

Okanagan aspect: A working paper.

Anthony Mattina
University of Montana
and
En'owkin Centre

0. Introduction. This is a survey of the form and function of several Okanagan aspect markers, not an exhaustive account of the aspect system of the language. Some morphemes, cognates of which in other Interior languages are normally considered aspectual, are not included here because I am focusing on forms cognates of which are underdescribed. The intent is to make available for study these Okanagan forms, and to stimulate comparative and contrastive studies.¹

1. Person markers. Preliminary to my discussion of the aspect morphemes, I list the following person referent sets:

Absolutive Ref. proc + Stem Stem + pl suffix	Simple Possessive Prefix-Stem Stem-Suffix	Genitive Ref. Proc + Prefix-Stem Ref. Proc + Stem-Suffix	Ergative ² Stem-Object-Subject Ref. Proc + Stem-Subject
kn	in-	k ^w u + Simple Possessive	-(i)n
k ^w	an-	k ^w + " "	-(i)x ^w
-	-s	- + " "	-(i)s
k ^w u	-tt	(k ^w u + " ")	-(i)m
p	-mp	p + " "	-(i)p
- -lx	-s-lx	- + " "	-(i)s-əlx

Examples of each of these sets follow:

¹In some form or other the contents of this paper will be part of the Okanagan Reference Grammar I am preparing. My presentational style is aimed at an audience that is expected to extend beyond trained linguists. The primary goal is to provide a detailed and comprehensive account of Okanagan grammatical phenomena that is accessible to specialists and non-specialists, with abundant data. I am indebted to the members of the Okanagan Language Program at the En'owkin Centre, Penticton, BC.

²The chart is to be read as follows: an absolutive form consists of a person referent proclitic plus a stem OR a stem plus the plural suffix; a simple possessive form consists of a possessive prefix plus a stem, OR a stem plus a possessive suffix; a genitive form consists of a person referent proclitic plus a stem with possessive affix (pre- or suffix); an ergative form consists of a transitive stem plus an object suffix and a subject suffix, OR an object proclitic, a transitive stem, and a subject suffix. The use of the term *absolutive* follows from the fact that 3rd person intransitive subjects and 3rd person objects are zero; the term *genitive* refers to possessive forms with (a subset of) absolutive person referents; the term *ergative* applies to forms with transitivizers.

Absolutive:

kən x ^w uy.	'I went.'
kən sqəltmíx ^w .	'I am a man.'
kən ʔayx ^w t.	'I am tired.'
kən q ^w ácqnəm.	'I put a hat on.'
kən cq ^w ácqən.	'I have a hat on.'

Simple possessive:

inkílx	'my hand ...' (this is a predication, but not a complete sentence)
--------	--

Genitive:

k ^w u anlʔíw.	'I am your father.'
k ^w u anxást.	'You like me.'
k ^w inxímínk.	'I like/want you.'

Ergative:

wíkəntsən [wíkəncən].	'I saw you.'
-----------------------	--------------

Membership in a grammatical class is not determined by the root or stem, but by the defining affixes or combinations of affixes, such as the aspectual morphemes, the transitive morphemes, the absolutive person markers, the genitive person markers, etc. Furthermore, stems can undergo layers of affixation, with more than one change of membership. Finally, the interpretation of each word in a sentence depends on syntactic factors. All such matters are discussed in the grammar, but for the moment it will suffice to say that, however tentatively, I have found reasons for and I have sought ways to classify stems as verbal or nominal according to some morphosyntactic criteria; and that I have similarly sought syntactic clues for distinguishing NP's from VP's--a VP can function as an NP in Okanagan as it can in other languages.

2. Aspect morphemes. In the following chart, where *kn*³ represents all the absolutive referents, and *i(n)*- all the genitive referents, I list the combinations of person marker and aspect affix(es) I discuss:

2.1. Absolutives:

2.1.1. kn ...-Ø	'completive'
kn ...-m	
Digression on -m	'middle'
2.1.2. kn (a)c-...-Ø	'habitual, customary'
kn (a)c-...-m	
2.1.3. kn s-...-(mí)x	'perfect'
2.1.4. kn səc-...-(mí)x	'imperfective'
2.1.5. kn ks-...-(míx)aʔx	'inceptive'
2.1.6. kn ksc-...-Ø	'past perfect'

³*kn* is realized as *kən*. I have yet to decide what spelling to adopt in what circumstances.

Digression on *kt-* 'have'

2.2. Genitives:

- 2.2.1. *i-s-...-θ* 'completive'
i-s-...-m
 2.2.2. *i-sc-...-θ* 'perfective'
i-sc-...-m
 2.2.3. *i-ks-...-θ⁴* 'future'
i-ks-...-m
 2.2.4. *i-k-sc-...-θ* 'future perfect'
i-k-sc-...-m
 2.2.5. *i-k-c-...-θ* 'future imperative'
i-k-c-...-m

2.3. Transitives:

2.3.1. The forms:

- θ-...-nt*
θ-...-st
θ-...-tt
θ-...-x(i)t
θ-...-tútt

2.3.2. (a)c-...-st 'customary transitive'
 Digression on -nt vs. -st

2.3.3. Abnormal cases

2.3.4. Questions regarding the allomorphy of ac-

3. Comparative and historical notes (section not included)

2.1. Absolutes. Intransitive forms with absolute reference set.

2.1.1. *kn ...-θ* 'completive'. The simplest intransitive predicate is a stem inflected for person with the absolute person reference set, and nothing else inflectional. The following examples, all intransitive stems, are arranged in order of approximate increasing compositional (derivational) complexity:

⁴For a discussion of the allomorphy of this morpheme, see the section Digression on *kt-* 'have'.

1. *xlap mi kn x^wuy.*
 tomorrow fut⁶ I go
 I'm leaving tomorrow.
2. *k'a?kin ki?⁵ k^w ilí??*
 where that you be_{there}
 Where are you staying?
3. *k'a?kin ki? k^w mut?*
 where that you sit
 Where are you staying?
4. *i?⁷ l swit ki? c+kxan.⁸*
 the in who that cisl⁹+go_{along}
 Who did he come with?
5. *swit i? c+kxan?*
 who the come_{along}
 Who came along?
6. *way' kən xik'+ək'.*
 yes I wrong
 I am wrong.
7. *n'in'wí?ts cuntx^w ik'lí? kn q^wəlq^wilt.*
 if_{an} when you_{tell} her there I talk
 Tell her I called.
8. *ni'í'p i? l ?asíl scəlkstásq'ət ki? k^wu xáq'+əq'.*
 always the on two Friday that we get_{paid}
 We always get paid every second Friday.
9. *kn k'aw i? l tk^wufftn-s sisikrí.*
 I gone the on birth-his Christ
 I was gone for Christmas.

Examples 10-14 are compounds, and 15-17 are complex forms (stems with lexical affixes):

10. *i? l sisp'əl'k ki? ?aws-tq'+ilx.*
 the at seven that go_{to} bed
 She went to bed at seven o'clock.
11. *ntəx^wx'qín kn k'əws-?ítn.¹⁰*
 noon I go-eat
 Noon I go eat lunch.
12. *way' kn k'əws-tíw'cn k'l sntumístn.*
 yes I go-get_{groceries} to store
 I went grocery shopping at the store.

