# Okanagan sentence types: A preliminary working paper

Anthony Mattina The University of Montana and The En'owkin Centre

In this working paper, I survey the person markers of Okanagan (Section 1); I list the paradigms defined by the person markers together with other inflectional markers (Section 2); and in Sections 3-6 I account exhaustively for all the sentences that comprise the text *Skunk and Fisher*, a Colville myth recorded by Dora Noyes DeSautel in 1970, the transcription of which is included in the volume *Dora \*a*<sup>2</sup> *k\*captik\*\*\** (MS), and the narration of which can be heard as a track of the accompanying CD. I list all the fragments found in the text in section 7, and in section 8 I give statistical summaries of the sentence types that occur in the text.

## **1** Person marking

Okanagan has four main sets of person reference markers: the kn set (intransitive), the i(n)- set (possessive), the -(i)n set (transitive subject), and the (transitive) object set.

The kn set consists of clitics (marked with the ligature), and a suffix:

kn 🖉	1sg	k <sup>™</sup> u_	1pl
k™	2sg	R.	2pl
Ø	3sg	<b>Ø</b> lx	3pl

These markers accompany stems that in English translate as intransitive verbs, nouns, and adjectives.<sup>1</sup>

kn\_<sup>9</sup>itx. I slept. k<sup>\*</sup>\_sqilx<sup>\*</sup>. You are an Indian / a person. <sup>9</sup>ayž<sup>\*\*</sup>t (axá<sup>9</sup>). This one is tired.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Aspectual criteria can be established to distinguish at least two word classes, and, as expected, these may derive forms of other classes--nouns can derive verbs and verbs can be nominalized, for example (N. Mattina 1996). A prototypical noun like k<sup>w</sup>ilstn sweat lodge, culturally relevant and categorially marked (-tn *instrumental*), derives a verb with -m:

kn\_k<sup>w</sup>ilstn-m *I sweat bathed*. Similarly, q<sup>w</sup>acqn hat derives q<sup>w</sup>acqn-m wear a hat (intransitive); ntx<sup>w</sup>x<sup>w</sup>qin noon derives ntx<sup>w</sup>x<sup>w</sup>qin-m do lunch (intransitive).

Analogously qi's *dream* (intransitive) derives s-qi's *dream*, and the latter form can be inflected with possessive markers and interpreted as a possessive noun form, or as a nominalized verb form.

Most Okanagan stems can be transitivized.

A subset of these markers, identical in all persons except for  $1 \text{sg } \mathbf{k}^{w} \mathbf{u}$ , co-occurs with the possessive set of person markers, and is reserved for double possessives and verb nominalizations.

The possessive set, used with nouns, psych verbs, and verb nominalizations, consists of these markers (prefixes and suffixes; parentheses abbreviate variants):

i(n)-	1sg	-tt	1pl
<b>a</b> (n)-	2sg	-mp	2pl
-s/-c	3sg	-s-lx / -c-lx	3pl

which yield such forms as

an-l<sup>9</sup>íw your father in-xmínk I like / want it

which, in turn, may combine with members of the kn set ( $k^wu$  subset) to yield forms such as

k<sup>w</sup>u an-l<sup>?</sup>íw *I am your father*. k<sup>w</sup> in-žmínk *I like / want you (you are my wanting)* k<sup>w</sup> i-ks-<sup>?</sup>am-tt-ím an-l<sup>?</sup>íw *I am going to feed your father*.

the last of which is the nominalization of a future (ks-) possessor applicative (-**1**t) verb form (root **?am**, *feed*), in which the suffix -(i)m, sometimes referred to as the *antipassive*, is required.

The transitive subject set, often called the *ergative* set, consists of the following suffixes (parentheses abbreviate stressed and unstressed variants):

-(í)n	1sg	-(î)m / -t	1pl
-(í)x <sup>w</sup>	2sg	-(í)p	2pl
-(í)s	3sg	-(í)s-lx	3pl

These markers follow the object markers, which, in turn, follow one of several obligatory transitive markers.

The (transitive) object set consists of the following markers (one proclitic and suffixes):

k™u	1sg	ً` k <sup>™</sup> u	m 1pl
-s / -m	2sg	-†(úl)1	n 2pl
-0	3sg	-0	-lx 3pl

Because third person object markers and third person intransitive subject markers are  $\emptyset$ , Salishan languages are sometimes characterized as split ergative systems. The allomorphy of the second singular object is transitivizer-dependent. The disambiguation of number in the first person object is accomplished by the suffix -m and such forms are interpreted as 3rd indef subject - 1pl object:

k<sup>w</sup>u sp'-nt-is He whipped me. (-nt transitivizer) k<sup>w</sup>u sp'-nt-im They whipped us / We were whipped.

-(f)m occurs also with  $\theta$ , and the interpretation of these forms can be indefinite subject, or passive:

sp'-nt-is 3rd person whipped 3rd person. sp'-nt-im 3rd person indef whipped 3rd person / 3rd person was whipped.

2. OTHER kn, i(n)-, AND -(i)n PARADIGMS

Beside the constructions already discussed, Okanagan uses kn inflection in a number of forms derived and inflected by means of prefixes, suffixes, and circumfixes. Among these forms are:

## 2.1. kn PARADIGMS

To-Be nouns (kn kł-noun) Ex: kł-ilmíx<sup>w</sup>m snk'lip. Coyote will be chief / is chief-to-be.

