## Mainland Comox 'Plurals': A Working Paper Honoré Watanabe (The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science)

### 0. Introduction.

In Mainland Comox (hereafter MCx), a Central Salishan language spoken in the province of British Columbia (Canada), number is not obligatorily marked except in first and second person pronominal elements (subject, object, and possessive affixes and clitics).<sup>1</sup> However, 'plurality' can be explicitly indicated, and there are seven different formations involved in marking it. Although the details of the processes may not be as complicated as in Upper Chehalis (Tsamosan Salish) as discussed in Kinkade (1995), MCx plural formations are varied and show some of the characteristics of Salish.

The most productive of all the plural formations is  $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ , reduplication. There are three affixes which express plurality; -Vg(-), is quite productive, whereas the other two (-ton and -?om) apply to specifically limited stems. Some roots with  $\partial$  as their (first) vowel have ablaut forms for plurals.<sup>2</sup> A few roots have suppleted forms to express plurality. Finally, Plurality can also be expressed by an analytical construction using an independent word  $(q \ge x)$ .

This paper is organized as follows: the remaining part of Introduction gives some characteristics of MCx which will facilitate the understanding of the examples cited in this paper. In Section 1, I will exemplify and discuss the plural forms in the following order: reduplication (1.1), -Vg(-) (1.2), ablaut (1.3), -ton (1.4), -?om (1.5), suppletion (1.6), and analytical expression (1.7).

MCx predicates usually occur clause initially. Except for reduplicative materials, a root occurs initially in a stem. The two most prevalent shapes of MCx roots are  $C_1VC_2$  and  $C_1VC_2C_3$ , and there are also some  $C_1V$  and  $C_1VC_2V$  roots. Longer roots are not synchronically analyzable but may historically be

<sup>2</sup> It may be that such a  $\partial$  should be treated as epenthetic, in which case the process in question would not be a > a ablaut. However, much needs to be worked out on a epenthesis in MCx. 1

H. Watanabe

comprised of more than two morphemes. Root compounding is not a productive process. Aside from the numerous reduplications, the language is mainly suffixing in its morphology, with only a few infixes and different types of ablaut. It may be noteworthy that plural expressions involve all of these four morphological processes (i.e., reduplications, suffixes, an infix, and an ablaut).

The referent of third persons can be explicitly expressed by lexical arguments, which can be of two types: direct lexical arguments express subject of intransitive predicates and subject and object of transitive predicates (i.e., all core arguments); oblique lexical arguments express all others. The latter is marked by the preceding particle 29, whereas the former is not. Both types of lexical arguments are usually preceded by a determiner. My use of the terms 'lexical argument' and 'predicate' is essentially that of Kinkade (1995: 361).

As already mentioned above, number is not obligatory (in third persons) and neither is gender.<sup>3</sup> Thus, when the English translation of the examples cited reads 'he / him', it can also be translated as 'she, they / her, them'.

#### 1. Plural variants.

1.1. Reduplication.  $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$  reduplication is by far the most productive of all the processes which express plurality. (C1VC2. reduplication is one of the commonest devices for indicating plurality in Salish, and MCx C<sub>1</sub><sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, reduplication fits within this context.) Other reduplication types which form plurals, namely C1V?V. and C1a?aC2., are observed with a limited number of stems. All three types occur with both predicates and lexical arguments. There are other types of reduplication which seem to express plurality, and I will discuss these later in section 1.3.

1.1.1. C<sub>1</sub><sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, reduplication is formed by reduplicating the stem initial C<sub>1</sub>VC<sub>2</sub> and placing it before the stem. with the modification of the V to  $\sigma$  when the vowel is one of the full vowels (i, u, or a).<sup>4</sup> For example,<sup>5</sup>

(1)	t'ən•t'in	'lots of barbecued fish' [t' int' en]	(t'in [t'én t'én])
(2)	k <sup>w</sup> əs•k <sup>w</sup> usin	'stars' [k <sup>w</sup> úsk <sup>w</sup> u'sın]	( <i>k<sup>w</sup>usin</i> [k <sup>w</sup> ú·sın])
(3)	тәө•таваč	'black ducks' [mə́θma·θač]	( <i>maθač</i> [má·θač])
(4)	məs•məs	'minks' [(°)mʎsmʌs']	( <i>məs</i> [mís'])

 $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \cdot reduplication can express distribution ('plurality') over space or time. Thus,$ 

(?imaš 'walk' [?é·mʌš]) 'to (be) walk(ing) around' [?ím?e·mʌš] ?əm•?imaš `(5)

