

P.S.: more Lower Chehalis loans in Chinook Jargon, and łəwálməʃ revitalization¹

David Douglas Robertson, PhD
Lower Chehalis Language Project (Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe)

Abstract: M. Dale Kinkade's unfinished work on Lower Chehalis/łəwálməʃ provides the starting point for study of just how words of this language came to be integrated into the nascent pidgin, Chinook Jargon/CJ (cf. Kinkade et al. 2010). Here I review a large number of potential łəwálməʃ-to-CJ loans to add to the Kinkade et al. corpus. I show that a handful actually originated in other Coast Salish languages, while candidate Chinookan etymologies for several cannot be ruled out, and a few were published as Chinook Jargon only by mistake. The remainder expand by about 150% the number of reliable łəwálməʃ > CJ loans. Of these, quite a few represent the sole evidence of lexemes otherwise unknown to us in the rather limited documentation of łəwálməʃ, creating the singular situation where a pidgin language is important for revitalizing its lexifier.

Keywords: łəwálməʃ, Lower Chehalis, Tsamosan, Shoalwater Bay, Chinook Jargon, pidginization, revitalization

1 Introduction: P.S. – there are other łəwálməʃ loans into CJ

Since early in the recorded history of Chinook Jargon (CJ), scholars have noted the presence of a thick stratum of loans from Lower Chehalis Salish (łəwálməʃ). This is a language of the Maritime division within the Tsamosan branch of the Salish family (Kroeber 1999:4). For a few decades, łəwálməʃ material was frequently mistaken for the unrelated Chinookan – since many villages were bilingual (Scouler 1841, Tolmie 1884) – or for the pidgin CJ (for example by Gill 1909, as we will discuss), when not outright unidentified (Meares 1791:266, Hale 1846 according to Gibbs 1863a:v). But it did not take long for a consensus to emerge that the language known simply as ‘Chehalis’ in various spellings was among the four or so main contributors to the word stock of this quintessentially multi-lexifier pidgin (Gibbs 1863a, Eells 1894, Shaw 1909).

As is expected in an apparently new language such as the pidgin/creole CJ, the composition of the lexicon varies geographically and chronologically (compare Drechsel 2014:83 on Maritime Polynesian Pidgin and Jahr 1996 on Russenorsk). Observations of this fact abound in the CJ literature, for example Gibbs':

¹For the kind support they have extended to me, this paper is dedicated with thanks to ICSNL founders M. Dale Kinkade and Terry and Larry Thompson. Many thanks also to the łəwálməʃ elders and to Earl Davis, Tony A. Johnson and the SBIT, whose intellectual property rights are hereby acknowledged. I am grateful as well for useful discussions with Jay Powell, Jedd Schrock, Sam Sullivan and Henry Zenk.

Many [words] formerly employed have become in great measure obsolete, while others have been locally introduced. Thus, at the Dalles of the Columbia, various terms are common which would not be intelligible at Astoria or on Puget Sound (1863a:vii).

This is seconded by Le Jeune's specification in interior British Columbia of a large number of lexemes "used only in other districts" (1924), and Eells' testimony of having often witnessed the introduction of new vocabulary in CJ (1894:307).

All sources agree on a significant number of łəwálməš > CJ loans. By my count Kinkade et al. (2010) identify 39 by surveying various sources. Examination of additional documents reveals a more nuanced picture of variation: Gibbs tallied 32 such words (1863a:viii), Shaw counts 36 (1909:xii), and Eells 64 of them (1894:308). In the community of the Grand Ronde Indian Reservation in Oregon, quite a number of Jargon words that have not necessarily been so identified in the past appear to be not just Salish, but most likely łəwálməš, in origin (Chinuk Wawa Dictionary Project 2012).

The łəwálməš component of the Jargon has not been accepted without criticism. Shaw, quoting from a manuscript CJ compendium of Eells', captures the controversy in a few lines:

In the appendix [of Swan 1857] is quite a full vocabulary, – 327 words. Judge Swan lived on Shoalwater Bay, Wash., near the [Lower] Chehalis and Chinook Indians, and he gives quite a number of words which are given by no other writer, which he says are of Chehalis origin. Gibbs rejects many of these, because he thinks that Swan imperceptibly used them as Chinook Jargon, but that they did not properly belong to the language, but to the Chehalis. I [Eells] have inserted them as being a part of the Jargon of that region at that time, as certainly many English words now in use on Puget Sound are a part of the Jargon of this time and place. The environment always affects the language (1909:xvi).

The facts bear out this judgment by Eells, whose knowledge of łəwálməš came from firsthand experience. (Boas' 1890 notes in the American Philosophical Society archives, S2b.1, include reelicitations of Eells' work on the language.) At Bay Center, Washington, where it was aboriginally spoken, a still greater number of items demonstrably originating in this language is consistently found in local CJ, cf. Boas (1892). Native speakers took pains to characterize such loans as non-łəwálməš (cf. Harrington 1942).

The net outcome is that there are many more loans from łəwálməš in CJ than even Kinkade et al. (2010) enumerated. No study to date, however, has both explicitly presented the entire set of such loans and evaluated the claims to their status as łəwálməš. This is the primary goal of the present study.

I have sought here to evaluate all CJ words that both resemble known Salish forms and, due to being used in or adjacent to łəwálməš territory, had a likelihood of originating in this language. This geographic limitation was premised on the need to filter out the considerable stock of later loans from other Salish languages in regions to the north, such as *lahanfut* 'to confess' and *putah* 'goodbye' from Shuswap/Secwepemctsin (Robertson 2011:20). For the same reason, I have

omitted any words of Gibbs’s lower-Columbia region dictionary (1863CJ) to which he assigns a ‘Nisqually’ or ‘Puget Sound’ Central Coast Salish source. Those etymologies seem accurate, for example his *máh-lie* ‘to forget’ exactly corresponds with the modern Lushootseed simplex *√báli* (Bates et al. 1994), versus the Tsamosan complex *√mál(‘)q-ni-x^(w)* (Kinkade 1991, 2004).

My main sources of *ləwálməš* data beyond the Kinkade et al. paper of 2010 were several further works of scholarship which more or less explicitly suggest numerous *ləwálməš* items as CJ vocabulary: Swan (1857), Gibbs (1863a), Gill (1909), Harrington (1942), Chinuk Wawa Dictionary Project (2012), and Kinkade (n.d.).

The mode of this study is descriptive, with the intention of introducing even more *ləwálməš* data to the Salish linguistics community, but I will end on the secondary theme that the information collected here can be applied to language revitalization. In this way I hope to pay an appropriate homage to Salish conference founders Dale Kinkade and Larry and Terry Thompson for their invaluable gift of a sustained interchange between scholars and Native communities.

2 Beware of false positives

A number of the items collected in the database for this study are certainly or most likely *not* instances of *ləwálməš* borrowings into the pidgin. The several reasons for therefore excluding them are touched on in the following notes, which include a good deal of comparative data from other languages for obvious reasons.

2.1 Sorry, wrong language

A small number of the CJ literature’s items that closely resemble known Salish forms, but whose source language was not definitively indicated in the original literature, have clear origins in other languages than *ləwálməš*. Some are simply from other Coast Salish languages: one has its likeliest etymology in Tillamook, as Chinuk Wawa Dictionary Project (2012) suggests and as shown in Table 1:²

²CJ forms are bolded and italicized in the tables, to facilitate comparisons. I present the most relevant possible documented forms from the Chinookan and Tsamosan local speech of southwest Washington – CJ’s earliest region of use – as well as any other languages relevant to the discussion. (PS=Proto-Salish; PIS=Proto-Interior Salish.) Lack of a known equivalent in the literature is signaled in the tables by ‘?’. Citations from primary data are coded by the speaker’s initials in capitals, the researcher’s initials in lowercase, the date, the microfilm reel number (for Harrington), the page (or text name ‘Qoneqone’), and entry number in our database’s transcription. Morphemic breaks are not generally indicated; depending on the source, hyphens reflect 19th-century anglophone practices in rendering Native languages in writing, or bound stems. Example words are generally transcribed into Americanist phonetics wherever possible; the exceptions preserving pre-modern spellings are enclosed <in angled brackets>.

Table 1 CJ < Tillamook

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<kwad'-dis>	'whale'	<i>Gibbs (1863a)</i>
Chinookan	<é-ko-li>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
Tillamook	qʰanís	idem	Harrington (1942)
Upper Chehalis	skʷúyxʷ	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	qáʔən	idem	Modrow ([1971])
ləwálməš	syəʔəxʷ	idem	ELjh1942.17.423

Lushootseed – presumably the dialect of southern Lushootseed spoken in the vicinity of the Hudson Bay Company's Fort Nisqually, established in 1833 as the first sustained White presence on the head of Puget Sound (Suttles and Lane 1990:499) – supplied names for certain fur-bearing animals. These are shown in Table 2:

Table 2 CJ < Lushootseed

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<quit-chad'dy>	'rabbit'	<i>Swan (1857)</i>
Chinookan	<kun'ne-mun'ne>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
Lushootseed	kʷəčdiʔ	idem	Bates et al. (1994)
	kʷəčidiʔ	idem	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	xʷáyčs	idem	Kinkade (1991)
ləwálməš	skiʔpxʷaʔ	idem	ISmk19780913.62
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<skud'zo>	'squirrel'	<i>Swan (1857)</i>
Chinookan	<e-kau-tau>	'pine squirrel'	Gibbs (1863b)
	<skwis-skwis>	idem	(ibid.)
Lushootseed	sqədʔúʔ	'squirrel'	Bates et al. (1994)
ləwálməš	skʷəyúxʷ	idem	ISmk19781130.41

The ləwálməš cognate for 'squirrel' is a very close match for the CJ, and better yet, northern ləwálməš dialects in fact affricate /y/ to /j/ (that is [dʒ]; thus jəʔl-áʔ 'come and help!' versus southern yəʔl-áʔ idem, NBmk19670426.65–66). Even so, the Lushootseed form corresponds even more closely in phonology.