- kł- have forms (kn\_kł-noun) Ex: kn\_kł-q<sup>w</sup>acqn I have a hat.
- inchoatives (kn\_verb+-?- before stressed vowel) kn\_c'-?-ax I got ashamed. (root c'ax)

patient forms (kn\_verb+VC<sub>2</sub>) kn\_t'k'"-ak'" *I fell*. (Cf. t'k'"-nt put something down)

get patient forms (kn\_c+verb) u<sup>1</sup> ilf? kn\_c-lak' *I was in jail a long time* (Cf. lk'-nt *tie something*)

habitual / durative forms (kn\_c+verb) kn\_c-nqilx<sup>w</sup>+cn-m I (regularly) talk Indian. (Cf. kn\_nqilx<sup>w</sup>+cn-m I talked Indian) kn c-málža? I (regularly) lie. (Cf. kn málža? I lied)

imperfective forms (kn\_s-verb-(mi)x-compare with the forms that follow)
kn\_s-k'\*l'+cn+cut-x I am cooking.
s-?itx-x pit Pete is sleeping.
kn\_s-q'y'-mix I am writing.

imperfective of present relevance forms (kn\_sc-verb-(mi)x-compare with the imperfective forms given above) kn\_sc-k'\*l'+cn+cut-x I have been cooking. sc-?itx-x pit Pete has been sleeping. kn\_sc-q'y'-mix I have been writing.

inceptive forms (kn\_ks+verb-(mí)xa<sup>2</sup>x) kn\_ks-λ'a<sup>2</sup>+λ'a<sup>2</sup>-míxa<sup>2</sup>x I'm going to look for something. kn\_ks-x<sup>w</sup>úy-a<sup>2</sup>x I'm going (away).

past perfect forms  $(kn_ksc+verb)^2$ 

kn\_ksc-k'<sup>w</sup>ul' ta\_nc'aqk<sup>w</sup>

I have some sour dough bread made / I have made some sour dough bread. kn\_ksc-nik' I have some cut / I have cut some.

# 2.2. i(n)- PARADIGMS

durative / intent forms (**i**+**s**+verb) s-q'sápi?-s ilf? i-s-ilí? I lived there a long time. (root **ilí**? there, lit. long-time there I-there).

perfective forms (i+sc+verb) in-xást i-sc-<sup>9</sup>ítx I slept well (my-good my-having-slept).

future forms (i+ks+verb) lut a-ks-x<sup>w</sup>úy Don't go. k<sup>w</sup>\_i-ks-(s)íw-m I'll ask you.

future imperative forms (i+kc+verb) lut a-kc-náq<sup>'</sup> You will not steal. \*ast a-kc-k'\*úl'-m You will work well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>These forms can also be analyzed as kt- have forms.

future applicative forms (a-ks-verb-t-m)

k<sup>w</sup> j-ks-may'-xít-m ... I am going to tell you ...

2.3. -(i)n PARADIGMS

All forms that take transitive person markers also take a (di)transitivizer. Okanagan has two transitivizers, -nt and -st; a causative -st; and three applicatives - $\frac{1}{1}$ , -x(f)t, -tú<sup>†</sup>t.

-nt is the transitivizer that accompanies most stems: wik-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You saw it.<sup>3</sup> *x*'a<sup>9</sup>-nt-ín I fetched it.

One verb takes -t, ?am-t-in I fed him. Several verbs take -st: pul-st-n I beat him up. q<sup>w</sup>l+q<sup>w</sup>il-st-m-s He talks to you.

Customary transitive forms are marked with the circumfix c-...-st: c-wik-st-n *I always see it*.

Three suffixes prepare stems for transitivization:

-nu(n)<sup>4</sup> manage to (most common added to stems with -C<sub>2</sub> reduplication) tałt i? xs+s+nu-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You did well (xast good). talí? xast i? k'<sup>w</sup>l'+l'+nu-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You did / got it done very well. tałt xast i? k'<sup>w</sup>l'+nu-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You did very well. (Cf. k'<sup>w</sup>ul'-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You fixed it.)

<sup>4</sup>The underlying form -nun is confirmed by such forms as k<sup>w</sup> j-ks- $\lambda$ 'l-nún-m I'm going to kill you.

The causative is -st: <sup>?</sup>ayx<sup>\*\*</sup>t-st-m-n *I made you tired* (Cf. k<sup>\*\*</sup>, ?ayx<sup>\*\*</sup>t *You are tired*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Scholars have argued that Salishan languages are pronominal argument languages: a form like wik-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You saw it. is a full sentence with a third person object ( $\emptyset$ ), and second person subject (-x<sup>w</sup>). In this interpretation, any object expressed in nominal form is an adjunct, not a (nominal) argument. The claim is countered with the suggestion that in applicative sentences like

 $k^w u$  tq-it-is in-kflx. He touched my hand. the noun phrase in-kflx my hand functions as one of the arguments of the possessor applicative verb form  $k^w u$  tq-it-is He touched my ... and this argument is not, and cannot be, referenced in pronominal form on the verb.

-min, often as the circumfix k-/t-...-min with intransitive stems: k+pulx+mn-(n)t-s-n I'll camp with you. (Cf. kn pulx I camped.) t+x<sup>w</sup>uy+mn-(n)t-s-n I went up to you. (Cf. kn x<sup>w</sup>uy I went; x<sup>w</sup>uy-st take st to). tatt ?ayx<sup>w</sup>t+mn-(n)t-s-n I am tired of you. (Cf. kn ?ayx<sup>w</sup>t I am tired; ?ayx<sup>w</sup>t-st-n I made him tired.)

else with some change in the meaning of the stem:

c'q'-nt-ix<sup>w</sup> You hit it; c'q'+mi-nt-x<sup>w</sup> You threw it.