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>•</sup> I would like to thank the Sliammon community for allowing me to study their language. I would like to thank especially my language consultants for sharing their knowledge: Mrs. Mary George, Mrs. Elsie Paul. Mr. and Mrs. Dave Dominick. Needless to say, I am responsible for any misinterpretation. My research on Mainland Comox has been generously supported at various times by grants from the Jacobs Research Funds, the Phillips Fund of the American Philosophical Society, and the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, International Scientific Research Program (Field Research) (most recently granted to the project Urgent Linguistic Fieldwork of the North Pacific Rim, headed by Osahito Miyaoka, 1995-1996, #07041013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At least two dialects are recognized of Comox: Island Comox (hereafter ICx), (formerly) spoken on Vancouver Island, and Mainland Comox, spoken on the mainland of British Columbia. The latter is spoken by three groups: Sliammon, Klahoose, and Homalco. Further dialectal differences among these groups, if any, have not been recognized. It should be noted that the term 'Mainland Comox' is disliked by the speakers of that dialect. However, since there seems to be no other appropriate cover term for the mainland dialect, I will use this term in this paper. The MCx phonemic inventory includes the following: p, (t<sup>0</sup>), t, (\u03c6), č, (k), (k<sup>w</sup>), q, q<sup>w</sup>, ?, p', t'<sup>0</sup>, t', \u03c6', \u03c6', (k'), k'<sup>w</sup>, q', q'<sup>w</sup>, j, g, j', g', \u03c6, s, t, š, x<sup>w</sup>, x, x<sup>w</sup>, h, m, n, (l), y, w, m', n', (l'), y', w', i, u, a, a. Primary stress falls on the first syllable of word. Those in parentheses are so far observed as rare or limited in their occurrences. Note that  $\check{r}$  and g' are realized phonetically as [?]] and [?g] respectively. For a justification in considering them as single unit phonemes, and also for (morpho)phonological rules observed so far, see Watanabe (1994a, b). There are regular alternations, most notably  $j \sim y$ ,  $g \sim w$ ,  $j' \sim y'$ ,  $g' \sim w'$ . See Blake (1992) for a more theoretical treatment of MCx phonology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Feminine gender can be indicated in lexical arguments by the use of the determiner to, however, its function may not be restricted to marking gender. Much needs to be worked out on MCx determiners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A limited number of stems form their plurals by  $C_1VC_2$  reduplication, rather than by  $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$  reduplication. E.g., k<sup>w</sup>iš•k<sup>w</sup>išk<sup>w</sup>iš 'blue jays' (k<sup>w</sup>išk<sup>w</sup>iš 'blue jay').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> I give only a limited number of examples for sections 1.1 and 1.2 in the present work. For more examples, see Watanabe (1994a, b, c). The symbols and abbreviations used in this paper are: = lexical suffix, • reduplication, [...] in phonemic representation indicates infixes, A.Intr active-intransitive, CTr control transitive, Det determiner, Fut future, Imp imperative, Impf imperfective, Mdl middle, NTr noncontrol transitive, Obl oblique, Pl plural, Psv possessive, ptc particle, Qn question marker. s.o. someone. s.t. something, Stv stative. + (plus sign) is used in the gloss when two forms are fused into one morpheme and thus synchronically unsegmentable. For the sake of record, I include the phonetic transcriptions (between [...]) of each example cited. The corresponding non-plural simplex forms are given in parenthesis following the plural forms.

?əŧ∙?uŧq™u 'always digging clams' [?<sup>1</sup>/<sub>1</sub>?o<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>] (?utq<sup>w</sup>u 'dig clams' [?ółq<sup>w</sup>o]) (6)  $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$ , reduplication of predicates refers to the plurality of the subject in intransitive predicates and of the object in transitive predicates. Thus, (7) Intransitive subject gaq'.gaq' ta ?əm•?imin Pl-open Det Pl-door 'All the doors are open.' [gáq'gaq' tə ?ám?emen] (8) Transitive object gəq'•gəq'-t tə ?əm•?imin Pl-Open-CTr Det Pl•door [gáq'gaq't tə ?ám?emen] 'Open all the doors!'

1.1.2. Some stems form their plurals by reduplications other than  $C_1 
arrow C_2$ . Such reduplications include  $C_1V?V$  (mostly  $C_1a?a$ ) and  $C_1a?aC_2$ . I have yet to identify the rules governing which types of stems undergo which types of reduplication.

# C<sub>1</sub>V?V• reduplication

- na?a.nx"it 'canoes' [ná?anx"1] (nəx"it [núx"1]) (9)
- (10)  $\lambda' a^2 a \lambda' x a y'$  'lots of elders'  $[\lambda' \hat{a}^2 \lambda' x a y'] (\lambda' a \lambda' x a y' [\lambda' \hat{a} \lambda' x a y' [\lambda' \hat{a} x a y' ])$ Cf. also recorded as 'lots of elders':  $\lambda' a x \cdot \lambda' a x a y [\lambda' a x \lambda' a x a y]$
- (11)  $t'^{\theta}i^{\gamma}i \cdot t'^{\theta}qinas$  'chicken hawks'  $[t'^{\theta}\epsilon^{\gamma}\epsilon t'^{\theta}qen\Lambda s] (t'^{\theta}it'^{\theta}qinis [t'^{\theta}\epsilon^{\gamma}t'^{\theta}qenes])$

(12)	ma?a•ma-t-as tə	čəy•čuy'	
	C <sub>1</sub> a?a•take-CTr-3Sbj Det 1	Pl-child	
	'He is taking all the kids.'		[má?a·ma·tʌs tə čí:čuy?]
	Cf. ma?•ma-t-as <sup>6</sup> 'He is	getting it.'	[(°)má?mà·tas]

