ON RECONSTRUCTING THE PROTO-SALISH SOUND SYSTEM¹ A.H. Kuipers University of Leiden

<u>O.</u> INTRODUCTORY. There is a lack of agreement on the sound system of PS on two main points at present: (a) the status of PS *r and (b) the labial and labialized velar series. In addition, there still exists doubt on one overall comparative question, to wit, the CS counterparts of the IS uvular resonants $\varsigma \ \varsigma^{W}$. In the present paper an attempt is made to clear up these points. Swadesh's 1952 phoneme inventory is taken as a point of departure, with the following modifications: ϑ and γ (velar resonant) are added, so are glottalized resonants (these will not concern us further), λ is eliminated, the arrangement is changed.

р	1	t		С	k	q	k٣	q٣				
j	ł	z		ç	ķ	ą	k٣	ą٣			а	
		ł		s	x	ž	x٣	х ^ч			ə	
m	n	1	r	у	γ	٢	W	۶۳	h	i		u
'n	'n	i	ŕ	ÿ	Ŷ	रे	ŵ	٤٣	?			

<u>O.1</u> Phonemes r r (as distinct from 1 i) are found only in part of the Southern IS languages (Cb Ok Cv Sp Cr), and here they have a marginal status, occurring only as C_2 in roots (not suffixes) $C_1VC_2(C_3)$, and this only when C_1 is not a uvular. It is tempting to treat such marginal cases either as remnants or as innovations.

The first road was taken by Kinkade and Thompson (1972, 1974): starting from Swadesh's observation (<u>l.c.</u> 242) that in Th *1 y but *r 1, and noting that Th has cases of 1 in positions where the r-languages do not admit r, they regard these additional cases of Th 1 as going back to *r (the r-languages having eliminated *r in favor of 1 in the abovementioned positions).

The second road was taken by Kuipers (1973): the distinction 1 - r is explained as a result of the same "darkening" feature / / as is responsible for the distinctions a - a, u - u, s - s, c - c as found in several IS languages (distinctions not accounted for in the traditional theory). One should therefore in first analysis reconstruct *1 *1 rather than *1 *r; furthermore, there are clear indications that s c 1 are associated with roots which had a darkened vowel, and s c 1 with roots which had a plain vowel, so that the distinction 1 - 1 was originally a matter of positional variation.

<u>0.2</u> L.C. Thompson (1977:40) proposes a revised phonological chart for PS. The labials (*p *p *m *m) are bracketed because they may have been lacking. The labiovelars *k^w *k^w *n^w *n^w *n^w (the latter two additions to the traditional inventory) are regarded as having developed to p p m m in all Salish languages except St, where they yielded č č n (and if all St words with p p m are borrowings, the bracketed PS labials can be eliminated from the chart). A phoneme *n is tentatively added for theoretical reasons (<u>ibid.</u> 23) -- it could possibly account for the Northern IS velar resonant γ and for some unexplained cases of y. The uvular resonants are given as (boxed) * γ * $\dot{\gamma}$ * $\dot{\gamma}$ ^w * $\dot{\gamma}$ ^w; in addition there are two laryngeals * ς * $\dot{\varsigma}$. One concludes that * γ * $\dot{\gamma}$ represent an alternative origin for IS γ $\dot{\gamma}$. Phonemes *r *r are given between brackets. The vowels are listed as *a *i *u *ə.

<u>0.3</u> In what follows, sect. <u>1-3</u> consist almost entirely of material. Sect. <u>1</u> gives examples substantiating the correspondence IS $\int \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1$

1 THE CORRESPONDENCE I.S. $\int \int \int \langle \cdot \rangle / C.S. \times \chi^w$, proposed in Kuipers 1978:fn. 6 is not yet generally accepted (cf. Thompson 1977:14). The set of examples has grown since, and the equation now has a firmer footing.

(1) Li sis Th solis (recorded original solution of the second description of the second descr

(2) Li Sen get tough with sb., try really hard Sh Sn-em to growl // Sq \tilde{x}^n -m Ld \tilde{x}^d -ib to growl, cf. also, with (z <)y instead of n, Li $z\hat{a}^n$ -ən (inversion) Th Saz-ən Cb hiy-m // Ch $\tilde{x}a$ ·y- to growl.

(3) Li sə²i²əl Sh sil- lose (contest, etc.) Cb həl-p broke, lose Ka aállose in gambling // Sq xəxi² be lost (of special gift or ability).

<u>(4) Cb-t-hil-a²st-m_cut-hair</u> Ka ail <u>cut with 5sissors // Sq_xá-xi-q^w-n_cut</u> <u>sb.'s hair</u>.

(5) Sh ^wel- glittering Cb ^wəl bright, shiny, glisten // Cx x^wal-, x^wəlshiny.

(6) Sh ys- to grind // Be ?ix grind, crush.

(7) Sh čîtin rattlesnake // Sq čəxtn id., poison.

(8) Th c?is^w Sh cis^w- to bleed // Sq cə-cix^w girl's puberty.

(9) Cr sa[°] to flow // Cx sa[?]-sx^w dew Se sa-sx^w-úlmix^w damp ground Sq sasx^w damp sə́x^wa[?] urinate (semantically cf. Skt dhavate flows Engl. dew). (10) Li λ s^w- Th λ us^w- Sh ts^w- hard // Be λ ax^w Sq λ əx^w id.

(11) Li 1áš^w-ləx make room for oneself Sh lis^w- loose, leaving room // Sq yəx^w- free, loose.

(12) Sh cu^w-t <u>sore</u> // Be cu-cq^w, cuux- <u>having sores</u> (delabialization automatic).

Additional possible examples involve irregularities:

(13) Li ^wic-ém <u>tell a joke</u> // Be ^x^wis-m <u>to play</u>, joke (c - s to be explained).

(14) Sh lis^w thaw, open up (of ice in river) // Be x^way (inversion) Cx 3ax^w Se yax^w Sq yax^w Cl čax^w melt, where the CS forms have y instead of 1 (cf. 41).
(15) Th s^wayt sleep Sh s^wuy-t withered, tired s-s^wuy'-m-qn dandelion-type withered flower (-qn head) // Cx x^wuw-um-us Se s-x^úul-um Sq s-x^wuy-um grey hair, where the CS forms have 1 instead of y (cf. also Ld q^wul-ub grey hair, with a deviating initial).

See also exx. 52, 53 and 54.

<u>2</u> UVULAR-VELAR DOUBLETS. The PS velar (incl. w) and uvular series parallel each other as follows:

k k k^w k^w x x^w y w q q q^w q^w x x^w y y

Here examples are presented of words that have alternative forms with members of either series. In a number of cases the alternation has a sound-symbolic value.