-xixm, with changes (not fully understood) to the roles of the arguments of the verb as well as to the meaning of the verb: kwin+xixm-st-xw You lent it out to her. (Cf. kwui-nt-xw You borrowed it.)

-**ft** is the possessor applicative:

uc k<sup>w</sup>u wik-tt-x<sup>w</sup> i-sq<sup>w</sup>si<sup>?</sup> Did you see my son? (Cf. uc k<sup>w</sup>u wik-nt-x<sup>w</sup> Did you see me?)

-x(i)t is the benefactive applicative: k<sup>w</sup>u q'y'-xit-s t i-ks... He wrote the X for me. (Cf. q'y'-nt-is He wrote it.)

-tuft with changes to the roles of the arguments:

k<sup>w</sup>u?am-tu<sup>4</sup>t-x<sup>w</sup> i? spapá<sup>c</sup><sup>4</sup>a? You fed me to the monster. (Cf. k<sup>w</sup>u?am-t-ix<sup>w</sup> You fed me; k<sup>w</sup>u?am-<sup>4</sup>t-is i-sq<sup>w</sup>si? You fed my child.)

3. kn , PREDICATES

This section organizes and discusses all the forms in the text marked with a member of the  $kn_{\rm o}$  paradigm. The number that precedes each example matches the number of the unit in the text.

3.1. THIRD PERSON FORMS

A simple kn sentence is a(n intransitive) sentence with an unmarked (third person) verb and a subject, in that order. Examples:

SF1. cwix i? sqilx<sup>w</sup> lived the people There were some people. SF14. <sup>(</sup>'ayncút q'"əq'"c'w'íya? laughed Chipmunk Chipmunk laughed.

In the stream of discourse the nominal subject, recoverable from context, is often omitted<sup>5</sup>:

SF7. x<sup>w</sup>ú··y'+y -lx went pl They went.
SF23. c'l'c'l'qinxnm put legs up He put his legs up.
SF63, SF113. cut said S/he said.

<sup>5</sup>Intransitive forms are most often also analyzed as fully predicative.

kn x<sup>w</sup>uy. I went.

k<sup>w</sup>jilmíx<sup>w</sup>m You are a boss.

k<sup>\*</sup> Xast You are fine.

In these sentences, the clitics  $kn_and k_a^w$  are the subjects, and the word to which the clitics are attached are the predicates. Third person forms have  $\emptyset$  subject person marking, and forms like sql'tmix<sup>w</sup> have been analyzed as full predications that should be translated as something like 'He is a man' or 'It's a man.' In the stream of discourse such words can function as predicative elements. The normal way to express either of the isolated propositions 'He's a man,' and 'It's a man.' is with utterances like ixf' sql'tmix<sup>w</sup> That's a man, or sql'tmix<sup>w</sup> ya'Xís That one over there is a man; that is, by juxtaposing (in either order) the stem sql'tmix<sup>w</sup> and a deictic stem (ixf', ya'Xís). In traditional terms these sentences would be analyzed as exocentric equational constructions consisting of a subject and a predicate. The participant persons  $kn_a$  and  $k_a^w$  are pronominal subjects; third person forms can be analyzed as having a nominal subject of the classes mentioned, which, in context, can be deleted. Another complication for the interpretation of all full words as predicative is presented by the different markings for morphological and syntactic plurals: the morphological plural of citx<sup>w</sup> house is the reduplicated form ct-citx<sup>w</sup> houses, while the syntactic plural of the same form is citx<sup>w</sup>-lx (ixf') (Those) are houses.

In recent times, when scholars are preferring to view all constructions to have heads (or centers, in the old terminology) the question is raised as to what constitutes the head of such a sentence as  $kn_sql$ 'tmix". Most common is the hypothesis that the verb is the head of the sentence (here it would be the predicate nominal), but because the identification of head with lexical head can be dispensed with, just as abstract features within the Inflection or Agreement nodes have been proposed to head sentences, and just as the determiner has been proposed to head Determiner Phrases, so can  $kn_b$  be proposed to head the sentence  $kn_sql$ 'tmíx". An utterance like x"uy He went, then, can be viewed as the abbreviation of x"uy ixf' That one went, and analyzed either as having a null subject, or as requiring a third person nominal subject which undergoes deletion in the appropriate circumstances.

All the following one-word sentences consist of third person forms, with and without expressed nominal subjects:

SF124. ciyá<sup>°</sup> i<sup>?</sup> stim' xítmi<sup>?</sup>stəlx Every creature ran (ciyá<sup>°</sup> i<sup>?</sup> stim' lit. every the thing, everything)
SF123. tix<sup>\*</sup>ptlx ixí<sup>?</sup> ht+st'a<sup>?</sup>cínəm run\_out these deer The deer ran out (lit. these deer).
SF50. x<sup>\*\*</sup>ət'pəncú<sup>...</sup>t He ran.
SF64, SF65, SF66, SF69, SF78, SF96, SF109. cut He said.
SF75. <sup>?</sup>atxflxəlx<sup>6</sup> They slept.

**3.2. PARTICIPANT PERSON FORMS** 

Intransitive sentences with non-third person subjects by definition do not have a nominal referent, and are marked for person with the pronominal clitics of the kn set:

kn <sup>?</sup>ayx<sup>\*\*</sup>t I tired I am tired.

