# BELLA COOLA PHONOLOGY

# H.F. Nater

Box 618, Bella Coola, B.C., VOT 1CO

## Contents

- 0 Inventory, phonetic data
- 1 Classification and status of  $\mathbb{R}^{y}$ , c, <sup>?</sup>, h
- 2 Phonemic status and distribution of  $K^{\bullet}$
- 3 Vocalic sonants
- 4 Doubling, lengthening, telescoping
- 5 Juncture features
- 6 Phonemic status of glottalic C's
- 7 Distribution of glottal stop
- 8 Morphophonemic changes
- 9 Phonotactic restrictions
- 10 Stress

# Symbols used

T<sup>f</sup> fricative C T/Ř T<sup>p</sup> plosive K velar/uvular V a/R<sup>y</sup> R sonant /.../ morphophonemic notation R vocalic R [...] phonetic transcription Ř consonantal R R<sup>n</sup> nasal/liquid 4 word border R<sup>y</sup> glide connects full words and clitics **a** telescoping T obstruent

#### Chart of phonemes

	obstruents			sonants				
	occlus.		fric	nas./liq.		glides		vowel
	plain	glott.	TTC.	cons.	voc.	cons.	voc.	to develop the second se
labial	р	¢ p		m	ņ	W	u	
dental	t	, t						
alveolar	c	* C	8	n	ņ			a
lateral		<b>भ</b> ेर	Ŧ	1	]			
palato-velar	k	, k	x	• •		У	i	
rounded velar	k°	, k	x°					
uvular	q	• q	ž					
rounded uvular	q°	°,	<b>x°</b>					
laryngal	4	<b>7</b> %	h					

0.0 The Bella Coola sound system comprises 34 phonemes: 23 obstruents (T), 10 sonants (R), and one vowel (a). The obstruents are subdivided into 16 occlusives ( $T^p$ ) and 7 fricatives ( $T^f$ ); the sonants fall into 6 nasals + liquids ( $R^n$ ) and 4 glides ( $R^y$ ). The occlusives oppose glottalic (T) members to plain ones, except in the laryngal series, whereas a plain lateral occlusive is lacking. Both  $R^n$  and  $R^y$  oppose consonantal ( $\breve{R}$ ) to vocalic ( $\underline{R}$ ) members.

0.1 Glottalic occlusives are pronounced with an accompanying closure of the glottis, thus giving an impression of a combination of plain plosive + glottal stop. They are in opposition to their plain counterparts: skma 'comb' vs. skma 'moose', plt 'warm' vs. plt 'thick', tas 'wren' vs. tas 'to poke somebody', cl 'to shade off' vs. cl 'to break, bust'. 0.2 The lateral glottalic affricate  $\dot{\star}$  sounds like a quick combination of 't' and 'l', as in 'greatly'. It is opposed to the sequences t + 1, t +  $\dot{\pm}$ ,  $\dot{t}$  + 1, and  $\dot{t}$  +  $\dot{\pm}$ :  $\dot{\star}k^{\circ}$  'to pick up a small object' vs. tlk° 'to swallow' and t $\dot{\pm}_k^{\circ}$  'he was strong',  $\dot{\star}i$  'fast' vs. tli 'dog salmon' and 'a-t $\dot{\pm}i$ -c 'I painted it'.

The lateral fricative  $\pm$  unites the fricative, voiceless character of s with the lateral nature of 1 and  $\star$ ; it is also found in other languages, such as Welsh, where it is rendered as 'll' (<u>Llewellyn</u>).

0.3 Palato-velars equal [k'] and [x'] in 'cube' and German 'ich'.

0.4 Unrounded uvulars are pronounced considerably further back than the palato-velars and are in direct opposition to the latter, cf. sqma 'chest' vs. skma 'moose', qis 'stink currant' vs. kis- 'without, lacking', sx 'to scrape' vs. sx 'bad'. As to their place of articulation, they correspond with Arabic q and 'ch' in Scottish 'Loch'.

0.5 Rounded velars and uvulars are formed with simultaneous rounding of the lips, which is released shortly after the K° involved, except before u (see 2.1). The rounded velars are articulated further back than the palato-velars, which makes it harder to distinguish them from rounded uvulars, but there can be no doubt concerning their being opposed to each other: k°s 'rough' vs. q°s-m 'to sweat', k°p 'straight' vs. q°p 'empty', x°l 'to pull' vs.  $\check{x}$ °l- 'short'. K° contrasts with unrounded K: k° $\star$ is 'he put it down' vs. k $\star$ iis 'it is short', q°p 'empty' vs. qp 'blunt', s $\check{x}$ °i $\pm$ 'conceited' vs.  $\check{x}$ i $\pm$  'often'.

0.6 Plain occlusives have aspirated allophones before C and  $\neq$ ; velar and uvular plain occlusives assume in that position an affricative character.

-2-

while before  $T^{f}$  aspiration is arbitrary: [ $^{2} \varepsilon t^{h}$ ] 'herring eggs',  $[q^{\tilde{x}}] \varepsilon$ 'water',  $[p(^{h}) t^{h}]$  'thick',  $[t(^{h}) \times \tilde{\varepsilon} t^{s}]$  'I'll cut it' - 'at, qla, pt, txic.

One observes close transition in ts =  $[t^s]$  (see 1.2.1), while t= may sound either  $[t^h]$ ,  $[t^{\star}]$ , or  $[\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ ; kx, k°x°, qx̆, q°x̆° are always  $[k^{\times} : ]$ ,  $[k^{\times \circ} : ]$ ,  $[q^{\times}]$ ,  $[q^{\times} : ]$  (cf. 4.2.0).

Before V's and R's, plain occlusives are unaspirated and voiceless, intervocalically (-sonantally) they can have voiced allophones: [pəlst<sup>h</sup>] 'fish scale', [k'ılk'ıl] 'herring', [qenx] 'shoe' - plst, klkl, qinx; [k'ědæ] 'little finger', ['əmdəm] 'sea urchins' - kita, 'mtm.

Intervocalically also fricatives can occasionally have a voiced timbre: [sõy'æ] 'hand' - suxa.

k° and q° are always slightly affricative: [k<sup>x°</sup>ænæt<sup>h</sup>] 'to cry, weep',
[k<sup>x°</sup>təmt<sup>s</sup>] 'husband', [q<sup>x°</sup>als] 'fir needle', [q<sup>x°</sup>t<sup>h</sup>] 'crooked' k°anat, k°tmc, q°als, q°t.