### $C_1a?aC_2$ reduplication

- (13)  $ma^{2}a^{\lambda} \cdot ma^{\lambda}$  'calm spot all over the place'  $[ma^{2}a^{\lambda}, ma^{\lambda}]$  ( $ma^{\lambda}$  'calm (water)'  $[ma^{\lambda}, ]$ )
- (14) t'a?aq-t'aq-t 'putting lots of things on wall' (-t CTr) [t'a?Aqt'Aqt] (t'aq-t 'to put/glue/pin s.t. on wall' [t' hqt])

**1.2.** -Vg(-). The vowel of this affix can be any one of the three full vowels (i, u, and a).<sup>7</sup> This affix occurs either after the first syllable of the stem (excluding prefixing reduplicants) or at the end of it.<sup>8</sup> In the former position, -Vg(-) sometimes breaks up a stem that is otherwise (at least synchronically) unsegmentable, and thus it cannot be treated simply as a suffix.

H. Watanabe

This affix is found primarily with predicates, and I have recorded only a few lexical arguments with it.<sup>9</sup> In contrast with the  $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$ , reduplication discussed above, when -Vg(-) occurs with predicates, it can refer to the plurality of an intransitive subject and of both a transitive subject and object. It seems that the referent of this affix, whether to the subject or to the object, is ambiguous out of context. It does not appear to denote plurality in a temporal or spatial sense but only the plurality of the persons involved.

366

Examples (15) to (18) show this affix occuring after the first syllable of the stem. When this affix occurs in this position, the vowel of the affix is a copy of the vowel of that syllable.<sup>10</sup>

(15)	2il/iu/ton <sup>11</sup> štom	
(15)		
	eat[Pi] Ipi.Soj+Put	F04-1
	we will eat (together).	[reveewtanstam]
	Cf. ?itton toom 'I will eat.' (toom 1sg.Sbj+Fut)	
	Compare also: $2a^{1}$ ? $i^{1}$ tan 'always eating' (with $C_{1} \Rightarrow C_{2}$ · reduplication)	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
(16)	č'ah-ag-əm	
. ,	pray-Pl-Mdl	
	'They are praying.'	[č'é <sup>°</sup> h∧·gəm]
	Cf. č'ah-am 'He prays.'	
Transi	itive subject	
(17)	?aa'-ag-aA-as-ut <sup>12</sup> čənit	
(17)	chase-Pl-CTr+leg Ohi-3Shi-Pact leg	
	They ran after me '	[2/210' arga A) and Xined
	Cf. $Taq^{-}a\theta$ -as-ut conit 'He ran after me.'	[?a'q'a'0\sol čínil]
Transi	tive object	
(18)	?aq'-ag-at-uł č	
	chase-PI-CTr-Past 1sg.Sbj	
	'I ran after them.'	[?á·a'a·ga·tòłč]
	Cf 2aa'-at-ut č 'I ran after him '	

In examples (19) to (21), this affix is attached at the end of the stem. In this position, the vowel of the affix is *i*, regardless of the first vowel of the stem or the nearest vowel in the stem.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This reduplication appears to be irregular; imperfective is expressed by  $C_1V$  reduplication, and I cannot explain the occurrence of ? in this form.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>g$  appears before a vowel and alternates with w before a consonant or a word boundary, and thus this affix appears as -Vw(-) in the latter environments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> However, the affix seems to precede -2ut Past: ni2-ig-ut št 'We were there' (ni2 'to be there, to exist', št 1pl.Sbj). 1 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> E.g., qay[aw]mix<sup>w</sup> 'Native Indian people' (qaymix<sup>w</sup>). See also example (59).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> I have not found any data for which this affix directly follows a stem initial C<sub>1</sub><sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> sequence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The stem *?itton* is intransitive. For the transitive predicate meaning 'to eat s.t.', mok<sup>w</sup>- is used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The linking vowel of the control transitive marker -(V)t follows the following pattern (Kroeber 1989:110): (a) immediately after roots of shape CoC, no link vowel (e.g., example 8); (b) immediately after roots of shape CVC (V≠∂), link vowel is the same as root vowel (e.g., 23a); (c) immediately after roots of shape C $\approx$ C, link vowel is a; (d) after longer forms, especially suffixed ones, no link vowel (e.g., 22 and 24; exception: forms with .VC reduplication or with -Vg(-) take link vowel a [e.g., 17 and 18]). In my previous studies, I segmented the link vowel from the following transitive marker, but I will not do so in the present analysis for the sake of simplicity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> There is, however, at least one exception in which the vowel is u: ?utq "u-?-uw 'They dig clams.' I treat the glottal stop in this example as an epenthetic consonant which breaks up the VV sequence. 4

[čí·či·łe·mew]

[čí·či·łem]

.

H. Watanabe

Intran	sitive subject						
(19)	či•či‡-im-iw						
	Impf-dance-Mdl-Pl						
	'They are dancing.'						
	Cf. či•čit-im 'He is dancing.'						

#### Transitive subject

(20) ła?q'-at-as-iw wait-CTr-3Sbj-Pl 'They waited for him.'