Several such occur in SF:

SF116, SF116, SF121, SF121. kən cx<sup>w</sup>uyax<sup>w</sup>ú···y *I came down*; SF121. kən cyayúx<sup>w</sup>t *I came down*.

3.3. ADJUNCTS TO kn PREDICATES

Intransitive sentences may include adjuncts of various functions and may be introduced by a conjunction or other particle. The verb usually precedes adjuncts, but locatives such as ik'lí?, ilí?, occur on either side of the verb:

SF4. p ksx<sup>w</sup>úya<sup>9</sup>x k'əl cər'túps you\_pl will go to Fisher You'll go to Fisher.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The same structure obtains in SF98. x<sup>w</sup>u··y *He went*; SF117. p'əlk'məncút *he turned around*; SF124. yilyáltəlx *they ran away*; SF131. nstils *he thought*; SF117. ta<sup>9</sup>žíləm *he did that*; SF127. nxuxupáqs *the air went in his nose*.

- SF7. ut i? fapəlx ik'li? and arrive there And they got there.
- SF16. uf ilí? k'fk<sup>w</sup>líwtəlx and there they sat under They were sitting under there.
- SF20. ut k'"əlk'lwis ili…? and he rolled around there And he rolled around there.
- SF28. ixí? Xlap then morning Then it was morning.
- SF93. ixí? ckm'ám i? t st'?i? then took art obj\_itr grass Then he took some grass. (The oblique t marks the object of the intransitive ckm'ám).
  SF97. ik'lí? x<sup>w</sup>uy

there went He went there.

SF130. ixí? ylyáltəlx then run away Then they ran away.

Several other sentences also show the same pattern: SF26. ut ?at?ítn And they ate. SF31. ut nis And he was gone. SF57. ut nk<sup>w</sup>lutí tk<sup>w</sup> And they lived in the water. SF120. mət k'la? xəlkməncút And he turned this way. SF121. mət cut And she said. SF103. ut nq'<sup>w</sup>íc'təlx And they were packed there. SF50. k'li? tkicx He got back there. SF51. ut cya<sup>c</sup> uláp And it all burned. SF57. ilí? nt'əpqsám He tipped his head to drink. SF43. ?ácəcqa?lx k'əl tk'əmkn'ítx<sup>w</sup> They went outside. SF46. way' qilt k'atá? {k'əl} He was already on the top. SF61. ni<sup>c</sup>'íp k'lal He's still dead. SF56. q'sápi? scutx Long time ago, they said.

The locative **ilf**<sup>9</sup>, a form that can undergo intransitive inflection, has another adverbial lexical function, that of indicating the passage of time, as in the following examples:

SF38. ilí..? cər'túps k'əłmu..t
a\_while Fisher sit
Fisher sat there.
SF72. ilí..? uł ?itx
a\_while and sleep
... a while, and he slept.

SF125. ilí..? ck'əłt'ák<sup>w</sup> yútəlx<sup>w</sup> a\_while lie Raven Time went by, Raven is laying there.

**3.4.** CONJUNCTIONS, INTERJECTIONS, PARTICLES

Intransitive sentences may further be introduced by conjunctions, interjections, or evidential particles:

- SF27. uf afí? knaqsmísa?t and so alone He was alone. SF19. nt'a? uf °'ayncút
- gee and he laughed And, gee, he laughed. SF127. nt'a. ck'ətt'ak'<sup>w</sup>

gee lie Gee, he was lying there.

Intransitive sentences, in fact all Okanagan sentences, are commonly introduced by particles, the function of which I interpret as being that of standing in parallel with the predicate and its arguments, so that a sentence can be said to consist of an opening particle and a sentence. In addition, conjunctions may introduce sentences, with or without other particles, before or after other particles. Deictics ( $ixi^{9}$ ,  $axa^{9}$ ), beside having their basic function, double up as particles, and the description of each of these two functions remains to be refined:

SF20.	uł ·	hoy	cut		
	and	well	said		
	and	ptcl	pred		
	Then h	ne said.			
SF80.	uł	t'i?	k'aw	i?	smam?ím
	and	evid	gone	the	women
	conj	ptcl	pred	subj	•••
	And th	e wome	en are g	gone.	
SF23.	mət	axá?	<b>tckicx</b>		cər'túps
	and	then	came_	back	Fisher
	conj	ptcl	pred		subj
	And th	en Fish	er cam	e back i	home.