0.7 The vowel a, the vocalic glides i and u, and the automatic schwa preceding  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see 3.1) have a wide range of pronunciation, depending on the context in which they occur:

i varies from [1] before uvulars, [1'], [ɛ'] between uvulars, [e'] before R<sup>C</sup><sub>f</sub>, [i] in palato-velar surrounding to [ĕ] in other positions: ['1x'] 'far' - 'ix', [piq] 'wide, flat' - piq; [qɛ'q<sup>x</sup>te'] 'little' qiqtii, [nǧsxi'q] 'Nooseseck Bay' - nusxiq; [pæpe'nk'ĕ] 'little snake' papinki, [se'm] 'rope made of cedar limbs' - sim; ['æsik<sup>x</sup>'] 'middle finger' - 'asik, [plik'ım] 'to capsize' - plikm; [qĕs] 'stink currant' - qis, [pĕt<sup>S</sup>] 'pale, whitish' - pic. a is pronounced  $[\alpha]$  in inter-uvular position,  $[\Lambda]$  before rounded velars,  $[a^{\cdot}]$  before  $\mathbb{R}_{\neq}^{C}$ , [x] in other positions:  $[q^{\check{X}}\circ a\check{x}\circ]$  'raven' -  $q^{\circ}a\check{x}\circ$ ,  $[qaq^{\check{X}}tx \pm p^{h}]$  'ninebark' - qaqta  $\pm p$ ;  $[k^{\check{X}} \cdot lax\circ]$  'muskrat' - klax°,  $[tak^{\check{X}}\circ s]$ 'willow grouse' - tak°s;  $[ma^{\cdot}n]$  'father' - man,  $[ya^{\cdot}w]$  'hi!' - yaw;  $[x^{\cdot}nxs]$  'woman, wife' - xnas,  $[^{\circ}xq^{\check{X}}s]$  'halibut hook' -  $^{\circ}aqs$ .

u sounds [ɔ] in inter-uvular position, [oʻ], [ɔ'] before R<sup>C</sup><sub>/</sub>, [ŭ] in rounded velar surrounding, [ŏ̃] in other sequences: [q°ɔx̃] 'to call' q°ux, [q<sup>x</sup>°ɔx̃] 'to cover' - q°ux̃; [?oʻlx̃], [?ɔʻlx̃] 'to steal' - ?ulx̃, [tɔʻms] 'breast' - tums; [k°ŭk<sup>x°</sup>pĕ̃] 'grandfather' - k°uk°pi, [mŭk<sup>x°</sup>] 'red' - muk°; [sŏlŏt<sup>h</sup>] 'sea, inlet' - sulut, [mŏq°±æ] 'louse' - muq°±a.

÷.

In the numerals, the vowel under the accent is always slightly dragged: [sma'w] 'one', [lno's] 'two', [?æsmo's] 'three', [mo's] 'four', [t<sup>'s</sup>e'x°] 'five' - smaw, lnus, 'asmus, mus, cix°.

0.8 A striking characteristic of the Bella Coola language is that in almost any given context T can occupy the same position as R and V (exceptions are enumerated in 9.0). Many words consist solely of obstruents: 'čxl 'true', lx°t 'to go through a hole', stp 'mole, freckle', px°lt 'bunchberry', tk° 'to bleed', kl 'to fall', etc.

T-clusters of extreme length can be found at word borders: ?aalacamkcu<u>ts k°c skris ta\_fmsta\_tr</u> 'then he told them that he had seen the man'; ta\_s?a<u>str°ic srs</u>lrais wa\_?aiwlaic 'when I was inside, the rain started to pour heavily'.

1.1 The vocalic glides i and u are classed as sonants (and not as vowels), because they are largely in complementary distribution with y and w: they are related to  $\tilde{R}^{y}$  as  $R^{n}$  is to  $\tilde{R}^{n}$  (see 3.2.0, 5.2).

-4-

Also, in view of the phonetic structure of Bella Coola (see 0.8), one cannot make an absolute distinction between 'vowels' on the one hand and 'consonants' on the other.

1.2.0 The alveolar affricate c is granted the status of a single phoneme, because it has a glottalic counterpart. Moreover, it occurs as frequently in the lexicon as any other phoneme. The pair c/c takes part in the alternation  $VT/V^{*}T$  (see 6.1), a feature that supports the monophonemic interpretation of c.

1.2.1 Phonetically, c and t + s are not opposed. In all events, this is the case, when, in the latter sequence, t and s are separated by a morpheme boundary. They are, however, distinguished in my morphophonemic orthography: I write /c/, if  $[t^S]$  can morphologically not be split up in t + s:  $[n \delta s \delta ] \epsilon' x' t^S t a]$  'lunchbox' must be interpreted as /nus-suliixc-ta/ (for '-' see 4.2.1): sulixc 'provisions for a trip', nus-...-ta 'container, storing room'.

On the other hand we have  $[n\check{\varphi}s?\check{\varphi}patsdiftstar]$  'larder, refrigerator' = /nus-?ipacuut-sta/, cf. 'ipacut 'to store food away', nus-...-sta allomorph of nus-...-ta. Here,  $[t^s]$  is a result of suffixation; wherever morphological evidence shows that c = /ts/, I write - in the morphophonemic notation - /ts/.

When, however, t and s belong to one and the same morpheme, this combination is distinct from /c/: it then sounds  $[t^hs]$ , with aspiration of the t (cf. 0.6). In my notes only one example of this type was found, viz. nutastsik 'musketgun', which contains tas 'to poke' and nu-...-iik 'half, middle'. For the reduplication-type compare k°palpli diminutive form of k°pal 'liver'.

-5-

1.3 <sup>°</sup> and h occur only pre-vocalically and pre-sonantally (cf. 7.0).
They are classed as obstruents (not as sonants) for two reasons:
(a) they have no vocalic counterpart (a can in this respect not be taken into consideration, because h does not alternate with a, and <u>ha</u> is an admissible sequence, while <u>wu</u> is not and <u>yi</u> only rarely (see 5.5));
(b) h is much rarer than <sup>°</sup>, while for R : <sup>°</sup>R the opposite holds.

In fact, h is rather defective - it is found in only a few words: 'yanahu (diminutive 'yanahwii) 'turnips', wahu 'a name', ha'u 'caterpillar', hact 'hey!', hawhaw 'a mythical bird with a long beak', hawyat 'a geographical name', huuq'at 'lullaby'.

2.1 Before u, only rounded velars and uvulars are found and are therefore, in that position, not distinguished from their unrounded counterparts. In the context K<sup>o</sup>u labialization is not released as before other phonemes or a word border.

2.2 If a morpheme-boundary can be established, I write, in the morphonological transcription,  $/K^{\circ}-u/$  or  $/K^{\circ}-u/$ , depending on whether rounding is inherent or caused by the affixed element. Thus: muk<sup>o</sup>usm 'to paint one's (-m) face (-us) red (muk<sup>o</sup>)' - /muk<sup>o</sup>-us-m/, but:  $\pm q^{\circ}$ us 'to slap ( $\pm q$ ) someone in the face (-us)' -  $\pm q^{\circ}$ us.

In the case of acquired rounding K-clusters are affected as a whole - see 8.3.2.

