make oneself fat' (lá s 'be fat'), q'á·yeet 'kill oneself' (q'á·y 'die'), q'"íyxeet 'shake oneself, shake itself (for ex. of the earth in earthquake), bob about (for ex. of canoe in water)', 'iyá qeet 'change oneself' and 'iyeqGet 'get out of the way, dodge' ('iyé.q-T 'change s-o/s-th'), gelgeli.leet 'go get oneself dirty' (qol 'bad, dirty'), xolc'oet 'turn (oneself) over/around' (x-Slc' 'twist, turn over, turn around'), x<sup>y</sup>á·lmeest 'take care of cneself' (xVá·imeT 'look after s-o, take care of s-o'), xehá·meeet 'cry for oneself' (xehé·met 'cry for s-th', xè.m 'weep, cry'), lileat 'bail (water), bail (oneself out)' (li lt 'bail s-th'), q'á leet 'come back' (q'élstex" 'bring s-th back,sq'eq'á. 'be together with'), xáyleet 'mark oneself' (xéyl-t 'write s-th, mark it'), x"feet 'wake (oneself) up' (x"i-x"-et 'wake s-o up'), lec'éGet 'fill oneself' (lec'ét 'fill s-th'),  $x^W \mathcal{E}\Theta \diamond t$  'make oneself famished, starve oneself' ( $x^W \mathcal{E}$ 'starved'), Giyeet 'fix oneself up', q'epéGet 'gather (themselves)' (q'pét 'gather it'), Gex<sup>W</sup>á·Get 'disappear on purpose' (Géx<sup>W</sup> 'disappear'), léx<sup>W</sup>eGet 'cover oneself

up' (láx<sup>W</sup>ət 'cover s-o/s-th'), k'<sup>W</sup>ək'<sup>W</sup>fyəƏət 'training oneself (to be a shaman, spirit dancer, canoe-puller (canoe racer), etc.)' (k'<sup>W</sup>ək'<sup>W</sup>fy 'climbing up'), k'<sup>W</sup>q<sup>W</sup>əmə́Əət 'drop oneself into a seat angrily, throw oneself on the floor or ground in a tantrum, throw a tantrum' (k'<sup>W</sup>áq<sup>W</sup>ət 'club s-o/s-th'), q'əq'á·Gət and q'á·Gət 'mix, associate or go with someone' (sq'əq'á· 'be together with'), 'át'əƏət 'to stretch (oneself) cut' ('át'ət 'stretch s-th'), qexáƏət 'to slide (purposely slide oneself as in skating, sledding, playing)' (qéyxəm 'to slip, skid'), xéyxəGət 'shame oneself' (cp. esp. xéyxəlà·mət above).

It is tempting to propose that the  $-(\circ)\Theta$  in  $\{-(\circ)\Theta \circ t\}$ represents the purposive control suffix  $\{-(\circ)T\}$  and that the final -ot in  $\{-(\circ)\Theta \circ t\}$  might be equated with that in  $\{-1-\acute{a}\cdot m \circ t\}$ (allomorph - $\acute{a}\cdot m \circ t$ ) as the reflexivizer. Most of the examples support this semantically and phonologically (especially those with stressed transitivizers and stressed  $\{-(\circ)\Theta \circ t\}$ ). The large number of roots with both  $\{-(\circ)T\}$  and  $\{-(\circ)\Theta \circ t\}$  attested also clearly point in this direction. Only one proviso needs to be added:  $\{-(\circ)\Theta \circ t\}$  also seems at times to have implications of 'manage to' and 'causative', so it may be the reflexive

47

for other control transitivizers as well ( $\{-sT\}$  and  $\{-m \circ T\}$ for example). Since  $\{-1-a\cdot m \circ t\}$  is clearly used for  $\{-1\}$ verbs,  $\{-(\circ)\Theta \circ t\}$  may have expanded its use to cover more than just  $\{-(\circ)T\}$  verbs.

A number of examples, not cited above, seem to either have a homophonous suffix  $\{-(\circ)6\circ t\}$  '(verbalizer), get, become' or to have extended the semantic content of reflexive  $\{-(\circ)6\circ t\}$  to include these meanings in the environment of inanimate subjects. This might take the form of a morphosememic rule (Galloway 1977) such as //'reflexive'//  $\rightarrow$  /'get, become!/ in the environment /'inanimate'/. Also in support of a single -( $\circ$ )6 $\circ t$  suffix is the fact that in both the clearly reflexive examples and those with 'get, become' the suffix seems to be accompanied by  $\varepsilon \rightarrow$  a ablaut; it seems unlikely that this ablaut would be found co-incidentally in two homophonous suffixes.