(16) UVULAR Cb $t-\check{x}^{\vee}-\check{x}^{\vee}-alq^{\vee}$ flute -- VELAR Cb $x^{\vee}a-x^{\vee}i\check{w}-m\dot{n}$ whistle Sh Cb $x^{\vee}iw-$ to whistle Cw $\check{s}-x^{\vee}\check{e}w^{\gamma}-qen$ whistling swan. -- Conss. of both series in Ld $\check{x}^{\vee}iw-$ to whistle.

(17) UVULAR Cb q^wə^ς to shove, ślide stg. q^wə^ς p/s-c-q^wà^ζ n-cút-əx^w to slide (as children playing) -- VELAR Cb k^wuw-min-ct crawl on belly Sh k^ww- to shove, slide stg. k^wiw-lx to drag oneself along the ground k^ww-iləp to slide (as children on sledge). -- Conss. of both series in Sh q^wiw-lx to creep.

(18) UVULAR Li láš^w-ləx^w, etc. (see ex. 11) -- VELAR Be law, lu- <u>loose</u>.

(19) UVULAR Li \check{x}^{w} əy- \check{x}^{w} y-áqs <u>maggots on head of deer</u> Th \check{x}^{w} eć- \check{x}^{w} áče Sh \check{x}^{w} y- \check{x}^{w} éye <u>maggots</u> Be $\check{x}^{w}a-\check{x}^{w}i$ <u>eggs of bluebottle (fly)</u> Ch mə $\check{x}^{w}-\check{x}^{w}i$ y- $\check{x}^{w}i$ y <u>centipede-</u> <u>like bug in salmonberries</u> Cz $\check{x}^{w}a\check{y}$ ə- $\check{x}^{w}a\check{y}$ ə \check{x}^{w} <u>fly (zool.)</u> Se $\check{x}^{w}a-\check{x}^{w}ayu$ <u>black fly</u> Sq ? $\check{a}\check{x}^{w}ay$? <u>housefly</u> ? $\check{i}\check{x}^{w}i$ ć <u>maggots</u> Ck \check{x}^{w} ə- $\check{x}^{w}\acute{e}$ yə <u>big fly, blowfly</u> \check{x}^{w} ə- $\check{x}^{w}iy$ əyə (also $\check{x}^{w}i$ -) <u>housefly</u> -- VELAR Li s- $x^{w}\dot{u}-x^{w}$ əć Th s- $x^{w}\dot{u}-x^{w}$ eće Sh s- $x^{w}y-x^{w}$ éye <u>ant</u> Se s- $x^{w}i$ - x^{w} n-am worm Ck x^{w} ə- $x^{w}iye$ worm in salmonberry Ld šu3ə <u>maggots</u>.

(20) UVULAR Li x^wey-t many people dead Th x^wey-t Sh x^wey- Sq x^way- perish
 Cz x^way² miss, be gone -- VELAR Li x^we²- Sh x^wey- disappear.

(21) UVULAR Li xəl Th xi[?]-xéy-t <u>steep</u> -- VELAR Sh xl-xal-t <u>steep</u> n-xl-xlank <u>steep (slope)</u> Cb xəl-xəl-t/xər-xər-t <u>steep (bank)</u> Ka šal steep, possibly also Cr šar be difficult, disobedient, annoying.

(22) UVULAR Sh [?]s-t-ləpéq <u>fall</u> in the mud -- VELAR Sh [?]s-t-ləpék <u>fall</u> in the snow.

(23) UVULAR Sh tq^w-up-t <u>explode (tire, firecracker), go off (firearm)</u> Cr
təq^w <u>id.</u> -- VELAR Li λək^w <u>burst open</u> Sh tk^w-up-t <u>break, burst open (as egg)</u>
Cb tək^w-p <u>blow up, burst</u> Sq tək^ws <u>explode</u>.

(24) UVULAR Li q^weq^ws small -- VELAR Li k^wik^ws Th s-k^wis id.

(25) UVULAR Cr sa^w flow Cb sa²-sx^w dew² Se sa-sx^w-úlmix^w damp ground - VELAR Sh t-sw-su²-t (= -su^w-t) dew. Cf. ex. 9.

(26) UVULAR Li n- $\gamma^{w_{\gamma}}$ Th n- $\gamma^{w_{\gamma}}$ us to sink -- VELAR Sh w² us to dive.

 (27) UVULAR Th (Lytton) zəζ^w-zóζ^w-t strong (person) Sh yς^w-yuς^w-t intensive, hard (work), violent (disease), strong Cb yə̃ζ^w-yəζ^w-t hard (work, rain) - VELAR Th (Spuzzum) zu²-zúw²-t strong (person).

(28) UVULAR Li s-ke[?] wife, female friend -- VELAR Li Th Sh s-kew Cb nskaw Fl isčew woman's sister-in-law Ch s-čaw (Boas: man's) sister-in-law Sq čuáš wife Cz kuwł wife, woman.

(29) UVULAR Cb k-sh-aws-n shake (a tree) -- VELAR Sh sey- to shake off.

(30) UVULAR Li Səl-Səl strong Səl-ilx make a big effort -- VELAR Sh γlγal-t strong Cr 3ar firm, strong.

(31) UVULAR Li n-q^wolon-étk^we <u>yellow moss</u> Th s-q^wli? <u>copper</u>, <u>green</u> Cb q^wэ́li? <u>gall</u> Be q^wli <u>green</u>, <u>yellow</u> Sq q^wə́y-q^wi <u>copper</u> -- VELAR Li k^wli? <u>green</u>, <u>yellow</u> k^wa?lús <u>pale in face</u> Th k^wlo? <u>gall</u> k^w?al <u>turn green</u> Sh k^wal-t <u>yellow</u> k^wle-?éłp <u>alder</u> k^wl-alst <u>gall</u> Cb k^wrayq <u>yellow</u> k^writ/s-k^wra?kán <u>gold</u> Ka k^wa·lí? <u>yellow</u> Sq k^wlúl?-ay alder.

(32) UVULAR Cb s- $\int a^2 cougar$ -- VELAR Li s-wu-we Th Sh s-muwe? Cr s-wa? Sq (n-)s-wu?-wu Ck š- x^{w} id.

(33) UVULAR Li ^wə1- Th ^wəy- <u>to light</u>, <u>set fire to</u> Sh ^wei- <u>glittering</u> Cb ^wəl <u>bright</u>, <u>shiny</u>, <u>glisten</u> Ka [?]o·l/o·l/o·l <u>to burn</u> Cx <u>x</u>^wai-, <u>x</u>^wəi- <u>shiny</u> --VELAR Li wəl-wəld^w-ús-əm <u>lightning</u> Sh wl- <u>to burn</u> wl-wal-t <u>shiny</u> s-wl-ańs-m (also -eńs-m) <u>flower</u> Cb s-wər-ús-m <u>fishing torch</u> Ka u·l <u>to burn</u> Sp wir/[?]u·r <u>id.</u> Cv [?]ur(i), [?]ur <u>fire</u> Cr g^wel <u>burn</u>, <u>blaze</u> g^war be <u>silvery</u>, <u>clear</u> s-g^war-p-əm <u>flower</u> Se s-wil <u>sunshine</u> Ld g^wilič-əb <u>shine</u> (as fur), <u>luster</u> Ch wəlč- <u>glitter</u> wəld- polish.