SF26.	ixí?	uł	ilf?	k'*əl'cən	cút	cər'tú	ps			
	then	and	there	cooked		Fisher	•			
	ptcl	conj	loc	pred		subj				
	And th	en Fish	er cook	xed.						
SF34.	ilf••?	<b>Saynci</b>	i••t							
	there	laugh								
	$loc^7$	pred								
	He lau	ighed a	nd laug	hed.						
SF12.	uł	ksk'əł	ppíl'xa'	xəlx						
	and	icptv_e	crawl_u	nder						
	conj	pred								
	And th	ey were	e going	to crawl i	ınder	r.				
SF83.	mət	k'a?xí	s ixí	? с	- <b>x</b> -	+ xáq				
	and	there	tha	ut hab	hol	le_dim				
	conj	loc	ptc	l pred						
	And th	ere wa	s a little	e hole ther	e.					
SF20.	way'	kən	?ayằ™t							
	yes	Ι	tired							
	I am t	ired.								
SF46.	46. nák'"əm k'atá? sən'kstíya?									
	ah	on	that sid	te Skunk						
	Ah, Sk	cunk wa	s on the	at side.						
SF96.	way'	ik'lí?	stər'qı	níx		k'əl	sk'	awila?,	K C	
	yes	there	they_a	re_dancin	g	at	sk'	awîla <sup>9</sup> x		
	They a	ire dani	cing at	Addy.						
SF11.	uł .	i <sup>9</sup> na	qs wa	y' q <sup>9</sup> yax	.w.,	uł	i7	naqs	nážəmł	lut°
	and	art on	e yes	s stink		and	art	one	but	no
	And of	ne stink	s, but t	he other n	ot.		-	_		
SF58.	nák'"ə	m 17	k'əl	x <i>k</i> 'ut ili	7	tk"luti	[SXƏ	nj		
	evid	ari	to 	rock th	ere	sit_on	_roc	ĸ		
0704	They v	vere siti	ting on	the rock.						1
SF36.	30. nak "am 17 I tk'amkn'ifx" 1117 {t'a} cwik"mi'st car'túps Fisher was hiding						was hiding			
arrad	outside the door.									
SF35,	), SF128. UT 1X1' CUI And then he said.									
SF/I.	11. 1K 11' ut way' t'1' k'etpepilxeix <i>They had just gone in there</i> .									
SF92. t'i confă"ifc'a" There is a hole in the ground.										

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The force of this form is "for quite a while." See 3.3. above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>This form can function as an inflectable verb root, as a negative particle in negative sentences, and as a reply.

- SF133. ixí? ut way' nc'ayx "ápəlqs That's it, the end of the story (the force of ixf? ut way' is that's it, that's all.).
- SF70. ixí? uł npopolxúla?x\*olx And they crawled into the ground.
- SF15. ut ik'li? {k'ətqc'fkstəm}<sup>9</sup> i? k'əl xlína? And there they {were hiding} in the cache.

Intransitive sentences may be introduced by modal particles, and have an expressed or implied subject as in:

SF110. cəm' xuxwáp ism'a'm'áy' might deflate my\_story The air might go out of my story.
SF111. cəm' itf' xuxəwáp might from\_that deflate It might ooze out from there.
SF114. cəm' xuxəwáp It might ooze out.

**3.5. EQUATIONAL CONSTRUCTIONS** 

These sentences consist of two constituents. One of them can be a nominal or adjectival, and the other a deictic, a locative, or another nominal or adjectival, expressed or implied. It may not be possible to distinguish formally nominals from adjectivals, but for the moment I will use notional approximations based on the English translations. The language has no overt copula:

SF2. ixí? i? pəptwína?x<sup>w</sup> That's the old lady.<sup>10</sup>
SF67. ixí? stətáq That's Squirrel.
SF9. uł ilí? i? žəlína?, ?asíl i? žəlína? And there are the caches, two caches.
SF10. k'a?žís i? žəlína? The cache is over there.
SF79. ałí? xx'ut ilí? There is a rock there.
SF103. həłstím' ilí? There is everything there.
SF114. ta?lí? žast iksm'a?m'áy' My story is very good.
SF126. ałí? sílx<sup>w</sup>a? i? sənp'sáqstəns His nose is big.
SF69. ik'lí? way' Over there is OK.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The word in unintelligible in the tape-this is a possible inference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Because the language has no copula, the phrase  $ixf^{?}$  i? pəptwina?x\* ... that old lady ... and the sentence  $ixf^{?}$  i? pəptwina?x\*. That's the old lady. coincide.

Note, in the last sentence, the predicative use of way', a form that doubles as a verb (wi'stín *I finished it*; way'stn *I gave it up*), and as probably the most common multiuse particle in the language as in SF64, above.

The referent may be implied, as in:

SF64. way' myał k'">k'"yúma? It's too small.

3.6. kt- PREDICATES

The language has a prefix  $k^4$ - (with allomorph k- before forms that begin with s) that attached to stems forms verb forms that take the kn set of person markers, and with the meaning have X, where X is the meaning of the stem:

SF2.u<sup>4</sup> ksən<sup>9</sup>am<sup>9</sup>ím<sup>1</sup>a<sup>9</sup>t stətáq la<sup>9</sup>t q<sup>1</sup><sup>w</sup>əq<sup>1</sup><sup>w</sup>c<sup>1</sup>w<sup>1</sup>íya<sup>9</sup> She had granddaughters, Squirrel and Chipmunk.

SF110. kən ksm'a<sup>?</sup>m'áy' I have a story.

The second use of  $k^{4}$ - in third person forms parellels that of French *il* y *a*:

SF56. ilf? i? ksiwłk<sup>w</sup> There is water there.

3.7. kin' PREDICATES

These correspond roughly to English wh- words. The text has three such sentences, two with the locative k'a'kin', and one with stim' what:

SF62. anwi k'a<sup>?</sup>kin' ancitx<sup>\*</sup> Where is your house?

For the moment I analyze anwi as a sort of apposition to ancitx<sup>w</sup>, which can also occur next to the possessed referent:

SF65. k'a<sup>?</sup>kín' anwí ancítx<sup>\*</sup> Where is your house? SF86. stim's ixí<sup>?</sup>, ha sw'ar'íps What is that (of his ?), Stink Bug?

**3.8. COMPLEX INTRANSITIVE SENTENCES** 

The text has few of these. Three include ki?, a relativizing conjunction:

SF60. ixí? ki? cyax<sup>\*\*</sup>t uł  $\lambda$ 'lal then rel fall and die That's when he fell down and died. SF98. uf ta'lí mat q'q'sápi' ki kicx and much maybe little\_while rel arrive It was quite a little while before he arrived.
SF88. ki t'i t'ux<sup>w</sup>t yútəlx<sup>w</sup>

rel evid fly Raven That's when Raven flew.

