

SOME THOUGHTS ABOUT TRANSLATING MAKAH INTO ENGLISH

Ann M. Renker* and Steven J. Gill**
The Makah Language Program of
The Makah Cultural and Research Center
Neah Bay, Washington

The Makah Language Program produces linguistic material in written form for two distinct audiences: technical linguists and language learners. While the former group is certainly important, the MLP must take great pains to keep linguistic material accessible and interesting to the more important of the groups — the Makah people who currently study the language. It would be counterproductive to both enterprises to develop different sets of terminology and standards for representing Makah, so written material generated for each group is reconciled by a single set of generalized principles.

One of the principles which receives the most attention is the English translation which accompanies Makah stems, words, phrases, and sentences. Because Makah is radically different from English in the way it interprets and presents reality (Gill and Renker 1984; Renker and Gill 1984), translation into English can obscure salient facts about Makah unless great care is taken to remove English semantic bias from the translation. This care becomes more important when considering the purpose of the MLP — to teach and revitalize the language in the Makah community. Unless the new language learners really *understand* the way Makah relates to the physical and metaphysical, only a portion of the language is saved. Presenting Makah to English translations with as little English bias as possible can aid language learners to acquire Makah cognitive schemes. Technical linguists also benefit from this MLP preoccupation with non-biased translations, especially when applying data to questions regarding linguistic universals.

A very good example emerges from many early translations of Makah stems. These early English renditions gloss Makah stems such as *ha'u*, *kup-*, and *dač-* as 'to eat', 'to point', and 'to see', respectively. This discussion submits that the use of the infinitive form in the English translation imparts an unnecessary verbal quality to the Makah stems. This point becomes more apparent when considering other constructions which utilize these stems: *ha'ub* 'food', *kupu-yak* 'pointing stick', and *dadačeyik* 'fortune teller'. Unless the stems are represented as semantically neutral, the process of building contextually appropriate Makah words suited to syntactic placement is a difficult task for language learners. While linguists are trained to recognize the pitfalls which accompany for class distinctions in Northwest Coast languages (Jacobsen 1979b; Kinkade 1983; Renker 1985), the variability of these categories confuses language learners. This confusion is exacerbated by indicating that a stem resides in one form class because of the English translation. Consequently, the three stems listed previously are best translated as *ha'u* 'eat', *kup-* 'point', and *dač-* 'see'.

Another source of confusion for language learners comes from attempts to translate elements in Makah which cannot be approximated by English constructions. In this case, the MLP finds the most

productive translation is a gloss which describes the nature of the stem, rather than a gloss which produces an English equivalent not suited to the variety of Makah combinations (Gill and Renker, under review). The stem *ʔuxu-* provides a good example of this point. Translated originally as 'to be (so and so)' (Jacobsen 1979a), the stem actually indicates the emphasized existence of the subject of a sentence. While *ʔuxu-* is the appropriate stem for Makah sentences which convey names, i.e., *ʔuxu-s* 'I am John', the stem is not used to produce other constructions which approximate TO BE sentences in English. 'I am happy', for example, is constructed with the stem for happy, *ʔu-puk-* and the pronominal suffix *-s*: *ʔu-puks*. By glossing *ʔuxu-* as 'to be (so and so)', language learners are confused as to how to apply this stem in their own attempts to construct grammatical Makah sentences. Because the verb TO BE is a complex English phenomenon, many language learners carry this complexity to Makah as well. When the gloss for *ʔuxu-* is shifted to 'existential stem' the semantic connotations associated with English TO BE are alleviated. Language learners find it easier to understand that *ʔuxu-* specifies the existence of and emphasis on the subject of the sentence than to account for the fact that a 'to be' translation applies only in restricted circumstances.

Some of the examples to this point can be structurally deduced by linguists with little native speaker input. Other intricacies of the Makah to English translation quagmire require many hours of discussion with native speakers, often accompanied by vigorous bouts with a thesaurus to find a subtle English word which best typifies the Makah concept. While *but-*, *butq-*, *pač-*, *qač-*, and *čik-* can all receive the generic gloss 'cut', this assignation later confuses language learners when speaker specifications are assigned to the simple English translation. According to MLP staff speakers, these stems are best defined as 'generic cut', 'amputate', 'fillet', 'cut with one stroke', and 'cut small single objects', respectively. Simple English translations do not do justice to Makah perceptual complexity, and provide opportunities for confusion in the language learner at a later point.

This brief paper is not meant to be a definitive discussion of the problems which arise from the process of translating an American Indian language into English. But because of the longevity of the Makah Language Program, certain interesting facts about translation which affect language learning have emerged. This subject is one which merits additional investigation, from both the educational and linguistic perspectives.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Makah Language Program of the Makah Cultural and Research Center for support in this endeavor. Special thanks go to Helma Ward, Hildred Ides, Meredith Parker, Helen Peterson, and the other elders and members of the Makah Tribe for their input, data, and interest. Thanks also go to John Thomas for his insights into Nootkan Languages.