2.3 It would be a misconception to think of  $K^{\circ}$  as a sequence K + w, since

(a) labialized K's are as frequent in the lexicon as the unrounded ones;

(b) in my notes two items occur, where K + w is distinct from K°, viz.
 waxwaxcya 'great-grandchildren'. and xwa (= xa) 'plural article.

-6-

relative case';

- (c) K° never alternates with K(°)u as does Cw/Cu in general (e.g.: <sup>°</sup>apswic 'I'll blow it' vs. <sup>°</sup>apsutx 'blow it!', qaw 'to store away' vs. <sup>°</sup>aq<sup>°</sup>u (with inverted q) 'to emprison');
- (d) in one type of reduplication, where TR → ?RTR, it is not the alleged w that is reduplicated (as in e.g. ?upwii diminutive of pwi 'halibut'), but the next-following R: nu?nq nayalakt 'hair under the arm' (cf. q na 'pubic hair', nu-...-alakt 'armpit'), in the same way as ?nknci diminutive of knc 'whale'.

3.1 Sonants are, with regard to their occurrence in consonantal, c.q. vocalic position, distinguished in nasals + liquids  $(R^n)$  on the one hand and glides  $(R^y)$  on the other (cf. 3.2.2.1, 3.2.4).

The pronunciation of i and u is explained in 0.7. For the different nature of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{y}}$  see also 4.3.0.

R<sup>n</sup> is articulated with a preceding murmur vowel, with the quality of (and henceforth labelled as) a schwa, the phonetic actualization of which is determined by the flanking C's. The allophonic variants of this schwa can be schematized as follows:

	-11	-n	-1	
palato-velar	ĭ/ <sup>y</sup> ə (a)	ĭ/ <sup>ÿ</sup> ə (b)	ĭ/ <sup>y</sup> ə (c)	
ĸ°	ŭ (d)	ə (e)	ə (f)	
dental/alv.	۲۹ (۳) چ	ĕ/ə (h)	ă/ə (i)	
other C's	ə (k)	ə (l)	ə/Ŗ (m)	

(a) [p<sup>h</sup>k'im], [p<sup>h</sup>k'əm] 'mosquito' - pkm, [x'im] 'to break' - xm;

(b) [p<sup>h</sup>lt<sup>h</sup>k'in], [plt<sup>h</sup>k<sup>y</sup>en] 'bark of bitter cherry tree' - pltkn,

-7-

- (c) [k'ilte'], [k<sup>y</sup>əlte'] 'Cascade Inlet' kltiil, [sk'il], [sk'əl] 'cold' - skl:
- (d) [k<sup>x</sup>°ŭmł] 'firewood' k°mł, [t<sup>h</sup>k°ŭm] 'to dig cloverroots' tk°m, [q°x°ŭmtěmot<sup>h</sup>] 'automobile' - q°x°mtimut;
- (e) [k<sup>x</sup> ont<sup>s</sup>ælæ] 'type of canoe' k<sup>o</sup>ncala, [<sup>?</sup>ælk<sup>o</sup>onta'm] 'God' <sup>?</sup>alk<sup>o</sup>ntam, [<sup>?</sup>ělq<sup>o</sup>onltěmot<sup>h</sup>] 'to laugh' <sup>?</sup>ilq<sup>o</sup>nltimut;
- (g) [těmk<sup>x</sup>°æ], [təmk<sup>x</sup>°æ] 'prepared salmon eggs' tmk°a, [sěmt<sup>h</sup>], [səmt<sup>h</sup>] 'mountain' - smt;
- (h) [těnž°], [tənž°] 'head' tnž°, [<sup>?</sup>ænŏt<sup>S</sup>næm], [<sup>?</sup>ænŏt<sup>S</sup>nəm] 'to remember, commemorate' <sup>?</sup>anucm;
- (i) [tělk<sup>x</sup>°], [telk<sup>x</sup>°] 'to swallow' tlk°, [t<sup>s</sup>ěls], [t<sup>s</sup>els] 'to be mistaken' cls;
- (k) [q°open] 'smoking' q°upm, [Åemstæ] 'person' Åmsta, ['ixqem] 'to walk' - 'ixqm;
- (1) [nopepenth] 'to boil' nupapent, [thqenk<sup>x</sup>] 'under' tqnk, [ments]
  'yellow, blond, hazel' mnc;
- (m) [pəlst<sup>h</sup>] 'scale of fish' plst, [nokəl], [nokəl] 'Kimsquit' nukl, [lel], [lel] 'to clean' - lel, [wəl] 'to spill' - wl.

A special variant of schwa is demonstrated in 3.2.1.1 and 3.2.5. A svarabhakti-vowel is occasionally heard between n-, 1- and  $-\tilde{x}$ ,  $-\tilde{x}^\circ$ :

['o'lx], ['o'l<sup>3</sup>x] 'to steal' - 'ulx, [sənx], [sən<sup>3</sup>x] 'sun' - snx, [těnx°], [těn $\tilde{x}$ °] 'head' - tnx°.

-8-

3.2.0 Both  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{y}$  are in complementary distribution with their consonantal counterparts in many cases: vocality of  $\mathbb{R}$  is predictable (within one morpheme) in the sequences  $\operatorname{TR}_{\neq}^{T}(1)$ ,  $\operatorname{TR}_{\neq\neq}^{T}(\operatorname{TR}_{\neq\neq}^{y}\mathbb{R}_{\neq}^{nT})$  (2),  $a\mathbb{R}_{\neq\neq}^{T}(3)$ ,  $\neq\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^{T}(\not{} \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}}^{y}\mathbb{R}^{n}T)$  (4),  $\neq\mathbb{R}_{1}^{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}_{2}^{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}_{2}^{\mathbb{R}}$  (5).

Geminate R's are pronounced 'long' (see 4.2) and are vocalic after C: [?æ?əm'æ] 'hooded merganser' - ?a?mma, [mənmən'tæ] 'ladder' - mnmnnta; consonantal after V: [yal'æk<sup>X</sup>'] - yallak.

In  $\mathcal{A}_{R_1R_2}^{R_2}$ ,  $R_1$  is always consonantal: [mena] 'offspring' - mna. 3.2.1.0  $\operatorname{TR}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T}$ :  $\frac{1}{2}$ m 'to stand up', 'apsu 'to blow'; slq' 'to find', six 'blood'.

3.2.1.1 In the combination  $T_1 R_1^n T_1 R_1^n$  (a reduplicative formation) the first  $R^n$  is mostly reduced to a schwa, which differs sometimes, due to contact with an adjoining obstruent, phonetically from the schwa discussed in 3.1: [təltəlk<sup>X</sup>°], [tžtəlk<sup>X</sup>°] 'slippery', [X°ənX°nəm], [X°5X°næm] 'hummingbird', [t(<sup>h</sup>)x´ĭnx´ně], [t(<sup>h</sup>)x´ĭx´ně] 'owl', [x´ĭx´na`se`], [x´ĭnx´na`se`] 'girl'.