Two include 43, a relative pronoun or article that can be rendered as *the one(s)* that:<sup>11</sup>

SF40. k' anwí k<sup>w</sup>u tə scx<sup>w</sup>uyx to you we rel were\_coming We were coming for you.

or that remains unclear,

SF132. ut way' to ksqi?x\*núy's {i?} and yes if ? will\_be\_able\_to\_smell If he'll be able to smell

One includes i?, a form that doubles as article and as relative pronoun:

SF99. ut axá? {i?} k<sup>w</sup>líwt i? siya?'míx ilí? and these sat those\_who were\_gathered there Those gathered there are all sitting.

**3.9. RESIDUAL CASES** 

Here I list five utterances each containing some element the function of which I have not decided whether to consider performance errors or unrecognized cases. I mark the form in doubt with a question mark.

SF118. k cənlp'X<sup>\*\*</sup>úps ixí? i? t sútən [i? t] sw'ar'íps ? be\_stuck\_in\_anus that the by thing Stink\_Bug That thing, Stink Bug, was stuck in his anus (Lit. he was anus-stuck by...)

<sup>11</sup>Compare: p'ína? axá? p'ína? This is a basket. axá? i? p'ína? This is the basket. axá? tə p'ína? This is the one that is a p'ïna. SF42. ixí? ut i? k'ət?ácəcqa?lx  $\{ut\}^{12}$ ? ? come out then Then they came out. SF75. uf i? qitt *x*lap morning ? wake up and In the morning he woke up. (Possibly i? for ki?). SF22. kəm' xəsxəsqínxən or good knees He was good-kneed. (The problem here is the context). SF76. uf alá? ck'ip' {i?} i? xl'ut atí? be pinched the rock so and here He was pinched in the rock. The problem here is the lack of the expected oblique marker, i? t xx'ut).

4. i- PREDICATES

All the intransitive sentences discussed so far have employed the kn set of person marker. In this section I discuss sentences the predicate of which employs the i- set of person markers.<sup>13</sup> Many of these sentences seem to be equational constructions, the i- predicate one member of the equation, and a (modified) nominal, a deictic, or a particle, the other.

4.1. THIRD PERSON i- PREDICATES

The form of the third person marker is -s / -c.

SF54.  $ixi^{9^{14}} s^{15} -n+^9uc+xn -s$ then dur track 3i Then he tracked them (started / wanted to track them).

The following sentences all show the same basic structure, SF22. ixí? sc'əl'c'əl'qínxnəms He put their legs up. SF38. ixí? scx<sup>w</sup>uys He came. SF52. ixí? sc'q<sup>w</sup>aq<sup>w</sup>s He cried. SF80. ixí? s $\lambda$ 'a? $\lambda$ '?úsəms He looked around. SF90. ixí? snisc

<sup>14</sup>Here and elsewhere the function of ix? can be analyzed in different ways--see above.

<sup>15</sup>Forms I label durative can be translated as: start to X, intend to X, do some Xing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>The problem is to discern between the sequence ut i? and the form úti? and then.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Many of these sentences function as independent sentences, others as dependent sentences. It is possible that historically all i- predicates functioned as dependent constructions, but this is not the case at present.

He left. SF95. ixí? sən?úcxəns He started tracking them. SF116. ixí? scuts He said. SF108. ixí? skicx He came, while the following sentences include additional material (locatives, conjunctions, particles),

- SF6. ixí? itlf? sx<sup>w</sup>úy'ysəlx then from\_there they\_went ptcl loc pred Then they went.
- SF29. way' ixí? itətpíxəm well then I\_again\_hunt pcl pcl pred I'm going back hunting.
  SF12. ixí? ik'lí? sx\*úysəlx
- then there they\_went ptcl loc pred Then they went there.

and the following sentences include an expressed third person subject nominal:

SF14.	ixí?	ut	s <sup>e</sup> 'ayncúts	axá <sup>9</sup>	q'"əq'"c'w'iya?
	then	and	laughed	this	Chipmunk
	ptcl	conj	pred	subj	
	Then	Chipmi			
SF13.	ixí?	scx*u	ys səni	kstíya?	

then come\_back Skunk ptcl pred subj Then Skunk came back.

The following sentences exhibit the patterns just described,

SF37. ixí? sənkxáms sən?úcxəns sənkstíya? then followed tracked Skunk He followed Skunk's tracks (with two parallel durative forms).
SF77. ixí? uł scuts And he said...
SF55. [s]x<sup>w</sup>u··ys uł i? k'əl st'a?t'á?pu?stn He went as far as st'a?t'á?pu?stn (the import of uł i? k'əl st a?t'á?pu?stn He went as far as st'a?t'á?pu?stn (the import of uł i? k'l is as far as).
SF48. nt'a [i]xí[?] suckl'ípəms Gee, he ran down the hill.
SF122. nt'a·· ixí? sxítmi?stsəlx {i?} Gee, they ran, the ...

4.2. NON-THIRD PERSON i- PREDICATES

The following example has a first person form:

SF35. way' i -ks+n<sup>2</sup>úc+xn+m i- sl'ážt well I will track my friend I'll track my friend.