⁵The glottal stop is lost in casual speech. See below for a mention of the allomorph *ka(?)*.

⁶*fut* = 'future.'

⁷The sequence *i? l* is realized as *il* in casual speech.

⁸I use the symbol + to indicate a stem-internal morpheme boundary, that is, a boundary that is not relevant for the purposes of the current discussion.

⁹*cisl* = cislocative.

¹⁰I don't know what the difference between *k'əws* and *?aws*, as in *kən k'əws-?ítn* and *kn ?aws-?ítn* is.

13. tlaʔkín mi kʷ taʔxʷ-sqláw.
from_where fut you obtain-money
Where will you find the money?
14. kʷ səlxʷaʔ-spuʔús. 15. kʷ səlxʷʔ-ítxʷ.¹¹
you big-heart you big-house
You have a big heart, you are generous. You have a big house.
16. talíʔ anwí kʷ xəsxs+íkst.
very you you good_hands
You are very good with your hands.
17. talíʔ kʷ kʷíʔ+iʔst ʔapnáʔ sǎkíʔalt.
very you work_hard now day
You are working hard today.

In these examples each action / state / event is viewed as a complete one. Absolute time reference is irrelevant. I call these unmarked forms 'completive.'

These examples are also morphologically simple forms consisting of a bare stem. There are cases where *-m* (traditionally called 'middle') is suffixed to the stem.¹² Some of these are unequivocal, while others are a matter of debate amongst native speakers (some examples will be given below). The apparent function of *-m* is to signal an object.

18. kən qʷácqən-əm.
I hat-m
I put my hat on.

Compare this with:

19. kən qʷácqən.
I hat
I am a hat (an acceptable, grammatical utterance).

and with:

¹¹The loss of *a* in *səlxʷʔítxʷ* (and its retention in *səlxʷaʔspuʔús*) correlates with its distance from the (following) stressed vowel.

¹²*-m* does not compromise any of the statements that relate to aspect. I bring it up here to contribute Okanagan data to the ongoing discussion on Salish grammar(s).

21. kən cqʷácqən.
I hab-hat¹³
I have a hat on.
22. kən lasmíst-əm.
I shirt-m
I put a shirt on.
23. kən lasmíst.
I shirt
I am a shirt.
24. kən c-lasmíst.
I hab-shirt
I have a shirt on.¹⁴
25. kən laklí-m.
I lock-m.
I locked it.
26. kən píkst-əm.
I put_on_gloves-m.
I put my gloves on.

The set of lexical items that when inflected for person translates with the equivalent of a predicate nominal or adjectival (with no copula) has to be sorted into a subset that does not allow *-m* and one that does.

¹³*hab-* = 'habitual.'

¹⁴Here I discuss *-m* with additional data. First of all, no amount of pressure should force a speaker to come up with equivalents for 'I put my shirt on him' using *lasmíst* as the verb stem. Speakers will revert to something like

laʔ-tt-ín in-lasmíst.
fit-tt-I my-shirt
I put my shirt on him.

where the *-tt* fulfills the function of allowing for three participants (I, him, my shirt) with co-referentiality markings.

A simple transitivization of *lasmíst* allows sentences like

lasmíst-ən
shirt(-nt)-I
I put a shirt on him.

where one should not try to analyze the form as containing *-st* 'causative.' Compare, for that purpose, *qʷácqən* (*qʷácqən-ni-n*) 'I put a hat on him.' and *qʷácqəntxʷ* 'you put a hat on him.'

Finally, Okanagan has a transitivizer *-tútt* (with allomorphs *-útt*, *-nútt*, *-stútt* with unclear distribution), further exemplified in 165, 176, 179, 194, that allows two unmarked (by *t* or other prepositions) arguments to follow:

laʔ-tútt-ən pít in-lasmíst
fit-tútt-I Pete my-shirt
I put my shirt on Pete.

I don't know if *lasmíst* and *qʷácqən* are kept from ditransitive affixation by a basic or inherent membership in a given grammatical class, but that seems plausible. A complete list of the grammatical range of a number of stems of the language will allow me to make some proposals.

Some intransitives do not allow affixation of *-m* and nothing else, but otherwise take *-m* before transitive morphemes. Otherwise stated *-m* prepares stems for transitivization:¹⁵

¹⁵The thematic requirements of every stem in the language need to be mapped out to match phenomena such as the following:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 27. kən s+təx+mín.
I comb
I am Comb. | 28. təx-ənt-in.
comb-nt-I
I combed his/her hair. |
| 29. kən txa-m.
I comb-m
I comb my hair. | 30. kən təx+áx.
I combed
I was combed (by somebody). |
| 31. kən təx-əncút.
I comb-refl
I combed my hair. | |

this last example in a larger context:

32. yayʔát təx+tx+áx-əlx náxəmt incá kən təx+əncút.
all combed-pl but I I comb-refl
Somebody else combed all the others' hair, but I combed my own.

Some intransitive stems that end with *-t* 'stative' transitivize with *-m*, others do not, in apparent correlation with how unsegmentable speakers perceive the *t* to be. Examples 33-38 transitivize without *-m*, and examples 39-41 require it:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 33. ha? ʔi kʷ kʷaf-t?
Q emph you warm-t
Are you warm enough? | 34. ac-kʷáf-st-ən.
cust-warm-st-I
I used to warm him up (all the time). |
| 35. ha? kʷ kʷ-ʔ-áf.
Q you warm-inch
Are you getting warm? | 36. kʷáf-ən.
warm-nt-I
I warmed it/him/her up. |
| 37. uc kʷ ʔilxʷt?
Q you hungry
Are you hungry? | 38. ixí? ʔilxʷt-st-ən.
that hungry-st-I
I made him hungry. |
| 39. ʔilxʷt-m-ən iʔ síya?
hungry-m-nt-I the saskatoons
I am hungry for saskatoons. | 40. ʔilxʷt-m-ʔt-s-ən as-c+ʔtən.
hungry-m-ʔt-you-I your-food
I am hungry for your food. |
| 41. ac-áxʷt-m-st-in iʔ sqʷʔip.
cust-hungry-m-st-I the moss
I am hungry for black moss. | |

*kən cítxʷ-m.

- | | |
|---|--|
| 42. kən cítxʷ.
I house
I am a house (possibly in a legend). | 43. ac-cítxʷ-əm-st-ən. ¹⁶
cust-house-m-st-I ¹⁷
I claim it as (my) house. |
| 44. kn tkʔmílxʷ.
I woman
I am a woman. | 45. tkʔmílxʷ-st-ən.
woman-st-I
I claim her as my mate. |
| 46. kn s+qəltmíxʷ.
I man
I am a man | 47. qəltmíxʷ-əm-st-ən. ¹⁸
man-m-st-I
I claim him as my man. |

-m forms accompanied by a *t* phrase, in each case the logical object of the verb, suggest the interpretation of *-m* as signalling an object, but I have found several cases of optional *-m* (see 51-53 and 54-56):

- | | |
|--|--|
| 48. tlaʔkín mi kn kaʔkíc-m t lkapi.
where fut I find-m t coffee
Where can I find some coffee? | |
| 49. kn wík-əm t sk'aʔcínəm t siʔtʔkʷəkʷʔást.
I sec-m t deer t morning
I saw deer this morning. | |
| 50. way' kən ʔickn-əm t p'úkʷlaʔ.
yes I play-m t ball.
Yes, I played ball. | 51. kən ʔxʷúp-əm t sqlaw'.
I win-m t money
I won some money. |
| 52. kən ʔxʷup.
I won.
I won. | 53. kən ʔxʷúp t sqlaw'.
I won t money
I won some money. |
| 54. kən ʔitən.
I eat
I ate. | 55. kən ʔitn t stiqʷ.
I eat t meat
I ate (some) meat. |

For further exemplification of the workings of *-m* and similar contexts, see examples 214-228.

