## NOOKSACK REDUPLICATION Brent Galloway Richmond, B.C.

<u>O. Introduction</u>. This paper is based on field notes and tapes made by Paul Fetzer (notes only), Pamela Amoss, Barbara Efrat, Laurence Thompson, and myself with the last fluent speakers of Nooksack, George Swanaset and Sindick Jimmy, and the last partial speakers of Nooksack, Mrs. Louisa George and Mrs. Esther Fidele. My analysis from Feb. 1983 to Feb. 1984 was supported by a grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. Further research towards a computerized dictionary of Upriver Halkomelem and a computerized dictionary of all extant Nooksack material will be supported by a three-year grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities.

In line with methods of phonological comparison and analysis of Nooksack described in Galloway 1983a, 1983b, 1984a and Galloway and Richardson 1983, forms are cited with linguists' and native speakers' initials. Comparisons of these with each other, with tapes, and with forms from neighboring languages and especially from Upriver Halkomelem (UHk), which has influenced Nooksack and its speakers for several centuries, will allow us to ascertain the phonological forms and the meanings of most of the Nooksack words and affixes. (BG shows my transcriptions from the tapes of other workers.)

The Nooksack phonemes are /p, t, (k), k<sup>W</sup>, q, q<sup>W</sup>, ?, p<sup>\*</sup>, t<sup>\*</sup>, k<sup>W</sup>, q<sup>\*</sup>, q<sup>\*W</sup>, c,  $\xi$ , ( $\theta^{*}$ ), c<sup>\*</sup>,  $\xi^{*}$ ,  $\chi^{*}$ , ( $\theta$ ), s,  $\xi$ , ( $x^{y}$ ),  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x^{W}$ , x,  $x^{W}$ , h, m, n, y, l, w, i,  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\theta$ , (a), o, ', #/. /k/ is found in borrowing from Chinook Jargon, English, etc., but also in one word of possible Nooksack origin. / $\theta$ / and / $\theta^{*}$ / in the speech of GS and sometimes EF (but not that of SJ and LG) replace /c/ and /c<sup>\*</sup>/ through UHk influence. GS, also by UHk influence, sometimes has / $\xi$ / ~ /c/ and / $\xi^{*}$ / ~ /c<sup>\*</sup>/ where other speakers have / $\xi$ / and / $\xi^{*}$ /. GS also replaces /š/ in many words with  $/x^{y}$ / through UHk influence. /a/ appears in loans from or words influenced by UHk or Lushootseed (Ld). Nooksack ustressed /o/, /æ/ and /i/ usually correspond to UHk unstressed /e/, and they become Nooksack /e/ in some cases, through UHk influence. Conversely, /é/ sometimes replaces /é/ at slower, careful speads in Nooksack. Nooksack vowel allophones include, for /i/, [i, I, e], /æ/ [ $\varepsilon$ , æ], /e/ [ $\Xi$ , e,  $\wedge$ ], /o/ [u, U, o, o], (/a/ [a]). Nooksack ?C and R? (where C = consonant, R = resonant) correspond to UHk \*C and \*R, R\*, or R and are sometimes so-influenced in Nooksack.

The examples below are phonemicized (with phonemic differences between speakers retained). The affixes are shown in morphophonemic transcription, where  $C_1$  = the first consonant of the root,  $V_2$  = the second vowel of the root, and -Aémeans delete the preceding vowel and replace or ablaut it to /és/. Most types of reduplication in Nooksack are clearly inflectional; some may be old aspect inflections, as Suttles 1980 showed for Musqueam Halkomelem, but now border on derivation. Ablaut is much less common. Two question marks indicate possible but not proven affixes; single question mark indicates some uncertainty of form or meaning.

## 1. Ablaut and Reduplication Types with Examples.

//-Aá-// 'plural': BG:LG swá?welos and BE:SJ swáw'los 'young men' (BG:LG swí?welos 'young man'). This ablaut type, with only one example so far, seems likely to be borrowed from UHk, which has only swiweles 'young man', swáweles 'young men' with this plural; further evidence of this borrowing is the /a/ which appears only in borrowings or as a rare alternant for /æ/ or /e/ before /y/ usually. Final evidence is that due to the historical vowel shift of unstressed vowels to /e/ in Hk, Hk has developed extensive inflectional ablaut, which Nooksack seems to otherwise lack entirely.

??//-A&-//'(derivational)': BE:SJ /spénænx<sup>W</sup>/ 'camas' (poss. //s-pen-A&-ænx<sup>W</sup>// with pen- 'plant, bury' as in BG:SJ pen-&lik<sup>W</sup> 'planting (as an organized activity)'.

??//-Af-// or //-A6-// '(derivational)': PA:GS p'f0'-in

the face).
 ?//-?V\_-// (after V\_1) ?'plural actors': PA:GS č'&?æ\*'
'in a bunch playing'--PA:GS č'&\* '(to) play'.

 $//C_1V_1-//$  ?'plural': BE:SJ šąæqéetæn ~ šąæqéetæn 'older cousins' (-tæn ~ -ten 'reciprocal')(cf. BE:SJ sqæ 'older cousin (child of older sibling)' and PA:SJ sqæ 'older person of ego's generation').

//-(?)C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>-// (after V<sub>1</sub>) 'diminutive': BG:SJ yóyoməč 'small spring salmon'--BG:SJ yóməč 'spring salmon', BG:SJ q'&q'emey? 'young girl, small girl'--BG:SJ,BE:SJ,etc. q'&məy? 'adolescent virgin girl', BE:SJ also has q'&?q'æ?məy? and q'&q'æm?ey? 'young girl (2 to 7 yrs.)', BG:LG,PA:GS n&mætox<sup>W</sup> 'early in the morning' and PA:GS x<sup>W</sup>n&mæt ~ BG:LG x<sup>W</sup>n&?mæt 'last night', BE:SJ x<sup>W</sup>n&?nætoł 'getting dark last night'--BG:LG s-n&t 'night', PA:SJ ?&?æxic 'lie down awhile [a little]' and PA:SJ ?æl&?æxic 'lots of people lying down for awhile'--BG:LG ?&xic 'lie down'.

//-C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>-, -C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>?-// ?'resultative/dispositional' (often with ?æs-, ?es- 'stative' or s- 'nominalizer'): LT:LG póponex<sup>W</sup> 'have seen it many times, always seeing s-th' (cf. LT:LG penpónex<sup>W</sup> 'see s-o/s-th several times)--Lt:LG pónex<sup>W</sup> 'see s-o/s-th (once)', LT:LG ?eswá(?)weqec 'she's married (has a husband)', LT:LG ?esšéšæm 'it's shallow', LT:LG and BE:LG snf?ničim '(long) story, news'--LT:LG and BE:LG snfčim 'story', BE:LG ?ay nf?ničimtowæl? 'they're telling stories to one another', possibly BE:SJ x<sup>W</sup>á?x<sup>W</sup>oc' ~ sx<sup>W</sup>óx<sup>W</sup>oc' 'crazy, wild' (cf. PA:SJ ?æsx<sup>W</sup>óx<sup>W</sup>c' 'promiscuous'), LT:LG ?esqáeqæm 'quiet water'.