(34) UVULAR Li ^wo^wł <u>sucker (fish)</u> Sh s-^wi-^wle <u>lake trout</u> -- VELAR Th s-we-wł <u>fish (other than salmon)</u> Sh s-we-wł <u>fish (generic)</u> we-wl-m <u>to fish</u> Ka suwe^vuł <u>fish</u>, possibly also Se s-wəl-tn Sq s-wi-tn <u>fishnet</u> Ld s-^vul-ánx^w <u>salmon (generic)</u>. It is possible that (33) and (34) are originally identical (cf. English "shiner"), cf. also Sh s-wł-weł mica wi-wł-wəł snipe Cb wər-wər-iwa^γ <u>red-winged blackbird</u>, etc. In that case, the semantic range of this root is comparable to that of IE *bhel-, cf. Russ. belyj <u>white</u> Gr. phlégo <u>burn</u> Engl. bleak (1. *pale, 2. a fish), bloom, etc.

(35) UVULAR Li pə^{γ} to bump into stg. Th pə^{γ}- Sh pe^{γ}- to knock, rap --VELAR Th puw-min drum Sh pw- to drum Cb puw-min(-tn) drum Ka pu·m to beat the drum Cr paw drum on drum pew drum on tin.

Though no velar-uvular conss. are involved, the following example exhibits the same pattern:

(36) RETRACTED Sh s-cəs-cəs-loise Cb s-cəs-iusa? <u>hail</u> -- NON-RETRACTED Cv s-co-cs-lusant Cr s-š-cəs-lusa? <u>id</u>.

Many incidental examples can be added to the above list, e.g., $\dot{q}a\dot{p}x''$ $\dot{q}a\dot{p}x''$ <u>nut</u>, $s\ddot{x}''a\dot{q}a\dot{q}x''a\dot{q}a\dot{q}x''a\dot{q}a\dot{q}x''ay$ -<u>sharp</u>, q''tunt/k''tuntplentiful, etc.

<u>3</u> DOUBLETS 1 - 1 are found all over the Salish area. Often both forms occur in the same language, though not in free alternation. The doublets very probably result from a devoicing of *1. The examples which follow concern words which are found in both IS and CS.

(37) *pi/al -- Sh pil- <u>scatter</u> x-pil-l<u>x</u> <u>disperse</u> (itr., of persons) palto smear, smudge Cr pił <u>be</u> scattered, possibly Ka pilš <u>to go in, pl.</u> (disperse to homes?), Cx pôy-it Se pil-it <u>scatter</u> Sq pił <u>be</u> smudged pił-án? <u>scatter</u> (ordered things), erase.

(38) *pi/al -- Cr pi-pil <u>smashed flat</u> Cx pii-it <u>flat</u> pi-pəy? <u>thin layer</u> Se s-pii-it <u>flat</u> pi-pil <u>thin (layer)</u> Ck pii-ət <u>flatten stg.</u> s-pii-pəł <u>flattened</u>, perhaps also Li n-peł people lying around Sh c-peł lying state x-peł-ws <u>ex-</u> <u>hausted</u>, dead-tired (lit. "<u>laid out</u>") Ka peł-é?ut (also pəł-) <u>to lie, pl.</u>

(39) *mal -- Li mlámen medicine Th mlamn id. Sh mlam- heal, marry, baptize Cb meryám medicine Ka ma·liyé drug, medicine Cr mar-im treat for illness Ld bał cure by a shaman.

(40) *tu/al -- Li təł- stretch out a rope téł-ləx to stand up tél- string out a line (from ball), uncoil a line tạl unravel a rope Th tạl (tạl?) to stretch-out, extend təl unravel Sh tal- to stretch-s-təl-tél-xh sit-with legs stretched out Cb təł straight Cv tər undo tł- straight Ka taál untie, unwrap Cr teł be straight u:-téł-t go directly tar undo tor stretch out, extend (as hand) Cx təł-t to spread out Se təł-t open stg. fan-wise táł-at measure with arms túluk^w unraveled Sq tał fathom ta?1-m lengthwise, parallel Ch Cz tuł-n to stretch.

(41) *či/al -- Li čəł-n-ékst-əm shade eyes with hand čil-e alike Sh čił-, čil- same, similar Cb ?as-čáll, s-čəll shade s-čəl-čəl, s-čal-čal shadow čal-

·

čal-t <u>shady</u> Cr čil be <u>outline</u>, <u>shadow</u> (this item shows the connection between the meanings <u>shadow</u> and <u>similar</u>) Be či <u>to cover</u>, <u>shade off</u> Sq čay? <u>be shelter-</u> <u>ed (from wind, sun, rain)</u> čay?-tn <u>umbrella</u> Ld čál-bid <u>shadow</u> čal-ič-təd <u>um-</u> <u>brella</u>, cf. also Se čay <u>there is no sun</u> s-čay-it <u>shade(d)</u> with y for 1 (cf. ex. 14).

(42) *ċu/al -- Li ċeł <u>cool off</u> ċuł-um <u>chilly</u> Th ċəł-t Sh ċeł-t Cb ċəł-t <u>cold</u> ċał-n <u>to cool (solids)</u> Cv ċał Sp ċer Ka ċal-t <u>cold</u> Cr ċił <u>weather is</u> <u>cool</u> ċar-t <u>feel cold to the touch (stove, ice)</u> Sq ċûł- <u>cold</u> Sg ċá?ł-ən <u>get,</u> <u>feel cold</u>.³

(43) *ki/aməl -- Sh kéməł but, only, red. ké-kme?ł almost Ck číməl (be) almost, near.

(44) *kại -- Li kal-q-én cut with scissors Th kil- cut strips of skin Sh kl-am id., cut anything with shears, saw, etc. Cb kar- cut thin material (buckskin, paper, cloth) Cv kar- to cut Cr čar cut flimsy object with shears Ld čał ripped through ("typical use would be when a fishhook pulled through a fish's mouth" Hess s.v.).

(45) *k^wul -- Li k^wul-ən- Sh k^wəłén Cb k^wułn Ka kułn <u>borrow</u> Cr kuł <u>borrow</u> kul lend Be k^wul-t Cx k^wułəma Se k^wuł-tən Sq k^wułn Ld čul- borrow.