¹⁶The allomorphy of *ac-* 'customary' (with transitive stems) is discussed in 2.3.2 and 2.3.4.

¹⁷*cust* = customary, but together with *-st*.

¹⁸Note the presence and absence of *s-* in the last two forms.

56. kən ʔitnəm t stiɣ̃.
I ate t meat.
I ate (some) meat.

In any case, the interpretation of the object is always indefinite, and sentence like **kən wíkəm t pít*, **kən kaʔkícəm t pít* are excluded--the only choices here are *wíkən pít* 'I saw Pete.' and *kaʔkícən pít* 'I found Pete.' Even clearer are examples like *kən wíkʷəm t sqlaw'* 'I hid some money' along with *kən wíkʷəm təl isqláw'* 'I hid some of my money,' and the excluded **kən wíkʷm t isqláw'*. The best evidence that *-m* signals an object is afforded by such sets of forms (in the future aspect, q. v. below, where I discuss further the workings of *-m*):

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>57. a-ks-ʔitən ...
you-fut-eat
You will eat ...</p> <p>59. lut a-ks-ʔitən ...
not you-fut-eat
You will not eat ...</p> <p>61. lut kʷu a-ks-ʔitən-əm ...
not I you-fut-eat-m
You won't eat me (normal translation is 'don't eat me')</p> | <p>58. a-ks-ʔitən-əm ...
you-fut-eat-m
You will eat ...</p> <p>60. lut a-ks-ʔitən-əm ...
not you-fut-eat-m
You will not eat ... (often with force 'Don't eat it ...')</p> |
|--|---|

But **lut kʷu aksʔitən*. The *-m*, in other words, is required in the genitive paradigm (forms with genitive *plus* possessive person referents). The third person genitive, identical with the third person absolutive, is zero, and consequently the 3rd genitive is indistinguishable from any of the simple possessive forms. Hence the vacillation between forms with and without *-m*, in a studio setting.

2.1.2. *kn* (a)c-... 'habitual, customary'. The prefix *c-* 'habitual / customary' adds to Okanagan state words a notion 'get, become.' With action words it signals progressive or habitual action. With noun stems it translates as 'be with, have (on). *ac-* occurs with verbs of motion that are not cislocative (without *c-* 'cislocative'--for discussion of the allomorph see 2.3.4):

State words:¹⁹

¹⁹In addition to the examples given here, note the following unexpected judgments. Alongside the accepted

kən c-kást-əm
I hab-bad-m
I used to misbehave
is the rejected:
*kən c-ǵást-əm
I hab-good-m

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>62. uc kʷ ʔilxʷt? (compl)
Q you hungry
Are you hungry?</p> <p>64. ha kʷ nǵam·cn? (compl)
Q you thirsty
Are you thirsty?</p> <p>66. kən c-ayǵʷt kən ʔaʔ c-maʔya-m. (cust)
I hab-tired I when hab-story-m
I get tired when I tell stories.</p> | <p>63. uc kʷ c-ʔilxʷt? (cust)
Q you hab-hungry
Do you get hungry?</p> <p>65. ha kʷ c-nǵam·cn? (cust)
Q you hab-thirsty
Do you get thirsty?</p> |
|---|--|

Compare *kən ʔáyǵʷt* 'I am / was tired.'

Action words:

67. iʔ l skʔʔáʔ kiʔ kn nʔawʔáw/miʔst. (compl)
the on weekend that I relax
I relaxed on the weekend.
68. iʔ l skʔʔaʔ kiʔ kn c-nʔawʔáw/miʔst. (cust)
the on weekend that I hab-relax
Weekends I relax.
69. iʔ l sǵnkʷǵkʷǵác kʷu yaʔyáxaʔ iʔ t snyaʔyáxaʔtn. (compl)
the in evening we watch the t TV
In the evening we watched television.
70. iʔ l sǵnkʷǵkʷǵác kʷu c-yaʔyáxaʔ iʔ t snyaʔyáxaʔtn.²⁰ (cust)
the in evening we hab-watch the t TV
In the evening we watch television.

Note also *kən cpʔasʔá* 'I got bored.' where there is no final *-t* or *-m*. Ok {-t} is not aspectual in the same way that the affixes I am describing here are. For one thing, it often remains attached to the stem with further (outer) verbal affixes. For another, there are no regularly contrasting forms with and without *-t* (*ǵast* but **ǵas*).

²⁰Consider the function of *-m* in

kʷu yaʔyáxaʔ-m iʔ kʰəl sǵnyaʔyáʔǵǵaʔtǵn.
We watched_a movie-m the at theatre.

contrasted with its absence in 69-70. Note that *sǵnyaʔyáʔǵǵaʔtǵn* is generically 'theater' and 'television.' *iʔ l citxʷ iʔ sǵnyaʔyáʔǵǵaʔtǵn* is specifically 'television,' lit. 'theatre in the house.'

72. kən ckaʔkʔús-m²¹ iʔ l i-snkʷúfmn. (cust)
I hab-work_hard-m the at my-office
I work hard at the office.
73. iʔ l skʷaciw's kiʔ ʃáq'-m-əlx. (compl)
the on Sunday that pay-m-pl
They paid on Sunday.
74. niʃ'ip iʔ l sʔasil skʷaciw's ka²² c-ʃaq'-m-bx. (cust)
always the on two week that hab-pay-pl
They pay every second week.
75. iʔ l skixʷiw's ki kən k'əw+s-m'am'áyaʔ-m. (compl)
the on Monday that I go_to-school-m
On Monday I went to school.
76. iʔ tɪ skixʷiw's uʔ k'l scəlkstásq'ət ki kən c-m'am'áyaʔ-m.²³ (cust)
the from Monday and to Friday that I hab-school-m
From Monday to Friday I go to school.

With noun stems:

77. kən c-lasmíst. 78. kən c-qʷácqən.
I hab-shirt I hab-hat
I have a shirt on. I have a hat on.
79. kən c-pikst²⁴
I hab-glove
I have gloves on

²¹This is another case where the *-m* seems optional. The following have been judged synonymous:

taʔliʔ kʷ kaʔkʔús kiʔ kʷəf-nú-nt-xʷ.
taʔliʔ kʷ kaʔkʔúsəm kiʔ kʷəf-nú-ntxʷ.
very you work_hard that do-manage-nt-you
You worked very hard to do that.

²²ka(?) is a variant of *kiʔ* before the habitual prefix *c-*.

²³This is another case where the *-m* seems optional.

