Tarpent 1984 - From the Nisgha speaker's point of view - Corrections.

- 2.2. (In this section I insisted too much on the speaker's <u>power</u> to control an event. Instead these postclitics indicate the speaker's <u>evaluation</u> of an event as controllable or not, whether by the speaker or by someone else. This being understood I am not sure what labels to give the twwo postclitics <u>-giy</u> and <u>-gwa</u>; the chart will have to be changed as well).
- 2.2.I. The postclitic -giy (minatory?): Its use warns others of something which seems about to happen but is preventable by suitable means: 'Be careful, else this might happen'; 'I/you/we wouldn't want this to happen, so I/you/we have to be careful/reasonable'. Used for genuine concern as well as threat:

## concern:

T'igwantkw-giy hiin fall you

Niihitgwiy-giyhl asayin

Siipkw-giy hiiy sick I Be careful or you'll fall; be careful you don't fall

Be careful or I might step on your foot (so get out of my way)

I had to be careful not to be sick I could have been sick (but I was carefu)

threat: 'I wouldn't want this kar to happen, but it will unless you are "reasonable" 'Grizzly Bear examples:

Ado'o, yaltgwin, jakwdij-gif hiin!
go go back I kill you

Away, go back, I might kill you if you're not careful (since I am going berserk)

Nimts'axgwiy-giy hiin ...
I snuff in you

It wouldn't take much for me to snuff you in ...

In the last example of 2.2.I., the word Main is probably missing in Boas:

Gilo! gilo! Naxhayit-giy hiin ahl ts'im wilp don't he hears you PREP in house

Don't! don't! They/Someone might hear you in the house (so be careful)
... you/we wouldn't want them/someone to hear you...

## Note IO:

Yajiy-giy hiin

Be careful or I might strike you (so get out of my way / don't provoke me)

2.2.2. The postclitic -gwa (commiserative?): In the speaker's opinion the event could not have been prevented by any amount of effort; the victim is not responsible, hence is worthy of commiseration. Examples OK.

Note 2: gives too little credit to Boas and cites him erroneously.
Boas 19II mentions the following 5 posclitics under various headings:

p. 348: -ma (= -(i)ma'a) identified as a derivational suffix ("following the stem"): "maybe, perhaps".

p. 349: "modal suffixes following the pronominal suffixes" (this is a definition of the postclitics):

 $-g \cdot \hat{e}$  (= -gif) glossed in examples as "might". In the third example given, confused with #X - gi.

-gat (= -gat) "it is said"

p. 349: "demonstrative suffixes":

-g·ê (= -gi) " absence and distance"

-st (=-(i)st) "presence and nearness"