Lushootseed is less definitely a potential source of two more words in the same fur-trade semantic domain, shown in Table 3:

Table 3 CJ < probable Lushootseed

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	< <i>skad</i> >	‘mole’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	?		
Lushootseed	<i>pəlq^wáči?</i>	‘mole’	Bates et al. (1994),
	<i>qad</i>	‘back up’	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	<i>sqaŋ</i>	‘gopher’	Kinkade (1991)
ləwálməš	<i>pək^wálməš</i>	‘mole’	
Chinook Jargon	< <i>skub</i> ‘by-you’>	‘skunk’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	< <i>o-pún-pun</i> >	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
Lushootseed	<i>sqəbyá?</i>	idem	Bates et al. (1994)
Upper Chehalis	<i>sqəmyú</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
ləwálməš	<i>hayí?</i>	idem	ELjh1942.420

Both CJ words in Table 3 appear Lushootseed- (or Twana-) like, in that they contain oral stops where Salish cognates show nasals. But they possess closer known cognates in Tsamosan. With regard to <*skad*>, we know that 19th-century anglophone recorders of ləwálməš and neighboring languages frequently wrote glottalized *n̥* as an oral stop, with or without nasal segments preceding it. (Compare *tsūntn* ‘yaka’ [CJ for ‘he, she’] for ləwálməš *cán̥* in Cooper 1854, and *taqualant* ‘ear’ for ləwálməš *t=(ʔə-)q^wəlán̥* ‘DEF.NONF=(2.S.POSV-)ear’ in Lee and Frost 1846:342.) As for <*skub*‘by-you’>, <*m*> often interchanged with <*b*> (for example, just about every occurrence of a ‘b’ in Gibbs’ 1863b Chinookan is phonologically an *m*). That fact bolsters the case for non-Lushootseed etymologies here. But in any case, ləwálməš is known to use completely different forms in both instances, so we can leave this question open and move on.

One word now integrated into ləwálməš is nonetheless a loan from CJ, which most likely inherited it from the earlier Haida pidgin, via the intervening ‘Nootka Jargon’. (See CWDP 2012, s.v. *hilu*, for details on these convolutions). Table 4 compares these forms with Chinookan and Tsamosan negators.

Table 4 CJ < Nootka Jargon < Haida

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<halo>	‘NEG’	(Palmer 1838)
Chinookan	<i>nikšt</i>	idem	Boas (1910:668)
łəwálməš	<i>hilu</i>	idem	ISmk19781015.10
	<i>mílt</i>	idem	LHcs19670817.1503 ³
Cowlitz	<i>míltə</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Haida	<i>hi-lu-</i>	idem	CWDP (2012)

A couple of other Nootka Jargon words are Nuuchahnulth in origin (again see CWDP 2012 for details), though they have resemblances to Salish. Table 5 illustrates:

Table 5 CJ < Nootka Jargon < Nuuchahnulth

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>húyhuy</i>	‘trade’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	-xəmuta	‘barter’	Boas (1910:615)
łəwálməš	<i>ləq</i>	‘buy’	NBcs19670524.516
	<i>táx^w</i>	idem	LHcs0817.1499
Upper Chehalis	<i>táx^wiwi</i>	‘sell’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>x^wíx^wíy^í</i>	‘greedy, stingy’	(ibid.)
Nuuchahnulth	<i>haɬuyi</i>	‘trade, barter, swap’	CWDP (2012)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>mək^hmək</i>	‘eat’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	-wulʔ(?)	idem	Boas (1910:590)
łəwálməš	<i>ʔil</i>	idem	ISmk19780911.97
	<i>múx^wm</i>	‘go + try to get s.t. to eat from s.o.’	ISmk19781128.13
Upper Chehalis	<i>məq^íwəq^íwtⁿ</i>	‘swallow repeatedly’	Kinkade (1991)
Chinookan	<i>maɬmaq</i>	‘gulping down’	CWDP (2012)
Nuuchahnulth	<maɬhormaɬ>	‘choice wheatmeal’ [sic, for ‘whalemeat’]	Oxford Dictionary of English (2010:827) ⁴

One word has a reasonable łəwálməš etymology, but a better one in Kalapuyan.

³łəwálməš still routinely used its inherited Salish negator *mílt* in the 1890s, as seen in Charles Cultee’s usage (Boas 1890), but by the time further documentation was performed in the 20th century, speakers almost exclusively negated with the borrowed *hilu*.

⁴This is an unusual authority to cite here, but no corresponding form could be located in Powell and Callicum (1991).

Table 6 CJ < Kalapuyan

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>túx</i>	‘saliva’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-mxti</i>	idem	Boas (1910:608)
łəwálməš	<i>túx^wsč</i>	‘to spit out’	ISmk19781128.46
Kalapuyan	<i>taφ</i>	‘spit, saliva’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>

And a few items match forms in languages widely enough dispersed in the Pacific Northwest to be considered areally shared.

Table 7 CJ < > areally shared

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>áx</i>	‘excrement’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>áx</i>	‘cough up’	(ibid.)
Sahaptin	<i>áx</i>	‘yucky, icky’	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	<i>ʔəx^wínustn</i>	‘he defecated’	Kinkade (1991)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>nəʔ</i>	‘dear; honey’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>ʔ</i>		
Sechelt	<i>náq</i>	‘dear (term of affection for anyone)’	Beaumont (2011)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>ó</i>	‘oh’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>u</i>	idem	Boas (1910:635)
łəwálməš	<i>ʔú</i>	idem	CCfb1890Qoneqone3.1
Kalapuyan	<i>úʔ</i>	idem	Jacobs (1945:247)
Sahaptin	<i>au</i>	idem	Jacobs (1929:219)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i><kah’-kah></i>	‘crow’	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	<i><ská-ha></i>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	<i>ská</i>	idem	ISmk19780911.21
Quileute	<i>káʔyoʔ</i>	idem	Powell and Woodruff (1976)

Finally, a word for European-style dishware has no clear etymology or language of origin, as Table 8 shows. (The trail ends where the unrelated Quinault and Quileute display the same form; Quileute *b* < **m*.)⁵

⁵This word is first documented by Hale (1846), then by Gibbs (1863a), both in the lower Columbia River region. Checking dictionaries of Coast Salish (Lushootseed: Bates et al. 1994, Klallam: Montler 2012, Sechelt: Beaumont 2011), Southern Wakashan (Nuuchahnulth: Powell and Callicum 1991), and Northern Wakashan (Heiltsuk: Rath 1981), I found no occurrences of this as a loan word. Its present distribution in Native languages – restricted to the northerly Pacific Coast of Washington state – suggests both (A) its rapid obsolescence in CJ and (B) its persistence only in ‘backwater’ areas where the

Table 8 CJ < indeterminate source

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>məláx</i>	‘pan, dishpan’	<i>ELjh1942.18.448</i>
Chinookan	<á-bo-wa>	‘dishes’	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	<i>smə́áʔ</i>	idem	NBmk19670519.18
	<i>cilíłnm</i>	‘pans, dishes, plates for eating’	CCfb1890.24
Upper Chehalis	<i>cakʷáłxʷ</i>	‘pan, bowl, dish’	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>maláx</i>	‘basin, dish pan’	Modrow ([1971])
Quileute	<i>bá-lax</i>	‘tin metal, pie tin’	Powell and Woodruff (1976)

2.2 Long-term Chinookan-łəwálməš sharing

Quite a number of CJ words beyond this can be ascribed to łəwálməš with varying degrees of confidence. However, the complication here is that sustained joint settlement and linguistic contact has led to the lexicon of Chinookan possessing many forms that are practically indistinguishable from those in its Salish neighbor. In some cases a corresponding łəwálməš form is not known, but Tsamosan relatives have one, suggesting possible cognacy (Table 9).⁶

Table 9 CJ < indeterminate Chinookan / łəwálməš

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	< <i>chis</i> >; < <i>tsish</i> >	‘cold’	(<i>Gill 1909</i>)
Chinookan	< <i>ts</i> ‘his’>; < <i>tsus</i> >	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	<i>pamás</i>	idem	NBmk19670405.130
Upper Chehalis	<i>łíš</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>čxi</i> ; < <i>chee</i> >	‘immediately; new’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>čxi</i>	idem	(<i>ibid.</i>)
łəwálməš	<i>či</i>	‘and’	ISmk19781014.23
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>ícxut</i>	‘bear’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>iičxut</i>	idem	(<i>ibid.</i>)
łəwálməš	<i>čəłtxʷn</i>	idem	Kinkade et al. (2010)

pidgin had both (i) early taken hold and (ii) remained in use longer than in the sections of the state such as Shoalwater Bay and the corridor from Fort Vancouver to Puget Sound that were first settled by Whites.

⁶Starting with this section, some examples will be accompanied by background discussion, at times fairly extensive but confined to footnotes to keep the exposition simple.