²⁴Noteworthy the absence of *s-*. *spikst* 'glove,' *kən pikstəm* 'I put gloves on.' One can argue that the *s* is lost as a morphophonological choice of the language (*c-* + *s* = *c-*) better than one can argue that because *cpikst* is a verb (by virtue of its habitual aspect prefix) the noun-forming *s-* withdraws. See *kən kspikst* 'I have gloves' and *kən ktqʷácqən* 'I have a hat.' Both forms are verbs by definition because of the person referent set they take, yet *spikst* does not lose its initial *s*, but it is rather *kt-* that loses the *t* before the *s*.

Note that this function of Ok (unlike the Th cognate, for example) *c-* is restricted to (a) certain subclass(es) of nouns. Thus **kən c-cixʷ* is uninterpretable, and not *I have a house.

2.1.3. *kən s-...-(mí)x* 'perfect'. This works something like the English perfect with present relevance. It has two allomorphs, the longer of which attaches to weak stems, and is itself stressed.

80. a-s+ʔ'ác'əc' ʔaxʷ kʷ s-kʷúf-x t k'ast.
your-appearance again you perf-do-perf t bad
It looks like you've done something wrong again.
81. kən s-cut-x. 82. ilʔ, way' s-ʔitn-x.
I perf-say-perf there already perf-eat-perf
I have said it. Leave him alone, he has eaten.
83. s-wiʔ+s+qʷəlqʷilt-x. 84. s-wiʔ+cín-x.
perf-finish_talk-perf perf-finish_eat-perf
He has finished talking. He has finished eating.
85. xkínəm pitʔ s-píx-əx. 86. s-kʷúf-x²⁵ k'əl sámaʔ.
where Pete perf-hunt-perf perf-work-perf to White_person
Where is Pete? He has gone hunting. He has gone working at the white man's.

All stems in examples 80-86 are stressed. The next set exemplifies weak stems:

87. s-təʔq-míx. 88. pit s-ʔaʔ-míx t kt+q'əy'mín-tət.
perf-dance-perf Pete perf-fetch-perf t paper_to_be-us
He has been dancing. Pete has gone to get more paper for us.
89. kən s-kəwp-míx 90. kən s-ʔaʔʔaʔ-míx t s'ápqəs.
I perf-quiet-perf I perf-look_for t thread
I have stopped talking. I am looking for thread.
91. kən s-ʔaʔ-míx t s'ápqəs.
I perf-look-perf t thread
I am fetching some thread.
92. kən səp'-míx²⁶ iʔ t pumín, mi sic²⁷ kən kʷnim.
I (perf-)hit-perf the t drum fut new I sing
I am hitting the drum, then I will sing.

²⁵The interpretation of *s-kʷúf-x* in 80. and in 86. follows from the accompanying adjuncts.

²⁶s + s = s.

²⁷*mi sic* has the force 'then, subsequently.'

2.1.4. kn səc-....-(mí)x²⁸ 'imperfective'.

93. way' ixí? səc-x^wúy-x. 94. lu(t) t' alá?, səc-k^wúf-x.
yes that impf-go-impf not emph here impf-work-impf
He's going. She is not here, she's working.
95. i-sk^wúy səc-k^wfncút-x i? l sən^wfncútn-s.
my-m's_mother impf-cook-impf the in kitchen-her
My mother is cooking in her kitchen.
96. x^wəx^w səc-ənpəxpəxcəncút-x. 97. x^wəx^w səc-k^tpəxpəxəncút-x.
again impf-talk_smart-impf again impf-outsmart-impf
He's talking like he's smart again. He's trying to outsmart him again.
98. way' kən x^wy-ils náxəmt lut, kən səc-k^wúf-x.
yes I go-want but no I impf-work-impf
I would like to go with you but I'm working.
99. c-ənk^wa?ncínəm i? wəs^wfisxa? a?í? səc-ǰəl+sq'ít-x.
hab-sing the robin because impf-call_for_rain-impf
A robin sings calling for rain.
100. i-ks-k'əwsy'ayfáxa?-m i? səc-p'úk^wla?-x.²⁹
my-fut-go_watch-m the impf-ball-impf
I am going to watch them play ball.
101. səc-c'aq'mí-xa?³⁰ ixí? i? t p'úk^wla?
impf-throw-impf that the t ball
He is practicing throwing the ball.

Examples with weak stems:

102. səc-tə'q-míx.
impf-dance-impf
He's dancing.

²⁸səc- has a schwa that cannot be accounted for in phonotactic terms.

²⁹This is a typical example of a NP that consists of a determiner and a VP. One way to translate such constructions is 'those who'

³⁰This form deserves special mention. Along with the equivalent səc-c'aq' + mín-a?x it shows that the stem is c'aq'mín, and that -a?x and -xa?x are variants of -x in this environments. See the parallel variants -x, -a?x 'intransitive imperative singular.'

103. stim' a³¹ c-k'a?k'a?-st-is? səc-k'a?k'a?-míx t lkapi.
what the cust-look_for-st-he impf-look_for-impf t coffee
What's he looking for? He's looking for some coffee.

104. səc-k'a?-míx i? t lkapi.
impf-fetch-impf the t coffee
He's getting some coffee.

2.1.5. kn ks-....-(míx)a?x 'inceptive'. This combination is the inceptive aspect. Because I have called *iks*... forms 'future,' I retain the label 'future' for the prefixal part of the circumfix, and call 'inceptive' the suffixal -(míx)a?x. In casual speech the *k* is occasionally lost, but a careful repetition regularly restores it. The first three examples are of strong stems, the next three weak.

105. kən ks-k'əwstíw/cn-a?x k'l səntumístn.
I fut-go_get_groceries-incp to store
I am going grocery shopping at the store.
106. k^wu ks-n'íys-a?x t nc'x^wiwlən i? k'l p'úyxəntət.
we fut-buy-incp t gas the to our_car
We are going to buy some gas for our car.
107. k^wu k-tət+x^wúy-a?x³² mi k^wu pulx, a?í? ǰlap k^wu ks-k^wáft-a?x.
we fut-again_go-incp fut we sleep because tomorrow we fut-early-incp
We are going back home to sleep because tomorrow we are getting up early.
108. k^wu ks-k'a?k'a?-míxa?x i? t k-sípnət i? tl tq^w'fayxn i?
we fut-look_for-incp the t fut-daughter_in_law the from Blackfoot the
tl təmx^wúla?x^w-s.
from land-his
We are looking for a daughter-in-law from the Blackfoot country.
109. ks-q'a?q^wal-míxa?x i? səx^wk^wən'k^wín'ma?m i? l scəlkstásq'ət i? k'əl
fut-meeting-incp the council the on Friday the to
silmx^wít^w.
band_office
There will be a council meeting on Friday at the band office.

³¹a(?) is an allomorph of *i?* 'article' before the habitual aspect *c-*, parallel to *ki?*, *ka(?)*. Incidentally, you can see that *i?* is the object of the following V.

³²- 'back,' *tət*- 'again:' *kən ks^wúya?x* 'I am going,' *kən kt^wúya?x* 'I am going back,' *kən ks?ítna?x* 'I am going to eat,' *kən ktət?ítna?x* 'I am going to eat again.' **kən ktítna?x*. Both *kt^wúya?x* and *ktət^wúya?x* get translated informally as 'going back home.'

110. talí? x^w?it í? ks-nix^w-míxa?x í? l spaqt.³³
 very many the fut-dance-incp the in January
 They will be having many Chinook dances in January.