### Transitive object

(21)	səp'-t-iw	čan	səm	
	club-CTr-Pl	1sg.Sbj	Fut	
	'I will club the	em all.'		[síp'tewčensəm]
	Cf. səp'-t čar	n səm	'I will club it.'	[sə́p'čénsəm]

These examples (15 - 21) confirm that -Vg(-) can refer to the plurality of all core arguments.<sup>14</sup>

## 1.3. Ablaut.

**1.3.1.** Some roots whose (first) vowel is  $\partial$ , have an ablaut form to express plurality. In such stems, the vowel  $\boldsymbol{a}$  is changed to  $\boldsymbol{a}$ . As is shown in the examples below, the ablauted forms denote plurality of the arguments, and also that of temporal and spatial sense. However, there is no example in the corpus in which the ablauted form refers to plural transitive subject. This process has not been found to occur with lexical arguments but only with predicates. The following examples show the ablaut forms in (a) and its corresponding simplex form in (b):

(22a)	q'ajı́p'=uj̃'a-θi fold=hand-CTr+2sg.Obj 'I'll roll up your sleeves.	<i>t<sup>0</sup>∂m</i> <sup>15</sup> lsg.Sbj+Fut	[q'ά·j̆ı·p'υ. ?j̆νæθert <sup>g</sup> im]
(22b)	q'əyp'=uj'a-θ fold=hand-CTr+1sg.Obj 'Roll up my sleeve!'	ga Imp	[q'έι.b, r'j <sub>λ</sub> εθδy]
(23a)	<i>θax<sup>w</sup>-at-as</i> stab(pl.)-CTr-3Sbj 'He's stabbing it many ti	mes.'	[θά·x <sup>w</sup> a·təs]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> However, in transitive predicates, there is perhaps a tendency for this affix to be interpreted as referring to the transitive object when it occurs after the first syllable and to the transitive subject when it occurs at the end of a stem. See, for example, (27) and (28) below. It is interesting to see that the apparent cognate affix in Sechelt (-Vw) refers to intransitive subjects and transitive objects (Beaumont 1985:86), but apparently not to transitive subjects.

[θύx <sup>w</sup> tasoł]			<i>θəx<sup>w</sup>-t-as-ut</i> stab-CTr-3Sbj-Past 'He stabbed it.'	(23b)
nx" h	<i>tə janx</i> Det fish	<i>čx<sup>w</sup></i> CTr 2sg.Sbj	sap'=iq <sup>w</sup> a-t <sup>16</sup> club(pl.)=top.of.head-C	(24a)
[sā'p'eq <sup>w</sup> ʌčx <sup>w</sup> tə j <sup>y</sup> énx <sup>w</sup> ]		the fish!	Keep on clubbing t	
[sáʔp'ɛqʷʌčxʷ]		čx <sup>™</sup> 2sg.Sbj ad!'	sa?p'=iq <sup>w</sup> a-t club=top.of.head-CTr 'Club him on the he	(24b)
$\partial p'$ - 'to club'; $\partial > a^2 / (\#)C C'V$ [Kroeber 1989])	(səp			
in providency [Xása'sas]	NSO' Vec	nj-3Sbj the body.' 🔗	<i>λas-aθ-as</i> punch(pl.)-CTr+1sg.Ob 'He hit me all over t	(25a)
			λəs-θ-as	(25b)
[* 201		bj	punch-CTr+1sg.Obj-3S 'He hit me.'	

The meaning of the root sap'- in (24a) is often translated as 'to spank', as shown in the following example:

(26)	<i>sap'-at</i> club(pl.)-CTr	<i>t<sup>0</sup>∂m</i> Isg.Sbj+Fut	<i>t∂</i> Det	<i>čuy'</i> child	
	'I will spank	the kid."			[sáːp'aːt <sup>9</sup> àm tə čúy?]

Now, observe also the next examples, where this ablauted stem cooccurs with -Vg(-).

(27)	sap'-ag-at-as	tə	čəy•čuy'	
	club(pl.)-Pl-CTr-3Sbj	Det	Pl-child	
	'He is spanking all t	he kid	s.'	[sáˈp'aˈgaːtəs tə čí·čuy?]
(28)	sap'-at-as-iw	tə	čəy•čuy'	
	club(pl.)-CTr-3Sbj-Pl	Det	Pl-child	
	'They are spanking t	he kid	s.'	[sáp'atasew tə čí·čuy?]

In these two examples, the a ablaut refers to the plurality of the act of 'clubbing' (= 'spanking'), and the -Vg(-) affix refers to that of the arguments.

1.3.2. It may be the case that this ablaut vowel a is the source of the vowels in  $C_1a$  and C<sub>1</sub>aC<sub>2</sub>• reduplications.

6

H. Watanabe

[λásθas]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> I cannot account for the insertion of the second vowel in the ablaut form. Parallel to the g and w alternation, j appears before a vowel and alternates with y before a consonant or a word boundary. 5

 $<sup>^{16} =</sup> iq^{w}an$  'top of head'. *n* is generally deleted before *t*.