 $//-C_1V_1$ -m ~  $-C_1$ e-m// (after  $V_1$ ) 'plural' only in one demonstrative pronoun: BG:GS tæ mæ- $\lambda$ 'ó- $\lambda$ 'o-m ~ tæ mæ- $\lambda$ 'ó- $\lambda$ 'e-m 'they, those people'--BG:GS, LT:LG, etc. tæ mæ- $\lambda$ 'ó 'he, that person'; but the suffix -m may be present in PF:GS 'es- $\lambda$ 'óm 'few, a few' and thus segmentable.

//- $C_1V_1$ -// (after  $V_1$ ) ?'affectionate/pet name': BE:SJ tétæn 'mom, mother'--BE:SJ tén 'mother'.

//-ClVlC2-// 'plural (many, usu. collective)': EG:GS ménmenæ-s 'their children', EG:SJ ?ímimæc 'grandchildren (a whole bunch)'--EG:SJ ?ímæc 'grandchild', EE:SJ stí?ti?ix<sup>W</sup> 'tribe'--EE:SJ stí?ix<sup>W</sup> 'person, Indian', EG:LG yóx<sup>W</sup>yox<sup>W</sup>en? 'arrows'--EG:LG yóx<sup>W</sup>en 'arrow', LT:LG swí?wi?qa? 'men'--LT:LG swí?qa? 'man', LT:GS + LT:LG ?æsq'épq'ep ~ ?esq'épq'ep 'lots of groups, bunches, bunched together', EE:LG sóq'<sup>W</sup>soq'<sup>W</sup>ay(?) 'younger siblings'--EE:LG sóq'<sup>W</sup>ay(?) 'younger sibling'.

//-ClVlC2(C3)// 'dispositional aspect (now derivational)': BG:LG xw-?6č?eč 'to stammer, stutter', BE:LG s61?sel?ten 'hand-spinner', BG:GS s1y?siy? 'be afraid', LT:GS k'\*6mk'\*'om' 'strong', LT:LG wicwic 'snipe (variety)' (cf. UHk w60we0 ~ w10-iy& 'snipe', named for its cry), BG:LG k\*\*ey?k\*\*æy? and PA:GS k\*\*eyk\*æy and k\*\*ey? 'bluejay', PA:GS st\*\*elt\*æl 'singer', BG:LG ?esy6m?yem? 'pregnant', LT:LG q\*6xq'exel? 'tin (metal)' and PA:GS q\*6xq'exel 'tin can'.

//-CleC2(C3)// 'dispositional aspect (now derivational)':
BE:SJ qixqex 'slippery' (cf. UHk qix-em 'to slip, skid').

//- $C_2V_1$ -// (after  $V_1$ ) or //- $V_1C_2$ -// (after  $C_2$ ) '(derivational)': BG:SJ, BE:SJ, etc. (?ay) níčičim 'talking, be talking'--LT:LG níčim 'speak, talk', BE:LG ?ay níčičimtowel? 'telling stories [to each other]' (?æy(?) ~ ?ey(?) ~ ?ay 'continuative aspect' preposed), LT:LG sníčičim 'language'.

//CleClCJ)-// 'dispositional/completive (derivational)': BE:SJ, BG:LG, LT:LG k<sup>W</sup>ek'k<sup>W</sup>fk' 'red'--BE:SJ s-k<sup>W</sup>fk'-il 'kind of/partly red, pink', BG:LG x<sup>W</sup>ek'<sup>W</sup>x<sup>W</sup>fk'<sup>W</sup> 'grey', LT:LG,PF:GS q'exq'fx 'black'--BG:SJ q'fx-il 'kinda black [to get black]', LT:GS p'eq'<sup>W</sup>p'fq'<sup>W</sup> 'yellow', LT:LG ?el?élyæ 'dreaming', BE:SJ q<sup>W</sup>ecq<sup>W</sup>fc 'drownded'--BE:SJ q<sup>W</sup>fc 'drift downstream', PA:GS, BG:LG q'<sup>W</sup>el?q'<sup>W</sup>él? 'overcooked', BG:LG qel?qel?-fl? '[get] dirty', LT:LG k<sup>W</sup>el?k<sup>W</sup>él-iws 'murderer' (cf. UHk k<sup>W</sup>ě'l 'to hide', -iws 'body').

 $//C_1 & \text{GC}_2(C_3) - // \text{ 'derivational (dispositional)': LT:LG} \\ q & \text{for } q & \text{and } BE:SJ q & \text{for } q & \text{gen } \text{'thief'--BE:SJ } q & \text{for } \text{'steal',} \\ BE:SJ & & \text{for } \text{'steal',} \\ & \text{BE:SJ } & & \text{for } \text{'steal',} \\ & \text{BE:SJ } & & \text{for } \text{'steal',} \\ & \text{for } \text{for } \text{for } \text{'steal',} \\ & \text{for } \text{fo$ 

//Cle-// 'diminutive': BG:GS næneč'ó 'one young one', BG:GS mæmók'<sup>W</sup> 'all (of a subgroup)', BG:LG čæčítmex<sup>W</sup> (e Halkomelemized for i of other speakers) 'little owl' and BG:LG čælæčítmex<sup>W</sup> 'little owls'--BG:LG čítmix<sup>W</sup> 'owl'.

//C<sub>1</sub> =-// 'diminutive': PA:GS lel6?0in and BG:LG lel6?cin 'little plate'--BG:LG l6?cin 'plate', LT:LG  $sx^{W} ex^{W} e^{2} e^{2}$ 'awfully hungry'--LT:LG  $x^{W} e^{(2)}$  'starving' (literally, to death), BE:LG &'e&'i?e\*' 'short', PA:GS pepee?e06‡ 'young bear'.

//- $C_1 = - -2C_1(e) - //(after V_1)$  'diminutive': BE:SJ słźten?ey? 'little girl (about 3 yrs.)'--BG:SJ słźney? 'woman', BE:LG cóceqet 'young tree', LT:LG šź?št 'trail' --LT:LG šźt 'road, [larger] trail', BE:SJ stó?tel?æw? 'creek'--BE:SJ stól?æw? 'river'.

?//-C1(0)-// (after V1) '(derivational)': PF:GS ±i±q' ~ ±iq' ~ ±oq' 'always; usually', PA:GS číč± 'up, up above'.

//Cle-~Cli-// + stress shift to last vowel 'durative
aspect' (cf. Suttles 1980 for Musqueam Halkomelem):
PA:GS x<sup>y</sup> ex<sup>y</sup>k,<sup>w</sup> om ~ x<sup>y</sup> ix<sup>y</sup>k,<sup>w</sup> om and BG:LG šišk,<sup>w</sup> om? 'swim for
pleasure'--BG:LG šok,<sup>w</sup> om? 'bathe', PA:GS t'et'ečím 'swim
around'--PA:GS t'íčim 'to swim'.