The reciprocal suffix, {-tel}, is an easier matter. When not overtly translated with 'each other, one another', words containing this suffix still can be seen to have this reciprocal meaning present. {-tel} 'reciprocal' may have allomorphs -i.tel and -ta.l ~ -ta.l (the latter set seens used in contests, perhaps meaning 'against each other'), but there are not enough examples yet to predict their occurrence. Examples are not too numerous, but the suffix seems productive and seems usable with nominals as well as verbs. Examples: qWélqWeltel ~ qW611qWeltel '(a lot of people) talking together' (q<sup>W</sup>è·l 'talk' (~ q<sup>W</sup>el in derivations) + reduplication for plural subject), q'aq'átal 'to meet' (sq'eq'á' 'be together with'), Ge6k''i tel 'tug-of-war' (06k, " 'pull', 'continuative' reduplication, possibly GaGk' - iy-tal with -iy 'wood, bark' if the tugged iten was cedar withe rope, poles, etc.), qeqemátel 'having the same parents' (gemá · 'suckle' + 'continuative' reduplication, -tel here 'with each other'), qelá.qtel 'be siblings (to each other), be cousins (to each other)' (s-qé·q 'younger sibling, cousin of junior line', -el-'plural' infix as in sqelé q 'younger siblings, cousins of junior line'), sor'etel 'elder sister (or sibling)' and sé k'stel 'elder sisters (or siblings)' (sélk'a 'oldest (of children)'), k<sup>W</sup>əltá·l 'wrestle', sk<sup>W</sup>ək<sup>W</sup>átəl 'to separate in marriage, divorce', k'ik'ex "tà'l 'beating one another (in contest), competing' (\*\*\* beat s-o in a contest, win it'), q'eyq'xátel 'contradicting each other' (q'éyq'xèt 'contradicting s-o'), ?iyá·təl

49

'fight', ?á·ytel 'fighting', yéyetel 'make friends', yáyetel 'making friends' (cp. siyéye (or better, s-yéye) 'friend'), le st'elá·stel 'they were sitting side by side (beside each other)' (t'éles-T 'sit beside s-o'), ?é·x<sup>W</sup>i·tel '(they're) sharing (food esp.)' (?é·x<sup>W</sup>estes 'he's giving gifts to s-o'), memfyeltel 'helping one another' (memfy-el 'helping s-o', -el meaning unclear), yéyeslátel 'wild ginger (asarum caudatum)(lit. 'facing one another', referring to the paired, facing leaves).

Since this is the only reciprocal affix attested, it is not surprising that no one control element is clearly found in all the examples. More examples are needed to see if patterns can be found. As of now, implications of most of the control elements seem to be present in various of the examples cited.

tim choller témat hoeen at s.o' timstay "report the holler" O'é O'i y 3 Ku realey wanied' anot 51 wonid alout

àrica wal iboned' àrica la la tired of àrica la mat itired of

## Footnote

1. Some corrections which should be made in Galloway 1977 include: p.139 line 6 'he, him' delete "him", p.139 line 7 'she, her' delete "her", p.139 line 11 'they (male), them (male)' delete "them (male)", p.139 line 12 'they (female), them (female)' delete "them (female)", p.139 line 14 'they, them' and line 16 'they, them' delete "them".

p.140 line 1 change "also" to "only", p.140 line 2 change "object" to "subject of an active verb or object of a passive verb", p.140 delete line 7 "To make it clear that a", p. 140 delete lines 8-14 inclusive, replace lines 8-14 with: "Since the 3rd person pronouns of set three can only be subjects in active voice, sentences like téslex Wes Gút'à te swiyege. 'She bumped the man.' and téslex Wes te swiyeqe Gút'à. 'She bumped the man.' mean the same thing. The second sentence violates the VERB SUBJECT OBJECT order but is clear because Gut 'à can only be a subject.", p. 140 line 17 change to "k' aq otes to sweqee eux'a 'She hit her husband (with a stick-like object).'", p. 140 line 18 change "VERB SUBJECT CBJECT" to "VERB OBJECT SUBJECT", p. 140 line 19 change 'female' 'him' '(male)' 'she' 'husband' 'his' 'her' p. 140 delete lines 20-24 inclusive and replace with: "To give the sex of a 3rd person object the passive is used with normal passive word order: VERB-3rd person passive OBJECT SUBJECT, as in toslem Guk'à te swiyeqe. 'The man bumped her., She was bumped by the man.' There may also be some consequent corrections to the chapter on syntax.

TH

NB

webrootser i and

loss of first