In examples (29) and (30), the roots underwent the a ablaut and C<sub>1</sub>V· imperfective reduplication. The former process (i.e., a ablaut) must have preceded the reduplication, because this reduplication copies the stem-initial CV,<sup>17</sup> and the vowels in the reduplicant in the following examples are a.

- (29) λa•λap[i]x<sup>w</sup>-at Impf-break(pl.)[Stv]-CTr [λáλapex<sup>w</sup> Ath] 'breaking lots of them (e.g., sticks)' Cf.  $\lambda \partial \lambda p x^{w} - at$  'breaking it' [ $\lambda \partial \lambda p(v) x^{w} \wedge t$ ];  $\lambda \partial p x^{w} - at$  'to break (s.t. in two)'
- (30)  $k'a\cdot k'ap' = iq^w = uy 2\partial m$ Impf-cut(pl.)=pointed?=hand-A.Intr  $[k'^{y} \hat{\epsilon}' k'^{y} \hat{\epsilon}^{\circ} p' \hat{\epsilon}' q^{w} \upsilon_{*} y$ ? $\Rightarrow m ]$ 'cutting one's fingernails' [k'<sup>y</sup>íp'?<sub>^m</sub>] Cf. k'ap'-?am 'to cut (with scissors)'

In examples (31) and (32), the roots have undergone the a ablaut and the  $C_1V$  imperfective reduplication. They are also suffixed by -Vg(-), however, the semantic contribution of this is not entirely clear. (The following examples with  $C_1V_2$  reduplication have not been recorded without the -Vg(-) affix.)

(31)	ха-хал-аw						
	C <sub>1</sub> a·break(pl.)-Pl						
	'Lots of string-like object [ropes] are breaking up.'	[xá·xa·λaw]					
	Cf. $x = \lambda^{-1}$ 'string-like object breaks' [ $x \wedge \lambda$ ], $x = x \lambda^{-1}$ (it is) breaking up'						
(22)	BA BAY AN						

(52)	papaż-aw	
	C <sub>1</sub> a•tear(pl.)-Pl	
	'(e.g. clothes, bag is) tearing apart (into pieces)'	[pá·pa·xàw]
	Cf. pax 'to get ripped'	[páx]

Examples (33) and (34) below have  $C_1a$  as their reduplicant, however, unlike the examples above, the roots have lost their vowels. In contrast with the examples above, they do not seem to indicate the imperfective aspect, and the reduplication involved here does not appear to be  $C_1V_1$  imperfective reduplication. Thus, we cannot be certain as to the source of the vowel a (or the C<sub>1</sub>a as a whole), however, plurality is clearly expressed:

(33)	č'a•č'px tə	t <sup>0</sup>	?i?agik' <sup>w</sup>	
	C <sub>1</sub> a-dirty Det	1sg.Psv	clothes	
	'My clothes are all dirty.		.' [č'	[č' <sup>y</sup> éč'px tʌt <sup>ə</sup> ?é?agik'"]
	Cf. č'əpx '(	it is) dirty'		[č'ípx]

<sup>17</sup> If the (first) vowel of the root is  $\partial$ , the root loses that vowel when it undergoes C<sub>1</sub>V• imperfective reduplication. 7

H. Watanabe

(34) xa•xλ-it tə x<sup>w</sup>il'əm C1a.break-Stv Det rope 'The rope is broken/severed in multiple points.' [xá'xxeth taxwé?lom] Cf.  $x \ge \lambda$ -it t  $\ge x^{wil} \ge m$  'The rope is broken/severed.' [xilet, to xwellom] Compare also with example (31).

The second type of reduplication which perhaps involves the a ablaut is  $C_1 a C_2$ , reduplication. Its meaning is not entirely clear, however, most of the examples with this reduplication express plurality of some kind. For example,

