Numerals and Incorporation in Nootka

John Stonham University of Hong Kong

The Nootka language, spoken on the West coast of Vancouver Island is a morphologically complex language which makes use of both polysynthesis and incorporation to a high degree.¹ It also has an interesting number system which may be described as split decimal/vigesimal. The most fascinating aspect of these properties is the interaction between them, i.e. the possibility of incorporating numbers into the verb and the mechanism whereby this occurs.

Polysynthesis in Nootka

Nootka makes great use of the process of suffixation in creating new lexemes, far beyond that of most languages. The sentences in (1) are typical.

(1)	?uuqàǹuk̃°aλ̀atquuč ?u -'aqà -ǹuk̃° -'aà -'at -quuč	' if one is holding a stick' REF -inside -in hand -NOW -PASS -3s.CND		
	hiniicsk [®] ispiλikqa hina -iics -k [∞] is -'ipiλ -ik -qa [×]	'he will pull (the slave) out of the house' LOC -takealong -take out ofin house -FUT -SUB		

Iteration of derivational suffixes is possible allowing, in theory, for infinite recursion. This distinguishes them further from inflectional suffixes which occur only once in a form. However, in practice, speakers are constrained by the usual limitations on cognitive processes, i.e., memory limitations, co-indexing of arguments, etc., thus:

(2)	qic-	'mark, scratch'
	qic -yak	'tool for marking = pencil'
	qiic -yak -iił	'make pencil(s)' ²
	qiic -yak -iił -yak	'tool for making pencils'

Given this propensity for derivational complexity, it should perhaps not be surprising that an additional strategy employed in the language is the use of incorporation.

Incorporation in Nootka

(3)

Incorporation of various constituents is a common concomitant of polysynthetic languages. In this respect, Nootka bears all of the expected properties of such a system, including movement of the object into the verb, generic reading of the incorporated object, and a change in transitivity of the verb.

Incorporation is often combined with polysynthesis as a single morphological type, contrasted with isolating, agglutinative and fusional, but Comrie (1989) is careful to distinguish the two:

Although these two terms [i.e. polysynthesis and incorporation – JTS] are sometimes used interchangeably, it is possible and advisable to make a distinction between them. Incorporation refers to the possibility of taking a number of lexical morphemes and combining them together into a single word. ... Polysynthesis, however, refers simply to the fact that, in a language of this type, it is possible to combine a large number of morphemes, be they lexical or grammatical, into a single word ... We thus see that incorporation is a special case of polysynthesis ... (Comrie 1989:45)

This would seem to suggest that where there is incorporation there will necessarily be polysynthesis and Nootka is no exception to this. Nootka disallows the possibility of more than one root occurring within a single word, defined in terms of the domain of a single primary stress and bounded on the left by 0-2 reduplicative copies of the root and on the right by a set of inflectional morphemes and, possibly, clitics. This prohibition means that compounding is impossible in the language, as the facts bear out. Thus the following examples can be seen to contain a single root element (underlined) combined with various suffixes and/or reduplicative prefixes.

a. <u>ma</u> ałćaas	'house against wall on the ground'
ma -ałća -'as	dwell-at upright surface-on ground
b. ¢a <u>¢a</u> ataḥ	'ready to potlatch'
[R]- ¢a -ataḥ	to potlatch-ready to, trying to get
с. ?u?u <u>?u</u> taḥ	'whaing here and there'
[R]- [R]- ?u -ataḥ	DIST-ITER-REF-ready to, trying to get

What *is* possible, however, is the incorporation of an external element, typically root + derivational affixes, into the verb. Syntactically, the language is basically verb-initial, with some variation in the order of arguments and other elements. Pronominal reference is marked on the verb by members of a number of alternative paradigms, although in some cases of the 3rd person there is no overt marking, as in the following examples.

(4)	<u>?u</u> naakši?aλ	[ťaňe?is]Obj	'And then she got children'
	?u -naĭk [∞] -šiλ -'aλ	ťaňa -?is	REF -havingMOM -NOW child -DIM
	<u>ťaňa</u> nak ťaňa -na [*] k [∞]		'He had a child' child -have

384

¹ Data for this paper are drawn from the published and unpublished work of Edward Sapir, including Sapir & Swadesh 1939, 1955 and Sapir ms. I would like to thank Steve Matthews and Joel Nevis for their comments on an earlier version of this paper.