//C<sub>1</sub> $\circ$ C<sub>2</sub>-// 'plural (many [of subj., obj., actions, nominals])': BG:SJ słenłźeney? 'women', BG:GS, LT:GS p'eč'p'fč't 'lots of charcoal'--BG:GS p'fč't 'charcoal', BG:GS kemkźemič 'cabbages'--BG:GS kźemič 'cabbage', BG:SJ ?ey teptóponæm? 'being hit [repeatedly]', LT:GS penpónex<sup>W</sup> 'see them' and LT:LG penpónex<sup>W</sup> 'seen it a lot of times, seen them (lots of people)', LT:GS c'ex<sup>W</sup>c'ex<sup>W</sup>tźen 'several people being baptized (for highborn)([LT:LG] at naming ceremony)', BE:SJ niynźeyem? 'people laughing'--BE:SJ nźeyem? 'one person laughing', BE:SJ 'em'fmeš 'they (group of people) walking', BG:LG sq'elq'ólp'iq<sup>W</sup> 'person having curly hair' (q'el- 'tangle', -p' 'on itself', -iq<sup>W</sup> 'hair, top of head'), PA:GS t'elt'źelaw? 'both arms', BE:SJ ?em'fmæc 'grandchildren' (see also less frequent variant under -C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-), BE:SJ soq'<sup>W</sup>sóq'<sup>W</sup>æy 'all the cousins from the younger sibling'. //Cle?é-// 'comparative': BG:SJ le?éelok' 'older'-BG:SJ lók' 'old, old person', BG:SJ č'e?éeč'ik' 'shorter' ~
'short'--BE:SJ č'éeč'ik' 'short', LT:LG k<sup>W</sup>e?éeq<sup>W</sup>s (?) and
BE:LG k<sup>W</sup>e?éek<sup>W</sup>s and PA:GS k<sup>W</sup>?éek<sup>W</sup>s 'narrow' (prob. [k<sup>W</sup>e?éek<sup>W</sup>Is]
/k<sup>W</sup>e?éek<sup>W</sup>is/--cf. UHk 'We?fis 'narrow'), LT:GS p'e?éep'ic'(t)
and LT:LG p'e?fp'ič't 'small piece of charcoal', LT:LG
s(e)?ásiq<sup>W</sup> 'younger' (cf. UHk s?áseq<sup>W</sup>t 'younger (sibling)'),
LT:LG x<sup>W</sup>e?á?x<sup>W</sup>e' 'light(weight)'), BE:SJ me?éem?in 'little; small
piece' and PA:SJ mæ?éemin? 'small'--BE:SJ mín? 'little,
something small'.

//Cli-, Cli?-// 'diminutive': BG:SJ sqwiqweney? 'little dog'--BG:SJ sqwenéy? ~ sqwenáy? 'dog', BG:SJ c'ic'qwáy? 'trout'--BG:SJ s-c'óqwey? 'salmon', BG:LG ciceqet 'a little tree'--BG:LG cqset 'tree (or post, anything that sticks up)' (cf. BE:LG céceqet 'young tree' alternative under -Cleabove), BG:SJ mi?mæn? s?f?ixweł 'baby [lit. "very small child"]', BG:LG ?ey k'fk'elexem 'a little crackling'--BG:LG ?ey k'selexem tæ hón 'the fire crackles', BG:LG ší?šiwæ? 'to urinate (a little)(of female)'--BG:LG šíwæ? 'to urinate (of female)', LT:SJ t'ft'ičim 'to swim around' [or poss. 'to swim a little'], LT:SJ t'ft'oxw 'white fir' (cf. UHk t'áxw and Ld t'úxwac both 'white fir').

//-C1(?)-// 'person classifier (with numeral one)':
BG:LG, BG:SJ non?č'ó? and PA:GS, BE:SJ nonč'ó? 'one person'
--BG:SJ, LT:LG, etc. nóč'o? 'one'.

//Clo-// 'person classifier (with numeral two)': BG:SJ
soséli? 'two people' and BG:LG nux<sup>W</sup>-sosél?i? 'two people
in canoe, car or on horseback'--LT:LG, BG:SJ, etc. séli?'two'.

For examples of 'plural'  $//-C_1f_1//$ ,  $//C_1v_1C_2-//$ , and  $//C_1ee- ~ C_1e-//$  see discussion below under PF:GS materials.

2. Discussion. The examples above show three varieties of ablaut and 24 varieties of reduplication. One ablaut, -Aá-, as noted above, is clearly a borrowing from UHk. The other two are derivational, the change signifying a shift in meaning but not apparently adding consistent meaning. More types and more examples will surely be found. Not

much more can be said at present without further examples.

In tabulating the forms and meanings of reduplication types above, some patterns emerge. In trying to determine whether one type is  $-V_1C_2$ - (infixed after  $C_2$ ) or  $-C_2V_1$ -(infixed after  $V_1$ ), it turns out that all other reduplication types begin with a consonant and that all infixed reduplication is infixed after  $V_1$  of the root. Thus it is more likely that we have  $-C_2V_1$ - infixed after  $V_1$  than the vowel-initial alternative infixed after a consonant.

To summarize the types then:

? -?V <sub>1</sub> - ? 'pl. actors'	C <sub>1</sub> æ- 'dim.'
C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> - ? 'pl.'	Class-/Cle- 'bl' (exx. pelow)
$-\overline{C}_1\overline{V}_1 - $ 'dim.'	C <sub>1</sub> e- 'dim.'
-C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> -, -C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> <sup>2</sup> - ? 'resultative/ dispositional'	$-\overline{C}_1 = -2C_1(e) - dim.'$
	? -C <sub>l</sub> e- '(deriv.)'
$-C_1V_1-mC_1e-m$ 'pl.'	C <sub>1</sub> e- ~ C <sub>1</sub> i- + stress shift
-C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> - ? 'affectionate/pet name'	to last V 'durative'
C1V1C2- 'pl.' (see exx. below)	C10C2- 'pl.'
-C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> - 'p1.'	C <sub>1</sub> = ? & - 'comparative'
$-C_1V_1C_2(C_3)$ 'dispositional'	C <sub>1</sub> 1-, C <sub>1</sub> 1?- 'dim.'
$-C_2V_1$ - '(deriv.)'	-C <sub>1</sub> i- 'pl.' (exx. below)
CleC2(C3)- 'dispositional/ completive'	-C1(?)- 'person classif.'
C16C2(C3)- 'dispositional'	C <sub>l</sub> ə- 'person classif.'

Twelve of these are prefixed, ten are infixed, and only two are suffixed. All duplicate root material only, never material from prefixes or suffixes. Ten involve exact duplication of  $V_1$ , while 14 add a new vowel; five involve adding /?/ at some place in the reduplication (sometimes sporadic due to UHk influence--UHk usually replaces /?/ adjacent to consonant with /·/). Three dispositional affixes copy  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  and (if present in the root)  $C_3$ , if either  $C_2$  or  $C_3$  are /?/. Six types of reduplication copy both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , 16 types copy  $C_1$  but not  $C_2$ ; only one type copies  $C_2$  without  $C_1$ , and only one type does not copy any consonant (it adds /?/ instead).