2.1.6. *kn ksc*....-Ø 'past perfect'. Two characteristics of this construction deserve mention. The first is that *kn ksc* is not parallel to *i-ksc* because while *ksc* forms conjugated with the absolutive set are past perfect, *ksc* forms conjugated with the possessive set are future perfect—one is not a possible substitute for the other in a given syntactic environment. The second is that I have never encountered any member of this paradigm with *-m*, and my efforts at producing an acceptable form with *-m* have failed. *ksc* forms in construction with *ta(?)* are of high frequency, and this suggests an alternative to the analysis of *ksc* that I discuss after I give some examples:

111. kən ksc-wik t spáta?
 I pperf-see t monster.
 I have seen a monster.
112. axá? atwán ta? ksc-k^win.
 this Tony that pperf-take
 Tony is the one that bought it.
113. incá kən ta? ksc-wik t spáta?
 I I that pperf-see t monster
 I'm the one that has seen a monster.
114. way' kən ksc-k^win'.
 yes I pperf-try
 I have tried it already.
115. way' kən ksc-cut.
 yes I pperf-say
 I have already said it.
116. axá? mnimtt k^wu ta? ksc-q'ay'.
 this we we that pperf-write
 We are the ones that wrote it.
117. ixí? sənklíp ta? ksc-k^wuf, ixí? q^wc'í?-s sənklíp.
 that Coyote that pperf-do that pit_house-his Coyote
 That's Coyote's work, that's Coyote's pit house.

Okanagan has a prefix *kt-* 'have', and it may be possible to analyze *ksc* as containing it.

kt- 'have' has allomorph *k-* before stems that begin with *s*, and *kt-* forms are conjugated with the absolutive person referent set:

118. kən kt-q^wácqən.
 I have-hat
 I have a hat.
119. kən k-sq^wəsq^wsf?
 I have-child
 I have a child.

³³What is the correct analysis of the first *í?* in this sentence?

This prefix should not be confused with *kt-* 'to be.' *kt-* forms are conjugated with the possessive reference set, as follows (note the nasalless allomorph *i-* of *in-*; similarly *a(n)-*, further mentioned in 2.2 and 2.2.3):

120. i-kt-q^wácqən ...
 my-fut-hat
 my hat-to-be ...
121. i-k-sq^wəsq^wsf? ...
 my-fut-child
 my child-to-be ...

ks- 'future,' in turn, should not be confused with *kt-* 'to be,' in spite of their semantic equivalence. While *kt-* 'to be' loses its *t* before stems that begin with *s* (see 119, and *iksqəlímíx* 'my husband-to-be,' etc.) and *t* (*i-k-túm'an* 'my spoon-to-be,' *i-k-tkíkxa?* 'my older sister-to-be,' etc.), *ks-* 'future' loses its *s* before another *s*, but not before a *t*: *k^w i-k-síwm* 'I am going to ask you,' *k^w i-ks-twinəm* 'I am going to leave you.' All forms that belong to the paradigm *kən ksc-*, nevertheless, could be interpreted as consisting of *k(t)-* 'have' plus *sc-* because alongside such forms as *inq^wácqən* 'my hat,' and *isq^wsf?* 'my child,' are:

122. incá kən ta? kt-q^wácqən
 I I that have-hat
 It's my hat.
123. incá kən ta? k-sq^wəsq^wsf?
 I I that have-child
 It's my son.

and these seem parallel to 112, 113, 116, and 117, as well as to

124. axá? atwán ta? ksc-x^wuy.³⁴
 this Tony that ksc-go
 These are Tony's ways.
125. axá? atwán ta? ksc-q'ay'.
 this Tony that ksc-write
 It was Tony that wrote it.

2.2. Genitives. These forms consist of a possessive word plus a genitive person referent. With stems that are basically nominal, the affixation of the possessive occurs without intervening (nominalizing) affix:

126. k^w in-tk^wils.
 you my-company
 You are my company.
127. k^w in-k'əl-tán.
 you my-death
 You are my death.
128. way' k^w in-tk^wəck^wəctán.
 yes you my-strength
 You are my strength.

Here, too, belong such forms as

129. k^w in-xást.
 you my-good
 I like you.
130. k^w in-k'ást.
 you my-bad
 I don't like you.

³⁴*kscx^wuy* with the meaning 'way of doing' is idiomatic, but normal.

131. k^wu an-ǰmínk.
I your-want
You like me.

The allomorphy of *i(n)-* and *a(n)-* entails the loss of the nasal before stems that begin with *t* and *s* (as well as before *ks-* 'future,' and *kt-* 'to be;' see 2.2.3):

132. k^wu a-sk^wúy.
I your-m's_mother
I am your mother

133. k^w i-s+ǰnk^w+t+ǰ^wúpt.
you my-co_weakness
You are my weakness.

134. k^wu a-ǰkíkxa?
I your-older_sister
I am your older sister.

2.2.1. *i-s-...* 'completive'. Verbs in the completive aspect are interpreted as tense-independent events viewed as complete:

135. way' ixí? s-x^wúy-s.
yes that compl-go-his
He is going to go now.

136. way' ixí? i-s-k^wní-m.
yes that my-compl-take-m
I am going to take that.

137. huy a-s-kǰǰpá?ǰ?
OK your-compl-think
What do you think?

138. way' ixí? i-s-ǰitǰn.
yes that my-compl-eat
I am going to eat.

139. húma? i-s-ǰitǰn-ǰm.
now my-compl-eat-m
Give it here, I'll eat it.

The last two example show the same verb stem with and without *-m*. I will continue the discussion of the function of *-m* in 2.2.3, but I show here the connection of *-m* with an object. *-m* is obligatory with forms that have both a genitive and a possessive person marker:

140. s+cút+x+ǰlx lut t' wík-ǰnt-s-ǰlx, náǰǰmǰ way' k^w i-s-wík-ǰm
say_pl not emph see-nt-2sg-pl but yes you my-compl-see-m
They said they didn't see you, but I saw you.

2.2.2. *i-sc-...* 'perfective'. The difference between completive and perfective forms is clearest in pairs like the following:

141. talí? in-ǰást i-s-ǰitx. (compl.)
very my-good my-compl-sleep
I like very much to sleep.

142. talí? in-ǰást i-sc-ǰitx. (perf.)
very my-good my-perf-sleep
I slept very well.

143. talí? in-ǰást i-s-ǰit's. (compl.)
very my-good my-compl-dream
I like very much to dream.

144. talí? in-ǰást i-sc-ǰit's. (perf.)
very my-good my-perf-dream
I like very much what I dreamt.

145. i? l i-s-ǰit's k^wu náq^wm-ǰt-s+ǰlx in-kǰwáp, náǰǰmǰ lut
the in my-compl-dream me steal-ǰt-they my-horse but not
kǰn t'a kt-kwap. (compl)
I emph have-horse
In my dream they stole my horse, but I do not have a horse.

146. i? l i-sc-ǰit's k^wu náq^wm-ǰt-s+ǰlx in-kǰwáp, tǰ-ǰláp
the in my-perf-dream me steal-ǰt-they my-horse back-morning
t'i k'aw.. (perf)
emph gone
While I was dreaming they stole my horse, come morning it was gone.

147. way' x^w?it i-s-ǰáq'. (compl)
yes much my-compl-pay
I pay a lot.