² The length of the root vowel here and following is determined by the suffix. Vowel length changes in Nootka for a number of reasons, none of which are directly relevant to the issue at hand. /⁵/ indicates variable vowel length. For further discussion, see Stonham (1990, 1994).

In a paper on incorporation, Mithun (1984) provides examples of Nootka as an incorporating language, but fails to take into account the existence of a necessary neutral base to which suffixes may be attached, just in case there is no incorporation. By 'neutral' we understand that such a base makes no obvious contribution, either in subcategorisation or semantics, to the final output, serving as a referential base, typically substituting for an incorporated object. This would predict that this base should never occur without some derivational suffix that acts as the predicator. Compare the examples in (5a) and (5b), where this base, λ_u , occurs in the first example (5a), but not in the incorporated form in (5b).

(5) a.	?unaakwe?in	[nuwiiqsu]Obi	ḥaak‴aaλ?i	'The girl had a father'
	?u -nařk [®] -weř?in	nuwiiqsu	ḥak‴a⊼ -?i*	
	REF -have3s OUOT	father y	oung woman -	DEF

 b. <u>nuwic</u>nah?apikin yaa nayaqak?i 'We'll have that baby look for his father.' nuwiiqsu-na^{*}h-'ap -ik -in yaa nayaqak -?i^{*} father -seek...-CAUS -FUT -1p IND there baby -DEF

Incorporation will thus always be optional syntactically, since it will always be possible to make use of the so-called referential base, *?u*-, with derivational suffixes instead of incorporating an overt object. Investigating the exact motivation for incorporating in Nootka would take us well beyond the scope of this paper and so we will restrict our investigation to the hows rather than the whys of incorporation here.

Nootka Numbers

The Nootka number system is fairly complex though relatively transparent. Numbers from 'one' to 'five' are simplex (6a), while those from 'six' to 'nine' are composed of two elements, the roots for either 'one' or 'two' together with the suffixes -pu 'more than' and - $k^{"}at$ 'less than' (6b), giving us:³

(6)	a.	ćawaa	'one'	b.	nupu	'six'
		?аха	'two'		?aλpu	'seven'
		qačća	'three'		?a⊼ak [∞] ał	'eight'
		muu	'four'		ćawaak∞ał	'nine'
		suča	'five'			

'Ten' and 'twenty' are morphologically simplex, whereas the multiples of 'ten' fall into two categories, either single words or syntactic constructs, without overlap. They are formed by the use of the suffix -iiq '...score' in combination with the syntactic construction 2is hayu '... and ten'.

3

hayu	'ten'	qačćiiq	'sixty'
caqiic	'twenty'	qačćiiq ?iš hayu	'seventy'
caqiic ?iš ḥayu	'thirty'	muyiiq	'eighty'
?aXiiq	'forty'	muyiiq ?iš ḥayu	'ninety'
?aλiiq ?iš ḥayu	'fifty'	sučiiq	'hundred'

Units between the tens are formed by the use of *?iš* 'and' with the appropriate unit and decimal indicators, thus:

(8)	hayu ?iš ćawaak	'eleven'
• •	hayu ?iš ?aλ	'twelve'
	caqiic ?iš qačća	'twenty-three'
	?aλiiq ?iš ćawaak	'forty-one'

(7)

Multiples of one hundred are formed in one of two ways: (a) by continuing the use of -iiq'... score' in conjunction with the conjunctive 2is, or (b) by the use of the appropriate unit combined with a suffix -jit meaning '...times' followed by the form sučiiq 'hundred'. Given the consistency of the former set with the lower numbers we will assume that it is the original one and that the alternative system is a calque based on the English number system, i.e. 'two hundred, three hundred, ...'.