(35)	naš•noš-om	
	'swimming back and forth'	[nášnišim nášnišim]
	Cf. nəš-əm 'to swim'	[níšim]
(36)	gaq'**gəq'**=šən-əm	
	C <sub>1</sub> aC <sub>2</sub> -drag=foot-Mdl	
	'(to be) dragging one's both feet'	[gáq' <sup>w</sup> gv.q' <sup>w</sup> šınəm]
(37)	q <sup>w</sup> am•q <sup>w</sup> um=qin-?əm	
	C <sub>1</sub> aC <sub>2</sub> -put.in.mouth=mouth-A.Intr	
	'always kissing'	[qʷámqʷomqɛnʔʌm]
	Cf. q <sup>w</sup> um=qin-?əm 'to kiss'	[q <sup>w</sup> ómqɛn?əm]
(38)	k' <sup>w</sup> an•k' <sup>w</sup> ən-?əm č	
	C <sub>1</sub> aC <sub>2</sub> -see-A.Intr 1sg.Sbj	
	'I'm looking around'	[k'"ánk'"un?əmč]
	Cf. k'"an-?am 'to see'	[k'"ún?^m]
(39)	taq' <sup>w</sup> tuq' <sup>w</sup> -it	
```	C <sub>1</sub> aC <sub>2</sub> -cough-Stv	
	'cough and stop, cough and stop'	[táq'"to·q'"èt]
(40)	k' <sup>w</sup> at' <sup>e</sup> k' <sup>w</sup> it' <sup>e</sup> -im	
()	C <sub>1</sub> aC <sub>1</sub> iump-Mdl	
	'(It is) hopping/jumping continuously'	[k' "át' <sup>0</sup> k' "e't' <sup>0</sup> èm]
	For some stems which undergo $C_1 a C_2$ reduplication	on (the a forms below), forms with

 $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$  reduplication (discussed in 1.1) were also recorded (the b forms). The difference in the meaning of the two reduplication types is difficult to discern precisely, however, example (41) shows that they may express different kinds of plurality.

8

(41a) sač•səč-əm C1aC2•itch-Mdl 'itchy all over'

[sáčsičim -- sáčsičim]

371

	'My hand is always itchy in one spot.' <sup>18</sup>			spot.' <sup>18</sup>	[síčsıčìm tət <sup>e</sup> čéyıš]
	Pl•itch-Mdl	Det	1sg.Psv	hand	· · · · · · ·
(41b)	səč•səč-əm	tə	tθ	čayiš	

In the next two pairs of examples, the semantic contribution of the reduplication is not entirely clear, however, they are clearly  $C_1 a C_{2^{\circ}}$  in form and contrast with  $C_1 a C_{2^{\circ}}$  reduplication.

- (42a) ?at•?itt[i]n č C1aC2+eat[Stv] 1sg.Sbj 'I'm enjoying my meal, eating slowly, bit by bit. / eating a little bit at a time / snacking, sampling [?á·ł?e·łtenč] food'
- (42b) ?əl·?iltən č Pl-eat · 1sg.Sbi [?íł?ełtənč] 'I'm always eating'
- (43a) ?at.?utq"u C1aC2.dig.clams [?á\*ł?o\*ła\*o] '(to be) enjoying digging clams' (43b) ?ət.?utq<sup>w</sup>u Pl-dig.clams
- 1.4. -tan. This suffix attaches to a limited number of stems to form plurals.<sup>19</sup>
  - 'younger siblings (brothers / sisters)'  $[q^{x} \epsilon x t an] (qi 2x^{20} younger sibling' [q \epsilon 2x])$ (44) qix-tən
  - 'grandchildren' (2imaθ 'grandchild') (45) ?imaθ-tən
- (qəx-mut) həjuq"-tən 'barbecued seals' [qíxmot hájoq"tən] (həjuq" [háju.q"]) Kuk pa fən K Kük pa sını din ter (46)

aSc I have not been able to elicit any other examples with this suffix in MCx, however, Harris (1977:95-6) recorded more examples of this suffix in ICx. This suffix in ICx (transcribed by Harris as -tan or -tan) attaches to many, but not all, kinship terms, and to a limited number of non-kin terms. Examples given by Harris (1977: 95-96) are<sup>21</sup>:

'always digging clams'

<sup>20</sup> The loss of 2 in the suffixed form cannot be explained. It is apparently retained in the corresponding ICx form (see example 47).

[?x4?o4q~o]

H. Watanabe

ICx			
(47)	qi?x-tən	'younger siblings'	(qi?x)
(48)	čaps-tan	'uncles / aunts'	(čaps)
(49)	k <sup>w</sup> u?pa?-tən	'grandfathers'	(kʷu?pa?)
(50)	?ays-tən	'brothers / sisters'	(?ayš)
(51)	?imas-tən	'grandchildren'	(?imas) <sup>22</sup>
(52)	λ'əms-tan	'village'	(X'ams 'house')2
(53)	jənis-tan	'gums'	( <i>jənis</i> 'tooth')

Cognate forms of this suffix are found in four sister languages (Upper Chehalis, Cowlitz, Sechelt, and Pentlatch), and it can be reconstructed to Proto-Salish (Kinkade 1995:351). This suffix is usually used in combination with a particular prefix in these languages: Upper Chehalis  $n\ddot{s}$ -, Cowlitz nx-, Sechelt  $x^{w}$ -, and Pentlatch  $x^{w}$ .<sup>24</sup> The affix complex seems to form plurals of certain kinship and non-kinship terms.

372

(54) Upper Chehalis (ibid. 350) nš-?imc-tn 'grandchildren' (?imc 'grandchild')

(55)Pentlatch (ibid..) x<sup>w</sup>-číčt-tn 'brothers and sisters' (číčt brother and sister)

hillooch Superlater?

(56) Sechelt (Beaumont 1985) x<sup>w</sup>-?*imac-tən* 'grandchildren' (?*imac* 'grandchild')

The prefixed element does not appear in MCx, however this is a historically regular development; Cx lost almost all prefixes to avoid word-initial consonant cluster.

1.5. - 20m. This suffix likewise occurs with a limited number of stems. Note that in the first two examples below ('dogs' and 'trees'), the stems are modified from their simplex forms. The third example ('owls') has both the -Vg(-) plural affix and  $-2\partial m$ .

(57)	č'ən•č'ən-?əm	'dogs' [č'ınč'ın?əm]	( <i>č'an'u</i> [č' <sup>y</sup> ź?no])	also	~ c	, ,	5
(58)	jajiy-?əm	'trees' [jɛ́ji:?əm]	( <i>jaj`a</i> [j <sup>y</sup> á?j <sup>y</sup> a])		)	911	am