This phenomenon is rendered as  $(R^n)$ :  $t(1)tlk^{\circ}$ ,  $\check{x}^{\circ}(n)\check{x}^{\circ}nm$ , tx(n)xni, x(n)xnaasii (see also 3.2.5).

3.2.2.0  $\stackrel{\text{Tx}\text{R}}{\neq}$  mļ 'to erase', wļ 'to spill', mu 'fishtrap'; mņc 'blond, hazel, yellow', wņc 'to kill', nup 'dress', wisž° 'yes indeed', kikyu 'to chew'; scmlx 'to scatter', smiks 'mussel', stwix 'Stuie'.

3.2.2.1  $\operatorname{TR}^{y} \check{\mathbb{R}}^{nT}_{+}$  ?ilk 'Indian rice', tin 'clitoris', tums 'breast', sum 'pants' (cf. 3.2.4).

In some instances, where the sequence  $\mathbb{R}^{y}\mathbb{R}^{n}$  is a result of suffixation, free variation was observed between  $[o, \mathbb{R}^{n}]$  and  $[\check{o}wa\mathbb{R}^{n}]$  (cf. 5.3):

[loʻlx'], [löwəlx'] 'bark is getting (-lx) loose (lu-)', ['æploʻnk<sup>x</sup>'], ['æplöwənk<sup>x</sup>'] 'elevated ('aplu-) roots (-nk)'.

Doublets like these apparently point to an adaptation  $\operatorname{Tu}\check{R}^{n}T \rightarrow \operatorname{Tw}\check{R}^{n}T$ in the making and reflect the preference in Bella Coola for rising diphthongs. They are, in my orthography, given in both forms: lulx/lulx and °aplunk/°aplunk <sup>1</sup>).

3.2.3 aRR<sup>T</sup>: ?aycaym 'boa kelp', sxanm 'worn out', sxali 'jealous'; mawlxs 'twenty', ?akanul 'gooseberry', s?ayul 'thunderbird'.

3.2.4 /RRRT: nmlxal 'feet are getting numb', mlic 'I'll erase it', wlic 'I'll spill it' (cf. 3.3, (a)).

When  $R_2$  is a glide and  $R_3$  a nasal/liquid, we have  $\neq \tilde{R}\tilde{R}\tilde{R}T$ : miltx 'erase it!', lumc 'I take the bark off the cedar', wintules 'we're in war with them', yumc 'I'm shy' (cf. 3.2.2.1).

3.2.5  $f_{1}^{R} R_{2}^{R} R_{1}^{R} R_{2}$ : nmnmak 'both hands', mmmnc 'children', lulusta 'mask', wlwlkacut 'to limp', yuyux' 'bracelet'. When  $R_{2}$  is a  $R^{n}$ , the first  $R_{2}$ is frequently reduced to schwa (cf. 3.2.1.1): [nemnemk'], [něnemk] 'animal', [menment<sup>S</sup>], [měment<sup>S</sup>] 'children', [welwelk'æt<sup>S</sup>ot<sup>h</sup>], [wöwelk'æt<sup>S</sup>ot<sup>h</sup>] 'to limp' - n(m)nmk, m(n)mnc, w(l)wlkacut.

3.3 In the sequence CRV the difference K/R is neutralized: presence, c.q. absence of schwa stands in correlation with the C and R involved. The following pattern is observed:

	-mV	-nV	-1V	wV	-yV
R	+	+	+	+	+
<sup>т</sup> 1	± ·	±	<u>±</u>	±	
T <sub>2</sub>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	Ξ.

T<sub>1</sub> stands for p, p, t, t, c, \*, k, k°, q, q° ('short' and glottalic stops);
T<sub>2</sub> = c, s, ±, k, x, k°, x°, q, x, q°, x° (fricatives and affricates - k, k°, q, and q° assume an affricative character before a C or word border).
From the table above the following rules can be read:

- (a) schwa appears obligatorily in the sequence *fRV*: m<sup>o</sup>na 'child',
   m<sup>o</sup>lic 'I'll erase it', miank [mĭyank<sup>x</sup>, ] 'wide canoe' (cf. 5.3),
   w<sup>o</sup>lic 'I'll spill it', nuakila [nǧwæk'ĕlæ] 'a name' <sup>1</sup>);
- (b) schwa is inserted frequently in the combination TyV: tp(<sup>1</sup>)yaaxam
  'to go across', t(<sup>1</sup>)yaaxik 'flattish roof', c(<sup>1</sup>)yakm 'to reach for something', c(<sup>1</sup>)yaax° 'flicker (bird)', s(<sup>1</sup>)yupalx° 'point of land', x(<sup>1</sup>)yuk 'to talk, discuss', k°uł(<sup>1</sup>)ya 'clean', kik(<sup>1</sup>)yu 'to chew', nux(<sup>1</sup>)yals 'it's bright inside the house', nuq°(<sup>1</sup>)yuc 'to open a door', <sup>2</sup>i<sup>2</sup>(<sup>1</sup>)yum 'to howl';
- (c) in the sequence  $T_1 RV$  ( $R \neq y$ ) a schwa is occasionally heard:  $p(^{\partial})$ laak 'one-armed',  $p(^{\partial})$ naažta 'dancer's apron',  $^{2}ip(^{\check{u}})$ wic 'I'll hide it',  $p(^{\check{u}})$ wi 'halibut',  $st(^{\check{u}})$ wix 'Stuie',  $t(^{\partial})$ miiž° 'tree-stump drifting in the water',  $t(^{\partial})$ li 'dog salmon',  $t(^{\check{u}})$ waaž 'spot at Kimsquit',  $c(^{\partial})$ la 'basket',  $c(^{\check{u}})$ wiiž° 'grey hair',  $k(^{\partial})$ matk 'to stay overnight',  $k(^{\partial})$ lat 'steelhead',  $^{2}i\check{x}q(^{\partial})$ maw 'they are walking',  $q(^{\partial})$ laž 'fence',  $k^{\circ}(^{\partial})$ la 'belly',  $q^{\circ}(^{\partial})$ na 'pubic hair';
- (d) in T<sub>2</sub>RV (R ≠ y) schwa is never (or hardly ever) articulated:
  cna 'stinging nettle', c(l)cluksi 'young people', cwaakilak° 'a name',
  smiks 'mussel', slaž 'much', swakx 'a mountain at Kimsquit', ±nus
  'two', ±laažla 'to clean and dry berries', ±waas- 'two', kma 'to
  hurt, be sick', knum 'smoked salmon', qmqmii 'soft', qla 'water',
  k°muuc 'horn used in sisawk dance', k°naažsta 'box for storing food',

x nal 'spring of water', q li 'green, yellow', xmic 'I'll break it', xnas 'woman', xli 'penis', xma 'to bite', xlamanta 'animal's den.' In the above-mentioned items K/R will be written as consonantal R, except in (a), if R = R<sup>y</sup>: miank, nuakila - since y/w and i/u are distinguished throughout (see 3.5).