148. lut t' put i-sc-ǰáq'. (perf)
not emph enough my-perf-pay
I didn't pay enough.

Note the following example with two referents and, obligatorily, *-m*:

149. way' t'i cu-nt-s-ǰn tǰ spiscit way' k^w i-sc-wík-ǰm.
yes emph tell-nt-you-I t yesterday yes you my-perf-see-m
I told you, I saw you yesterday.

2.2.3. *i-ks-...* 'future'. These forms should not be confused with the inceptive forms discussed in 2.1.5. These latter are conjugated with the absolutive reference set (*kn*), the genitive future forms are conjugated with the possessives and genitive sets. Note the allomorphs *i-* '1sg,' and *a-* '2sg.' Genitive future forms afford additional evidence that supports the analysis of *-m* as signaling an object: the *-m* is obligatory when both a possessive and a genitive referent are expressed. Here is an example of a future form with possessive referent:

150. way' kǰn c-músǰls t i-ks-x^wúp.
yes I hope that I-fut-win
I hope I will win.

and five in the negative with the force of a request. Note the allomorph *k-* before stems that begin with *s*:

151. lut a-ks-x^wúy.
not your-fut-go
Don't go.

152. lut a-k-síw-m
not your-fut-ask-m
Don't ask.

153. lut a-ks-ʔitən.
not your-fut-eat
Don't eat.

155. lut a-ks-ʔitn-əm
not your-fut-eat-m
Don't eat.

When another referent is added, a member of the genitive set, the form must take *-m*. *ks-* is intact before *t* that belongs to the root, but reduces to *k-* before *t(ʔ)*- 'again':

156. lut kʷu a-ks-twin-əm
not I your-fut-leave-m
Don't leave me.

154. lut kʷu a-k-siw-m.
not I your-fut-ask
Don't ask me.

157. lut kʷu a-k-t-twin-əm
not I your-fut-again-leave-m
Don't leave me again.

See also:

158. kən ks-twin-aʔx aláʔ t q'əy'mín.
I fut-leave-inc here t letter
I'm going to leave a letter here.

159. kən k-tə-twin-aʔx aláʔ t q'əy'mín.
I fut-again-leave-inc here t letter
I'm going to leave a letter here again.

Note that *(n)-m-* becomes *-iʔ* before *-s* '3rd possessive,' as shown in the following contrasting pair of sentences:

160. lut a-ks-ʔitən, ut yaʔxis nixʷ lut ks-ʔitən-s.
not your-fut-eat and that_one also not fut-eat-his
Don't eat, and he won't eat either.

161. lut a-ks-ʔitən-əm, ut yaʔxis nixʷ lut ks-ʔit-iʔ-s.
not your-fut-eat-it and that_one also not fut-eat-m-his
Don't eat, and he won't eat either.

See also *ks-wík-iʔ-s* 'he'll see it,' *ks-wíkʷ-iʔ-s* 'he will hide it,' etc.

A set of features of these future forms must be pointed out. As I have said, the *-m* is required of all futures that have a possessive and a genitive referent, and I have proposed that the *-m* signals an object. It might be better to say that the *-m* signals a transitive stem (with genitive inflection). All transitivizers

except *-ni* can occur before the *-m*,³⁵ and this suggests that, just as *-ni* has zero allomorph in the 1sg and 3rd persons of strong verbs,

//wík-nt-n//	wikən	I saw it
//wík-nt-xʷ//	wikəntxʷ	etc.
//wík-nt-s//	wiks	
//wík-nt-m//	wikəntəm	
//wík-nt-p//	wikəntəp	
//wík-nt-s-lx//	wiksəlx	

so does the *-ni* have allomorph zero before *-m* of these future forms. Consider

162. in-ǰmínk kʷ i-ks-wík-tt-əm an-lʔiw.
my-want you my-fut-see-tt-m your-m's_father
I want to see your father.

163. kʷ i-ks-ənkʷni-xt-əm t stimʔ
you my-future-sing-xt-m t what
What will I sing for you?

164. lut kʷu a-ks-pəkʷqín-xt-əm.
not I your-fut-shake_head-xt-m
Don't shake your head at me.

165. uc an-ǰmínk kʷ i-ks-kʷən-stút-t-əm iʔ ...
Q your-want you my-fut-show-stút-t-m the
Do you want me to show you the ...

166. lut kʷu a-ks-púl-st-əm
not I your-fut-beat-st-m
Don't beat me up.

167. lut kʷu a-ks-púl-tt-əm i-tsisəncaʔ.
not I your-fut-beat-tt-m my-younger_brother
Don't beat my brother up.

168. lut kʷu a-ks-qʷəlqʷil-st-əm
not I your-fut-talk-st-m
Don't talk to me.

³⁵This may be overstated with respect to *-st*. See my discussion of the transitivizers below.

169. lut a-ks-q^wəlq^wil-st-əm³⁶ i-tsísənca?
not your-fut-talk-st-m my-younger_brother
Don't talk to my brother.

170. k^w i-ks-ʔác'-t-t-əm a-sc-k^wúf.
you my-fut-look-t-m your-perf-do
I'm going to look at what you've made.

A stronger suggestion that *-m* signals the genitive inflection of a transitive stem is afforded by the first and second plural forms of *-nt* stems:

171. i-ks-wík-əm tarás.
my-fut-see-m Theresa
I'm going to see Theresa.

172. ks-wík-nt-əm tarás.
fut-see-nt-we Theresa
We're going to see Theresa.

173. ks-wík-nt-əp tarás.
fut-see-nt-you Theresa
You're going to see Theresa.

174. i-ks-wík^w-əm i-sqláw'.
my-fut-hide-m my-money
I'm going to hide my money.

175. ks-wík^w-ənt-əm i? sqláw-tət³⁷
fut-hide-nt-we the money-our
We are going to hide our money

The future paradigm of *-nt* stems, in other words, is a mixed set with four persons (1sg, 2sg, 3sg, 3pl) conjugated with the possessive and genitive referents, and two persons (1pl, 2pl) conjugated with the ergative referents.

2.2.4. i-ksc-... 'future perfect'. These forms are straight forward, and are analyzable as *ks-* plus *sc-*.

176. k^wu kənx-tútt³⁸ i-ksc-q'áy'.
me help-tútt my-fut_perf-write
Help me with what I am going to write.

³⁶This stem does not allow a construction *lut k^wu a-ks-q^wəlq^wil-t-t-əm i-tsísənca? which has the outward appearance of a grammatical sentence.

³⁷The forms of the future *-st* paradigm retain *-st*, as in *i-ks-q^wəlq^wil-st-əm tarás* 'I am going to talk to Theresa;' *ks-x^wuy-st-s* 'he will take it;' *ks-ta?xíl-st-s* 'he will do it like that;' etc.

³⁸Some readers may have already noticed that *kənxú* 'help' is irregular. The irregularity consists in the *xú* which under certain circumstances is considered part of the stem, in others not. Thus both the following forms are grammatical:

k^wu kənxít-ənt-x^w
me help-nt-you
k^wu kənxít-x^w
me help-xít-you

177. kən x'a?x'á-m t i-ksc-x^wic'+x i? l spúta? k' i-sk'uy.
I search-m t my-fut_perf-give the on Xmas to my-m's_mother
I went looking for something to give my mother for Christmas.