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> ICx s corresponds to MCx  $\theta$  (see example 45).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Emphasis is the speaker, Mrs. Mary George's.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> There is a lexical suffix of the same phonemic shape, =tan, which indicates 'instrument'. E.g.,  $t'^{\theta}a_{\nu}=t\partial n$  'shade' ( $t'^{\theta}a_{\nu}$ - 'shade'),  $n\partial p=us=t\partial n$  'mask' ( $n\partial p$ - 'put in', =us 'head/face'). However, it seems difficult to see any semantic connection between this lexical suffix and the plural -ton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> I have normalized the notation in Harris (1977) to match the usage in this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The plural 'villages' is formed through C<sub>1</sub> $\partial$ C<sub>1</sub>· reduplication:  $\lambda' \partial m \cdot \lambda' \partial m \cdot tan$  (Harris ibid.). The word for 'house' in MCx is  $\lambda' \partial ms = t \partial n$  (besides 2aya, which also means 'house';  $\lambda' \partial ms$ - 'to live'; Cf. Blake [1992:183]  $\lambda$  'amstan 'house'; Sechelt  $\lambda$  'amstan 'house' [Beaumont 1985:16, 17]). The suffix (=tan) in the MCx form is not the plural suffix but a lexical suffix 'instrument' (and Sechelt = tan 'container, enclosure'). Incidentally, the vowel of the suffix, whether  $\rho$  or a, is difficult to tell; full vowels, especially a, often reduces to  $\vartheta$  in unstressed position. I have recorded the form  $q'aymet \vartheta n$  [q'aymet \vartheta n] for 'village' in MCx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Apparently, these prefixes are cognates (Kinkade 1995:351). The Pentlatch material is originally collected by Boas, but is cited here from Kinkade (1995). I have converted the Sechelt orthography used in Beaumont (1985) to match the usage in this paper. Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz belong to the Tsamosan branch, and Sechelt and Pentlatch belong to the Central branch.

H. Watanabe

(59)  $xix/iw/niq' - \partial m^{25}$  'owls' [xéxewneq' Am] (xixniq' [xéx<sup>e</sup>neq'\*])

In the neighboring Sechelt, the most productive process to form plural is C, oC, reduplication (cf. Beaumont 1985:37), just as in MCx. Interestingly, however, Sechelt has an affix complex  $x^{w}$ -...-am which occurs with some words to denote collective plural (Beaumont ibid. 38, 65).<sup>26</sup> Moreover, two of the three MCx words above have corresponding forms in Sechelt, whose plural forms are derived with this complex. It seems probable that the MCx -2am and the Sechelt -am are of the same origin.<sup>27</sup> (The absence of  $x^{w}$ - in the MCx forms is expected as mentioned above.) The Sechelt examples are:

Sechelt (Beaumont ibid.) (60) x<sup>w</sup>-č'źn-am 'dogs' (s-č'ánu) (61)  $x^{w}$ -siv-am 'trees' (síva) Cf. sávsíva 'trees'

As is shown above, for example (61) (but apparently not for 60), there is another plural form derived by  $C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$ , reduplication. According to Beaumont (1985:38) the plural form with  $x^{w}$ -...-am is a collective plural, meaning 'a lot of trees' or 'all the trees', whereas the reduplicated form is a normal plural 'trees'. I have not been able to elicit C, a c, blural forms (without the suffix -2am) for the three MCx examples above (57, 58, and 59),<sup>28</sup>

**1.6.** Suppletion. A few pairs of stems show number suppletion. There are only three clear examples in my data so far. Note that for (62), there is another plural form derived by C, oC, reduplication. However, no difference in their semantics has been observed.

- (62) nəgəptay 'women' [nəgʌptıy -- nə́gʌptʌy] (sałtx" [sárłtx"]) Cf. sət-sattx" 'women' [sítsattx"]
- tigix "at 'children (of one family, of the same parents)' [tígix "A] (man'a 'child, offspring' (63) [má?na])
- (64)  $x^{*ay}$  'several die'  $[x^{*ay}] (a \ge y^{*ay})$

The plurality of (64) refers to the subject when the predicate is intransitive and to the object when transitive.

(65a)	x™ay	tə	məm•mimaw	
	die(Pl.)	Det	Pl-cat	
	'All the c	ats died	<b>1</b>	[x <sup>w</sup> áy tʌ mʌ´m:me <sup>·</sup> màw]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> ? is often deleted when it follows a glottalized obstruents, especially in allegro speech.

 $[x^{w} \dot{a} \dot{i} \epsilon \dot{c} x^{w} \dot{t} a \dot{a} \dot{w} a e^{-g} \lambda \theta]$ 

Such paired roots are much more abundant in Interior Salish and Upper Chehalis than in Bella Coola and languages of Central Salish which have only a few such pairs (Kinkade 1981).

**1.7.** Analytical expression. Plural reference can be indicated syntactically by juxtaposing q a x 'many' (sometimes in combination with -mut 'very'). For example,

xaλ'-a k<sup>w</sup> qəx-mut (66) qay'a want-Qn Det many-very water  $[xá\lambda'a k^w qxmot qá?ye]$ 'Do you want lots of water?'