3.4.0 In the following contexts the consonantal/vocalic character of R cannot always be predicted: TRRV (1), clusters of more than two sonants, occurring word-medially or -finally (2).

3.4.1 TRRV: 'iknuas 'fog' <sup>1</sup>) vs. k'lwas 'brother-in-law', ±ula 'ringfinger' vs. 'a±wla± 'rain', tmyalx 'in the prime of life' vs. q'lials 'green wall' <sup>1</sup>), 'a±clnayx±± 'a mountain at Tallio' vs. ±lmaw 'they give a feast'.

Often the choice between RR and RR is morphologically determined: if there is a morpheme-boundary between R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>, we usually see RR: nicmnix 'to revive someone' (nic- 'alive', -nix 'to cause to be'), tmyalx 'in the prime of life' (tm- 'just', ya 'good', -lx 'inchoative'), xmnalus 'to break (xm) something into pieces (-nalus)', while RR prevails, when both R's belong to one morpheme: 'alwlat 'rain' (wl 'to spill', -at 'rainfall'), 'atknmaakc 'my (-c) hands (-aak) are trembling ('atknm)', xtmmalx 'to have (xt-) a child (mna)', q'lials 'green wall' (q'li 'green', -als 'inner surface, wall').

But to this apparent rule there are exceptions: nukmnall 'to choke' (kma/kmn- 'to get stuck', -all 'throat'), 'atmnaak 'to have a paralyzed hand' ('atma/'atmn- 'dead', -aak 'hand'), skmnulmxta 'rake' (skma/skmn-'comb', -ulmx 'earth, soil', -ta 'implement'). Note, that these irregular items derive from stems subject to a change  $a \rightarrow n$  (see 8.2).

-12-

3.4.2 Clusters of more than two sonants: *k*iliwa 'fast worker' vs. talyua 'is it Tallio?' <sup>1</sup>), smnmnmuuc 'mute' (sm- 'entirely, all the way', nmnm-'lacking', -uuc 'mouth, speech') vs. smnmncaw '(the fact) that (s-) they are (-aw) children (mnmnc)'.

3.5 It should now be clear that  $\check{R}$  and  $\check{R}$  are not in every position mutually exclusive and must be considered phonemically distinct: I will therefore not treat <u>all</u> possible cases of (un-)predictability of  $\check{R}/\check{R}$  in detail.

In my orthography,  $\check{R}^y$  and  $\check{R}^y$  are distinguished throughout <sup>1</sup>), whereas vocality of  $\check{R}^n$  will be indicated only where unpredictable, e.g. I write smt 'mountain',  $\check{k}^o$ lwas 'brother-in-law', tmyalx 'in the prime of life', but nicmnix 'to revive someone', ximpalx 'to have a child', skmnulmxta 'rake'.

4.1 Doubled, i.e. juxtaposed identical occlusives never contract, but are released separately, as in e.g. 'ix'ak'k'a 'to go shopping', ti\_k'tmcc 'my husband', 'axxanulp 'gooseberry plant', 'aq'q'alxyayx 'hair gets entangled in something'.

4.2.0 In clusters of homophonous  $T^{p} + T^{f}$ ,  $T^{f} + T^{f}$ , and R + R, the first member is not released separately, but closely connected with the following phoneme, resulting in a long affricate, fricative, or sonant (for t + s see 1.2.1): kxa 'to strike a match', k°x°ayx 'it fits', qxyu 'no good, damned', kxcs 'he saw me'; ci\_xnass 'his wife' vs. ci\_xnas 'a woman', -all 'throat' vs. -al 'foot', 'assik 'backbone' vs. 'asik 'middle finger', sissi 'uncle' vs. sisi 'a nickname', 'axxa 'exclamation of disgust: yack!', 'axx°ut 'to cough'; t(1)tllk° 'pill' vs. t(1)tlk° 'slippery', m(n)mnnta 'ladder' vs. m(n)mnta 'road', 'uyyu 'a name', s(m)smmti diminutive form of smt 'mountain'.

The automatic schwa before doubled sonants sounds more open and longer than the one occurring before a single sonant: [tětæ'lk<sup>x</sup>°], [mæmæ'ntæ], [səsæ'mtě].

4.2.1 Telescoping of  $T_{1}^{f}T_{1}^{f}$  and  $R_{1}R_{1}$ : should affixation result in a geminate R- or  $T^{f}$ -cluster, then this sequence is usually reduced to single R, resp.  $T^{f}$ : nullXsm 'to clean (l1) one's (-m) nose (nu-...-lXs), kanusxm 'bad (sx) taste (kanus-...-m)', nus'imx 'preoccupied with (nus-...-mx) sex ('im 'to copulate')', 'anusuuXi 'having lost one's younger sibling' ('anus- 'not having', suuXi 'younger sibling').

Telescoping is in the morphophonemic notation marked as  $\hat{}:$ /nu-ll^lixs-m/, /kanus^sx-m/, /nus-?im^mx/, /?anus^suuxi/ (cf. 5.4).

4.2.2 In quite a few cases, however - as a rule when personal affixation is applied -  $T_{1}^{f}T_{1}^{f}$  and  $R_{1}R_{1}$  are retained: 'ax'sannu 'you are (-nu) an expert ('ax'san)', ci\_xnass 'his (-s) wife (xnas)', kannmax' 'to hurt (kan-) each other (-nmax')'.

Note, that in 'illix's 'to go around a point' (V''il 'to go in an arch', -lix's 'protruding') (a) the two l's do not telescope, while (b) the second l is vocalic: the sequence  $R_1R_1T = [R'T]$  is in itself possible, cf. t(1)tllk' 'pill'. This example constitutes a hapax.

4.3.0 At this point we must again make a distinction between  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^y$ , as the latter - when 'long' - are marked not only by their longer duration in relation to the short V's, but also by a higher degree of openness:  $aa = [a^{\circ}]$ ,  $ii = [e^{\circ}]$ ,  $uu = [o^{\circ}]$ . Compare, in this respect, the special variant of schwa discussed in 4.2.0. Furthermore, the doubled V's are remarkably stable in comparison with the single V's in that they show little allophony.

The opposition V/V' is phonologically relevant, as shown by the following examples: pux 'to poke' vs. puux 'mouldy', tax' 'plural demonstrative' vs. taax' 'to lick', qax 'rabbit' vs. qaax 'salmonberry', cix 'new, fresh' vs. ciix 'to dig', 'ix' 'far' vs. 'iix' 'to burn', yulakm 'to stir soapberries' vs. yulaakm 'to rub one's hands'.