Some types can be grouped together by similarity of form and function. For example,  $C_1 = -C_1 - both$  involve

stress shift to the last vowel and both have a durative meaning. Further since all are reduplicative in form, all those with identical function can be grouped together as allomorphs, predictable from root forms and/or root meanings. Thus  $-{}^{7}V_{1}$ ,  $C_{1}V_{1}$ ,  $-C_{1}V_{1}$ -m (~  $-C_{1}\circ$ -m),  $C_{1}V_{1}C_{2}$ ,  $-C_{1}V_{1}C_{2}$ ,  $C_{1}$ æ-/ $C_{1}\circ$ -,  $C_{1}\circ C_{2}$ , and  $-C_{1}i$ - are all allomorphs of a 'plural' reduplicating morpheme, say  $\{-R_{1}\}$  (shown here on the morphological level with a suffix hyphen to show it is an affix though it has prefixed, infixed, and suffixed allomorphs. Sample allomorphic rules follow (all infixed reduplication follows  $V_{1}$  of the root):

- - → //C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>-// with sqée 'older cousin' + -tæn/-ten 'reciprocal', k'<sup>W</sup>ót'æp 'flea', q'ix-éelos 'iris (black of eye)', others

$$\rightarrow$$
 //-C<sub>1</sub>1-//, //C<sub>1</sub>æ- or C<sub>1</sub>e-//, //C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-// in  
PF:GS material only so far (see below)

→ //-C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-// 'plural (many, usu. collective)' elsewhere in free variation with //C<sub>1</sub>eC<sub>2</sub>-// 'plural (many [of subj./obj./actions--with verbs], many [with nominals])'

The last two allomorphs are both attested with at least two of the same roots, 'imæc 'grandchild' and  $sóq^{,W}æy$ ' (æ ~ e) 'child of younger sibling of parent; younger sibling'; SJ uses both with 'imæc with no distinction in meaning; BE:LG reports the infix in  $sóq^{,W}soq^{,W}ay(?)$  'younger siblings' and BE:SJ reports the prefix in  $soq^{,W}soq^{,W}æy$  'all the cousins from the [parent's] younger sibling'. So it seems the latter allomorphs of  $-R_1$  are in free variation in the language, with speakers settling at times for their own favorites. The slight variations of meaning are allosemes predictable semantically or in free variation.

 $\{-R_2\}$  'diminutive'  $\rightarrow$  //-C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>-// 'affectionate/pet name' with ten 'mother'

٠,

1

90

poss. x % c' (bound) (yielding 'crazy'), poss. others. → //-C, V,-// with pónex<sup>w</sup> 'see s-th/s-o', ?æs-šéem (bound?) 'stative-shallow', ?æs-qæm (bound?)

'stative-calm (of water)', poss. others

As Suttles 1980 notes, when coining the term "dispositional aspect" for Musqueam, a dialect of Downriver Halkomelem:

> "The form can indicate that there is an inclination or propensity for the action or quality expressed by the root or that the action occurs repeatedly. (In some of its usages this form might be called 'potential', while in others 'habitual' or even 'iterative'; I offer 'dispositional' hoping to convey something of this range of meaning.)"

The Nooksack -R<sub>h</sub> forms are cognate in function and sometimes also in form with Suttles Musqueam dispositional forms. The Nooksack -Rz forms are not cognate in form with the Musqueam dispositional forms Suttles cites, but they are similar in semantic function in a number of cases. This dispositional aspect, as described by Suttles, is also found in UHk -R2, cognate in form and function (Galloway 1977: 105-107), though I called it 'inherent continuative' then, less appropriate than 'dispositional'.

- $\{-R_{\mu}\}$  'dispositional aspect' (prob. petrified and now derivational)  $\rightarrow //-C_1 V_1 C_2 (C_3) //$  with a number of bound roots not independently attested: xW-?6č, siy?, k, "óm?, wic (imitative of snipe cry), also with bound roots attested elsewhere: sél?-ten 'spindevice', s-t'il-Aé 'nominal-sing-deriv.', q'éx-el? 'rattle-get/inceptive' (> 'tin, tin can'), and one root rarely free, k<sup>W</sup>éy? '[cry of a] bluejay', and others
  - $\rightarrow$  //-C<sub>1</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  C<sub>2</sub>-// with qix (bound) 'slip, slide', others  $\rightarrow$  //C<sub>1</sub> = C<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>)-// 'dispositional/completive' with some bound color term roots: kWik' 'red', xWik' 'grey', q'ix 'black', p'iq'W 'yellow' (but not others, like k<sup>W</sup>6q' 'white'), with <sup>?</sup>61yæ (bound) 'to dream, have a vision', qwic 'drift downstream, drown', q<sup>w</sup>61? 'cooked', gel?-11 (bound) 'dirt/badget/become', k<sup>W</sup> &l?-iws (bound) 'to hide-body'.others

- $\rightarrow$  //-(?)C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>-// 'diminutive' with yome 'spring salmon', q'émey? 'adolescent virgin girl', ?éxic 'lie down', net-ox<sup>W</sup> (bound) 'be night-past', x<sup>W</sup>-néet (bound) 'completive-night', poss. others
- $\rightarrow$  //C<sub>1</sub>æ-// with néč'o? 'one', mók'<sup>W</sup> 'all',

čítmix<sup>W</sup> 'owl', poss. others

۰ ۱

- $\rightarrow$  //C<sub>1</sub>e-// with lo<sup>2</sup>cin 'plate, pan', páe<sup>2</sup>æc-o<del>l</del> 'bear-offspring', s-x \* \* ?-æm 'nominal-starvingstrength (or middle voice)', č'f(?e)\*' (bound) 'short', and others
- $\rightarrow$  //-C<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$  -?C<sub>1</sub>( $\rightarrow$ )-// the latter with šéeł 'road, large trail', poss. others, the former with słźney? 'woman', stól?æw? 'river', c(@)qát 'tree' (yielding 'young tree'), miq'-tæn (bound?) 'sink-device', poss. others
- $\rightarrow$  //C<sub>1</sub>i- ~ C<sub>1</sub>i?-// the latter with min? ~ (bound) mén? 'little, s-th small', šíwæ? 'urinate (of female)', poss. others, the former with sq<sup>W</sup>eméy? 'dog', c'óq<sup>W</sup>ey? 'salmon' (yielding 'trout'), c(a)get 'tree' (yielding 'little tree'), s-?ix<sup>W</sup>-oł (bound) 'nominal-person-offspring', \* '&lexem 'crackle', t'ičim 'swim' (yielding 'swim around'), t'óx<sup>W</sup> (bound?) 'white fir', and others

With verbs, the 'diminutive' semantic component can apply to the action (do it a little), the subject (a little person does it), or the object (do it to little things, do it resulting in little things); most examples so far, however, apply it to the action. Where there are both alternants with and without /?/ added, the alternant without may be so-influenced by UHk. Notice that both  $-C_1 = -$  and  $C_1 = -$  are attested as diminutives with c(e)qaet 'tree' with a slight differentiation of meaning, 'young tree' vs. 'little tree'. Since this meaning distinction is not maintained elsewhere with the suffixes, I suspect both meanings are allowed for both suffixes where the appro-

 $\{-R_z\}$  ?'resultative/dispositional'  $\rightarrow //-C_1 V_1^{2} //$  with s-ničim 'story', ničim-towæl? 'tell stories to one another', 'æs-wæq-ec 'stative (have)-male-spouse',

priate semantic context is present.