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>kúy?</i>	<i>‘hopefully; wishing that...’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>qui</i> >	‘will; let us; shall’	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>kʷí?</i>	‘give’	ISmk19781015.166 ⁷
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>lili</i>	<i>‘long time’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>lili</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>nácýʔaq</i>	‘after a long time’	ISmk19781014.49
	<i>táʔxʷ</i>	‘far’	NBcs19670405.145
Upper Chehalis	<i>lil-</i>	‘far away’	Kinkade (1991)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>lipʰlip</i>	<i>‘boil’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>laplap</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>púp-</i>	‘boil’	ISmk19781014.207
	<i>ʔúqʷs-</i>	‘boil (cook)’	LHcs19670619.414
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>na</i>	<i>‘Q’⁸</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>na</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>na</i>	idem	ISmk19781014.179
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qáləs</i>	<i>‘raccoon’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>iqʷalás</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>qʷáls</i>	idem	ELjh1942.17.407
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>spúʔuq</i>	<i>‘grey’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>šbuq</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>páqʷ</i>	idem	EL1942.17.484
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>číqʰwə?</i>	<i>‘piss-ant’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>ačíqʷə</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>čəsqtíyʔaq</i>	‘ant’	ISmk19780911.84
Upper Chehalis	<i>číqʷə-</i>	‘step on’	Kinkade (1991)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>úma?</i>	<i>‘feed’</i>	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-ʔim</i>	idem	CWDP (2012)
łəwálməš	<i>ʔúm-</i>	idem	ISmk19781014.74

⁷Compare the grammaticalization of a verb ‘give’ into permissive/imperative markers in Mandarin Chinese (Sun 2003) and Russian (Aikhenvald 2010:350).

⁸I.e. polar-question marker. This is an enclitic in both Chinookan and Salish.

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	<i>káwtin</i>	‘squirrel’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	<i>ikáutən</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>skʷəyúxʷ</i>	idem	ISmk19781130.41
	<i>skʷatə́t</i>	idem	ISmk19780911.66
Chinook Jargon	<i>kʷíš</i>	‘exclamation of refusal’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	<i>kš</i>	‘oh!’	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>kʷəš</i>	idem	ELjh1942.18.418
Chinook Jargon	<i>pləx</i>	‘aphrodisiac’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	<i>ipləx</i>	‘medicine’	CWDP (2012)
łəwálməš	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>pləx</i>	‘aphrodisiac’	Kinkade (1991)
Chinook Jargon	<i>ítəxʷítəxʷ</i>	‘oyster’	CWDP (2012)
	<chet’-lo>	idem	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	<i>ítəxwítəxw</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>čə́ləxʷ</i>	idem	ISmk19780911.53
Lushootseed	<i>łúxʷłuxʷ</i>	idem	Bates et al. (1994)
Chinook Jargon	<a-yah’-whul>	‘borrow’	Gibbs (1863a) ⁹
	<i>yáxʷəl</i>	‘borrow’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	<hul-ge’-bish-ta>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
PS	<i>*kʷul</i>	idem	(Kuipers 2002) ¹⁰
Chinook Jargon	<youtl>	‘glad’	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	<i>yułl, yuł</i>	idem	CWDP (2012)
Lushootseed	<i>juʔil</i>	idem	Bates et al. (1994)
łəwálməš	ʔ ¹¹		

⁹Both variants (<a-yah’-whul>, *yáxwəl*) appear to carry Chinookan argument-marking prefixes (cf. Swanton 1900:214) and a root <hul>/<whul>/<xʷəl>, but because the CJ word is traced by Gibbs to łəwálməš (1863a), I examine a possible native Salish etymology.

¹⁰In Tsamosan, Cowlitz inherits this Proto-Salish root as *kʷústm-* (Kinkade 2004) plausibly from PS **kʷú(l)-st(a)w-m*, borrow-CAUS-AD [agent demotion] (for these two grammatical morphemes, cf. Kroeber 1999:26–27, 95). (For loss of coda **l* in this branch, compare Upper Chehalis *léʔ* ‘far’ <*√lil-*, Kinkade 1991.) Upper Chehalis has it also (with its regular **k>č* shift, and **l>y*) in *čó:yaʔ* ‘borrow’ (Kinkade 1991). Could the root be an old loan between Chinookan and łəwálməš? A posttonic alternation *k>(k)x* is known in Chinookan (Boas 1910:568).

¹¹In the Lushootseed form, *j* is a historical development from PS **y*. The final sequence -*il* is a “stem-forming suffix, common on experiencer stems” (Bates et al. 1994:116); such a suffix has not been identified in Tsamosan, cf. Kinkade (1991, 2004). The important claim here is that there exists a Salish root **yuʔ*, which conceivably developed as łəwálməš

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<ka-wak'>	'to fly'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	-ka/-ku	idem	(Boas 1911:662)
łəwálməš	qaw'aq	idem	ELjh1942.18.398
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<haht-haht>	'duck'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	ḡátḡat	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Upper Chehalis	ḡátḡat	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	ḡatḡát	'swan'	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<paht'ł>	'full'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	pał	idem	Boas (1910:620)
łəwálməš	lăč	idem	ISmk19781014.165
	pál	'thick'	ISmk19781014.128
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<tagh '-um>	'six'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	táxm	idem	Boas (1910:637)
łəwálməš	sítəč	idem	LHcs19670817.1453
	təxám	'eight' [six]	LHcs19670817.1455 ¹²
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<toť>	'uncle'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	tata	idem	Boas (1910:607)
Upper Chehalis	táta-	idem	Kinkade (1991)
łəwálməš	táť	idem	(Kinkade et al 2010)

(unattested!) *yúʔ-əl* 'glad-INTENSIFIER' (about that suffix cf. Robertson 2014:122). Such a form could have been loaned into neighboring Chinookan in a pronunciation ending in a nonejective, *yúł*, because there exists *t-ł* variation in łəwálməš words, e.g. [čáʔł] for /čáʔł/ 'three' (NBcs19670615.10) and [łəł] for /łəł/ 'tough, hard' (EOcs19670619.997).

¹²*sítəč* is the only word for 'six' that most latter-day speakers gave, but *təxám* is clearly ancestral in Salish and is used elsewhere in Tsamosan such as in Upper Chehalis (Kinkade 1991). Its antecedents are PS *təx* 'to open up, branch out' or **təq* 'to cross over', both used in words for 'six' (Kuipers 2002), and PS *-m 'AD' (agent demotion; Kroeber 1999:26–27, 95). That *təxám* is only vaguely remembered is evident from one speaker giving it only as an alternative for 'six', and from another's gloss as 'eight' (sic). We can only speculate over the replacement of such a core lexical item. One explanation fitting the Olympic Peninsula milieu is lexical tabooing, the replacement of a lexical item when a person of similar-sounding name had recently died. This custom was practiced by the Lower Chinookan population who were long intermingled with the łəwálməš around Shoalwater Bay (cf. Boas 1892, 1910:666), as well as by fellow Coast Salish groups such as the Twana (Elmendorf 1951). Elmendorf notes that names that became tabooed sometimes originated in other language (p. 206), an observation highly pertinent to *təxám* with its multiple potential etymologies.

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	<so-le'-mie>	'cranberry'	Gibbs (1863a)
	súlmix	idem	ELjh1942.18.466
Chinookan	<sú-la-mikh>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	ʔəsúlmš	idem	ELjh1942.18.466 ¹³
Chinook Jargon	sxíláqmi	'looking glass' (mirror)	ELjh1942.18.459
Chinookan	<e-shal-la'-kabt>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
	-okuman	'to look at'	Boas (1910:662)
	-aqamt	'to look'	Boas (1910:663)
łəwálməš	?		
Upper Chehalis	ʔáʔxčšń	'mirror'	Kinkade (1991) ¹⁴
Chinook Jargon	<smock-smock>	'grouse'	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<un-whust'wust>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	həmsəlč	idem	ELjh1942.18.387
Upper Chehalis	<.smukwā'.m.k>	idem	Kinkade (1991) ¹⁵
Chinook Jargon	<setlokum>	'the game of "hand"'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	<it-hlo-kum>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	ʔiʔlakum	idem	ELjh1942.18.328 ¹⁶

¹³The forms in -x/-<kh> suggest a loan from łəwálməš – whether to Chinookan or CJ – that antedates the sound shift from *x>š and suggests the lexical suffix *mix/mix^w 'person(s), land, river' etc., which traces back to Proto-Salish (Kuipers 2002), though I have found no correspondent of a root *sul* in Salish. On the other hand, the prefixed ʔə- evokes a direction of loaning back into łəwálməš from Chinookan, where this looks like an unstressed noun gender prefix *a-* or *i-* reduced to schwa (Boas 1910:580–581).

¹⁴This word is included for two formal reasons, aside from its presumable post-contact origin. The beginning, particularly, of it suggests native łəwálməš material such as [an unattested word] *s-√xil=á=q=mi(n)* (NOM-√do=STEMX=hair=INSTR, cf UCH =*min*), thus 'instrument to do one's hair with'. The end, especially, of this same form is of course a decent match for the Chinookan roots shown. At this point we can only speculate about the sorts of mutual influence among Shoalwater Bay tribal languages that this implies.

¹⁵The triconsonantal reduplication in the CJ form fits the frequent Chinookan pattern for forming birds' names, though 'grouse' was not found in the sources I consulted (Boas 1910:655). The phonotactics of the reduplicated sequence, with an *s-* initial followed by CVC, are however typical of Salish words and very similar to the Upper Chehalis form, whose etymology is not clear.

¹⁶The łəwálməš form is an obvious Salish nominalization of a Chinookan loan.