References Cited

- Gill, Steven J.; Ann M. Renker. (1984) How the Makah Name Plants — An Analysis of Meaning. Presented at the 7th Annual Ethnobiology Conference, Seattle, WA.
- Gill, Steven J.; Renker, Ann M. (under review) From Phoneme to Text — An Overview of Makah. *Amerindia*.
- Jacobsen, William H., Jr. (1979a) *First Lessons in Makah*. Makah Cultural and Research Center, Neah Bay, WA.
- Jacobsen, William H., Jr. (1979b) Noun and Verb in Nootkan. *Proceeding of the Victoria Conference on Northwestern Languages*, pp. 83-153. British Columbia Provincial Museum, Victoria.

* Mailing address: 3003 North Cleveland, Apt. 404, Arlington, VA. 22201

** Mailing address: Route 2, Box 830-D, Pullman, WA. 99163

Kinkade, Dale. (1983) Salish Evidence Against the Universality of Noun and Verb. *Lingua* 60: 25-40.

Renker, Ann M. (1985) Sentence Inversion in Makah: Evidence for an AUX? Presented at the 38th Northwest Anthropology Conference, Ellensburg, WA.

Renker, Ann M.; Steven J. Gill. (1984) Lumps, Chunks, and Round Things: A Discussion of Makah Morphology. Presented at the 23rd Conference on American Indian Languages, American Anthropological Association 83rd Annual Meeting, Denver, CO.

A Note on Nitinaht Numerals:
 Thom Hess
 University of Victoria

With one exception the numerals from one to ten in the three Southern Wakaashan languages reveal the same system of counting in the first decade.:

Makah	Nitinaht	Ahousesht (Mootka)
1. ʔak ^Q wə ^A ʔk	čawaʔk	čawa:k
2. ʔaʔ	ʔaʔ	ʔaʔa
3. wi	qakač	qaoča
4. bu	bu	su
5. šuč	šuč	šuča
6. čičpa:ɪ	čičpa:ɪ	šupu
7. ʔaʔpu	ʔaʔpu	ʔaʔpu
8. ʔaʔasub	ʔaʔasib	ʔaʔakʔaɪ
9. čak ^Q wə ^A sub	čawa:sib	čawa:kʔaɪ
10. ʔaʔw	ʔaʔw	ʔayu

This system, like many others throughout the world, "fills in" the numerals for six through nine by figuring from the units for five and ten. Eight and nine are formed by back-counting from ten; the suffixes -sub, -sib and -kʔaɪ all mean lacks or needs. Thus eight is literally two lacking and nine is one lacking.

Like the eighth numeral, the words for seven are also built upon ʔaʔ(a) tuo; but the added element -pu must have been an old suffix meaning something like left, more or extra. So ʔaʔpu/ʔaʔpu is two more (than five) or two left (after five). Mootka has also šupu six derived from šu(p), a second word for one, and -pu. Thus, the Mootka count from čawa:k one to šuča five and then one left, two left, two lacking, one lacking, ten.

Counting to ten in Nitinaht and Makah follows the same system as Mootka except for the sixth numeral, čičpa:ɪ, which has no known etymological connection with the other number words. This numeral does not fit into the system and is most likely an innovation; for it would be strange to count two left without a preceding one left. (We return to čičpa:ɪ below.)

Although all three sets of number words are very similar, the Nitinaht and Makah vocabularies appear to have shared a common evolution apart from Mootka. In the next decade, however, Nitinaht is the odd man out. Both Makah and Mootka count ten and one, ten and two, etc., while Nitinaht adds a special "teen" suffix to the numeral stems of the first decade like English.

Makah	Nitinaht	Ahousesht (Mootka)
11. ʔaʔw ʔiš čak ^Q wə ^A ʔk	čawayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš čawa:k
12. ʔaʔw ʔiš ʔaʔ	ʔaʔayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš ʔaʔa
13. ʔaʔw ʔiš wi	qakačayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš qaoča
14. ʔaʔw ʔiš bu	buyu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš su
15. ʔaʔw ʔiš šuč	šučayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš šuča
16. ʔaʔw ʔiš čičpa:ɪ	čičpa:ɪayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš šupu
17. ʔaʔw ʔiš ʔaʔpu	ʔaʔpayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš ʔaʔpu
18. ʔaʔw ʔiš ʔaʔasub	ʔaʔasibayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš ʔaʔakʔaɪ
19. ʔaʔw ʔiš čak ^Q wə ^A sub	čawa:sibayu:kʔ	ʔayu ʔuʔiš čawa:kʔaɪ