 $\rightarrow$  //C<sub>1</sub>&C<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>)-// with q&en? 'steal',  $\lambda$ '&x<sup>W</sup> 'hard' (> 'oyster'), others

{-R<sub>5</sub>} 'durative aspect' → //C<sub>1</sub> =- <sup>f</sup> C<sub>1</sub>i-// + stress shift to last vowel: with šók'<sup>W</sup>-om? 'bathe', t'íč-im 'swim', and others

The first example of  $-R_5$  is cognate with an example of Musqueam 'durative' in Suttles 1980. The formal similarity extends to the stress shift pattern.as well.

{-R<sub>6</sub>} 'comparative' → //C<sub>1</sub> ∘?&-// everywhere. It is found with adjectival verbs such as 16<sup>k</sup>, 'old', či<sup>k</sup>,' (bound) 'short', k<sup>W</sup>is (bound) 'narrow', min? 'lit- tle, s-th small', x<sup>W</sup>æ(?) (related to x<sup>W</sup>&? 'starving'?, > 'lightweight'), siq<sup>W</sup> (not attested elsewhere, poss. 'young'), and perhaps with nominal p'fč't 'charcoal' (> 'small piece of charcoal', i.e. 'diminutive' allo-seme)

The element / $?^{\bullet}/$  is probably closely related (but not identical) to lexical suffix  $-?^{\bullet}$  'like, similar' in  $tx^{W}-te?^{\bullet}$ 'than; towards',  $c-e?^{\bullet}$  'this (female)' (vs.  $c-iy^{\bullet}$  'that one (female)', ?iste?^{\bullet} 'like, similar' (UHk has cognates with some of these and adds  $x^{Wi}-x^{W}(e)-e?^{\bullet}-qel$  'mimic, talk alike', lit. 'dim.-become-like-in voice/language', and others).  $\{-R_{7}\}$  'person classifier'  $\rightarrow$  //- $C_{1}(?)-//$  with néč'o? 'one'

→ //C<sub>1</sub> e-// with sæli? <sup>•</sup>two'

Maria

The suffix - & i 'person classifier, people' is used with the remaining numerals.

- $\{-R_{9}\}$  '(derivational)'  $\rightarrow$  //-C<sub>1</sub>( $\Rightarrow$ )-// with  $\pm iq$ ' 'always, usually',  $\xi i \pm$  (bound) 'upper, above, high'

So far it seems that none of the allomorphs of reduplication are predictable purely from phonological features and few from semantic classes; most are lexically-determined.

Co-occurrence of more than one type of reduplication is not common but does occur. An alternate plural formation

to -R, exists, namely the -æl- ~ -el- infix, inserted after C1 of the root or reduplicative prefix. This infix is used frequently instead of  $-R_1$  and is preferred to  $-R_1$  when  $-R_2$ 'diminutive' is present. In a table I compiled from file slips of PA, 21 out of 27 words for flora, fauna and body parts (i.e., animate items) had -R<sub>1</sub> rather than -æl-/-elplurals. But 20 of the 21 replaced  $-R_1$  with -el-/-ael- as plural when inflected also with -R2 'diminutive'. The diminutive reduplication is prefixed first; then the -al-/-ælis infixed after  $C_1$  in the diminutive prefix (but not after C1 of lexical prefixes, such as x<sup>w</sup>-, nox<sup>w</sup>-, tx<sup>w</sup>-, ±-, nor after s- nominalizer). Only one of the 21 examples from PA did not shift to -el-/-æl- in diminutive plural, and it shifted reduplication allomorphs (from C<sub>1</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>- 'plural' to C<sub>1</sub>æ- 'plural'): PA:GS mit' 'blue grouse', met'mit' 'blue grouses', memít' 'little blue grouse', mæmemít' 'little blue grouses'. Inanimate nominals and verbs in this list also took originally, or shifted to, -el-/-æl- plurals in combination with diminutives. No clear preference for -R, or -el-/-æl- plurals was apparent for non-diminutive verbs and inanimates in the list.

Paul Fetzer gathered several thousand examples of plurals, about 100 diminutives, and about 100 diminutive plurals from GS. So it seems these inflections are very productive, though perhaps not as productive as Fetzer thought. He may have been leading GS a little further through using Sapir's article on Comox reduplication to elicit. In Fetzer's file slips (2.1157-2.1160, my numbering) there is a detailed note on George Swanaset's attitude toward diminutives:

"Note: DIMINUTIVES. G.S. did not like to give these forms. Whether this dislike was 'associational' in character or was due to general boredom with 'vocabulary collecting', I cannot say. However, he flatly refused to give dim, for non-living items ('VIZ. the exceptions which were brought out in story-telling), and he argued rather petulantly about 'creating' dim. for botanical items. His comment usually was: 'Well, this is not "legal," i.e., would never be used in speech, but if it were it would go like the following (a form would then be "created").' And thruout, even when discussing fauna items, he would prefer to suffix -of, 'baby', to a particular morpheme or word. In addition, any attempts to make <u>dim</u>. by asking for 'little bear' or 'baby cougar' were singularly futile. In these cases he would resort to normal affixational devices to produce the desired form: prefixing [preposing] emf?mIn 'little' or suffixing -ol 'baby'. He would only produce <u>dim</u>, when I asked for the 'offspring' of an item. It is to be observed that this linkage in G.S.'s mind renders the obtaining of <u>dim</u>, for non-living items extremely difficult. If they exist, they can be elicited only thru text-transcription and the chance uncovering of them."

. .

In PF's section of 84 file slips after a divider labelled, "diminutives", indeed only one is inanimate, <xáxačo> 'little lake'--<xelá`xačó> 'pl. [+ dim.]'; several are animate though perhaps non-living to non-Indians: <stc:tc.čos> /stæ?ætæčos/ 'little cadaver'--pl. [+ dim.] <stelí`tećčcčó`s> /stælfte?æčæčós/ [over-reduplicated] and <šwUné?nɛm> /šx<sup>w</sup>-ní?næm/ 'little medicine man's power' or? 'medicine man's little power'--<šwUné?nɛ'ɛm> /šx<sup>w</sup>ní?næ?æm/ pl. [+ dim.] Fetzer obtained few, if any, diminutives of verbs.

Pamela Amoss's work with GS produced non-animate diminutives more easily, as did work with SJ and LG (by PA, BE, LT, BG). It is hard to tell for certain whether GS's initial animate-only diminutives reflected an original Nooksack restriction or was more idiolectal. Other Central Salish languages, however, seem more tolerant of diminutives in non-animates and verbs than PF's work with GS implies.