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	< <i>moolak</i> >	‘ <i>elk</i> ’	<i>Cox (1832)</i>
Chinookan	- <i>mulak</i>	idem	Boas (1910:598)
łəwálməš	<i>qílt</i>	idem	ELjh1942.17.684

2.3 “Mistaken CJ”, as Sam Johnson said

A good many of the łəwálməš forms in one published Chinook Jargon source (Gill 1909) can be proven beyond a reasonable doubt to not belong there. These are among the numerous idiosyncratic additions that Samuel V. Johnson has shown Portland publisher John Kaye Gill to have made in republishing F.N. Blanchet’s 1853 CJ dictionary (Johnson 1978:69ff). Usually Gill notates these words as “O.C.” for “Original Chinook”, that is Chinookan, but several are recognizable as łəwálməš even without Gill’s sporadic label “Che.” for “Chehalis”. (This was the usual label for the łəwálməš tribe and language at the time.)

Of these, roughly half are identifiable as being lifted uncredited from Swan (1857), albeit with some changes to the spellings (Johnson 1978:77ff). The relevant observation to make is that Swan never claimed these to be Chinook Jargon lexemes, presenting them instead in a separate łəwálməš word list. As Johnson observed of certain other CJ dictionaries, this is “mistaken CJ” (1978:21ff). Table 10 illustrates these and one misprint found in Kinkade et al. (2010):

Table 10 łəwálməš mistakenly called CJ; data sources known

language	word	gloss	source
“CJ”	< <i>cuk</i> ’- <i>ko</i> >	‘ <i>porgy</i> ’ [<i>a fish</i>]	<i>Swan (1857)</i>
Chinookan	?		
łəwálməš	<i>swənilč</i>	idem	ELjh1942.17.310 ¹⁷
“CJ”	< <i>e-kap</i> ’- <i>pa</i> >	‘ <i>hail</i> ’	<i>Swan (1857)</i>
Chinookan	- <i>kápa</i>	‘ice’	Boas (1910:601)
łəwálməš	<i>słiləx^w</i>	idem	ISmk19781015.132
Quinault	<i>qəpux^wəx^włiləx^w</i>	‘little hailstone’	Modrow ([1971]) ¹⁸

¹⁷The CJ word is of uncertain origin; I have not found a term for the fish ‘porgy’ in Gibbs (1863b), Boas (1910), and Swanton (1900). The łəwálməš form’s etymology is uncertain but apparently Salish, cf. Upper Chehalis *s-* ‘NOM’, *wən* ‘fold’ and *=it=či* ‘water’ (Kinkade 1991).

¹⁸Latter-day łəwálməš informants volunteered only *(s)łiləx^w*. Chinook Jargon <*E-kap*’-*pa*> may have been a loan at the time when Swan documented łəwálməš.

language	word	gloss	source
“CJ”	<has’-litch>	‘liver’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<i>ipánaqš</i>	idem	CWDP (2012 s.v. liver)
ləwálməš	<i>sxásəlčəc</i>	idem (?)	Kinkade (1991:164)
Upper Chehalis	<i>sáʔš</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
	<Sússúltca>	idem	(ibid.) ¹⁹
“CJ”	<kaer-hutch>	‘crab’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<kal-he’-la>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
ləwálməš	<i>qíʔxəč</i>	idem	ISmk19780911.54
“CJ”	<met’-chip>	‘fire’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<o-ól-pits-ki>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
ləwálməš	<i>măčp</i>	idem	ELjh1942.18.317
“CJ”	<se’-cartl>	‘spruce tree’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<e-pé-natl’h>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
ləwálməš	<i>cqát</i>	idem	ELjh1942.17.168
“CJ”	<she-sinch>	‘shrimp’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	?		
ləwálməš	<i>šəyíšnəč</i>	idem	NBcs19670615.1100
“CJ”	<squintum>	‘white man’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	?		
ləwálməš	<i>sxʷəntm</i>	idem	ISmk19781014.275
“CJ”	<ta-lass’>	‘foot’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	-ps/-pš	idem	Boas (1910:602, 639)
ləwálməš	<i>cálaʔš</i>	‘shoes’	ISmk19781129.60
“CJ”	<taerk>	‘bone’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<i>ikamúkuk</i>	idem	Boas (1910:611)
ləwálməš	<i>ítq</i>	idem	ISmk19781014.256
“CJ”	<i>tam</i>	‘what?’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<i>tan</i>	idem	Boas (1910:609)
ləwálməš	<i>tám</i>	idem	ISmk19781014.216
“CJ”	<teh’-a-ner>	‘jay’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	-qíšqíš	‘blue jay’	Boas (1910:598)
ləwálməš	<i>ítxnaʔ</i>	idem	ELjh1942.18.257

¹⁹Comparison of the forms in CJ, ləwálməš (which Kinkade 1991 mysteriously tags with a parenthetical ‘(?)’) and Upper Chehalis suggests a root of the approximate form *h/xáʔs/š* and a lexical suffix, both with meanings not yet known to us. For the loss of *h* following the nominalizing prefix *s-*, a mutation not uncommon in Salish, compare PS **s-(h)ayas* ‘to play’ > Songish *siyášŋ* ‘play games’ ~ Lillooet *say’səz*, Thompson *séy’siʔ*, Shuswap *séy’sə*.

language	word	gloss	source
“CJ”	<ten-arts-lets>	‘veins’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<te-bek-het-hlau>	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
łəwálməš	?		
Upper Chehalis	wasál (s-)ɬac(‘)-=il(‘)als	idem ‘inside’	Kinkade (1991) (ibid.) ²⁰
“CJ”	<ten-sah’-wit>	‘bladder’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	?		
łəwálməš	?		
Upper Chehalis	səx ^w /sax ^w	‘wet’	Kinkade (1991) ²¹
“CJ”	<ten-squails>	‘blood’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	łʔáwilqt	idem	Boas (1910:568)
łəwálməš	sq ^w ıl	idem	ISmk19780911.111
“CJ”	<tens-ho’-mish>	‘arm’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	-puti	idem	Boas (1910:601)
łəwálməš	sx ^w úməč	‘hand’	LHcs19670619.132
“CJ”	<tah’ness>	‘knee’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	úquxł	idem	Boas (1910:609)
łəwálməš	tánəs	idem	ISmk19781129.58
“CJ”	<ten’-tome>	‘navel’	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	?		
łəwálməš	túm	idem	ISmk19781015.50
“CJ”	<tsole>	‘round’	Kinkade et al. (2010) ²²

The remainder of Gill’s (1909) łəwálməš-origin mistaken CJ items come from a data source that is unidentified as of this writing.

²⁰The *ten* at the start of this and other CJ forms in this table is transparently łəwálməš *t*=*n*- ‘DET.NONF= 1.SG.POSV-’, cf. Robertson (2014). The Upper Chehalis word for ‘inside’ is based on the ‘stative’ prefix, exceptionally used as a root in several Upper Chehalis and łəwálməš words, and the lexical suffix meaning ‘side, insides’ (Kinkade 1991).

²¹If this word for ‘bladder’ involves a cognate of the Upper Chehalis root for ‘wet’, the final *t* of the CJ word can plausibly be interpreted as łəwálməš =*t* ‘INSTR’ (cf. Robertson 2014).

²²The supposed CJ form <*tsole*> in Kinkade et al. (2010) is a misprint for <*tsole-pat*> ‘shotpouch’ (Gibbs 1863a; discussed in Table 13 below), and is not found in CJ sources.

Table 11 łəwálməš mistakenly called CJ; data sources unknown

language	word	gloss	source
“CJ”	<pa-mas’>	‘cold’	?
Chinookan	-caca	idem	Boas (1910:599)
łəwálməš	pamás	idem	ISmk19781130.83
“CJ”	<oke>	‘cry’	?
Chinookan	-qôcax	idem (sg.)	Boas (1910:612)
łəwálməš	ʔúk ^w	idem	ISmk19781014.225
“Chinook Jargon”	<law’-suk>	‘dance’	?
Chinookan	-wæčk	idem (sg.)	Boas (1910:612)
łəwálməš	láq’ ^w səq	idem	ISmk19780912.74
“CJ”	<tah-oo>	‘far’	?
Chinookan	kəlá-(?)	idem	Boas (1910:672)
łəwálməš	táʔx ^w	idem	ISmk19781014.4
“CJ”	<ny-ee’-na>, <my-ee’-na>	‘sing/song’	?
Chinookan	čxəm	‘sing shaman’s song’	Boas (1910:588)
łəwálməš	məyíniatn	‘sing’	ISmk19781014.59
“CJ”	<skatl>	‘sky’	?
Chinookan	-gušax	idem	Boas (1910:601)
łəwálməš	sqáálʔ	idem	ISmk19781130.64
“CJ”	<hook>	‘small’	?
Chinookan	-kaic	‘smallness’	Boas (1910:641) ²³
łəwálməš	x ^w úʔk ^w	‘small’	ISmk19781014.85
“CJ”	<clak-oo’>	‘snow’	?
Chinookan	-utk	‘to snow’	Boas (1910:661)
łəwálməš	słáq ^w	‘snow (on the ground)’	ISmk19781130.65
“CJ”	<kais>	‘stone’	?
Chinookan	-qanakš	idem	Boas (1910:604)
łəwálməš	qáyys	idem	ISmk19780913.92

3 But there is still much more łəwálməš in CJ than thought

The remainder of the data increases the number of known reliable łəwálməš > CJ loans to 80 from the 39, and one mistaken form shown at the end of Table 10, listed by Kinkade et al. (2010). (I do not repeat the items in that 2010 word list here.) Upper Chehalis, Cowlitz and Quinault forms are presented for comparison here, when known.

²³This is among the adjectival concepts that receive expression as abstract nouns in Chinookan (Boas 1910:657).