4.3.1 Another feature that sets V' rather apart from  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  is that, before T or  $\neq$ , V' may alternatively be pronounced as  $\breve{V} + ?$ , but because V'RV and V'RV must be sharply distinguished (see 6.2.1), we are compelled to regard V' as doubled V, an analysis which is also descriptively more convenient: thus, long V is put on a par with  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ .

The necessity of this analysis can further be substantiated by an item like ['ĕste'yælös] 'to want to drink tea', which could not be written \*'isti'alus, since this would read ['ĕstĕ'ælös], cf. [sĕ'æq'ŭm] 'name of a month' = si'aq'm. \*'isti'yalus would phonetically be represented as ['ĕstĕyælös] (see 6.2.1). I write 'istiialus <sup>1</sup>) ('is- 'to consume', tii 'tea', -alus 'desiderative').

4.3.2 Before  $R_{\neq}^{C}$  the opposition V/V' is neutralized. Thus one may hear [mæm] or [ma'n] 'father', though the latter pronunciation is favoured. To be precise, V is articulated more open (and slightly longer) in this position.

When - on the phonetic level - a syllabic break or  $\neq$  comes after RC, the V is preferably, but not compulsorily, pronounced short: [ya'n-t<sup>S</sup>ank] 'house made of driftwood' vs. [yent<sup>S</sup>] 'driftwood', [pæpe'n-k'ě] diminutive of [pæpěnk<sup>x</sup> ] 'snake'.

Further proof of this neutralization is provided by the example [ta\_mnmet<sup>S</sup>] 'my son' vs. [tæma nt<sup>S</sup>] 'my father'. We write: yancan1, yanc; papinki, papink; ta\_mnac, ta\_manc<sup>2</sup>).

4.3.3 In rapid speech V's exhibit a strong tendency to merge, when separated by a glottal stop, thus yielding a long V, according to a shift  $V_1^2 V_2 \rightarrow V_2^2$ : [?æla't<sup>S</sup>] 'here' - ?ala\_?ac<sup>2</sup>), [na'so'stæ] 'front door' nu?asuusta, [?æne'ima'nk'e'm] 'someone stood in front of him' - ?anu?iimankim, [ne'pe'] 'narrow' - nu?ipii.

4.3.4 In summary, phonemically long V's are (a) idiosyncratic (see 4.3.0);
(b) caused by certain morphological processes: x(n)xnaasii 'girl' from xnas 'woman', where we observe (regular) V-lengthening before T<sup>f</sup> (cf. k(1)klaax°ii diminutive form of klax° 'muskrat'); (c) optional or ideo-lectic: mask- or maask- 'how much?'; (d) in certain suffixes in complementary distribution with short V, depending on the root with which they are combined: piq°uuł 'flat (piq) rock (-uuł)' vs. sk°x°uł 'black (skx) rock (-uuł)'; (e) a result of deglottalization (see 6.1, 6.2.1, (d)).

5.0 When, due to grammatical processes, two V's come into contact, one observes the following modifications: telescoping (1);  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  (2);  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1 \mathbb{K}_1$ ,  $a \rightarrow ay$  (3).

5.1 Telescoping:  $i + i \rightarrow i$ ,  $u + u \rightarrow u/w$ :

hi 'fast' + nu-...-ik 'mind, brains' -> nukik 'smart', qlu 'to stick one's finger into something' + -ulaq's 'eye' + -m 'medium' -> qlulaq'sm 'to ... into one's eye', qawe 'to store (qaw) food (-uc)'. 5.2  $\mathbb{R} \neq \mathbb{\ddot{R}}$ :  $\underline{i + a \rightarrow ya}$ ,  $\underline{i + u \rightarrow yu}$ ;  $\underline{u + a \rightarrow wa}$ ,  $\underline{u + i \rightarrow vi}$ ;  $\underline{a + i \rightarrow ay}$ : ti 'steady' + -a\overline 'foot'  $\rightarrow$  tya\overline 's feet are firmly on the ground', q°i 'to open' + nu-...-uc 'door'  $\rightarrow$  nuq°yuc 'to open a door'; cu 'grey' + -aq°san\overline 'color of clothes'  $\rightarrow$  cwaq°san\overline 'grey clothes', cu 'grey' + -ii\overline 'head, hair'  $\rightarrow$  cwii\overline 'grey hair'; nu-kma 'to hurt inside' + -ikl\vee sak 'fingertip'  $\rightarrow$  nukmaykl\vee sak 'fingertip hurts'. Cf. 3.3.

5.3  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_1 \mathbb{\check{R}}_1$ :  $\underline{i + a \to ia}$ ,  $\underline{i + u \to iu}$ ;  $\underline{u + a \to ua}$ ,  $\underline{u + i \to ui}$  (phonetically -iyz-, -iyğ-; -ğwz-, -ğwğ-: after an  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_1$  is shortened <sup>1</sup>) and connected by a homorganic glide with  $\mathbb{V}_2$ : cf. 3.3);  $\underline{a \to ay}$ :

mi 'wide' + nu-...-als 'room' → numials 'big room',
q°li 'green' + -us 'face' → q°lius 'pale, sick-looking';
'alinu 'you are there' + -a 'question-marker' → 'alinua 'are you there?',
kikyu 'to chew' + -ic 'I ... it' → kikyuic 'I'll chew it';
kma 'to ache' + -alus 'chest' → kmayalus 'to have a cold',
tutwa 'to beg' + -uc 'food' → tutwayucm 'to beg for food'.

5.4 The junctural y in -aya- must, in order to keep this combination apart from -aa-, be indicated; it will in the morphophonemic notation be written above the morpheme-separating signal (if any): /kma¥alus/.

For the benefit of uniformity, also in -ayi- and -ayi- (see 5.5), this y, though automatic, will be written:  $/tutwa^{\underline{y}}uc-m/$ .

Telescoping (cf. 4.2.1) is symbolized as  $\hat{-}: /qlu^u_aq^s = m/, /qaw^uc/, /nu-\lambdai^i_k/.$ 

5.5 The sequence yi was found only in final position in some diminutive formations: walaasyayi from walasya 'lynx', kaakpayi from kapay 'humpback salmon', qpaapayi from qpa 'egg', 'aaccayi from 'acaya 'fox'. 6.0 C cannot be regarded as a sequence C + ?, because (a) T is as frequent in the lexicon as plain T; (b) T can be reduplicated as a whole (?a\*\*anuip 'gooseberry plant', from ?a\*anui 'gooseberry'), while  $T_1T_2T_1T_2$ reduplications are unknown in Bella Coola; (c)  $\dot{R} = ? + R$  in most cases (see 6.2.1); (d) \* would have to be seen as a hypothetical \*\* + \*.