(9) a.	ḥayuuq	'two hundred'	
	hayuuq ?iš sučiiq	'three hundred'	
	?aλpituuq hayuuq	'four hundred'	
	qaccupituuq hayuuq kiix?in?ath	'there were six hundred Kiihin men' ⁴	
b.	?alpit sučiiq	'two hundred'	
	qačcupit sučiiq	'three hundred'	
	muupit sučiiq	'four hundred'	
	nupupit sučija	'six hundred'	
	?aλak [®] ałpit sučiiq	'eight hundred'	

Multiples of 'one thousand' are formed with the appropriate unit designator followed by a suffix *-pit* '...times' followed by the borrowed word *taawisin* 'thousand', again clearly calqued from English.

(10)	nupit taawisin	'one thousand'
	?aλ̃pit taawisin	'two thousand'
	?aλpit taawisin ?iš muupit sučiiq	'two thousand four hundred'

⁴ In all cases encountered in the data, the combination of a number + -pit '...times (= multiplier)' combined with hayuuq results in the preceding number also bearing the suffix -uuq, which is otherwise unattested. In the case of hayuuq, it is analysed as hayu + -iiq 'score'.

³ The root $\dot{n}u(p)$ - 'one' alternates with the root cawa' 'one' in a number of different contexts.

There is no overlap between root and suffix within the Nootka number system, unlike in other areas of the grammar, where one may encounter morphemes with similar meanings, one of which is a root and the other a suffix. For example, hawa 'eat' and -'iis 'consume ...' or nač 'see' and -u?at 'perceive...'. Among the numbers, all are either roots or roots combined with derivational suffixes which perform other tasks elsewhere, with the exception of -iiq '...score' which only occurs with the roots from two to ten.

Classifiers

Nootka makes use of a fairly large number of suffixes which might best be described as classifiers, in the sense that they are based on certain characteristics of the object which they describe. These typically occur in conjunction with a root designating either a number or a member of a small class of quantifiers, including *?aya* 'many', *?anaḥ* 'few', etc. Examples of these classifiers are:

(11)	ňupq?ičh	'one year'	nupyał	'one fathom'
	nupčiił	'one day'	ćawaayiya	'at one time/ one day'
	ňupit	'one time'	ćawiista	'one in a canoe'
	nuptaqak	'one unit'	ćaawimł	'one in a group'
	nupqimł	'one chunk'	ćaawaťim	'one at a time'
	?aλsaaḥtakup	'two kinds'	muupinqsak	'repeat four times'
	nuptaqim1	'one group, tribe'	caqiicciq	'twenty long objects'

The distribution of classifiers such as these with respect to complex numbers will be an important factor in the analysis of the syntax of numbers, to be discussed in the following section.

The Syntax of Numbers

and then

A typical noun phrase involving a numeral in Nootka will take the following shape:

(12) a		čitkk [∞] isču wriggled out		ťane?is] child -DIM	'Only one child wriggled out.'
b.	?uucahta	ksawe?in [?ala haa	wiiha\]	'So then two young men'

two young men

c. ?uyu?ałwe?in k[®]atyaat [?aħa ḥaatḥaak[®]aħ] 'Kwatyat caught sight of two girls.' caught sight of Kwatyat two young women

All of these examples suggest that the structure of the numeral plus noun is something like the following:

(13)

(15)



What do we expect to encounter in more complex NP's in conjunction with numerals? The following may be illustrative:

(14) čuučk	patquk	kuuneei	'i	[caqiichtak	ťapqim	Xisał]	?iš
čuučk -wiłta	patquk	kuuna [*]	-?i*	caqi'c -hta'k	ťapqim	ł Xisał	?iš
all -exit canoe	goods	schoone	r -DEF	twentyconta	ainers full bale	blanket	and

[sučiiq Xahiqs xaxaškuk] ?iš [hayuqumł šuuk"aa maλimł]. suča iiq Xahiqs xaxaškuk ?iš hayu -qimł šuuk"a maλimł five -...score box crackers and ten -...unit sugar barrel

'All the goods were unloaded from the schooner—twenty bales of blankets and a hundred boxes of crackers and ten barrels of sugar.'

Such an example suggests that the *entire NP* follows the numeral in the unmarked case and also illustrates how NPs are conjoined. This suggests an alternative structure, with the numeral in COMP position.



Up till now, the examples we have encountered are of simplex numbers with a noun, but the next question must be what happens when we encounter complex numbers in this situation?