Quite a number of these newly identified loans are given by speakers in the community as łəwálməš, in addition to being identified in the literature as being used in CJ. (CWDP 2012 is the first to suggest łəwálməš etymologies as a possibility for several of these; my label ‘newly identified’ is intended as confirming those speculations.) Table 12 illustrates these items:

Table 12 CJ < łəwálməš (newly identified)

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>áləks</i>	‘beg’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>ʔóːxʷaš-</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>natáw-</i>	idem	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>ʔáləqs</i>	‘bumming for food; stand watching s.o. eat + wanting food’	ISmk19781128.12
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	< <i>tsi-ā</i> ’-list>	‘branch’	(Lionnet 1853)
Chinookan	?		
Cowlitz	<i>kálx</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
łəwálməš	<i>čáls</i>	idem	ISmk19781130.56
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>čiča</i>	‘grandmother’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>kl-kuk-ké-ke</i> >	idem on father’s side	Gibbs (1863b)
	< <i>kl-kush-kash</i> ’ka>	idem on mother’s side	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>káyʔ</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
łəwálməš	<i>čəča</i>	idem	EOcs19670720.742
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>iləp</i>	‘first; before’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>qastán</i>	‘for the first time’	Boas (1910:634)
	<i>-ániwa</i>	‘first’	Boas (1910:659)
łəwálməš	<i>ʔilp</i>	idem	ELjh1942.18.229
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>kʰwiʔim</i>	‘grandchild’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>qaš</i>	idem said by a man	Boas (1910:612)
	<i>kai</i>	idem said by a woman	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	<i>ʔé·m̥c</i>	‘grandchild’	Kinkade (1991)
łəwálməš	<i>kʷəʔim</i>	idem	ISmk19781129.36
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>łákʷən</i>	‘wipe’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-nalx</i>	idem	Boas (1910:662)
Upper Chehalis	<i>-xʷikʷi</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
łəwálməš	<i>łákʷn</i>	‘wipe it away/off!’	ELjh1942.17.284

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>púxən</i>	‘blow’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>pu</i>	‘to blow once’	Boas (1910:628)
ləwálməš	<i>púx^wn</i>	‘blow [on sth., e.g. on fire]’	NBcs19670512.291
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qáyax</i>	‘entrails’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-amš</i>	‘guts’	Boas (1910:607)
ləwálməš	<i>qáyx</i>	idem	ISmk19781130.44
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>sk^wič</i>	‘vagina’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
ləwálməš	<i>sk^wáč</i>	idem	BCmk19670511.19
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>sləhál</i>	‘gambling game’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>e-tlált-lal</i> >	‘game of disks’	Gibbs (1863b)
	< <i>it-hlo-kum</i> >	‘game of hand’	(ibid.)
	<i>kwot-lá-buks</i>	‘game of beaver dice’	(ibid.)
ləwálməš	<i>sləhál</i>	‘gambling game’	ELjh1942.18.229
	<i>ləhál</i>	idem	ELjh1942.18.64924
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>t^həqsin</i>	‘pursue’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-wa</i>	idem	Boas (1910:659)
ləwálməš	<i>təqšn</i>	‘follow s.o., chase s.o.’	ISmk19781014.3
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>túʔan</i>	‘have’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
ləwálməš	<i>túʔn</i>	‘put it away’	ISmk19781015.10925
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>xúq^hən</i>	‘gather’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-piátx</i>	idem	Boas (1910:660)
ləwálməš	<i>x^wúq^wn</i>	‘pick it up (e.g. from the floor)’	ELjh1942.18.481
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>čúmt</i>	‘chair’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-lait</i>	‘sit’	Boas (1910:665)
ləwálməš	<i>čám^t</i>	idem	ISmk19780911.43
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>lək^wánu?</i>	‘earring’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>is-ká-lal</i> >	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
ləwálməš	<i>lək^wánu?</i>	‘small earring’	NBmk19781129.48

²⁴EL says that *ləhál* is the real ləwálməš word, and that *sləhál* is CJ. Note the glottalized resonant in the latter, a phoneme type not documented in other CJ varieties including the phonologically rather conservative Grand Ronde creole (CWDP 2012:25–29).

²⁵The pronunciation in CWDP (2012), if reflective of etymology, also implies ləwálməš *túʔaʔn* ‘put [it] away!’ (On the morphological formation of the imperative, see Robertson 2014:107–108.)

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>p'ís</i>	'soul'	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-kanati</i>	<i>idem</i>	Boas (1910:622)
łəwálməš	<i>sp'ís</i>	<i>idem</i>	ISNBmk19781129.25
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>q'áyt</i>	'fishhook'	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-kik</i>	'hook'	Boas (1910:601)
łəwálməš	<i>q'ít-</i>	'fishing with hook & line'	LHcs19670619.121
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>k'ík'wiyans</i>	'straight pin'	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>kwé-kwi-ens</i> >	'pin'	Gibbs (1863b)
	< <i>kle-bá-kwo-te</i> >	<i>idem</i>	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	<i>k'ík'wi-</i>	'sticking in'	Kinkade (1991)
łəwálməš	<i>k'ík'wiyanst</i>	<i>idem</i>	NBcs19670731.1213
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>tápšin</i>	'patch'	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>an-ékh-po</i> >	'sew'	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<i>tálpšn</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>tápn</i>	'patching it'	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>tápsn</i>	'to patch (a canoe or clothes)'	ELjh1942.18.361
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>xíləməl</i>	'work'	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-xučkən</i>	<i>idem</i>	Boas (1910:600)
Upper Chehalis	<i>yús</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>yayús</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
łəwálməš	<i>xílməl</i>	<i>idem</i>	ELjh1942.18.386
Quinault	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>yánəs</i>	'tooth'	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-ačx</i>	<i>idem</i>	Boas (1910:601)
Upper Chehalis	<i>yáns</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>jəns</i>	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>yánəs</i>	<i>idem</i>	NBmk19670405.66

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	<i>číkʷt</i>	‘light, lamp’	<i>ELjh1942.18.370</i>
Chinookan	<i>wax</i>	‘light, set afire’	Boas (1910:631)
Upper Chehalis	<i>sqałáx</i>	‘light’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>skʷəl</i>	<i>idem</i>	(ibid.)
	<i>qʷalíltɬn</i>	‘lamp’	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>qéxamłtn</i>	‘light, candle, torch’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>jəxul</i>	‘light, daylight’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>číkʷt</i>	‘light’	NBcs19670512.293
Chinook Jargon	<i>ʔápcit</i>	‘rudder’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>ʔápcɬ</i>	‘stern of a canoe, front seat of a car’	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>láʔxʷəc</i>	‘stern, the hind part of a canoe’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>ʔácan (?)</i>	‘canoe stern’	CCfb1890.6
Chinook Jargon	<i>púqʷəlās</i>	‘housefly’	<i>ELjh1942.18.398</i>
Chinookan	< <i>e-bóts-kun</i> >	<i>idem</i>	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<i>xʷaʔúxʷaʔ</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>púwís</i>	‘a fly in mountains’	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>cáwłkaxa</i>	‘fly (n.)’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>páhkas</i>	‘fly’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>pəqʷíls</i>	<i>idem</i>	<i>ELjh1942.18.294</i>
Chinook Jargon	<i>qəl</i>	‘sugar’	<i>ELjh1942.18.445</i>
Chinookan	< <i>tsee</i> >	‘sweet’	Gibbs (1863b)
	< <i>yat-se-bub</i> >	<i>idem</i>	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>qəl</i>	‘sweet’	LHcs19670619.841
Quinault	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
Upper Chehalis	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
Chinook Jargon	< <i>smet’-ocks</i> >	‘large clam’	<i>Gibbs (1863a)</i>
Chinookan	- <i>iʔi</i>	‘mud clam’	Boas (1910:598)
	< <i>kláb-o-wa</i> >	‘clam (<i>lutraria</i>)’	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<i>qʷáliqn</i>	‘horseclam’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>sqałáltɬn</i>	‘large clam sp.’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>mə́táqs</i>	‘horse clams’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>smə́táqs</i>	‘clam sp.’	<i>ELjh1942.18.465</i>

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	<essa>	'to come'	Gibbs (1863a)
Chinookan	<a-mit-e>	<i>idem</i>	Gibbs (1863b)
	<bat'te>	'come, (imp.) to one person'	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	čís- néʔšaʔ	'come' 'come near!'	Kinkade (1991) (ibid.)
Cowlitz	ʔís	'come'	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	ʔisaʔ	'come here!'	ISmk19780911.108
Chinook Jargon	<hachr>	'house'	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	tqúʔi	<i>idem</i>	Boas (1910:568)
Cowlitz	xáx	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
Upper Chehalis	xálta	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
łəwálməš	xás	<i>idem</i>	ISmk19780914.5
Upper Chehalis	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Chinook Jargon	<scal'la-been>	'rifle'	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<shuk-wa-lál-la>	'gun or musket'	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<Súlla 'ip'>	'rifle'	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	cíʔčín	'gun'	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	skəlapín	'rifle'	ELjh1942.18.49026
Chinook Jargon	<swaa'wa>	'panther'	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<e-kwái-ye-wa>	'cougar'	Gibbs (1863b)
	-iqačítak	'panther'	Boas (1910:614)
Upper Chehalis	swá-wa· swáʔwaʔ	'cougar' <i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991) (ibid.)
Cowlitz	swáwaʔ	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	gʷáʔa	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	swáwaʔ	<i>idem</i>	ELjh1942.17.441
Chinook Jargon	<arts'poe>	'flea'	Swan (1857)
Chinookan	<i-na-pu>	<i>idem</i>	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	máčín	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	máčílaʔ	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	kʷəšús	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	ʔácpuʔ	<i>idem</i>	ISmk19780913.85

²⁶This is a unique nativization of an existing CJ noun into łəwálməš by the addition of the łəwálməš nominalizing prefix *s-* (cf. Robertson 2014:123–124, CWDP 2012, s.v. *karapín*).