In this sentence, as in all non-third person forms, the role of the person marker in the predicate determines the role of the adjunct: my friend is what I will track.<sup>16</sup>

# 5. TRANSITIVE PREDICATES

Simple transitive sentences with 3rd person subjects and objects include the subject suffix -(i)s and an expressed or implied nominal object:

SF24. cus i? sl'axts he\_told art his\_friend He told his friend.

SF 32, SF39, SF61, SF61. (ixí? ut) kəm'əntis i? sm'am?ims then and he\_took art his\_women (Then) he took his women.

SF82. ixí? təlntís i? sc'uxáns then he\_broke art his\_foot Then he broke his foot.
SF91. a? ?á. cqa?sts i? sqiltks intj he\_took\_out art his\_body He got his body out. (-st causative).

All the examples given have a 3rd person possessed object, and in all such cases the possessor is co-referential with the subject, else the transitivizer would be -**ft**, the so-called *possessor applicative*, as in the following sentence:

SF131. n'ín'w'i? k'əłník'tsəlx i? sp'sáqsc if/when they\_cut\_his art his\_nose If they cut his nose off.

Other sentences with nominal (but not possessed) objects are:

SF52. ut ixi?  $\lambda'a^{\gamma}\lambda'^{\gamma}$ úsəms i? s+x<sup>w</sup>uy+tn And then he looked for tracks. SF89. nwa?lflsəms stim' {a?} He wondered what.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>In third person forms the possibility of ambiguity arises (he tracked his friend / his friend tracked him), and the language has various means to resolve such ambiguities.

SF106. ixí? k'"ənk'"ínsəlx ixí? i? sw'ar'íp They examined this Stink Bug. SF44. ki? k'əttk'"ípc'a?səlx ixí? i? citx" That's when they burned the house. SF30. nák'" $\Rightarrow$ m<sup>17</sup> k<sup>w</sup>is i? xt'ut k<sup>18</sup> c'əq'mís He took the rock and threw it.

One sentence has an expressed nominal object, and an adjunct:

SF53. i? t q<sup>w</sup>əlmín uł npq'<sup>w</sup>úsəs ixí? i? sx<sup>w</sup>úytən art obl ashes and he\_sprinkled these the tracks They sprinkled the tracks with ashes.

The text also contains two sentences with expressed subjects. When such occur, they are normally, but not always, accompanied by the oblique **t**, with pragmatics and context often determining when not to mark the nominal subject:

SF107. t'i? knaqs  $k^{*i \cdot \cdot s}$ evid one\_person takes\_it\_again Another person takes it back... SF81. k'ip's i? xX'ut The rock pinched it.

The expected way to express a nominal transitive subject is with the -m I and others have at different times called *passive* and *indefinite*, and the Coeur d'Alene cognate of which Doak 1977 has called *non-topic ergative*:

SF3. ixí? cúntəm ixí? t stəmtíma?s They were told by their grandmother / Their grandmother told them.

SF17. ixí? kəm'əntíməlx t sənkstíya? Skunk took them / They were taken by Skunk. SF28. ul cúntəm i? t sl'axts His partner told him.

Commonly the third person object (suffix -0) is pronominal:

SF21. ik'li? i? k'əl Xlína? sk'əlcnítc k'tpəpilxsts there art to cache its\_side he\_put\_them\_under He put them there under the side of the cache.

SF84. ilí? uť ik'lí? k'ətc'əq'mís k'əl tk'əmkn'ftx" He threw it there outside. SF87, SF88. ixí? ik'lí? c'q'mís ixí? Then he threw it there. SF62. cus He told him. SF40. cúsəlx They told him. SF72. 'ay'áynksəlx They tickled him. SF71. mət ixí? 'ay'áynksəlx, SF18. ixí? ut 'əy'áynksəlx, SF19. 'əy'á. ynksəlx, SF33. ixí?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>nák'\*\* m is a particle the force of which can be translated, "Oh, that's what / how it is!"

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$ I see two possible analyses of k: the prefix I have called *resultive* that derives verbs with unpredictable changes to the meaning of the root; the relativizer ki<sup>9</sup>, and that's when...

'ay'áynksəlx They tickled him. SF89. a' wiks He saw it. SF90. ixí' c'əlxəntís He grabbed it. SF94. ta'xí lsts He did like that. SF94. ut ixí' nəq' məntís He plugged it. SF112, SF115. ixí' nq' maq' mú səlx They managed to plug it. SF107. mət itlí' x<sup>w</sup>íc'xəms And then he passes it on. SF107. ut nxx' pnúsəlx They complete it. SF115. xəc'mstísəlx They made it tight. SF59. ixí' p'əc'ntá's He sprayed them. SF60. t'əx<sup>w</sup> mat xkists He must have done something to it.

The text contains transitive predicates with non-third person subjects:

SF41. uł ałí? k<sup>w</sup>u kəm'əntím And so he took us. (k<sup>w</sup>u...-ím they-us). SF113, SF113. (ta?lí?) xc'əmstíp You get them (very) tight.

and a sentence with a third person passive:

SF119. ixí<sup>9</sup> p'əc'əntá<sup>e</sup>məlx It was squirted out.

6. OTHER SENTENCE TYPES

The text has two intransitive commands:

SF77. k'ałáłxa<sup>7</sup>x way' Move over; SF78. k'ałá<sup>7</sup>x q'\*əq'\*c'w'íya<sup>7</sup> Move over Chipmunk.

and one transitive command:

SF109. nq'"əmq'"músənt i? ciyá? cxəqxáq {cəm'} Plug all the holes.