One feature of the diminutive plurals PF obtained is a higher percentage of probably erroneous, over-reduplicated and over-pluralized forms, such as 'little cadavers' above, <spelf pInp&snux<sup>W</sup>> 'little black onions' (vs. BG:SJ sp&nænx<sup>W</sup> 'camas') and PF:GS <self se ls & wU0 >> 'little herrings' (vs. PF:GS <s & wU0 >> 'herring'). There are 16 such forms showing irregular combinations of plural reduplication with plural -el-/-æl- infix, or double diminutives with two diminutive reduplications, etc. These seem clearly errors, perhaps from a variety of causes: lack of a real dim. or dim. pl. for these forms, problems with memory, difficult or seldom-used forms, over-insistent elicitation, etc.

Regarding plurals, the huge number of PF:GS examples

reflects patterns much more productive than those for diminutive and found with verbs of all types as well as with nominals. For example, PF:GS has the following (phonemicization mine): spiw? 'ice'--pl. spo[w]piw? (//s-pew-piw?//), sk<sup>w</sup>æyil 'daý'--sk<sup>w</sup>i[y]k<sup>w</sup>æyil (//s-k<sup>w</sup>ey-k<sup>w</sup>æy-il//), g'æmey? 'adolescent virgin girl'--pl. q'élæmey? ~ q'emq'émey. hæłáwes q'áney 'nicely-built young woman'--pl. hæłhæłáwes q'emq'émey, q'ést'eem 'sweet'--pl. q'et'q'ést'eem, k'"éss te hón 'the fire is hot'--pl. k'"æsk'" æs te henhón, póyon 'to bend [s-th]'--pl. pipóyon (//pey-póy-on//  $< //C_1 eC_2 - p\delta y - V_1 n//)$ , 1(0)ómon 'to spear (s-th)'--pl. 1ælómon, to?11 'certain (one)' --pl. tæ?te?fl, qéys 'at present'--pl. qeyqéys, tx<sup>W</sup>te?é 'which way?' (also 'toward, than')--pl. tx<sup>W</sup>téelæ?ée. móq<sup>,w</sup>ænæs tæ čælix<sup>y</sup>s 'he clenches his fist'--pl. móq<sup>,W</sup>móq<sup>,W</sup>ænáes tæ čelčáelix<sup>y</sup>s, héw<sup>?</sup>k<sup>W</sup>æ '[to be/to have] none'--pl. heyhéwk<sup>W</sup>æ (?)(e may be æ throughout this word), k<sup>W</sup>owsetses 'someone' (//k<sup>W</sup>e-wet-æs// 'demonstrative-who?subjunctive 3rd person') -- pl. wetwetees (at times the demonstrative element appears prefixed and at times preposed in the cognate UHk words as well), kWéq' 'white'--pl. k<sup>W</sup>ák<sup>W</sup>eq<sup>9</sup> (/a/ probably /æ/ here).

The above examples show plural reduplication on everything from animate and inanimate nominals to adjectival verbs, adverbial verbs, negative verbs, indefinite nominals (with demonstrative prefixes and interrogative verb roots), and some color terms (adjectival verbs). Unfortunately, with verbs PF seldom indicates what is pluralized: the subject, the object, or the action. But there is evidence for all three types from a few sentence examples and from verbs whose action can only be performed once by the same speaker. Undoubtedly semantic context almost always disambiguates (as in the sentence examples and texts we have).

Just as the context disambiguates what is pluralized semantically, so a plural context often allows plural (and diminutive) inflection to be dropped. Thus, as in Halkomelem and other Salish languages, plural inflection is usually optional, seldom obligatory. Verbs with plural inflection

95

are attested simultaneously with all Nooksack transitivizers, reflexives, statives, inceptives, passives, somatic suffixes, middle voice, prefixes, etc. Continuative ?æy(?) - ?ey can also precede such verbs, and in PF:GS it is sometimes used in place of plural inflection to imply plural. It is not really being used as a plural, of course, as can be seen from sets with and without both ?æy(?) and plural inflection. In one place (2.544, [box 2, card 544]) FF glosses ?ھy-elep alone as 'continuative plural (people working together on something, <u>steadily</u>, <u>without cessation of activity</u>)'; -elep 'second person plural possessive' here provides the 'plural' feature.

In the PF:GS material there are several additional allomorphs of  $-R_1$  'plural':  $-C_1i-$ ,  $C_1V_1C_2-$ , and  $C_1æ- - C_1e-$ . Another plural affix, i-, is also found prefixed to a few roots beginning in /y/ or /w/ and preceded by the s- (- š-) nominalizer, just as in UHk. Some examples follow.

/i-/: swi'qæ 'man'--pl. qéx siwi'qæ 'lots of men', šyówen 'guardian-spirit complex, power song'--pl. šiyiwen (both ó and í here probably represent //ś//), šyó'wæ 'old woman who gets astral messages'--pl. šiyi'wæ, šyic'æm 'sand bar'--pl. siyic'æm (note that all GS material quoted with /c'/, as here, would be pronounced by other speakers with /č'/--the /c'/ is Halkomelemized by GS, as are /c,  $\theta$ ,  $\theta$ '/ and /x<sup>y</sup>/, respectively /č, c, c', š/ for other Nooksack speakers), šyix<sup>w</sup>oł 'Fraser River cance type--pl. šiyix<sup>w</sup>oł (-oł here may be -ow(e)ł 'cance'). Other y- and w-initial roots have other plurals. /i-/ is not reduplicative but is mentioned in passing here. It is also confirmed in BE:SJ.

//-Cli-//: PF:GS q<sup>W</sup>émtæn 'blanket (mt. goat hair but used for cover)'--pl. q<sup>W</sup>eq<sup>W</sup>ímtæn, k<sup>W</sup>émelox<sup>W</sup> 'root'--pl. k<sup>W</sup>ek<sup>W</sup>ímelox<sup>W</sup>, k<sup>W</sup>óx<sup>W</sup>tæn 'ring in dip-net'--pl. k<sup>W</sup>ek<sup>W</sup>íx<sup>W</sup>tæn, lówex 'rib'--pl. lælíwex, méqsen 'nose'--pl. mæmí?qsæn, swóq<sup>W</sup>æł 'blanket of mt. goat and dog hair [ornate, ceremonial measure of wealth]'--pl. s[w]owíq<sup>W</sup>æł, séx<sup>W</sup>ætæn 'bladder (man/animal)'--pl. sesíx<sup>W</sup>ætæn, sm60'qin 'brain'--pl. s[m]emí0'qin, šq<sup>W</sup>élten 'language'--pl. šq<sup>W</sup>aq<sup>W</sup>ílten,  $\S_x^{W_2}$ éninii títie 'jugular vein'--pl.  $\S_x^{W_2}$ e?íninii títie,  $\S_w \delta_w @`$  'cougar'--pl.  $\S_w owiw @(/@/ here may be /ə/ in both),$ e' $\delta_w @wx$  'basket made of bark strips'--pl.  $\Theta$ ' $\Theta$ 'fw@wx. This reduplication often seem to also have Aæ or Aə preceding it and changing  $V_1$  of the root to /@/ or /ə/. The roots each have a bilabial or labialized consonant as  $C_1$  or  $C_2$ , but this may just be accidental. Most roots with a labial or labialized  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  lack this allomorph of 'plural'  $-R_1$ . This reduplication is also found, rarely, in file cards of PA:GS, for example, PA:GS k $^W \delta k ^W @ l @ 'hemlock'--pl.$  $k^W ok ^W f k ^W @ l @ , and mégsen 'nose'--pl. memígsen.$ 