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>q̣aláp</i>	‘deep’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
Quinault	<i>híx^w</i>	<i>idem</i>	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>ł̣áp</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade et al. (2010)
Upper Chehalis	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	<i>idem</i>	Kinkade (2004)27
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>íáq^{hw}in</i>	‘lick’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-miql</i>	<i>idem</i>	Boas (1910:660)
Upper Chehalis	<i>íáq^wn</i>	‘lick (tr.)’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>íáq^wn</i>	‘lick, lap’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>íáqsʔaʔ</i>	‘to lick (off a dish)’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>íáq^wn</i>	‘to lick (with tongue)’	LHcs19670619.528

The remainder are certainly at least Tsamosan and, for multiple reasons, more plausibly from łəwálməš than from Upper Chehalis, Quinault, or Cowlitz. Of these, typically it is łəwálməš that provides the closest phonological and semantic match. It is also the language geographically closest to where each word was first documented – Grand Ronde, Oregon, in most cases. As shown in Table 13, minor differences of form from known łəwálməš words characterize several of these. (Phonological differences observed between the source and pidgin languages – such as *q~k* variability, attrition of labiality in stop codas, and addition or removal of glottality – are typical for the pidgin, as a glance through the etymologies in CWDP 2012 proves.)

²⁷A variant of the usual CJ form <*kli*p>/<*klep*> already etymologized by Kinkade et al. (2010).

Table 13 CJ < łəwálməš (probable, with slight mutations)

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>kwishən</i>	‘toast’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-kčkt</i>	‘roast’	Boas (1910:569)
	<i>-ləkč</i>	idem	Boas (1910:650)
Upper Chehalis	<i>caqáʔn</i>	‘stick for roasting’	Kinkade (1991)
	< <i>k' lk· l</i> >	‘when putting salmon on a roasting stick’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	< <i>tawa'shweyə</i> >	‘roasting stick’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>xʷásatm</i>	‘burning hair (of a seal) or roast’	Modrow ([1971])
	<i>qʷúlim</i>	‘roast by fire’	(ibid.)
	<i>čəlišstəq</i>	‘roast’	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>qʷəšyəps</i>	idem	LHcs19670619.574
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>pálaks</i>	‘penis’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
Cowlitz	<i>səxʷáymini</i>	‘his penis’	Kinkade (2004)
łəwálməš	<i>spólq</i>	idem	BCmk19670511.17
Upper Chehalis	<i>idem</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qóka</i>	‘choke’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-čikčik</i>	‘almost choked’	Boas (1910:632)
Upper Chehalis	<i>qəq-</i>	‘choke’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>mičlnali-</i>	idem	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>qiqłn</i>	‘choke, hang’	Kinkade (2004)
	<i>kʷukʷápsm</i>	‘choke, stick in the throat’	(ibid.)
Quinault	<i>qáq</i>	‘to choke’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>qəq</i>	‘choke (on s.t.)’	ISmk19781015.46
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>tláʔan[-]hæn</i>	‘deadbeat’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>qám</i>	‘lazy’	Boas (1910:632)
Upper Chehalis	<i>łá·ʔan-</i>	‘hunt’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>łá·ʔanm</i>	‘hunt (game), go hunting’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>łáčym</i>	‘to hunt’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>słáʔhnm</i>	‘they went out hunting’	ELjh1942.17.46 ²⁸

²⁸CWDP (2012) suggests that the element *-hæn* here may be from English ‘hand’, as if the CW word connoted ‘hunting for a handout’; compare the semantics of the first group of

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	<i>čiqšət</i>	‘brass, copper’	<i>ELjh1942.18.409</i>
Chinookan	<i>iqikás</i>	‘brass’	Boas (1910:613)
	<i>iwaʔúmi</i>	‘copper’	Boas (1910:616)
Upper Chehalis	< <i>qwe’qetstekan</i> >	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	< <i>tskwe’k^w</i> >	idem	Kinkade (2004)
łəwálməš	<i>čiq</i>	‘red’	ISmk19781014.63 ⁷
Quinault	<i>šámšət</i>	‘brass’	Modrow ([1971])
Chinook Jargon	<i>qiwčik^wt</i>	‘candle’	<i>ELjh1942.18.430</i>
Chinookan	?		
Cowlitz	<i>qéxamłtn</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>siwqtn</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>qíx^w</i>	‘lard (fat)’	NBcs19670405.87
	<i>čik^wt</i>	‘light’	NBcs19670512.293 ²⁹
Chinook Jargon	< <i>tsole-pat</i> >	‘shot-pouch’	<i>Gibbs (1863a)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>e-kwald</i> >	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
	< <i>o-bá-hwai</i> >	idem	(ibid.)
	<i>-maq</i>	‘the act of shooting’	Boas (1910:672)
Upper Chehalis	<i>siłtn</i>	‘bullet-bag’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>sił</i>	‘bullet, lead, arrow’	(ibid.)
	< <i>Nauwals</i> >	‘bullet, lead’	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>sił</i>	‘bullet, bullets, shell, shells, gunpowder’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>sé?ič</i>	‘shot (n.)’	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>čuláp</i>	‘round’	Kinkade et al. (2010) ³⁰

words in Table 11. For the simplification of coda clusters of nasal + obstruent, compare CW *win* ‘wind; breath’ (CWDP 2012).

²⁹The alternation *w>x^w* is not frequent in łəwálməš, but the semantics here exactly correspond with CJ’s widely documented *klis-p’áya* ‘candle’, literally ‘fat-fire/light’ (cf. CWDP 2012 s.v. *klis*).

³⁰Emma Luscier, in her 1942 reelicitations of Chinook Jargon with J.P. Harrington, did not recognize CJ *tsole-pat*, but volunteered łəwálməš *łənálsí* ‘bullet bag’. This word turns out to be an approximate synonym of the first, cf. Upper Chehalis *√łən* ‘receptacle’ (used as the first member in compounds), =*ál*(=)*s* ‘round things’, and =*tn* ‘INSTR’, thus ‘container for holding shot/bullets’. Supporting my interpretation of the first lexical suffix, Upper Chehalis has <*Nauwals*>, i.e. *√naw*=*ál*(=)*s*, ‘bullet’, where the root – unglossed by Kinkade – is cognate with łəwálməš *náw* ‘big’ (NBcs19670405.207). (Though its Upper Chehalis uses suggest a meaning ‘real; main part’, compare *s-√naw*=*ucn* ‘mouth of a man’, *√naw*=*áyis* ‘top of a tree; end of a road’ and *√naw*=*aps* ‘front of a door’, Kinkade 1991.) Employing the same main concepts as in *łənálsí* but in the reverse order, CJ *tsole-pat* is almost surely the łəwálməš morphs *čuláp* ‘round’ in a metaphorical meaning (otherwise

language	word	gloss	source
Chinook Jargon	<i>skáləmən</i>	‘sea otter’	CWDP (2012)
Chinookan	<i>-na(m)námuks</i>	‘otter’	Boas (1910:598, 655)
	<i>-láki</i>	‘sea-otter’	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	<i>sáxʷiyín</i>	‘river otter’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>pítkwł</i>	‘sea otter’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
	<i>skálmn</i>	‘otter’	(ibid.)
Upper Chehalis	<i>idem</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>łəkʷálaqi</i>	‘sea otter’	Modrow ([1971])
	<i>gʷásʔups</i>	‘land otter (long tail)’	(ibid.)
łəwálməš	<i>łəqʷáləq</i>	‘sea otter’	ELjh1942.17.400

4 And now, the best part – these pidgin data help us revitalize łəwálməš

Having opted in Section 2 to exclude quite a lot of the potential łəwálməš > CJ loans from consideration, and ignoring the 39 already established by Kinkade et al. (2010), we have still found the 41 additional items in Section 3 either definitely tracing to łəwálməš or more likely to it than to any Tsamosan congener. This is already an increase of a little more than 100% in the corpus. (It is probable that more will be discovered, like several in the present data set, unlabeled by the numerous CJ lexicographers as to a source language.) An interesting fact is that many of these represent the sole evidence for lexemes otherwise unknown to us in the somewhat limited documentation of łəwálməš.

To continue that theme, 21 more words have their closest known correspondents elsewhere in Tsamosan. But the trend of evidence so far shows that Salish loans in CJ whose sources are clear overwhelmingly trace to łəwálməš, and these 21 items are documented within the lower Columbia and Shoalwater region. On such grounds, we might infer that these data too (Table 14) represent likely łəwálməš material – meaning that we have potentially expanded the corpus of such loans into CJ by as much as 150%.

unattested) ‘round thing; shot, bullet, lead ball’ and =*t* ‘INSTRUMENT’. This structure in turn parallels its Upper Chehalis synonym *√síl-m* ‘bullet bag, hunter’s pouch’ (Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz *√síl* ‘bullet, arrow’, Kinkade 1991 and 2004).