Also, *qax* can be used as predicates meaning 'there are lots of ...'. For example,

(67) qəx tə čəv-čuv' many Det Pl-child 'There are lots of kids.' [qíx tə čí čuy?]

The next example is a segment from a text (conversation) in which an elderly couple is reminiscing about their fishing trip. The plurality of 'owls' in the first line is only implied, but the English translation provided by the consultant reflects this. In the second line, the plurality is explicitly stressed by the use of qəx.

(68) JG:	k <sup>w</sup> uk <sup>w</sup> -im	k"	xixnia	,				
	hoot-Mdl	Det	owl					
	'Owls were h	ooting."	UWI			1	[k <sup>w</sup> uk <sup>w</sup> em k <sup>w</sup> xɛx़nɛq' (laughs)]	
MG:	<i>m'''m</i>	qəx-mut	<i>k</i> <sup>w</sup>	xixniq'	čičk <sup>w</sup> am'-s	tə	q`"ətəm	
	(exclamation)	many-very	Det	owl	side?-3Psv	Det	river	
	'Uh-huh. There were lots of owls on both sides of the river.'							
				1	т.::т qлұто	t k <sup>w</sup> x	exneq'či čk <sup>w</sup> ∧m's tə q'"utəm]	

#### 2. Final remarks.

In this paper, I showed that MCx makes use of various devices to indicate plurality. Some of the processes (-tan, -?am, and suppletion) occur only with a limited number of stems, however, the other processes are fairly productive. Although available data is still limited in many respects, it shows that some processes can occur on the same items to indicate different kinds of plurality (e.g., [15] 2it/iw/ton and ?ot.?itton, and perhaps also [42] ?at.?itton). There are also examples in which two processes cooccur (e.g., [28] a ablaut and -Vg(-)). A variety of plural markings are available to add different nuances, and this appears to be possible because 'plurality' in MCx is not a single category associated with a single formal device to be marked obligatorily.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> I do not know whether the prefixed part of this complex,  $x^{w}$ , and that of the complex  $x^{w}$ -...-ton are the same elements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> However, I do not know if they are cognates or if one is a borrowed element from the other.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> It may be interesting to point out that, at least in two of the MCx examples, the stems have undergone  $C_{2}$ , reduplication (57) and -Vg(-) suffixation (59), and theoretically these processes should be enough to indicate plurality. (Example 58 appears to be a reduplicated form from its corresponding simplex, however, it is not clear which process has taken place.) The Sechelt examples do not show such modifications of the stems. It may be possible that the added suffix -?am once had a slightly different function from that of indicating simple plural. However, much more data in MCx and comparative work are necessary to understand the history of the MCx plural formations. H. Watanabe

# References

Beaumont, Ronald C., 1985, She Shashishalhem The Sechelt Language (Theytus Books, Penticton)

Blake, Susan J., 1992, "Two Aspects of Sliammon (łá?amınqən) Phonology: Glide / Obstruent Alternation and Vowel Length," M. A. Thesis, The University of British Columbia.

Hagège, Claude, 1981, Le comox lhaamen de Colombie britannique: présentation d'une langue amérindienne. Amerindia, revue d'ethnolinguistique amérindienne, numéro spécial 2, Paris.

Harris, Herbert R., 1981, A Grammatical Sketch of Comox, Ph. D. dissertation, University of Kansas.

Kinkade, M. Dale, 1981, "Singular vs. Plural Roots in Salish," Anthropological Linguistics 23 (6), pp. 262-269.

----, 1995, "A Plethora of Plurals: Inflection for Number in Upper Chehalis," Anthropological Linguistics 37 (3), pp. 347-365.

Kroeber, Paul D., 1989, Review of Hagège 1981. IJAL 55: 106-16.

- Thompson, Laurence C., 1979, "Salishan and the Northwest," in Lyle Campbell and Marianne Mithun (eds.), *The Languages of Native America: Historical and Comparative Assessment*, pp. 692-765 (University of Texas Press, Austin / London)
- ----, 1985, "Control in Salish Grammar," in Frans Plank (ed.), *Relational Typology, Trends in Linguistics*, Studies and Monographs 28, pp. 391-428 (Mouton, Berlin / New York / Amsterdam)

Watanabe, Honoré, 1994a, "A Report on Sliammon (Mainland Comox) Phonology and Reduplication," M. A. thesis, Hokkaido University.

- ----, 1994b, "A Report on Sliammon (Mainland Comox) Phonology and Reduplication," Osahito Miyaoka (ed.), Languages of the North Pacific Rim, Hokkaido University Publications in Linguistics 7. (Sapporo)
- ----, 1994c, "A Report on Sliammon (Mainland Comox) Reduplication," Papers for the 29th International Conference on Salish and Neighboring Languages. (Salish Kootenai College, Pablo, Montana)

## Honoré Watanabe

bxa01252@niftyserve.or.jp

100213.2040@compuserve.com (July 10 - Sep. 20, 1997)