//C,V,C,-//: PF:GS hælf 'the living (person alive)-pl. hælhælf, hæłhæłéwes q'emq'émey 'nicely-built young women' (seen above), k'<sup>w</sup>æsk'<sup>w</sup>æs te henhón 'the fires are hot' (seen above), nétæl s?ílen 'morning meal'--pl. nætnétæl s'ilan (note, as in pl. of 'jugular vein', that the adjectival is pluralized but not the nominal; both are pluralized in 'nicely-built young women' and some other NP's), pag?aek " deli 'pipe stem'--pl. pæk<sup>w</sup>pæ?æk<sup>w</sup>éeli, sq6?ox<sup>w</sup> '(young) shoot'-pl. sqoxq6<sup>?</sup>ox<sup>W</sup> (x prob. sic for x<sup>W</sup>), x<sup>y</sup>ic' 'sliver'--pl. x<sup>y</sup>ic'x<sup>y</sup>ic'.(other speakers would use šíč' as the root), ?&y?x 'crab'--pl. ?&y?&y?x, ?6x<sup>W</sup>nitem 'to go after (seek)' ['be sought' prob. more accurate] -- ?ox<sup>W</sup>?óx<sup>W</sup>nitem, q'éet'exem 'a racket (of pots rattling, etc.)'--pl. q'æt'q'æt'exem (/t'/ prob. error for /t/, cf. UHk q'étxem with same meaning), PA:GS ? #1 qay? 'snake' -- pl. ? #1? #1 qay?. These may result in part from replacement of /e/ by /æ/ in slow, careful speech (thus C1 aco- may really be C1 eC2-); the two forms with CloC2- would have to be errors, and the one form with  $C_1 i C_2$  an error or UHk influence: PF has  $[x^y I c^* x^y f c^*]$ . There are some cases in PF:GS of  $/ \Rightarrow /$  realized as [I] in environments where UHk would require  $/ \approx / \rightarrow [I]$  (between  $/x^{y}/$ and /c'/ for example). In Nooksack [I], however, is an unstressed allophone of /i/ and not of /a/.

//Clæ- ~ Clé- ~ Clo-//: PF:GS č-woltéc 'owner (of power or physical property)'--pl. čwæwoltéc (č- and wol- are usually prefixes, see Galloway 1983b, 1984a), k<sup>w</sup>íx 'a person who runs around with everyone (very loose)' (prob. 'to sleep around, be promiscuous' is more accurate gloss)--pl.  $k^{w}ek^{w}fx$  ( $k^{w}exk^{w}fx$ , however, appears as 'repeatedly loose (immoral)' in phrases for 'man who commits adultery' and 'woman who commits adultery'),  $\frac{1}{2}-s6q^{2}\frac{1}{2}q\&lc'$  'half moon' --pl.  $\frac{1}{2}s\&sq^{2}\frac{1}{2}q\&lc'$ , ?æs-læ?æm 'resident, co-resident' --pl. ?æslælæ?æm ~ ?æslælæ?æm (vs. PF:GS,PA:GS lelælæm? 'houses, winter houses', which can be interpreted as lel-lælæm? with  $C_{1}eC_{2}$ - from root PA:GS,PF:GS lælæm? 'house, winter house'), possibly  $he(y)héwk^{w}æ$  (or hæ(y)héwk<sup>w</sup>æ 'none (plural)' (see above, /y/ would have to be an error), possibly  $k^{w}ák^{w}eq'$  'white (plural)' if PF <a> here is /æ/, as it often is. These are the only examples so far, and most have phonological or morphological oddities, making the reduplication type uncertain as 'plural'.

Phonologically a number of observations about Nooksack reduplication can be added at this point. Addition of reduplication often helps clarify the form of the root. For example,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of the root stand out in PF:GS  $\star$ 'el $\star$ 'l $\star$ 'l $\star$ ' 'shovel-nose cances' and PF:GS seq'seq' $\star$ yt 'messengers'; with singular  $\star$ 'l $\star$ ' there could be doubt about the presence of the /l/ phonetically; with singular sq' $\star$ yt the /s/ seems more like (s-) nominalizer than a root consonant, but if it were the nominalizer the plural would be 'sq'eyq' $\star$ yt or 'sq'el $\star$ yt.

Stressed suffixes with unstressed root retain stress even with stressed reduplication (multiple primary stress is allowed). Some examples can be seen above. Also illustrated above is the fact that //ew// and //ey// formed from reduplication often sound like [o(w)] and [i(y)], respectively. They are often transcribed as [o] and [i] by PF and others. This is a feature in common with UHk as well.

/?/ between identical vowels doesn't count as  $C_2$  for  $-R_1$ . For example (all PF:GS): pæk<sup>W</sup>pæe?æk<sup>W</sup>éeli 'pipe stems' and p'ek<sup>W</sup>p'ée?æk<sup>W</sup> pl. of p'ée?æk<sup>W</sup> 'pipe, smoke, stove' (in all three words /p'/ and /k'<sup>W</sup>/ are likely, cf. UHk p'ék'<sup>W</sup>-et 'to smoke it (meat, fish, hides)', pæ?æθół 'bear cub-- pl. pæpé?æθół, sé?æqélin 'fern [bracken branches or ends]' --pl. seqsé?æqéelin, sqó?ox<sup>W</sup> !(young) shoot'--pl. sqoxqó?ox<sup>W</sup>, spé?æθ 'bear'--pl. spæpée?æθ, swé?ælt 'forest'--pl. swelwé?ælt, s?é?æwot 'younger generation'--pl. s?o[w]?é?æwot.