(46) *xwal -- Li x^wweł Th (xə-)xweł Sh x^wə-x^wweł Cb xəwal Ka šu[?]-šuwel Cr n-šég^wel Se šawł Sq šuał Ms xeł Cw šeł Ld šəg^wł Sg sał Cl suł road.

(47) *dal -- Sh dəl-qiqn (red. of -qin) to graze (as bullet) Sq n-dal be in the way, be hit n-dal-nəx^w run into, strike (an obstacle) accidentally n-dal-s be hit.

(48) *xil -- Li xil-c to do stg. Sh xil-m act thus xil-t do stg. thus Cb ?ac-xil-xil same, similar Ka ?axil- to behave in this, that way Cr axil do thus Be xil to (often) do stg. Ld xol as if, like, seem.

(49) *s-?ix^wəł -- Sh s-yx^wl-s-cut <u>illegitimate child</u> Cb s-?ix^wl <u>brothers</u> and sisters (collectively) Sq s-?ix^wał child, baby.

Cases with initial *1:

(50) *lix -- Li s-lix^w-il fish slime Th lə^γix get slimy Sh s-lex-t fish slime Be lix-, tix slimy Cx λiš(-im) Se s-tiš slime.

(51) *lu/aq^w -- Li ləq^w-, loq^w- tear off (as treebark) Th łoq^w bald, to peel Sh lúq^w- pull out, pluck (a bird) łuq^w- bald Ka łoq^w bald-headed Cr łaq^w skin, pull off łoq^w be bald, bare Be łuq⁻lx skin peels, comes off (delabialization automatic) Sq łuq^w- come off (of skin, bark) łaq^w peel bark Cw s-łəq^w bark Ch Cz łuq^w- bald, peeled.

4 A NUMBER OF CONCLUSIONS can be drawn from the material given in the preceding sections. <u>4.1</u> With regard to the universal devoicing of PS $*\circ *\circ$, Bella Coola (exx. 6, 10, 12, 13) parallels all the other CS languages. This important common innovation ranges Be together with the rest of CS, and the isogloss coincides with the one that divides the languages distinguishing gender in articles (CS) from those which do not (IS). There is a third, far reaching difference of a lexical nature: in all of IS numerous names for parts of structured wholes (body, house, geography) are based on a root *km-, of which CS lacks any trace.⁴ In view of these facts, the old primary division of Salish as a whole into CS and IS should not be lightly dismissed.

4.2 The fact that Ld šú3ə? maggots (ex. 19) forms part of a group of words where forms with x^w and \check{x}^w existed side by side, this group in turn being part of a general velar-uvular alternation, shows that Ld secondarily changed x^wu into šu. The same development may therefore be assumed for the other cases where Ld has palatals before u: čúba go up landward, proceed away from shore k^wum, čútěj flea < k^wuť-p, čúsěd star < k^wusn, čul- borrow < k^wul-, -čup
 firewood < -kwup, also šul- in Southern Ld šúlakwčup firedrill < xwul- (cf. Thompson 1977:22-3). The dynamics are clear: in languages where before u the distinction $k - k^{W}$ was neutralized, the cons. in a sequence $k({}^{W})u$ could be identified either with k or with k^{w} ; if with k, then it underwent the changes to which k was subject. In the case of star, Li has the reduplicated formation n-ke-kúsn-ət. where the initial phoneme of the root is reduplicated with ke-, cf. the alternative treatment in Th n-k^wa-k^wush Ka 1-k^w-kusam. The Li form provides the link between PS *k^wusn and Ld čúsəd. As is common in Salish, Ld also has forms from other (micro)dialects, cf. sk^wuy mother (Cb sk^wuy).⁵ The alternative possibilities for the identification of the velar in $k()^{w}u$ help explain the irregular correspondences of $k^{W}u$ - and $\check{c}u$ - forms in the \check{c} -languages (a somewhat comparable case is presented by Engl. path-paths (v1), cloth-cloths /clothes (vl/vd), wreath-wreaths (vd) besides Germ. Pfad, Kleid, OHG ridan). Compare (a) Cx čuy? young, child (Sh s-k^wúye); (b) Cx k^wúsən? Sq k^wusn Ld čúsəd star (Sh səkwusnt); (c) Cx kwułəma So kwułn Ld čul- Ch čó·ya? borrow (Sh kwułn Be k^wul-t); (d) Ld s-k^wuy Ch k^wuy mother (Cb s-k^wuy). Cx has č in (a) but k^w in (b, c); Ch has \check{c} in (c) but \check{k}^{\vee} in (d); Ld has \check{c} in (b, c) but \check{k}^{\vee} in (d). Note also that Cr has ču ču in one example each only, and lacks cases of šu.

<u>4.3</u> Earlier, seemingly contradictory CS correspondences were noted for IS %, cf. Kinkade 1967:233, where on the one hand Cb hiy- ($\langle \% \rangle$) // Ch xa·ygrowl (ex. 2) is given as "the best Ch correspondence for a Cb form with an unrounded pharyngeal" -- an opinion which is confirmed --, while on the other hand a correspondence % - w is observed, e.g., in Cb % al bright, shiny // Ch walc- glitter wald- polish (ex. 33). In the same way, Nater 1977 notes the

etymologies given in ex. 12 (with a Be uvular) and 18 (with Be w). The facts pointed out in sect. 2 provide the explanation: PS had (remnants of) a velaruvular alternation, the regular IS - CS correspondences are ς^w - \check{x}^w and w - w, but since $\ast\varsigma^w$ and \ast w alternated, we also find the cross-correspondences ς^w - w as in the examples just given, and on the other hand the opposite cross-correspondence IS w - CS \check{x}^w , cf.

(52) Li čew-én Th čéw- Sh čéw- Cb čáw- Cv čiw- Ka čé²u Cr čaw wash // Cx čəx^w-t wash Se čəx^w clean (adj.) Sq čəx^w wash (objects) Cw čx^w-at Ch Cz čəx^w-wash, possibly also Be čx^w white Ld čx^ways bright.

(53) Th céw-ix reach for stg. Sh cew-kst-m <u>id.</u> // Sq cáx^w-am <u>id.</u> A possible connecting link is Li co^w stripe Sh c^w- make a stripe Cb s-cə^w^w-ákst <u>fin-gers</u> Ka *co Cr ca^w fringe.