The text has seven negative sentences, six with lut, and one with nak<sup>w</sup>á?. lut has several functions. It is the interjection "no"; it is a full root; together with a class of lexical items it forms other complex lexical items, e.g. lut swit *nobody*; lut stim' *nothing*; lut pən'kín' *never*.<sup>19</sup> I have labelled the function of the particle t' *factual negative*, and it differentiates such pairs of future sentences as

lut aksənk'əwpíls.	Don't get lonesome.
and	
lut t' aksənk'əwpils.	You won't get lonesome.

the latter of which has a *factual* and the former a *hortative* reading. Forms unmarked for aspect, the interpretations of which default to *past*, do not exhibit similar paired

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>These are not predications--the way to express the proposition *it's nobody, it's not somebody* is with lut t'e swit (where lut co-occurs (obligatorily) with t'.

readings, and the way to say *Nobody spoke*.<sup>20</sup> is with the obligatory inclusion of the particle t': lut swit t'ə q<sup>w</sup>əlq<sup>w</sup>ílt. *Nobody spoke*.

SF5. lut t'a lk<sup>w</sup>ut itlf? *It's not far from there.* (intransitive, with kn\_marking) SF24. ut lut k<sup>w</sup> t' k'<sup>w</sup>əl'cəncút *You haven't cooked.* (intransitive, with kn\_marking) SF129. ixí? ut lut t' q'a?flsəmsəlx *Nobody paid attention to him.* (transitive, with -(f)n marking)

SF8. ut lut t'a kswit ili? There was nobody there. (kt- predicate, with kn\_ marking)

SF92. ut lut k'am t'a ksw'ar'íps *There was no Stink Bug*. (kt- predicate, with kn\_ marking; the function of k'am is not clear).

SF81. {xi? s} lut xkinem atf? mi ?ácqa? He can't do anything to get out. (contrast lut t' xkinem He didn't do anything...; intransitive with kn\_ marking).

SF25. nak'<sup>\*</sup>á<sup>21</sup> k<sup>\*</sup> inilmíx<sup>\*</sup>əm mi ck'<sup>\*</sup>a<sup>2</sup>k'<sup>\*</sup>úl'stmən indeed\_not you my\_boss fut I\_cust\_cook\_for\_you It's not that you are my boss that I [should] cook for you.

#### 7. INTERJECTIONS AND FRAGMENTS

The text contains five occurrences of the interjection kiw yes (SF61, SF68, SF74, SF102, SF105), in response to an interlocutor's questions or remarks; three onomatopoeic sequences, the sound of Skunk arriving, SF13. ut t'i? piq'", piq'" piq'"; the sound of a rolling rock, SF31. t'i? lu lu lu lu; and the sound of peeling or cutting off something, SF128. laq'is.. laq'is..; several phrases (as responses to an interjected comment or question, or a simple naming, imprecation, or other utterance: SF73. sənkstiya? Skunk; SF104. t'əx"\_mat həts?'án' Maybe the Magpies; SF103. hətc'əsqáqna? iti? The Chickadees then; SF101. i? kstər'qmixa?x Those who are going to dance; SF50. lut\_stim' Nothing; SF100. ciyá?' i? stim' Everything; SF79. ut lut But no; SF49. nákna t ism'am?ím, nákna t ism'am?ím Goodness, my women! Goodness, my women!; SF45. nt'a ki? uláp Gee that it burned; SF47. nt'a i suláps Gee, the burning; SF63. t'əx" ya nx"əx"c'úsa? In that stump; SF66. t'əx" a? cənsq'íw's i? 1 xx'ut The split in the rock; SF85. ho·y ut i? kilxs ut i? sc'u?xáns And then his hand and his foot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>This is a shortcut for "one way to express in Cv-Ok what in English might be expressed by the utterance Nobody spoke. is ..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>The form is based on the evidential nak'<sup>w</sup>m, see above. Another such pair is km' or. km' +  $a^{?}$  nor, or not.

#### 8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The 133 units into which I edited the text Skunk and Fisher contain about 150 intransitive and 64 transitive sentences. The 150 intransitive sentences include 12 nominal and adjectival predicates. 130 of the intransitive forms are conjugated with the kn, paradigm. Of these 72 are unmarked for aspect, 8 are habitual forms, 6 are m verbs, 4 are imperfective forms, two are inceptive forms, 3 are kt-verbs, and 3 are kin' words. The 20 forms conjugated with the i- paradigm divide into 18 durative / intent forms, and 2 future forms. The 64 transitive predicates divide into 37 -nt, 10 -st, and 9 -4t forms. There are also 3 -st customary, 1 -st causative, and 1 -t transitive forms. The two remaining transitives are based on the stem kn+xit help, which is irregular. Some speakers use it as a stem that takes -nt in some forms, e.g. kn+xit-nt-x<sup>w</sup> you helped him, but not in others, viz. kn-xit-m-n I helped you, with the -m 20bj typical of -xit (and -st) transitives. In sum, the text contains a preponderance of simple intransitive sentences and a substantial number of simple transitive ones. Complex and subordinate sentences are virtually absent, and the number of constructions represented in the text is relatively small. Similar surveys and counts will show the extent to which it is possible to identify stylistic preferences of various speakers, and/or make generalizations about the distribution and occurrence of the various Okanagan sentence types.

## **References Cited**

- Doak, Ivy G. 1997. Coeur d'Alene Grammatical Relations. PhD dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin.
- Mattina, Nancy J. 1996. Aspect and Category in Okanagan Word Formation. PhD dissertation, Simon Fraser University.