(16) a. muuyiiq ?iš hayu ?iš suča taana '95 dollars'

 b. ?u?iis?apsi ?u -'i[*]s -'ap -si[*] REF -consumeCAUS -1s ABS 	[ḥayuuq ḥayu -iiq tenscore	?iš	sučiiq suča -iiq fivescore	λisał]. λisał blanket

6

'I let them consume 300 blankets.'

Clitic Position

These examples should be sufficient to demonstrate the typical syntactic structure involving numerals, that is the number, whether simplex or complex, precedes the noun within the phrase. When the definite article is also involved, we encounter the typical Nootkan pattern of clitic definite article $-?i^{*}$ appearing on the first element of its phrase, whether it is an adjective (17a), a quantifier (17 b), or a numeral (17c.), in complete conformity with Wackernagel's Law.

(17) a. [Siḥakʔi	naỷaqak]	'the crying child'
Siḥ -ak	-?i [*] naỷaqak	cry -NOM -DEF baby
b. hił?iitq	[?aye?i quu?as]	" where there are many people"
hił-?i [×] tq	?aya -?i [*] quu?as	LOC -3s.REL many -DEF person
c. ?aḥ?aa?a	λwe?in haťiisši?aλ	[muu?ii quu?as] 'Then the four people started to bathe'.
then	bathed	four -Def person

Further expansion of the noun phrase may involve post-modifiers such as the following, where we see that the definite article continues to move to the head of the phrase.

(18) a.	sučiiq?i Xaḥiqs xaxaškuk	'the one hundred boxes of biscuits'

b. sučaqimł?i malimł šuuk[®]aa 'the five barrels of sugar'

From this discussion, we can see that the definite article clitic, $-i^{i}$, can be used as a test of the domain of the phrase.

Coordination in the Number System

Coordination is used in several ways within the Nootka number system. First, it conjoins units to tens, as can be seen in (8) above. Furthermore, it conjoins scores with tens and units, as in:

- (19) a. hišimýuupalsi q°anhaa?akqas ?uwaatin caqiic ?iš hayu hišimýuup -'al -siš q°anha` -'ak -qašs ?uwaatin caqičc ?iš hayu assemble -NOW -1s ABS thus many -POSS -1S SUB relatives twenty and ten
 - 'I gathered together all my relatives, 30 of them.'

b. ?a\puug ?iš havu ?iš muu 'one hundred and fifty-four'

In addition, it can be found to conjoin coordinate NP's which contain numbers.

7

(20)	ḥayu Xisał	mučičaλ	λame?i	?iš	mucmuḥaq
	hayu Xisał	mučič -'aλ	λama -?i [*]	?iš	mucmuḥa
	ten blanke	t clothed -NOW	house post -DEF	and	bearskin

The post was clothed with ten blankets and a bearskin.

Numeral Incorporation

The number system as described above is already quite complex, but the fact that Nootka allows incorporation of various elements presents yet a further and more challenging level of complexity which we shall now explore. The issue of coordination is interesting because of its interaction with numerals and incorporation, and this will be the focus of the remainder of this paper.

The crucial examples are the following:

(21) a	. ḥayučiłši?aλ	?iš qačća	'he did it for 13 days'
	ḥayu -či [·] ł -šiλ -'aλ	λ ?iš qačća	tendays -MOM -NOW and three
b.	hayuufisuksi	?iš sučiiq	'I spent three hundred (dollars) on him'
	hayu-iiq-'i*s-uk-si*	?iš suča -iiq	tenscore -consumeNOM -1s ABS and fivescore

Note that in such examples, there is a complex numeral which is separated into two parts, one incorporated into the verb, the other appearing in situ, preceded by the coordinator, ?iš. Such examples pose an interesting problem for lexical treatments of incorporation, since the two parts of what would appear to be a single, complex numeral are located at a distance from one another. This is the typical form in which incorporation is performed on such elements, and it is always the first element of the complex numeral which is incorporated.

This fact suggests a more articulated shape for the phrase containing a numeral. The idea here is that the phrase acting as object is basically a quantifier phrase in which the head is the numeral that delimits the possible range of numbers, much as we talk about units, tens, hundreds, etc. Should the number involved be complex, then we must have some way to distinguish between the 'head' and the 'complement' members of the number, so that only the head may be incorporated, along the following lines:

(22) a.