Due to the infrequency of Li Th Sh γ it is hard to find possible CS cognates here. The Southern IS correspondences are exemplified by Li $1 = \gamma^2 - c = n \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$

(54) Li yap- Sh yép- to put up (as pole) cyep tree // Sq xp-ay? cedar. 4.4 Among the 1 - 1 doublets we find cases with both 1 and 1 in IS as well as CS in exx. 37, 38, 40, 41, 45; the cases with only 1 (and not 1) in CS are 39, 42, 44, 46, 48, 49, 51, those in IS are 43, 47, and these two each concern one word in one IS language only. CS, then, has a stronger tendency to devoicing *1 than has IS, and though with *1 it did not become the rule, as in the case of $* \circ * \circ^{\mathsf{w}}$, it is nevertheless part of the same general phenomenon of devoicing of resonants, the beginning of which must be old, cf. also the devoicing of *? to h in Cb, and isolated cases like Cb sa?sx dew (see fn. 2). The cases involving r in the r-languages are 39, 40, 42, 44, and they parallel those that have 1 in the r-languages (41, 45, 46, 48, 49). This by itself suggests that in these languages r < *1. In cases like (39) Ld bał, (44) Ld col one could posit a development *r > 1>1. But this is impossible for the r-languages themselves: if Cr has (40) tel besides tar, tor, and (42) cil besides car, then the opposite development *1 r is compellingly indicated, as this provides the only explanation for the parallel devoiced forms with 1. Because of the special development of certain instances of *1 in Th and the r-languages, we shall in first analysis posit as ancestor of r not *1 but retracted *1.

<u>4.5</u> The cases of parallel forms with velar and uvular conss. are instructive in one more respect. The r-languages do not admit r as C_2 in roots with a uvular C_1 . Accordingly, we find Cb k^wrayq <u>yellow</u> but q^w?<u>gall</u> (31), Cr g^war <u>be</u> <u>silvery, clear</u> but Cb ς ^w? <u>bright, shiny, glisten</u> (33), devoiced in Sh ς ^weł-

- 8 -

<u>glittering</u>. Th cases conforming to this pattern are $\sqrt[6]{99}$ <u>burn</u> (cf. Thompson 1977:39) versus Sp wir/?u·r <u>id.</u> (33), cf. also Th ži?-žáý-t <u>steep</u> vs. Sh xlxal-t <u>id.</u> (ex. 21; Sh al regularly corresponds to Cr ar, while Sh el corresponds to Cr il, el). Cb has alternative forms here, xár-xər-t/xál-xəl-t <u>steep (bank)</u>; while r is excluded after a uvular C₁, both r and 1 are possible after a velar, and the cons. of the retracted form has been introduced into the nonretracted one (cf. the mixed forms with conss. of both series in exx. 16 and 17, also Cr g^wel <u>burn</u> besides g^war <u>be silvery</u>, <u>clear</u>). If Sq is given any weight at all,⁶ then here the evidence would point the same way, cf. Sq k^wlúl?ay <u>alder</u> with 1 vs. q^wáyq^wi <u>copper</u> with y (ex. 31). Thus both Th and Sq witness against an original wider extension of a separate phoneme *r, confirming the conclusion reached in sect. <u>4.4</u>.

5 SOME I.S. PROBLEMS OF DETAIL. So far we have adduced evidence showing (a) that r of the r-languages goes back to *1, and (b) that originally Th and Sq knew the same restrictions as to the occurrence of *1 as obtain in the rlanguages. This still leaves open the question (c) whether or not *1 was opposed to *1 at least in a late stage of PS. It also leaves a number of problems of detail, the most important of which are the occurrence of retracted vowels before 1 in the r-languages and in the neighborhood of s c as opposed to s c, and the distinctive occurrence of retracted vowels after uvulars.

5.1 Cb has developed <u>new</u> retracted variants 1 1 besides 1 1; in addition, it has r r from the <u>old *1 *1</u>. We consider here those instances of Cb 1 1 which are due to the retracting influence of a uvular. We find Cb 1 1 matched by Th 1 1 in Cb q*91i? <u>gal1</u> Th s-q*1i? <u>copper</u>, <u>green</u> (ex. 31) and Cb -9195/-9195 Th -é/âlqs <u>clothes</u> (Thompson 1977:39). Since Cb admits both 1 and r before a uvular (cf. Cb tərq <u>kick</u>, sn-pərq <u>qin hat</u>), the Th suffix cannot be reconstructed as *-arqs (Kinkade and Thompson 1974:25); cf. also Cb ×91×91ánx^w <u>sober</u>, an inverted form corresponding to Sh 1=×11× <u>sober</u> 1=×16× <u>smart</u> Th ye×y1× <u>id</u>. Here Cb 1 is matched by Th y, as expected in initial position. The cases where Cb 1 is matched by Th 1 show that in Th, too, an original *1 was secondarily retracted by a uvular. Notice, however, that Cb has kept apart the old *1, which became r, and the new 1, whereas in Th sq*1i?, <u>-é/âlqs</u> the uvular-conditioned 1 coincided with the old, retracted-vowel conditioned one. The two developments are parallel but separate.

5.2 The Cv cases of retraction as described by Mattina (1978) are similar to those in other IS languages, except that retracted a is replaced by a, which is a (phonetic?) innovation, and that Cv has a also in cases where other lan-

guages have u (o), which is another innovation. In particular, Cv lacks is \langle *a before r (<u>1.c.</u> 158; cf. the elimination of retracted vowels before r in Cb and Sp). But the retracted vowel has been introduced in roots where it did not originally belong, cf. Cv ial- (day)light, which is the same root as in Cb $ialianx^w$ sober Th yaiyix smart treated above (semantically cf. Engl. <u>lucid</u>, <u>bright</u>). We find similar cases in Th Ka Cr. A factor which has contributed to the irregular appearance of retracted vowels after a uvular C₁ is their regular occurrence in the first element of reduplicated forms, cf. the very first Cr irregularity noted by Kinkade and Sloat (1972:31): in Cr q^wam-q^wam-t <u>be</u> <u>pleasant</u>, etc. the first vowel is the regular reflex of PS *a when a uvular follows (not necessarily immediately); the second one is irregular, and is analogous to the first. A new simplex with an irregular vowel could then appear as a back-formation from such a reduplication. Suffixes containing a uvular can have led to the same non-phonetic development.

5.3 Sh, unlike Ka Cv Cr, lacks distinctive retracted vowels after a uvular initial, cf. Sh qwey-m to blacken besides Cv qwsay Ka qway black; Sh qwemqwmt nice besides Cr qwamqwamt (in Sh only an immediately following uvular causes a nondistinctive retraction). In this respect Cb largely goes together with Sh where full vowels are concerned, cf. Cb qway-alas priest (lit. black-cloak), qwamqwamt good, with a rather than a in the root (exception: (na)qwast deep). In Sh roots beginning with a uvular resonant the vowel takes on a nondistinctive darkened coloring, but no such root ever takes a darkened suffix. Only roots with distinctively darkened vowels can trigger the darkening harmony in suffixes.⁷ Sh and Cb are conservative as against Cv, where the new distinctive retraction in qwsay black triggers the retracted vowel of the suffix in qway-Sas Black man (cf. Sh qwy-qwy-us dark-faced).