Table 14 CJ < łəwálməš (likely, but indirect evidence)

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qáyʔwa</i>	‘crooked’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-lkik</i>	idem	Boas (1910:665)
Upper Chehalis	<i>qəyút</i>	‘crooked’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>qəlx^w-</i>	idem	(ibid.)
	<i>púyi-</i>	idem	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>čúyuk^w-</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>júq</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>qəmúyútnl</i>	‘trout (crooked-mouth)’	ISmk19781130.54
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>máq</i>	‘gulp’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
	<i>mək^hmək</i>	‘eat’	(ibid.)
Chinookan	<i>-wulʔ</i>	‘to swallow’	Boas (1910:660)
Upper Chehalis	<i>máq^w-</i>	‘swallow’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>máq^wm</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>núq^w</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>píʔns</i>	‘bake’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-ši</i>	‘to roast in ashes’	Boas (1910:662)
Upper Chehalis	<i>pənstq</i>	‘by the fire’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>pənc-</i>	~ ‘prepare (cook) camas’	Kinkade (2004) ³¹
Quinault	<i>čəlišstəq</i>	‘to bake in the oven or to roast’	Modrow ([1971])
	<i>klis</i>	‘to bake (in ashes)’	(ibid.)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>púʔ</i>	‘fart’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-ʔwəs^wəs</i>	‘breaking of wind’	Boas (1910:601)
Upper Chehalis	<i>pó-ʔ</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>póʔ</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)

³¹Camas is precisely the usual object of *píʔns*, baking in ashes, in Victoria Howard’s narrations (cf. text 13.4 in Jacobs 1936). The root seems to descend from PS **pə́n* ‘besides, parallel, straight’ (Kuipers 2002), in a distinctly Tsamosan sense ‘beside the fire’.

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>snás</i>	‘rain’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	< <i>slél-hutst</i> >	idem	Gibbs (1863b)
	<i>məǎ́</i>	‘wet’	Boas (1910:633)
Upper Chehalis	<i>snús</i>	‘moist, moisture’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>ʰasíls</i>	‘rain’	(ibid.)
	<i>sáḱʷ</i>	idem	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>sáxʷa-</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
	<i>ʰasílʔ</i>	idem	(ibid.)
Quinault	<i>čájəkʷ</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
łəwálməš	<i>túls</i>	‘to rain’	LHcs19670619.196 ³²
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>tsíkʰwən</i>	‘to pinch’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-łkúp</i>	‘being squeezed out (one-eyed)’	Boas (1910:599)
Upper Chehalis	<i>číkʷn</i>	‘pinch’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>čayəpn</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>míci</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qʰəstəkʷ</i>	‘balm’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>qəstkʷ</i>	‘mint’	Kinkade (1991)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>ʰaxáʔ</i>	‘sacred’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-káwək</i>	‘shaman’s guardian spirit’	Boas (1910:601)
Upper Chehalis	<i>ʰaxáʔ</i>	‘sacred, taboo, forbidden, holy’	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>ʰaxíʔt</i>	‘holy’	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>yáxan</i>	‘judge’	<i>CWDP (2012)</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>yaxən</i>	‘make a choice, pick out, sort’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>yəxn</i>	‘make a choice; sort’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>čácisxʷu</i>	‘to judge’	Modrow ([1971])
	<i>jáx</i>	‘choose’	(ibid.)

³² The Salish languages north of Upper Chehalis give this root a different sense ‘greasy’, but match CJ in having the vowel /a/ or /ə/ in it (compare PS **nu/a.s* ~ ‘greasy; wet’ (Kuipers 2002). One can wonder whether this implies a source for this old CJ word in (a) a Tsamosan variety bordering right on the *nas* ‘greasy’ / *nus* ‘wet’ isogloss or (b) Tillamook, little data on which was available to me as I wrote this study. (No Ti cognate was found in searches of Anderson and Harrison 2012.)

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qʷíç</i>	‘hook’	<i>ELjh1942.18.394</i>
Chinookan	<i>-kik</i>	idem	Boas (1910:601)
Upper Chehalis	<i>qét’</i>	‘fishhook’	Kinkade (1991)
	<i>xé·n̓s</i>	idem	(ibid.)
	<i>likʷn</i>	idem	(ibid.)
Cowlitz	<i>yasán</i>	‘hook, net’	Kinkade (2004)
	<i>qétám̓t̓n̓</i>	‘a hook, bait’	(ibid.)
	<i>xón̓s</i>	‘fishhook’	(ibid.)
	< <i>ai’kam̓l̓t̓n̓</i> >	idem	(ibid.)
Quinault	<i>qʷíç</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
	<i>kʷíʔc</i>	‘hook’	(ibid.)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>ʔúʔcəč̓</i>	‘bracelet’	<i>ELjh1942.18.408</i>
Chinookan	< <i>klik’-wal-li</i> >	idem of brass wire	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<i>ʔó·čáç̓a</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>sáwč̓</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
	<i>támákam̓n</i>	‘bracelet, ring’	(ibid.)
Quinault	<i>ʔuʔcəč̓əs</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>ł̓əç̓íç̓əx̓</i>	‘saw’ (<i>n.</i>)	<i>ELjh1942.18.422</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>ł̓íł̓íç̓x̓n̓</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>ł̓íç̓íç̓əx̓</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
	<i>kʷáyał̓i</i>	idem	(ibid.)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>qʷátš̓</i>	‘trap’	<i>ELjh1942.18.425</i>
Chinookan	<i>-qšil</i>	‘fish-trap’	Boas (1910:601)
Upper Chehalis	<i>qʷátš̓</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>idem</i>	idem	Modrow [1971])
Cowlitz	<i>qʷátx̓ʷ</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>səç̓səç̓t̓(?)</i>	‘fork’	<i>ELjh1942.18.426</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>səç̓m̓t̓n̓</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>səç̓ám̓n</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>səç̓səç̓t̓n̓</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>íəʔqíʔ</i>	‘belt’	<i>ELjh1942.18.428</i>
Chinookan	<i>-k^wima</i>	idem	Boas (1910:604)
Upper Chehalis	<i>íəqíx^w</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>ííqí</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>q̣əyáćq̣əyəx</i>	‘chain’	<i>ELjh1942.18.432</i>
Chinookan	?		
Upper Chehalis	<i>q̣áyćíś</i>	‘braid of hair’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>stək^wiʔ</i>	‘braid (n.)’	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>čanáqa</i>	‘to braid (hair)’	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<i>caʔətx^w</i>	‘roof (with shingles)’	<i>ELjh1942.18.435</i>
Chinookan	<é-pukt>	‘roof tree’	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<i>ṣḷúć^waʔx^w</i>	‘roof’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>xáltx^w</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>cáʔatx^w</i>	‘shingles’	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<kush ⁻ is>	‘stockings’	<i>Gibbs (1863a)</i>
Chinookan	<ta-kétl-pa>	‘shoes’	Gibbs (1863b)
Upper Chehalis	<i>q^wúpšn</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	idem	Kinkade (2004)
Quinault	<i>sálsn</i>	‘shoe’	Modrow ([1971])
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<kwetlh>	‘proud’	<i>Gibbs (1863a)</i>
Chinookan	<i>yuḷl</i>	idem	Boas (1910:616)
ləwálməš	<i>múʔḷl</i>	idem	ISmk19781128.41
Quinault	<i>idem</i>	idem	Modrow [1971])
Upper Chehalis	<i>cépt</i>	‘proud, feel happy’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	<i>idem</i>	‘proud, stuck-up’	Kinkade (2004)
PS	<i>*q̣ax^w</i>	‘stiffen, harden, freeze’	(Kuipers 2002)
PIS	<i>*q̣əx^w</i>	‘proud’	(ibid.) ³³
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	<qui ⁻ cer>	‘porpoise’	<i>Swan (1857)</i>
Chinookan	<i>-kućkuć</i>	idem	Boas (1910:599)
Upper Chehalis	<i>q^wsiyuʔ</i>	idem	Kinkade (1991)
Quinault	<i>qáʔḷnuʔ</i>	idem	Modrow ([1971])

³³In the ləwálməš, Quinault, Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz forms, (ə)ḷ is a suffix (analyzed as ‘intensifier’ for ləwálməš by Robertson 2014). The PS root is found in Upper Chehalis meaning ‘freeze’ (Kinkade 1991). The PIS root – perhaps its descendant – is of course not known in the literature on Coast Salish, but its form and semantics support a hypothetical ləwálməš **q̣(ə)x^w-(ə)ḷ* ‘proud’. See also the footnote at *youtl* in Section 2.2.

language	word	gloss	source
<i>Chinook Jargon</i>	< <i>ska-kairk</i> >	‘hawk’	<i>Swan (1857)</i>
Chinookan	<i>šnqitqít</i>	idem	Boas (1910:602)
	<i>-ííí</i>	idem	Boas (1910:598)
	<i>-’lčap</i>	‘fish-hawk’	(<i>ibid.</i>)
	<i>-’npič</i>	‘chicken-hawk’	(<i>ibid.</i>)
Upper Chehalis	<i>sxʷayát</i>	‘hawk’	Kinkade (1991)
Cowlitz	< <i>swai’a’tok</i> >	‘chicken hawk’	Kinkade (2004)
łəwálməš	<i>sxʷiyát</i>	idem	ELjh1942.17.381 ³⁴

The data in this table set up the unusual situation of a pidgin language playing an instrumental role in revitalizing its lexifier. This involves a novel sense of the well-established concept of “pidgins (and creoles) in education”; in creolistics, that label implies conducting formal education in the contact-generated language (cf. Migge et al. 2010, Nero 2012).

The idea at hand should be distinguished as well from the novel proposal to remedy loss of agglutinative/polysynthetic Aboriginal languages by, in effect, teaching only lexical items of the ancestral language and using them in a new, analytical, “pidgin” syntax. That is not an outrageous proposal – Powell has suggested such an approach for Quileute (1973), as have Goodfellow and Alfred for Kwak’wala (2002), and the Aboriginal community of Tasmania, Australia has put this strategy into effect with ‘Palawa Kani’, cf. Crowley (2007:3–5). But our revitalization program operates from the quite different assumption that we will be able to reconstruct łəwálməš morphosyntax. (Robertson 2014 is a first progress report.)