178. ixí? i-ksc-kta?qína?
this my-fut_perf-type
This is what I am going to type.

179. ixí? x'a?xá? i? k^wu t'k^w-tútt-əm³⁹ t ksc-k^wúf-tət.⁴⁰
this sacred the us put_down-tútt-m t fut_perf-do-our
What he gave us to work with is sacred.

2.2.5. i-kc- 'future imperative'. These forms translate with 'should, would' and contrast with simple future forms as in these examples:

180. ixí? i-kc-k^wúf-əm.
this my-fut_imptv-do-m
This is what I should/could be doing.

181. ixí? i-ks-k^wúf-əm.
this my-fut-do-m
This is what I will be doing.

182. ixí? i-kc-wík-əm.
this my-fut_imptv-see-m
That's the one I want to be able to see.

183. ixí? i-ks-wík-əm.
this my-fut-see-m
That's the one I will see.

184. lut t' in-xást t i-kc-q^wəlq^wílt.
not emph my-want that my-fut_imptv-talk
I don't like that I should be talking.

Negative constructions show the function and force of *kc-* in the clearest manner:

185. lut a-kc-c'a?xmín-əm i? sqílx^w-tət.
not your-fut_imptv-shame-m the Indian-ouf_Y
Do not be ashamed of our Indianess.

186. lut a-kc-kak?ámn-əm asfəxfáxt kəm' a-s+nəqs+ílx^w.
not your-fut_imptv-ridicule-m your-friends or your-relatives
Do not ridicule your friends or relatives.

³⁹k^wu t'k^w-tútt-s 'he put down for me,' and k^wu t'k^w-tútt-əm 'he put down for us.' This is how the language disambiguates between k^wu 'me' and k^wu 'us.'

⁴⁰Why no article?

marí i? sctíwcən-s. (cust)
 Mary the groceries-her
 When you take your groceries, drop off Mary's groceries.

Other verbs that take *-st* are:

pú'á'mstəm 'finish something, go the distance'
 nǫ'a'á'mqsin 'complete to the highest level'
 k'əwpstím 'finish talking with somebody'
 púlstəm 'beat somebody up'
 k'úlstəm 'send someone'
 xi?xi?stím 'allow someone (to be intimate)'
 ta?xúlstəm 'do like'
 mý'stəm 'be sure of something'

Some verbs transitivity with *-nt* and *-st* without apparent difference in meaning:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 202. ha x'íl-ənt-x'w i? stiq'w.
Q discard-nt-you the meat
Did you throw the meat away? | 203. ha x'íl-st-x'w i? stiq'w.
Q discard-st-you the meat
Did you throw the meat away? |
| 204. t ack'lá? mi x'íl-ənt-x'w. ⁴⁴
t this_way fut do_like-nt-you
This is the way you should do it. | 205. t ack'lá? mi x'íl-st-x'w.
t this_way fut do_like-st-you
This is the way you should do it. |

A few verbs that transitivity with *-nt* have causative forms in *-st*, but usually (always?) along with some other morphological process, a fact which points to the preference that *-st* 'causative' has for certain kinds of stems to attach itself to--stems that are not basically transitive:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 206. ul-nt-ín.
burn-nt-I
I burned it. | 207. ul+l-st-ín in-lkalát.
burned-st-I my-bread
I burned my bread (e.g. while baking it). |
| 208. mat incá i? ul+p-st-ín i? lkalát, km'a ⁴⁵ anwí.
maybe I the burn-st-I the bread or you
Maybe I burned the bread, or maybe you did. | |
| 209. x'əl+l+nú-nt-x'w.
dead_manage-nt-you
You killed him accidentally. | 210. x'əl+l-st-ix'w.
dead-st-you
You killed him (on purpose). |

⁴⁴I don't know what the best analysis of *t ack'lá?* is.

⁴⁵I don't know the difference between *kəm'* and *km'a* but both are possible here.

- | | |
|---|--|
| 211. x'əl+p+nú-nt-x'w.
stopped_manage-nt-you
You managed to stop him. | 212. x'əl+p-nt-ix'w.
stopped-nt-you
You stopped him. |
| 213. x'əl+p-st-ix'w.
stopped-st-you
You made him stop. | |

The norm is that stems that are not basically transitive receive the causative *-st*. These causatives can then receive the customary prefix *(a)c-* (see 2.3.4. for a discussion of its allomorphy):

- | | |
|--|---|
| 214. fímt.
mad
He's mad. | 215. k'w fímt-st-s pit.
me mad-st-he Pete
Pete made me mad. |
| 216. k'w c-fímt-st-s pit.
me cust-mad-st-he Pete
Pete always makes me mad. | |
| 217. k'w fímt+m-ənt-x'w.
me mad_at-nt-you
You are mad at me. | 218. k'w c-fímt+m-st-x'w.
me cust-mad_at-st-you
You are always mad at me. |

I should say that in the group of examples just given there is a stem *fímt* 'be mad,' a stem *fímt-st* 'make mad,' and a stem *?ímt+m-ni* 'be mad at someone,' all based on the same root. *-m* derives a transitivizable stem. Similarly, there is a stem *?ayx'w-t* 'tired' that allows for parallel constructions, *?ayx'w-t-st* 'make tired,' *?ayx'w-t-m-ni* 'be tired of,' and *nxi't* 'scared,' *nxi'l-st* 'make scared,' *nxi'l-m-ni* 'be scared of someone, fear someone':

- | | |
|--|---|
| 219. ?ayx'w-t.
tired
He's tired. | 220. k'w ?ayx'w-t-st-s pit.
me tired-st-he Pete
Pete made me tired. |
| 221. k'w c-?ayx'w-t-st-s pit.
me cust-tired-st-he Pete
Pete always makes me tired. | |
| 222. k'w ?ayx'w-t+m-ənt-x'w.
me tired_of-nt-you
You are tired of me. | 223. k'w c-?ayx'w-t+m-st-x'w.
me cust-tired_of-st-you
You are always tired of me. |
| 224. kən nxi't.
I scared
I am scared. | 225. nxi'l-st-ən.
scared-st-I
I scared him. |

226. ac-ənɣil-st-ən.
cust-scared-st-I
I always scare(d) him.
227. nɣil+m-ənt-s-ən.
scared_of-nt-you-I
I'm scared of you.
228. ac-ənɣil+m-st-m-ən.⁴⁶
cust-scared_of-st-you-I
I am (always) scared of you.

A final set of examples will conclude this survey:

229. kən qit+əɬ.
I be_awakened
I was awakened.
230. kən qit+t.
I awaken
I woke up.
231. qit-ən (/qit-nt-n/).
awaken-I
I woke him up.
232. ac-qit-st-ən i? l skʷkʰap i? ɣyátnəxʷ.
cust-awaken-st-I the on appearance the sun
I usually wake him up at sunup.

2.3.3. Abnormal cases: mrim-st, c-mrim-st, c-mrim, mrim-nt. There are cases of verb forms that are related, but not in the normal paradigmatic way. An added aspect or transitivity marker derives a new lexical item—it changes the meaning of the stem not in the expected inflectional variant, but forms a new word. This is the case of

- mrim-nt 'marry someone' (unmarked completive transitive)
c-mrim 'get married with someone' (completive intransitive)
c-mrim 'be married with someone' (customary intransitive)
mrim-st 'doctor, cure someone' (unmarked completive transitive)
ac-mrim-st 'customarily cure, doctor someone' (customary transitive)

233. mrim-ənt
marry-nt
Marry that person!