5.4 In Cr the opposition 1 - r has a low functional yield. A root C_1 el-will appear as C_1 al- if a uvular follows, so that there is an opposition C_1 al-Q vs. C_1 ar-Q (Q = uvular). Furthermore, Cr, like Cv, has sometimes introduced the back vowel a in forms with 1, cf. ×al redhot, ×al spy (vs. ×el lay evenly), d^{*} al be black from burning. With a non-uvular C_1 we have maile uncomfortably warm, come to a boil (Cb n-impl lukewarm), potentially opposed to mar as in mar-im treat for illness, further calus kingfisher (Cb caris) as opposed to car be ill, hurt, ache; cf. also ml-ólamx^w soil, earth, where the suffix points to a root with a darkened vowel (confirmed by Sh malt deerlick Ka malt mud, clay, earth). In these cases it is 1 that has been introduced in roots with a retracted vowel. Similar irregularities occur in Cr words with a C_2 other than 1, e.g., in caw wash, where the retracted vowel may stem from a uvular form *cas^w which would correspond directly to CS cax^w- (ex. 50), cf. also paw drum

Contricto

on drum besides Sh pé^w- knock, rap (ex. 35). Again, the nonretracted cons. was retained (or reintroduced) in Cr g^wəl <u>burn</u> besides Cb wər- Sp wir'/²u·r (ex. 33). Cr lacks examples of ər (only ar or er) so that this form cannot be opposed to a Cr form *g^wər; but Sh p̊əl- Cb p̊ər- <u>overflow</u>, spreading of water point to PS *p̊əl-, while Sq p̊i- (= p̊əy-) <u>id.</u>, if to anything, points to *p̊əl-.

Cb has eliminated retracted vowels before r, just as Sh and Ka never phonemicized 1 (in opposition to 1) after retracted vowels. This is an indication that PS opposed plain V1 to retracted V1 as a whole. Since an opposition V vs. V occurs independently of a following 1 1 (cf. Li łạż Sh łať Cb łạť Cv ł Sať wet; Th mặce Sh mặcpe? Cb mặcp bee, wasp; Ka sənös Cr snos Cv sn Sas snot, for CS cf. Cw snas < *snus fat, grease), it is necessary in first analysis to reconstruct a series of retracted vowels *a *u *ạ (no plurilingual evidence for *i). The next question is whether the retracted conss. c ș 1 were positional variants of c s 1. It can hardly be doubted that this was originally the case. It provides a simple explanation for the curiously limited distribution of r in the r-languages: r as C₂ developed from *1, which was the positional variant of *1 after retracted vowels, and the latter were excluded after a uvular C₁ -- hence r is found only as C₂ after a non-uvular C₁.⁸

We still find cases where a vowel alternation plain - retracted has a symbolic value, e.g., Li łak get deflated, go down (of dough) vs. łak get <u>pooped, conk out</u>, Th [?]esłałak figured, spotted, spattered (small pattern) vs. [?]esłałak <u>id. (large pattern)</u>, cf. also Cr pew drum on tin vs. paw drum on drum. We also still find cases of pure retracted forms, e.g., Li col- to stretch, Th kast <u>dirty</u>, ugly, but in many cases the retracted sounds have been replaced by unretracted ones, cf. Th mcult <u>pus</u> vs. Li mácoł (u - o); Th mkoséłci?tn <u>kidney</u> vs. Cb matús (s - s); Th moc <u>crush</u> (Thompson 1977:39) vs. Cb matuc <u>soft</u> (c - c). In the last two examples we find in Th mixed sequences os oc which are the counterpart of the Cr mixed cases with al.

As the examples Sh \dot{q}^{w} ey- Cv \dot{q}^{w} say-, etc., and Th moc Cb \dot{m} muc, etc., show, the replacement of plain by retracted and of retracted by plain sounds is largely language-specific. The parallel developments mentioned at the end of sect. 5.1 are likewise independent of each other. It is difficult to make a case for a phonemic distinction c s 1 - c s 1 even in Proto-IS; there is no evidence at all for its existence in PS.

6 As to Thompson's 1977 revision of the PS phoneme system (see sect. 0.2), little evidence is presented for it, and none of the problems it involves are discussed. Besides the question of St \check{c} \check{c} η in part of the lexicon corresponding to p \check{p} m elsewhere, one of the considerations which underly the proposal is that "as more etymologies are worked out, it becomes apparent that clear cases of PS $k^{w} k^{w}$ are not very common" (p. 22). This in turn is based on the conclusion that Ld forms with ču ču point to original velars rather than labio-velars (p.22-3). As was pointed out in sect. <u>4.2</u>, the development in Ld and other č-languages can be explained as secondary. Another consideration is the existence of the velar resonant γ in Northern IS (p. 23), but as the parallel velar-uvular forms relate it to γ (exx. 29, 30, possibly 54) it is unlikely to go back to a nasal.

The main problem the proposal leaves unsolved is presented by the all-Salish cases of k" k" not only in IS and the rest of CS, but also in St itself. St words with $k^{w} \dot{k}^{w}$ which have $k^{w} \dot{k}^{w}$ in all known CS and IS languages are Cl kwin how many (Kuipers 1970 no. 84), kwaten? rat (no. 82), kwas get burned (no. 83), kwan see (no. 85), xwakw pull (Sh xwukw-), ?awkw belongings (Cr du?ukw be stingy), Sg k"ánas hold, take (Sh k"en-), sčk"a?í?ws left (hand, side) (no. 41); to these, Sg kwásen star (no. 79; Ld čúsed) may safely be added. Note that here one cannot have recourse to borrowing, as the other Salish languages would have had forms with p \dot{p} rather than $k^{w} \dot{k}^{w}$. Much larger is the group of St words with k^{w} \dot{k}^{w} which have known cognates in CS only, and here have k^{w} \dot{k}^{w} in all known instances, e.g., Cl k^w- demonstr. particle, tuk^w go home, sk^wu[?] is co-parent-inlaw, skwáči daylight, kakwxen goose, kwič to butcher, ?es-xwáxwkw drunk, Sg kwekwi be hungry, skwe be unable, me?kwł be hurt, etc., including cases where no cognates are known to me from the immediately surrounding languages: Sg nak^wásən nod the head (Se yik^wusəm Ch ná[?]k^wusm/nik^w(u)sm), xtek^w/xətk^w to carve (Se žətk ">m id. Cx žát ak" totempole), Cl i ak" take (hold of) (Be ik" pick up a small object; Ch $\lambda \partial k^{W}$ pick with a different final cons.).