Verbs are attested with similar variety of reduplication types as nominals, for example,  $C_1 \circ C_2 - , -C_1 \vee_1 C_2, C_1 \vee_1 C_2 - ,$  $C_1 \approx - C_1 \circ - ,$  (also - $\circ 1 - / - \approx 1 - )$  for 'plural' - $R_1$ , etc. Different types or allomorphs of - $R_1$  are even attested with the same roots in some cases. For example (all PF:GS):  $x \circ 1 - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + x \circ 1 + - \circ 1 + -$ 

Since PF's material is so rich in plurals, it also fortunately includes at least 44 examples of  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$ ,  $R_5$ , and  $R_9$  with plural  $R_1$  reduplication or with -el-/-ælplural infix. I've already discussed the interaction of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , where  $R_1$  shifts to the -el-/-æl- infix rather than permit two reduplications. This is true of three or four examples with  $R_3$ , eight with  $R_4$ , and four with  $R_5$ . The other plurals actually show multiple reduplication of  $R_1$  with  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$ ,  $R_5$ , and  $R_9$ . Thus:

-R<sub>3</sub> + -el-: q'Wáq'Way 'fish pole'--pl. q'Weláq'Way, 6'&6'æxWem 'blue'--pl. 6'elæxWe'æxWem, lælæm 'winter house' --pl. lelælæem (but cf. PA:GS lælæem? 'house'--pl. lellælæem?); -R<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>-: qf?qe 'tender or soft (of meat, leather, etc.)' --pl. qeqf?qe; -R<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-: ?eswæ?wæqee 'woman living with a man (in old days man and wife)'--pl. ?eswæqwæ?wæqee; and some dubious, over-pluralized examples:  $-R_3 + C_1V_1 - + -æl-:$ q'æq'æw 'skate (fish)'--pl. q'ælæq'æq'æw, cčæčæl-kWom 'how soon (will it be)?'--pl. cčælæčæčælkWom, and šx<sup>y</sup>œxyæm 'shallow'--pl. šx<sup>y</sup>ælæx<sup>y</sup>œx<sup>y</sup>æm;  $-R_3 + C_1eC_2 - + -C_2V_2-(?):$ 

97

100

yúyuméc 'butterfly'--pl.yemyúm?æmæc. -R<sub>3</sub> is ?'resultative/dispositional'.

99

-R, 'dispositional' + -əl-/-æl-: k<sup>W</sup>ək'k<sup>W</sup>ik' 'red'--pl. k<sup>w</sup>ælæk'k<sup>w</sup>ík', q'exq'íx 'black'--pl. q'ælexq'íx, q'exq'íx šwæ@iten 'thunderhead'--pl. q'elexq'ix šwæ@iten (the second word is 'cloud' and may be  $\mathbf{\tilde{sx}^{W}}\mathbf{\hat{e}\Theta}$ iten, cf. UHk  $\mathbf{\tilde{sx}^{W}}\mathbf{\hat{e}\Theta}$ etel), p'ek<sup>W</sup>p'ék<sup>W</sup>tæn 'swimming log, cork on gill-nets'--pl. p'élæp'ék<sup>w</sup>tæn, séy?sey? 'afraid'--pl. seléy?sey? (6 ~ í here), šx<sup>y</sup>ítx<sup>y</sup>it 'a covetous person'--pl. šx<sup>y</sup>ælítx<sup>y</sup>it, sk<sup>w</sup>ó0'k<sup>w</sup>o0' '[ruffed] grouse'--pl. sk<sup>w</sup>ælf0'k<sup>w</sup>o0' (f prob. error for ó); -R<sub>µ</sub> + -i-?: <sác?sa?c> (these brackets, normally for graphemic transcriptions, here mean PF's original phonetic spellings)(prob. s?ic'?ic') 'lazy person (wouldn't work)' (cf. UHk ?i.c'-el 'temporarily lazy')--pl. <sayicic> (poss. siy(?)ic'?ic', prob. an erroneous form);  $-R_4 + C_1 + C_2 + C_2$ q<sup>w</sup>ómq<sup>w</sup>æm 'strong (of body)'--pl. q<sup>w</sup>emq<sup>w</sup>ó[m]q<sup>w</sup>æm (UHk has  $/k^{,W}/$  not  $/q^{,W}/$  in its cognate),  $sx^{W}ayx^{W}oy$  'wood hoods of cedar and the custom involved [dance and ceremony]'-pl. sx<sup>W</sup>eyx<sup>W</sup>áyx<sup>W</sup>oy, <sup>2</sup>én<sup>2</sup>æn 'magpie'--pl. <sup>2</sup>en<sup>2</sup>én<sup>2</sup>æn, and k'éq'k'iq' 'sticky'--pl. k'eq'k'iq'k'iq' (singular prob. %'iq'h'iq' instead); -R<sub>4</sub> + -C<sub>1</sub>1-: sq'61q'i1 'muskrat'-pl. sq'eq'ilq'il, 0'ex0'ix 'nettle'--pl. 0'e0'ix0'ix, θ'δx<sup>W</sup>θ'æx<sup>W</sup> 'buzzard [osprey?]'--pl. θ'θθ'ix<sup>W</sup>θ'æx<sup>W</sup>, xómxem 'hawk'--pl. x >ximxæm (cf. UHk x >mximels 'large hawk, redtailed hawk' [root xim- 'grab'] vs. UHk xémxem 'giant horsetail reed, common horsetail reed');  $-R_{\mu} + C_1 V_1 C_2$ -: mit'met' 'limber'--pl. mit'mit'met', and x oiyx way (?) '(senile) feeble shaking (one person shaking all the time)' --pl. ?ey? x<sup>W</sup>oyx<sup>W</sup>ofyx<sup>W</sup>ay (/a/ prob. /e/); -R<sub>4</sub> + C<sub>1</sub>e-: q'ésq'isicæn 'spider'--pl. q'eq'ísq'esæcæn, and ?æsx<sup>y</sup>émx<sup>y</sup>em 'low tide'--pl. ?æsx<sup>y</sup>[@]x<sup>y</sup>émx<sup>y</sup>æm (/6/ Halkomelemized to [1]); erroneous form: k<sup>W</sup>ælk<sup>W</sup>elælk<sup>W</sup>ay 'bluejays'--k<sup>W</sup>æyk<sup>W</sup>æy? 'bluejay'.

-R<sub>5</sub> 'durative' + -əl-/-æl-: k'<sup>w</sup>ak'<sup>w</sup>is 'narrow'--pl. k'<sup>w</sup>élak'<sup>w</sup>is (/a/ prob. /ə/, /k'<sup>w</sup>/ prob. /q<sup>W</sup>/, cf. UHk q<sup>W</sup>eq<sup>W</sup>is 'narrow'), szazáy 'person always crying (also baby)'--pl. szalazáy (all /a/ here prob. /ə/ or /æ/), zəzilic 'caterpillar'--pl. xelxilfc ["painted on the back"], sqWoqWel 'speech'--pl. ?ey qWelaqWel; -R<sub>5</sub> + C<sub>1</sub>eC<sub>2</sub>-: šmam6k[W]os 'target for arrow'--pl. šmem?mam6k[W]os.

 $-R_q$  '(derivational)' +  $C_1 = :$   $\frac{1}{2}q$ ' often'--pl.  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

It is interesting to note a pair of words above with the same root and different reduplications:  $\xi_X y_{dex} y_{sem}$ 'shallow' and  $2 \epsilon_S - x y_{dex} y_{em}$  'low tide'. The former is over-pluralized with  $C_1 V_1 - + - \epsilon_1 - in$  the plural; the latter is pluralized with  $C_1 \circ - or C_1 V_1 - .$  Several others in the sets above are also over-pluralized, usually with reduplication plus  $-\epsilon_1 - -\epsilon_1 - infix$ . These are probably erroneous forms. Further research may turn up additional types of Nooksack reduplication, but new types must now be fairly rare.