6.1 In some stems and suffixes VT alternates with V'T (cf. 6.2.1, (d)): tix-tiiq-ta 'yarn, thread' vs. tiq 'to sew, mend', six-siiq° 'airplane' vs. s(i)q° 'to fly', ±uuk-/±uk- 'repulsive', nus-x°uuk-sta 'bath-tub' vs. x°uk 'to bathe', -aaq°s/-aq°s 'eye', -liic/-lic 'skin, bark'.

6.2 Phonetically glottalic sonants appear in two surroundings:  $R_{R}^{V}$  (1) and  $\tilde{R}V$  (2).

6.2.1  $R_{R}^{V}$ : closure of the glottis precedes R and is released synchronically with it. Four types of occurrence can be distinguished:

- (a) glottalization is optional in e.g. <sup>?</sup>alapic/<sup>?</sup>alapic 'I know it',
   <sup>?</sup>amatalaaxt/<sup>?</sup>amatalaaxt 'parent';
- (b) glottalization is obligatory in e.g. 'alnayx 'to accompany', lac 'sea cucumber', yanimut 'to observe chastity' (vs. yanimut 'to brag');
- (c)  $R_{R}^{V}$  is in complementary distribution with  $R_{A}^{C}$ , cf. 'asmllx's 'uptilted nose' ('as'm 'erect', -llx's 'nose');
- (d) VR is in free variation with V'R: puyaas/puuyaas 'Indian tea', plkiwa/ plkiiwa 'kind of box', łalay/laalay 'a name', łana/łaana 'she' (cf. 6.1).
  The variation VR/V'R is not always permitted, cf. cucuulit 'to make a dental click', caamas 'Victoria', qaali 'a name', taala 'money', where
  V'R cannot be replaced freely by VR.

From (c) it follows that RV should be conceived as 'RV. Where this (pre-) glottalization is arbitrary, I write ' in parentheses: 'al(')napic, 'a(')matalaaxt. The doublets in (d) are uniformly spelled with 'R: pu'yaas, plki'wa, la'lay, la'na - one only has to remember the rule that V' can alternatively be pronounced V'.

The phenomenon discussed in (d) can be linked with the ones described in 4.3.1 and 6.1 and suggests a shift  $VC \rightarrow V^*C \rightarrow V^*C$  (or the other way around!), that is still in progress.

6.2.2 The monophonemic status of R seems to be attested in only a very few items, where R is found in pre-R position and cannot be equalled with "+ R, viz. silapa [sellæpæ] 'a geographical name', cilik [t<sup>s</sup>ellik<sup>X</sup>.] 'coyote', saywalus 'a name', Xawli 'big mussel', 'uyyu 'a name', nanni diminutive form of nan 'grizzly bear'.

In each of these items glottalization is optional. Writing e.g. \*s?llapa would imply a phonetic representation [s?əl'æpæ], cf. ?a?mma [?æ?əm'æ] 'hooded merganser'.

These R's differ phonetically from the ones discussed in 6.2.1 in that the glottal closure sets in <u>simultaneously with</u> the first R and is released <u>immediately after</u> it. This peculiarity leads us to interpret  $\stackrel{?}{RR}$  as a sequence R'R, here R(')R: sl(')lapa, cl(')lik, say(')walus,  $\check{x}aw(')li$ , 'uy(')yu, nan(')ni.

7.0 ? appears before R and V only (beside the free variation- cases mentioned in 4.3.1) and is, in the contexts  $\neq$ ?V and  $\neq$ ?R, automatically present (in structural terms: in Bella Coola only C's can occur wordinitially), but is written in all instances, because most roots preserve the glottal stop when provided with a prefix, cf. ?al?atma 'dead' from 'atma 'to die', s'mtsta 'chair' from 'mt 'to sit'.

Furthermore, ? can, as other obstruents, be inverted: nusi?mx plural form of nus?imx 'preoccupied with sex', cf. ?uqx 'to call, invite' vs. q°ux 'to call', ?iknuas 'fog' vs. s-kinwas 'cloud'.

7.1 Some roots drop the ?: sulixe 'provisions for a trip', cf. ?ulix "to choose', -c petrified zero-shape of -uc 'food'; saka 'canoe' vs. ?aka 'to build a canoe'; sacista 'fishing rod' vs. ?aci 'to fish with a rod' (-sta 'implement'); slq 'brains' vs. ?lq 'to think'; sim 'rope made of red cedar limbs' vs. ?im 'to wind, tie'. The prefix s- is a nominalizer.

Also in quick speech ? may disappear (cf. 4.3.3).

8.0 In morphological processes the following alternations are observed:  $a/\emptyset$ ,  $R/\emptyset$  (1), a/n (2),  $K^{\circ}/K$  (3),  $q/\tilde{x}$  (4),  $\frac{1}{2}/1$  (5).

8.1.1 a/Ø: mntx° 'to dip up' vs. ?us-mntax°-uuc 'to skim off', ?imlk 'man' vs. ?imlaak°± 'male animal', lu-/law 'loose', ?aciwlt 'pregnant' vs. ?aciwa 'belly' (-lt 'offspring!), tk° 'to bleed' vs. tak° 'sanitary towel'.

8.1.2 n/q: nu-sqnxnc-ta 'bird's appendix' vs. sqc 'sand' (nus-...-ta 'container/storage', here telescoped nu-...-ta), 'i=q'n=timut 'to be laughing' vs. q'= 'to laugh'.

8.1.3  $i/\emptyset$ :  $^{ix-pix-l}p$  'crabapple tree' vs. px 'crabapple',  $^{a-xc-m}$  'to lie down' vs. s-xic-ta 'bed'.

8.2 a/n: in many words, where a is found in final position, this a is replaced by n, when a suffixal derivation is formed: 'iixsa 'medicine'  $\rightarrow$  nu-'iixsn-uc-ta 'poison', caaxa 'to chase away'  $\rightarrow$  'ax' caaxn-aynix-ic

-20-

"I cannot get rid of him', cik°a 'clam'  $\rightarrow$  cik°n-als 'cup', tuutk°n-i diminutive form of tuka 'mink' (for k°/k see 8.3).

This change can occur only when the suffix involved has a V as its first phoneme, for otherwise a is retained: caaxa-tic 'I chase him/them away'.

8.3.1 K immediately following u varies with K° in other surroundings (usually as a result of reduplication): tutk°mik 'to sprain one's back (-ik)' vs. tuk 'to stretch, sprain', tuutk°ni diminutive of tuka 'mink', susq°ii = suuži 'younger sibling'.

8.3.2 Although clusters of unrounded and rounded K's are possible ( $s^{2}ixk^{\circ}x^{\circ}$  'bushtail rat',  $q^{\circ}aaxq^{\circ}ni$  'youngest in family'), uvular and palato-velar clusters are labialized entirely, when followed by a uinitiating suffix:  $sk^{\circ}x^{\circ}us$  'negro', lit. 'black (skx) face (-us)' = / $skx^{\circ}us/$ ;  $k^{\circ}x^{\circ}usis$  'he looked (kx) at his face (-us)' = / $kx^{\circ}us-is/$ ;  $q^{\circ}x^{\circ}uusnm$  'to caut a face in stone'' (qx 'to carve', -uus 'face'') = / $qx^{\circ}uus-nm/$ .