On the other hand, there are all-Salish sound- and act-imitative roots such as evidenced by Sq $p \ge \tilde{x}^w$ Cr $t \ge p \ge \tilde{x}^w$ to spit (ibid. no. 1), Sq puh- Ka peu blow, pant, breathe (no. 4; cf. Thompson 1977:32), which point to PS *p.

Finally, though typological considerations must always take second place to factual evidence, they do add to counter-evidence. A phoneme η^w would be enough of an oddity to require a firm adstruction.

The labial-to-palatal shift in St (which is a "mixed" language in other respects, too)⁹ may well have been typical of <u>part of</u> a language community, such as the Ms s?amqsən group(s) described by Elmendorf and Suttles (p.7f), or the Sg sx^winx^wən group mentioned by Mitchell <u>s.v.</u> The shift could have had an external cause, e.g., the use of labrets or a tendency to avoid visible articulations; it could have been characteristic of one of the sexes only. A similar cause may (but need not) have led to the elimination of labials in Ti. The phenomenon is common enough to have earned the German designation Labialscheu;

and a

not having to account for it (Thompson 1977:24) is no argument in favor of the proposal.

CONCLUSION. A reconstructed phoneme system is not only the starting point 7 of a search for wider genetic connections of a language family; it is also a frame of reference within which developments in the separate languages are accounted for. The traditional PS phoneme inventory, with *a *u *a added and *r *r eliminated, allows a reasonable account of the main phonetic developments in the separate languages. In particular, it puts the Th cases with 1 in positions where the r-languages do not have r in their proper perspective. It should be noted that the reconstruction of a Proto-IS (not PS!) r in root-initial position (Kinkade and Thompson 1974:24) is based on three Th roots in all, viz. lap- bend stg. over, lawa- knock, hammer and lax-, laix fishy taste, slimy. For the last of these see ex. 50 and sect. 4.4 above; the second example, as sound-imitative, is given with a question mark by the authors themselves. This leaves only lap-, the retracted vowel of which may have given rise to a secondary retracted variant *[1] which did not shift to y (cf. Th sq^wli[?] in 5.1), or the word may be a borrowing, as in all of IS borrowings show a predilection for retracted sounds. On the other hand, the Th 1800-word list (Kuipers 1972) contains ten verbal roots beginning with y- (nine of them matched by Sh 1-), but not a single one with 1-. The Th root lap-, with 1- and a retracted vowel, stands out as an exceptional case. The only other evidence given for Proto-IS root-initial *r is Cz yapa- bend down (a branch), "suggesting that PS *r may have developed to Cz y while *1 remained 1" (ibid. 28). But in all known cases where the r-languages have r the Cz correlate has 1 rather than y, cf. Cz colom kingfisher (Cb caris, ibid. 26-7), palak"- pierce (Cr park"), yalamm go around (Sp yir round, ibid. 23), so that Cz yápa- clearly shows the incidental 1 - y interchange found as an irregular feature all over the Salish area (cf. exx. 14 and 41 above). The evidence even for Proto-IS (let alone PS) initial *r is therefore nonexistent.

It has become a habit to regard the r-languages as conservative because they have two phonemes -- 1 and r -- where the others have one. At the same time, the IS retracted vowels, which cannot be explained by a regressive influence of a phoneme *r, were left out of account in all reconstructions so far. Once this omission is rectified, the splitup of *1 into two phonemes, one of them with a narrowly limited distribution, is not at all surprising: there are numerous examples of a phoneme 1 being more strongly influenced by a preceding than by a following vowel, and more by a back than by a front vowel. The onetime existence of a symbolic plain-retracted alternation¹⁰ goes a long way towards explaining multiple correspondences between IS and CS, and partic-

Same

ularly inside IS. At the same time, new problems come into focus. It is at present unclear why roots with retracted vowels in so many cases have ablaut u/a, cf. *tu/al <u>stretch</u>, *(s-)cu/am <u>suck (bone)</u>, *cu/al <u>cold</u>, *(s-)nu/as <u>wet</u> (<u>snot</u>, <u>grease</u>), *k^wu/al <u>yellow</u>, <u>green</u>, <u>gall</u>, *yu/al <u>burn</u>, ¹¹ *?u/al <u>freeze</u>. Nor is it clear why some of these roots have doublets with m- and n-, cf. Sh moy-/ noy- <u>bend</u>, Cb <u>muc</u>/Cr noc <u>soft</u>. These and other questions may get closer to a solution as more lexical material, particularly of IS languages, becomes available. Adelaar, W.F.H., Tarma Quechua. Lisse 1977.

Eijk, J. van, Lillooet Stem-List (MS 1978).

- Elmendorf, W.W. and W. Suttles, 'Pattern and Change in Halkomelem Salish Dialects', Anthropological Linguistics 2:7 (1960):1-32.
- Galloway, B.D., <u>A Grammar of Chilliwack Halkomelem</u>. 2 vols. University of California dissertation, Berkeley 1977.

Iless, Th.M., Dictionary of Puget Salish. Seattle-London 1976.

Kinkade, M.D., 'Uvular-Pharyngeal Resonants in Interior Salish', IJAL 33 (1967):228-34.

----, Dictionary of the Moses-Columbian Language (MS 1976).

- ----, Cowlitz Dictionary (MS 1979).
- ----, Upper Chehalis Dictionary (MS 1979).

----, A Classified English-Columbian Word-List (MS 1979).

----- and C. Sloat, 'Proto-Eastern Interior Salish Vowels', <u>IJAL 38</u> (1972): 26-48.

----- and L.C. Thompson, 'Proto-Salish *r', <u>Working Papers in Linguistics 4:3</u> (1972):39-51. Idem, IJAL 40 (1974):22-8.

Kuipers, A.H., The Squamish Language. 2 vols. The Hague 1967-9.

- ----, 'Towards a Salish Etymological Dictionary', Lingua 26 (1970):46-72.
- ----, A Classified English-Thompson Word-List (MS 1972).
- ----, 'About Evidence for Proto-Salish *r', Dutch Contributions to ICSL 1973.
- ----, The Shuswap Language. The Hague 1974.
- ----, 'On the Phonological Typology of Proto-Salish', <u>Actes 42e Congr. int.</u> des Américanistes 1976, vol. 6:607-21 (1978).

Mattina, A., 'Pharyngeal Movement in Colville and Related Phenomena in the Interior Languages', Working Papers XI ICSL 1976:148-66.

Mitchell, M.R., <u>A Dictionary of Songish</u>, a Dialect of Straits Salish. University of Victoria dissertation, 1968.

Nater, H.F., Stem List of the Bella Coola Language. Lisse 1977.

Nichols, J., 'Diminutive Consonant Symbolism in Western North America', Language 47 (1971):826-48.

Reichard, G.A., 'Stem-List of the Coeur d'Alene Language', <u>IJAL 10</u> (1939):92-108.