But *cmrimənt

234. tə spisc'itt ka? c-mərim-lx, ik'li? kʷu xʷuy.
t yesterday that c-marry-pl there we went
It was yesterday that they got married and we went there.

⁴⁶As these examples show, the 2sg object is -(ú)m with -st, and -s with -nt.

235. i l skixʷiw's ka? c-mrim(-əlx).
the on Monday that c-marry-pl
They ((s)he) got married on Monday.
236. tə sxʷa?spintk ka? c-mrim-əlx.
t many_years that c-marry-pl
They were married many years ago.

Compare this with:

237. xʷa?spintk c-mrim-əlx. (customary intransitive)
many_years c-mrim-pl
They were married many years.
238. ks-c+mrim-aʔx-əlx i l skixʷiw's. (future completive intransitive)
fut-marry-incp-pl the on Monday
They will get married Monday.
239. tə spisc'itt mərim+st-əlx.
t yesterday medicine-pl
They took their medicine yesterday.
240. ʔa? c-q'ilt ac-mərim-st-əlx.
when hab-sick cust-medicine-st-pl
When they are sick they treat themselves.
241. ac-mrim-st-s i? sɣilwiʔs
cust-medicine-st-she the husband-her
She doctors her husband.

A pair of examples based on the stem ɣaʔɣaʔ 'sacred' shows, again, that the -st transitivity marker and transitive customary constructions deserve further study:

242. c-ɣaʔɣaʔ-st-in.
c-sacred-st-I
I hold it sacred (not *I make it sacred.)
243. talí? c-ɣaʔɣaʔ-st-is i? sqlawʷ.
very c-sacred-st-he the money
He holds money sacred.

2.3.4. Questions regarding the analysis of c- / ac-. There are some cases of phonetic indeterminacy (phonetics of which I am not sure) that are equivalent to problems of morphosyntactic analysis. The language has sets like the following

- ʔəcxʷúy, acxʷúy, cxʷuy
he's coming, he's going, he came.
ʔəcqícəlx, acqícəlx, cqícəlx.
he's running this way, he's running, he ran this way.
ʔəcxʷist, acxʷist, cxʷist.
he's walking this way, he's walking, he walked this way.

ʔæckʔráʔm, ackʔráʔm, ckʔráʔm.

He's swimming this way, he's swimming, he swam this way.

ʔæcsáxʔt, acsáxʔt, csáxʔt.

he's coming down the hill, he's going down the hill, he came down the hill

ʔæcʔíkʔəm, acʔíkʔəm, cʔíkʔəm.

He's climbing up this way, he's climbing up, he climbed up.

ʔæcʔəʔʔatʔpməncút, acʔəʔʔatʔpməncút, cʔəʔʔatʔpməncút.

He's hopping this way, he is hopping, he hopped this way.

My current interpretation is that ʔ-*ac*- is 'habitual-cislocative,' (*a*)*c*- is 'habitual,' *c*- is 'cislocative.' *c*- 'cislocative' and (*a*)*c*- 'habitual' have different morphophonemic behavior, and cause problems of analysis, both for the linguist and for the native speaker. The different behavior of the two prefixes is manifested in such pairs as:

244. ʔa(?) c-cʔix æc-ʔácqaʔ.
when hab-warm hab-go_out
When it's warm he goes out.

245. ʔa(?) c-cʔix ʔ-æc-ʔácqaʔ.
when hab-warm hab-cisl-go_out
When it's warm he comes out.

246. ac-ʔácqaʔ iʔ sxʔúxʔx yayʔát spintk i l skniʔymən.
hab-go_out the groundhog all year the in February
Every year the groundhog comes out in February.

The 'habitual' of *c-cʔix* regularly has no *a* or *a* when it follows ʔa(?).⁴⁷ *c*- 'cislocative' coalesces with a stem-initial glottal stop yielding *cʔ*, but not with the regularity I would wish: this is the norm in texts, but not always in paradigmatic elicitations. Forms in the negative show sets like:

lut ʔə cʔxʔuy. He didn't come.
lut ʔə cʔxʔúy. He doesn't go.
lut ʔə ʔæcʔxʔúy. 'he is not coming.'

where the *a* and *a* (of ʔə, ʔə) correlate much as they do with ʔ. The interpretation I favor at this time is that *ac*- is the habitual allomorph for verbs of motion, and the *a* distinguishes habitual (not cislocative) from the cislocative forms:

cənʔúʔxʔ 'he came in'
acənʔúʔxʔ 'he always goes in'
ʔacənʔúʔxʔ 'he always come in'

⁴⁷Cf. ʔ 'when' in other environments, i.e. not before forms that begin with the habitual prefix. Is this the best analysis? What about the possibility that this is ʔ plus the article *a*(?)? The intuitions of the native speakers on these points are unclear.

The customary of transitive forms has parallels to the intransitive habitual, but problems of analysis remain. While after *kʔu* we always find *c*- (see examples 193, 199, 215, 216, 217, 218), and cases like the following are clear:

247. lut ʔə síws-ən (/sɪws-nt-n/).
not emph drink-I
I didn't drink it.

248. lut ʔ ac-síw-st-ən.
not emph cust-drink-st-I
I never drink it.

the interpretation of *a* as the article is put in doubt by forms like

249. way wík-ən iʔ ac-kʔúʔ-st-s-əlx. t-kakaʔʔis.
yes see-I the cust-do-st-3rd-pl person-three
I saw the ones that built it. It was three of them.

250. way wík-ən ac-kʔúʔ-st-s-əlx. xʔiyiʔ.
yes see-I cust-do-st-3rd-pl canoe
I saw what they are building. A canoe.

I will give two examples that show once again the contrast between ʔə *c*- and ʔ *ac*- whatever the best interpretation of the facts turns out to be. Based on the root *√my* are such forms as

míystən 'I am sure of it'
cmístin 'I know it' (completive)
cmístin 'I know it' (customary)

There are no forms **minúʔn* or **cmínúʔn* (with *-nt* 'transitive'), so *cmístin* serves as both the customary and the completive. The negatives, however, are as follows:

251. iʔ təl ischʔxáp lut ʔə c-mi-st-in iʔ skʔilstnəm. (compl)
the from my_upbringing not emph know-st-I the Sweat
In the way I was brought up I don't know the ways of the sweathouse.

252. lut ʔ ac-mi-st-in iʔ skʔist-s. (cust)
not emph cust-know-st-I the name-his
I don't know his name.

253. lut ʔə cmi-st-in iʔ skʔist-s. (compl)
not emph know-st-I the name-his
I didn't /don't know his name.

I give finally two examples, also based on the root *√my*, that show the workings of the habitual. The following is grammatical:

254. lut tʰə cmi+p+nú-n (//cmi+p+nú-nt-n//).
 not emph manage_to_know-I
 I did not learn.

with a corresponding customary form

255. lut tʰə ac-mi+p+nú-st-ən.
 not emph cust-manage_to_know-st-I
 I could never learn it.

but no *lut tʰə cmiþnún.