392

Q' has several possible rewrites in such a system, one containing only the head and the other containing the head along one branch and its complement, containing the conjunction 2is 'and' together with the remainder. Since this remainder may itself be complex, we must allow for the possibility of recursion.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (23) & Q' \rightarrow Q \\ Q' \rightarrow Q & Q' \\ Q' \rightarrow 2i \check{s} & Q' \end{array}$

b.

¢.

We may distinguish these two versions of Q' by using a feature such as $[\pm \text{TERMinal}]$ or something along these lines, giving:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} (24) & Q' \rightarrow & Q \\ & [+TERM] & & \\ & Q' \rightarrow & Q & Q' \\ & & & [-TERM] \\ & & Q' \rightarrow & ?is & Q' \\ & & [-TERM] & [\pmTERM] \end{array}$

Under these conditions, we can now explain how incorporation works in these cases. Firstly, it operates on the *head* of the Object, whether this is an NP or a QP. If it is an NP, then the N is incorporated, as one would expect. But if the noun object is actually within a quantifier phrase, then the head of the QP is the object of incorporation, not the head noun.

A question that arises here is: is it really quantifier phrases, or just numerals that operate in this fashion? The following examples demonstrate that this process is not limited to numerals, although they pose the most interesting problem for this process, since through incorporating the parts of a number may be separated from each other, whereas for other quantifiers that are only single words, the entire word necessarily moves, as in:

(25) a. hinu?ałqatḥ?at	[?aya ha?um]	'He pretends to see many fish'		
hina -(y)u?ał -qa [*] th	-'at ?aya ha?um	LOC -perceive -pretendedly -PASS	many	fish

b.	?aya1siik	[e1 čiihati]	'He made a lot of arrows.
	?ava -siik∞	ćiihati	many -make arrow

As you can see from these examples, the quantifier *?aya* 'many' can appear in a similar position to the numerals, preceding the noun, or it can be incorporated into the verb, just as the head numeral can. Also similarly, when it occurs in conjunction with a noun or noun phrase, it is always *?aya* which is incorporated, not the noun.

In addition, the clitic definite article behaves as it does with the numbers, attaching to the quantifier rather than the noun, as in the following example.

(26)	λawaa?aλ [?aye?i šiiλuk] λawa' -'aλ ?aya -?i' šiiλuk	'the many movers approached.' near -NOW many -DEF move house
	hił?iitq [?aye?i quu?as] hił-?i*tq ?aya -?i* quu?as	" where there are many people" LOC -3s.REL many -DEF person

All of this suggests that, like the numbers, other quantifiers occur within a domain containing both the quantifiers and the NP, and that incorporation is sensitive to the head of the larger phrase, whether it be NP or QP, within the sentence.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the proposal here maintains that it is necessary to subsume the object NP within a larger QP when it is associated with any quantifier, and that in such a situation incorporation must necessarily involve syntactic movement from the position of head of QP. This then would seem to suggest that incorporation in general points to a syntactic movement rule or its equivalent, rather than a lexical rule generating the object of incorporation in situ. The result of numeral incorporation in Nootka is a discontinuous element, part of which is found at the head of the clause, and the remainder of which is associated with the syntactic object of that clause.

It would seem that such a situation would be rare in the languages of the world, since it requires a language to have several quite special properties: object incorporation, syntactically complex numerals, and a QP/NP alternation for syntactic objects.

References

- Comrie, Bernard. 1989. Language Universals and Linguistic Typology. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Mithun, Marianne. 1984. 'The Evolution of Noun Incorporation.' Language, vol. 60:4, 847-894.
- Sapir, Edward. ms. *Fieldnotes on Nootka*. Philadelphia: Boas Collection, American Philosophical Society.
- Sapir, Edward & Morris Swadesh. 1939. Nootka Texts, Tales and Ethnological Narratives. Baltimore: Linguistic Society of America.
- Sapir, Edward & Morris Swadesh. 1955. Native Accounts of Nootka Ethnography. Bloomington: Indiana University.
- Stonham, John. 1990. Current Issues in Morphological Theory. Doctoral dissertation, Stanford University.

Stonham, John. 1994. Combinatorial Morphology. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.