8.4 q/x: in a couple of words, q is in free variation with x: xik<sup>o</sup>u = qik<sup>o</sup>u 'dragonfly', xca/qca 'pussy willow', <sup>o</sup>ulxliwa/<sup>o</sup>ulqliwa 'crazy'; in others, q is within one root in complementary distribution with x, i.e. different allomorphs of the root are found before different suffixes: ciix-ak 'to clear land' vs. ciiq-nk 'to dig roots', nu-yalx-m-tu- 'to put objects in a circle' vs. nu-yalq-ii<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> 'hoop', qiq(i)nqii diminutive form of qinx 'shoe'.

In some suffixes q (q°) alternates with  $\check{x}$  ( $\check{x}$ °): -aaq or -aa $\check{x}$  'leg', -iiq° or -ii $\check{x}$ ° 'head'.

-21-

8.5 ±/1: this variance was noticed in some enclitical elements, such as ±u/lu 'still, yet' and alu/a±u 'to try to'. The choice between the two allomorphs is regulated by the phoneme directly preceding: ± is found after R and V, 1 after T: 'a±inu\_±u 'you're still there' vs. 'a±ic\_lu 'I'm still there', pukaw\_a±u 'they tried to come' vs. puks\_alu 'he tried to come'.

9.0 In spite of the almost unlimited phonetic tolerance in Bella Coola, the following restrictions are in force:

(a) <sup>7</sup>, h, and k show defective distribution (see 1.3, 2.1, and 7.0); (b) the sequences  $T^{P_2}$ , Th,  $T_1T_1$ ,  $T_1T_1$ ,  $T_1T_2$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_R^V$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_C_1C_1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_K^\circ K$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_{KK}^\circ$ are, within the limits of one morpheme, not permitted, while others, such as  $-xx_-$ ,  $-q^\circ x_-$ ,  $-k\tilde{x}_-$ , etc. were not recorded <sup>3</sup>); (c) R-clustering undergoes certain limitations (see 9.1).

9.1 Morpheme-initially the clusters ym, yn, and yl are not tolerated, while  $\neq nl$ ,  $\neq lm$ , and  $\neq ln$  were not recorded <sup>3</sup>).

Geminate R-clusters are found neither in initial nor in final position. The sequences yl and wm are in no case tolerated and word-medially reduced to resp. 1 and m, if suffixation would yield such combinations: tay "to hit' + -lxs 'nose' + -ayx 'passive'  $\rightarrow$  talxsayx "to get hit on the nose", cay 'to stop, finish' + -lx 'incheative' + -ak 'hand, work"  $\rightarrow$  calxakm 'to give up, change one's mind'; k<sup>o</sup>ul- 'sex' + V kaw 'to brace, support' + -mut  $\rightarrow$  k<sup>o</sup>ulkamut 'to pay a prostitute', qaw 'to bury, store away' + -mut  $\rightarrow$  qamut 'to put away, bury'.

Double -yy- was recorded in only one item, viz. <sup>?</sup>uyyu 'a name' (also <sup>?</sup>uy<sup>?</sup>yu, cf. 6.2.2).

The doubled vowel aa is found only in medial position, with the exception of: 'aalacii 'to tell', 'aaxn 'an omen', 'aaxi 'upstream area', 'aaxqa 'to urinate'.

Also doubled u occurs only word-medially - the only exception is the enclitic tuu 'really'.

10.0 Stress is not phonemically significant and to a large extent subject to variation: yaki or yaki 'mountain goat', maci or maci 'cured salmon eggs'. In sonant- and V-less words stress is, of course, totally absent.

10.1 In certain environments there is, however, little variety concerning the place of stress:

- (a) it usually falls on the last V in the position -T/: k<sup>u</sup>-fk 'roof', tamás 'cockle', ta\_xmstá\_tx <sup>2</sup>) 'the man';
- (b) if a word contains a vocalic sonant or one following a V, the stress is, in general, attracted by the V, c.q. automatic schwa immediately preceding that R: max<sup>°</sup>atálaqa 'dipper (bird)', imkmáni 'weasel', yulfita 'spinning wool instrument';
- (c) if a word contains two or more R's following a V, the stress will be fixed on the V before the last sonant but one: nu?ayawálsmaw 'they cleaned the house', \*mstáliwa 'self-supporting', q°úmsxiwa 'whiteman';
- (d) long V's tend to attract stress: łáážałta 'shoulderblade', sq°áážq°a
   'bullhead', q°úúž°úúž 'swan';
- (e) in the surrounding <sup>V</sup><sub>R</sub>T<sup>f</sup>T<sup>P</sup>V/ it is the V or R directly preceding T<sup>f</sup> that is stressed: slúxta 'crosspiece', λlústa 'curtain', λústa 'person';
  (f) the final i (ii) in diminutive formations is always accented.

### NOTES

1) The transcription of some items discussed in this report calls for comment. It should be emphasized that writing q°lials 'green wall' is not merely a matter of arbitrary choice. Let us consider three possible spellings, which can be summed up as follows:

(a) <sup>?</sup>yknwas q°lyals kykywyc <sup>?</sup>alynwa nwakyla lwlx q°liyals ?ikmuwas **(b)** kikyuwic <sup>?</sup>a<del>l</del>inuwa nuwakila luwlx 'iknuas q'lials (c) kikyuic <sup>?</sup>a<del>l</del>inua nuakila lulx

(a) entails a hierarchy of vocality  $w > y > R^n$ , which does not hold true in every case (see 3.4.1);

choosing (b) implies the disadvantages that (1) we use redundant symbols, while (2) the combination -iya- becomes somewhat ambiguous, cf. wa\_siyas [wæsēyæs] 'the reason why he's good', where ě is not narrowed to ĭ (5.3); notation (c) can be applied consistently and was therefore considered most feasible.

<sup>2</sup>) I have considered the items of the type full word + enclitic, preclitic + full word justifiable examples, because clitics behave phonetically like part of a word (suffixes) - they have in common with affixes, that (a) they play a decisive role in determining stress, (b) they influence, wherever possible, adjoining phonemes, and (c) they may have a V as initial phoneme.

In other respects clitical elements differ from affixes (semantic features, morphological and syntactic behaviour), but that is, within the scope of this paper, irrelevant.

<sup>3</sup>) This does by no means imply that such sequences are not permitted; in fact, additional data may prove that these combinations are possible, and may even reduce the number of limitations enumerated in 9.

At any rate, phonotactic restrictions do not seem to apply to compound words, cf. ti\_?att 'the one who (ti\_...-t) painted (?at) it'.