Instead, what Chinook Jargon enables us to do is to start filling gaps in the documentary record of łəwálməš, which is reasonably good but finite since this Salish language has had no native speakers in decades. As we move into the next step of morphologically analyzing the łəwálməš words now collected from CJ, we will have quite a useful resource at hand when it comes to decisions about strengthening the vocabulary of łəwálməš for talking about daily life and the local environment. When there is no known łəwálməš expression for a concept, there will be cases where we can still consider CJ words that are obviously from this language, or those that we feel have a probability of representing the old speech, before being forced into raw coinages, calques on other Tsamosan, or loans. (Given the frequency of mutual borrowing, we can expect that both łəwálməš and Chinook Jargon will in turn provide the same service in any restoration of Shoalwater Lower Chinook.)

The field work done by Dale Kinkade and his student Charles Snow provide

³⁴łəwálməš speakers agree that this is the only word for ‘hawk’ that they have. No Salish or Chinookan comparanda have been found, but the CJ word’s form better matches Salish than other languages: it looks like a typical noun with *s-* NOM and a root in the form either CVCVC or CVC with commonplace reduplication.

a great deal of the crucial data that these discoveries are based on. Their research is an irreplaceable foundation for our present efforts under the Lower Chehalis Language Project to ‘repatriate’ and revitalize łəwálməš. Borrowing one of the tribal elders’ fine words in the language, we raise our hands in appreciation and say “ḫásqəʔ” – thanks!

References

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. 2010. *Imperatives and commands*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. (Oxford Studies in Typology and Linguistic Theory.)
- Anderson, Gregory D.S. and K. David Harrison. 2012. *Tillamook Talking Dictionary*. Living Tongues Institute for Endangered Languages. <http://www.talkingdictionary.org/tillamook>, accessed May 27, 2015.
- Bates, Dawn, Thom Hess, and Vi Hilbert. 1994. *Lushootseed dictionary*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Beaumont, Ronald. 2011. *Sechelt dictionary*. Sechelt, BC: Sechelt Indian Band.
- Boas, Franz. 1892. The Chinook Jargon. *Science* 19 (474):129.
- Boas, Franz. 1910. *Chinook: An illustrative sketch*. Washington: Government Printing Office.
- Chinuk Wawa Dictionary Project. 2012. Chinuk Wawa: Kakwa nsayka ulmantilixam łaska munk-kəmtəks nsayka / As our elders teach us to speak it. Grand Ronde, OR: Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde.
- Cooper, J.G. 1854. [Lower Chehalis vocabulary.] MS 730, National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution.
- Cox, Ross. 1832. *Adventures on the Columbia River*. New York: J&J Harper.
- Crowley, Terry. 2007. *Field linguistics: A beginner’s guide*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Drechsel, Emanuel J. 2014. Language contact in the early colonial Pacific: Maritime Polynesian Pidgin before English. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Eells, Myron. 1894. The Chinook Jargon. *American Anthropologist* 7(3):300–312.
- Elmendorf, William. 1951. Word taboo and lexical change in Coast Salish. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 17 (4):205–208.
- Gibbs, George. 1863a. A dictionary of the Chinook Jargon, or, trade language of Oregon. New York: Cramoisy Press.
- Gibbs, George. 1863b. *Alphabetical vocabulary of the Chinook language*. New York: Cramoisy Press.
- Gill, John Kaye. 1909. *Gill’s dictionary of the Chinook Jargon: Fifteenth edition*. Portland, OR: J.K. Gill Company.

- Goodfellow, Anne and Pauline Alfred. 2002. Maintaining indigenous languages in North America: What can we learn from studies of pidgins and creoles? *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Stabilizing Indigenous Languages* (7th, Toronto, ON, May 11-14, 2000): 21–218.
- Hajda, Yvonne. 1990. Southwestern coast Salish. Pp. 502–517 in Suttles, Wayne (ed.).
- Hale, Horatio. 1846. *Ethnography and philology*. Vol. 6 of United States Exploring Expedition during the years 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842. Philadelphia: Lea and Blanchard.
- Harrington, John P. 1942. Field notes on Lower Chehalis, Cowlitz et al. Microfilm reels 17 and 18. National Anthropological Archives.
- Jacobs, Melville. 1936. *Texts in Chinook Jargon*. Seattle: University of Washington.
- Jahr, Ernst Håkon. 1996. On the pidgin status of Russenorsk. In Jahr, Ernst Håkon and Ingvild Broch (eds.), *Language contact in the Arctic: Northern pidgins and contact languages*, pp. 107–122. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. (Trends in Linguistics. Studies and Monographs; 88.)
- Johnson, Samuel V. 1978. Chinook Jargon: A computer assisted analysis of variation in an American Indian pidgin. PhD dissertation, University of Kansas.
- Kinkade, M. Dale. 1979. Preliminary notes on Lower Chehalis (łəwálməš) morphology. Papers of the fourteenth International Conference on Salish and Neighboring Languages.
- Kinkade, M. Dale. 1991. *Upper Chehalis dictionary*. Missoula, MT: University of Montana. (University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics No. 7.)
- Kinkade, M. Dale. 2004. *Cowlitz dictionary and grammatical sketch*. Missoula, MT: University of Montana. (University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics No. 18.)
- Kinkade, M. Dale. [n.d.]. Notes on early vocabulary of Lower Chehalis [card file]. M. Dale Kinkade Papers box 2, University of Washington Special Collections.
- Kinkade, M. Dale, Jay Powell and William Seaburg. 2010. And now, finally, the last word on the Lower Chehalis loanwords into Chinook Jargon. Pp. 197–203 of Beck, David (ed.), *A Festschrift for Thomas M. Hess on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*. Bellingham, WA: Whatcom Museum. (Whatcom Museum Publications No. 21.)
- Kroeber, Paul D. 1999. *The Salish language family: Reconstructing syntax*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press. (Studies in the Anthropology of North American Indians Series.)
- Kuipers, Aert. 2002. *Salish etymological dictionary*. Missoula, MT: University of Montana. (University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics No. 16.)

- Lee, Daniel and Joseph H. Frost. 1846. *Ten years in Oregon*. New York: J. Collard.
- Le Jeune, J.M.R. 1924. *Chinook rudiments*. [Kamloops, BC]: [n.p.].
- Lionnet, Père. 1853. *Vocabulary of the jargon or trade language of Oregon*. [Washington]: [Smithsonian Institution].
- Meares, John. 1791. *Voyages made in the years 1788 and 1789, from China to the N.W. coast of America*. London: Sold by J. Walter.
- Migge, Bettina, Isabelle Léglise and Angela Bartens (eds.). 2010. *Creoles in education: An appraisal of current programs and projects*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (Creole Language Library, volume 36.)
- Modrow, Ruth. [1971.] *The Quinault [sic] dictionary*. [Taholah, WA]: [Quinault Indian Tribe].
- Montler, Timothy. 2012. *Klallam dictionary*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Nero, Shondel (ed.). 2012. *Dialects, Englishes, creoles, and education*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum and Associates. (ESL & Applied Linguistics Professional Series.)
- Oxford Dictionary of English*. 2010. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Palmer, Joel. 1838. *Journal of travels over the Rocky Mountains*. Cincinnati: J.A. and U.P. James.
- Powell, Jay V. 1973. Raising pidgins for fun and profit: A new departure in language teaching. *Proceedings of the Pacific Northwest Conference on Foreign Languages* 17:40–43.
- Powell, Jay V. and Andrew Callicum 1991. *Our world – our ways: T'a'ataaqsapa cultural dictionary*. [n.p.]: Nuuchahnulth Tribal Council.
- Powell, Jay V. and Fred Woodruff. 1976. *Quileute dictionary*. [Moscow]: [University of Idaho]. (Northwest Anthropological Research Notes 10 (1) part 2; Northwest Anthropological Research Notes memoir no. 3.)
- Rath, John. 1981. *A practical Heiltsuk-English dictionary with a grammatical introduction*. Ottawa: National Museum of Canada. (Canadian Ethnology Service Paper no. 75.)
- Robertson, David. 2011. *Kamloops Chinuk Wawa, Chinuk pipa, and the vitality of pidgins*. PhD dissertation, University of Victoria.
- Robertson, David. 2014. łəwálməš (Lower Chehalis) morphosyntax. In Natalie Weber, Emily Sadlier-Brown, and Erin Guntly (eds.), *Papers for the International Conference on Salish and Neighboring Languages* 49, pp. 89–153. (University of British Columbia Working Papers in Linguistics 37.)
- Scouler, John. 1841. Observations on the indigenous tribes of the N.W. Coast of America. *Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of London* 11:215–251.

- Snow, Charles. 1969. *A Lower Chehalis phonology*. MA thesis, University of Kansas.
- Sun, June C.C. 2003. The grammaticalization and lexicalization of the pragmatic marker *gei wo* in Mandarin. *LACUS Forum* XXIX:349.
- Suttles, Wayne (ed.). 1990. *Northwest Coast*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution. (Handbook of North American Indians, volume 7.)
- Suttles, Wayne and Barbara Lane. 1990. Southern Coast Salish. Pp. 485–502 in Suttles (ed.).
- Swan, James G. 1857. *The northwest coast: Or, three years' residence in Washington Territory*. New York: Harper.
- Swanton, John R. 1900. Morphology of the Chinook verb. *American Anthropologist* 2 (2):199–237.
- Tolmie, William Fraser and George Dawson. 1884. *Comparative vocabularies of the Indian tribes of British Columbia with a map illustrating distribution*. Montreal: Dawson Bros.