Sloat, C., 'Vowel Harmony in Coeur d'Alene', IJAL 38 (1972):234-9.

Suttles, W., <u>Multiple Phonologic Correspondences in Two Adjacent Salish Lan</u>guages and their Implications (MS 1965).

Swadesh, M., 'Salish Phonologic Geography', Language 28 (1952):232-48. Thompson, L.C., Salishan and the Northwest (MS 1977).

----- and M.T. Thompson, 'Clallam: A Preview', <u>Studies in American Indian Languages</u> (ed. J. Sawyer), Berkeley-Los Angeles 1971.

----, M.T. Thompson and B.S. Efrat, 'Some Phonological Developments in Straits Salish', IJAL 40 (1974):182-96.

Timmers, J., A Classified English-Sechelt Word-List. Lisse 1977.

----, Sechelt Stem-List (MS 1978).

-----, Comox Stem-List (MS 1978).

Vogt, H., The Kalispel Language. Oslo 1940a.

----, Salishan Studies. Oslo 1940b.

1) I thank M. Dale Kinkade for many useful discussions and for extensive Columbian, Cowlitz and Upper Chehalis lexical material; also Laurence C. Thompson for additions and corrections to nos. 1-1304 of the Classified English-Thompson Word-List. Gratitude is also due to Messrs. J. van Eijk, H. F. Nater and J. Timmers for abundant material and etymological suggestions, and to the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.) for supporting their work on Lillooet, Bella Coola and Sechelt/Comox respectively. The responsibility for the present paper is my own.

The following abbreviations of language names are used:

Be	Bella Coola	Cv Colville	Ka Kalispel	Sg Songish
Cb	Columbian	Cw Cowichan	Ld Lushootseed	Sh Shuswap
Ch	Upper Chehalis	Cx Comox	Li Lillooet	Sp Spokane
Ck	Chilliwack	Cz Cowlitz	Ms Musqueam	Sq Squamish
C1	Clallam	F1 Flathead	Ok Okanagan	St Straits
Cr	Coeur d'Alene	H1 Halkomelem	PS Proto-Salish	Th Thompson
CS	Coast Salish	IS Interior Salish	Se Sechelt	Ti Tillamook

The transcription is that of the respective authors, with the usual modernization of Reichard's (ä replaced by e); for Th that of Thompson 1977 is used. As retracted vowels are important in the discussion, here follow those immediately recognizable as such: Li Th Sh a o \Rightarrow (vs. nonretracted e u \Rightarrow ; Sh \Rightarrow replaces the symbol \land of Kuipers 1974), Cb \Rightarrow u \Rightarrow , Ka Cr a o (note that o and u are graphic variants of the same retracted vowel; a retracted i also occurs, <u>viz.</u> in Li Th Cb, but it plays no role in the discussion). Sh [a o] are written e u in positions where they are automatic: immediately before all uvulars and after uvular resonants. Retracted c s 1 are written with a subscript point: c s (Li Th Cb), 1 (Li Cb). The symbol Y is used for any retracted vowel.

The term uvular is used for all the ten phonemes in the columns of q and q^w in the chart on p. 1; in part of IS the articulation of the resonants is pharyngeal (see Kinkade 1967).

2) This form shows an incidental devoicing, cf. also Cb sə \check{x}^{w} -p to drip vs. Cr sa \check{y}^{w} -p leak. Such cases are rare outside the alternation 1 - 1. Sh has tkxi? there besides \check{y} ? that tl- \check{y} ? from there (parallel to tk-iu? over yonder besides lu? there, that, non-present); other possible cases are Li \check{x} -d cold (weather) besides \check{y} -l- \check{u} - \check{m} -x^w frozen ground and Ka oyancut laugh, sg. besides $\check{x}^{w}a\cdot\check{x}^{w}e$?éi id., pl. 3) The root <u>cold</u> may well be identical with the roots <u>hurt</u>, <u>smart</u> (Cr čar, etc.) and <u>salt</u>, <u>sour</u> (Cr čor, etc.), all from an original meaning <u>stinging</u> or the like, but for our purposes the group of <u>cold</u> suffices.

4) In Kuipers 1974:212-3 the items km-ekst-m to get a handful (e.g., of berries) and ke-km-1x to tiptoe, sneak up should have been listed separately, and not under the root referred to here. For the first of these cf. Cb kam-grab a handful Cr cim grab some Sq cam? bite (semantically cf. Russ. kusók piece kusát' to bite, also Engl. a bit).

5) Alternative identifications of velars or uvulars before u could also become apparent after a change of u to a. As far as I know, H1 and Northern St retain labialized velars. A possible example of a delabialized uvular is H1 qa? (Li q^wu?) water. The only possible example with a velar I have found is Cz kási? star, but I know of no u) a shift in this area. One does find other isolated examples of sound-shifts far from the area where they are regular, cf. Sq ?ix^wic maggots (ex. 19) with c for *y as in Th, or Ld q^wulub grey hair (ex. 15) with q^w for x^w as often in Be.

6) For the doubtful value of Sq 1 as indicative of a correlate r in the rlanguages see Kuipers 1973:5. Where CS cognates have 1, Sq has 1 in two thirds and y in one third of the cases. Hence the evidence -- if any -- of Sq y for *1 is greater than that of Sq 1 for *1.

7) A darkened suffix with a uvular-initial root is found only in the petrified complex q^wəq^włóże gills (?). The item xlos to boil dried salmon was corrected to xqlus. Neither item (both in the appendix Kuipers 1974:296) is quite familiar to the Shuswap speakers that were consulted.

8) Vogt is therefore right -- synchronically as well as diachronically -- in treating Ka vowel harmony as one phenomenon (1940a:19f.): the case cal-t it is cold, in-col-atk^w the water is cold is exactly the same as the case i-pas he is bewildered, ps-ap he gets scared. Cv eliminated retracted vowels in roots $*C_1al > C_1ar$ and with them the alternation a i u // Sa in their suffixes (cf. Mattina 1978:154-5, particularly fn. 5).

9) Cf. the double reflex of PS *k *k; the minority of cases with č č rather than c/s č includes such basic items as Sg če[?]- make neč different, change žčknow, find out, learn žálač- twist, turn sátač cold N. wind, etc. The numerous cases for which only CS cognates are known (Sg łeč <u>dark</u> čačáy?aż <u>short</u> sčo?ét <u>clever</u> łqelč <u>month</u> żčes <u>island</u> žáča <u>lake</u>, etc., etc.) include a function element like the evidential clitic č apparently.

10) The precise details of this alternation in PS deserve to be the object of a special investigation. An excellent account of the details of similar sound-symbolic processes -- though involving consonants only -- is found in Adelaar 1977:290-2. See also Nichols 1971.

11) For this reconstruction cf. Cr yar be torch Sq yul burn.