

## Halkomelem denominal verbs<sup>1</sup>

Donna B. Gerds and Thomas E. Hukari  
Simon Fraser University and University of Victoria

Halkomelem has four denominal verb prefixes: *c-* 'have, get, make, do', *ɫ-* 'ingest, partake', *txʷ-* 'buy', *ʃ-* 'go to'. These prefixes attach to nominal bases to form intransitive verbs. The noun to which the prefix attaches is usually unspecified, generic, or non-individuated and can be doubled with a free-standing nominal of more specific meaning. Syntactically, this nominal is an oblique object, parallel to patients of antipassive or applicative constructions. Denominal verb constructions are widely used, especially for denoting possession. As in the case of denominal verbs in other languages, they can be formed quite freely, as long as the situation allows for an interpretation.

### 1 Denominal verbs

Some intransitive verbs in Halkomelem are composed of a noun base, such as *stiqiw* 'horse', *ʃxʷimel* 'store', or *sqewθ* 'potato', together with a verbalizing prefix.<sup>2</sup> These forms appear in a denominal verb construction, where the derived form serves as an intransitive verb.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> We would like to express our appreciation to the speakers of Island Halkomelem who have provide data for this paper, especially Arnold Guerin, Ruby Peter, and Theresa Thorne. We appreciate editorial assistance from Kaoru Kiyosawa, Todd Peterson, and Charles Ulrich. Thanks to audiences at BLS, CLA, and WSCLA for comments on earlier versions of this paper. Funding for our research comes from a Jacobs Fund Grant and SSHRC Standard Research Grants # 410-2001-1335 and #410-96-1247.

<sup>2</sup> The nominal prefix *s-* disappears after *c-* and *ɫ-* but not after *txʷ-* and *ʃ-*. Thus we conclude that a phonological rule of cluster simplification is at work in the former cases rather than a morphological restriction that requires the base to be a root.

<sup>3</sup> Abbreviations used in this paper are: AUX: auxiliary, CONT: continuative (imperfective), CS: causative, DAT: dative applicative suffix, DIM: diminutive, DT: determiner, EMPH: emphatic, ERG: ergative, FUT: future, LC: limited control, LNK: linker, NM: nominalizer, OBJ: object suffix, OBL: oblique, PAS: passive object suffix, PRO: pronoun, PL: plural, POS: possessive, Q= question particle, REF: reflexive, SSUB: subordinate subject, STA: stative, SUB subject, SUP: suppositive, TR: transitive, VBL: verbalizer.

(1) ʔi ʔə yəx<sup>w</sup> ʔəw̄ c-tiqiw k<sup>w</sup>θəñ səlsi:lə?  
 AUX Q SUP LNK VBL-horse DT:2POS grandparent(PL)  
 'Do you suppose your grandparents still have horses?'

(2) niʔ nem̄ ʃ-šx<sup>w</sup>imelə c-qewθ k<sup>w</sup>θəñ men.  
 AUX go VBL-store VBL-potato DT:2POS father  
 'Your father went to the store to get potatoes.'

There are four verbalizing prefixes in Halkomelem.<sup>4</sup>

(3) c- 'have, get, make, do'

k <sup>w</sup> əmləx <sup>w</sup>	'root'	c-k <sup>w</sup> əmləx <sup>w</sup>	'get roots'
s-taləs	'spouse'	c-taləs	'get a spouse'
s-ǫ <sup>w</sup> q <sup>w</sup> əm	'axe'	c-ǫ <sup>w</sup> q <sup>w</sup> əm	'have an axe'
telə	'money'	c-tetələ	'earning money'
s-wetə	'sweater'	c-wewətə	'making a sweater'
put	'boat'	c-pupət	'making a boat'
s-nəx <sup>w</sup> əʔ	'canoe'	c-həñx <sup>w</sup> əʔ	'making a canoe'

(4) ʔ- 'ingest, partake'

səplil	'bread'	ʔ-səplil	'eat bread'
ʃik <sup>w</sup> əñ	'peas'	ʔ-ʃik <sup>w</sup> əñ	'eat peas'
s-ce:ʔtən	'salmon'	ʔ-cecələʔtən	'eating salmon'
s-məyəθ	'deer, meat'	ʔ-həmyəθ	'eating venison'

(5) tx<sup>w</sup>- 'buy'

leləm̄	'house'	tx <sup>w</sup> -leləm̄	'buy a house'
səplil	'bread'	tx <sup>w</sup> -səplil	'buy bread'
šuk <sup>w</sup>	'sugar'	tx <sup>w</sup> -šuk <sup>w</sup>	'buy sugar'
s-paʃəm̄	'smoke (n.)'	tx <sup>w</sup> -spaʃəm̄	'buy cigarettes'

(6) ʃ- 'go to'

tawən	'town'	ʃ-tawən	'go to town'
nečəwtx <sup>w</sup>	'neighbor'	ʃ-nečəwtx <sup>w</sup>	'go to a neighbor's', 'visit'
haps	'hops'	ʃ-haps	'go to the hops field'
mətuliye	'Victoria'	ʃ-mətuliyeʔ	'go to Victoria'
sʔamənaʔ	'Somenos'	ʃ-sʔamənaʔ	'go to Somenos'
šx <sup>w</sup> imelə	'store'	ʃ-šx <sup>w</sup> i:melə	'going to the store', 'going shopping'

<sup>4</sup> Other Salish languages have denominal verb prefixes, as discussed in Gerds and Hukari (2002). See also Kroeber (1999:12) on suffixes meaning 'have' in various languages.

The first of these prefixes *c-* is used quite productively, as there is no free-standing verb for 'have' in Halkomelem. The other prefixes are heard quite commonly, except for *tx<sup>w</sup>-* 'buy', which seems to have declined in use recently.

The verbalizing prefixes can attach to nouns of all sorts. These include nouns of native origin (7) and borrowed words (8):

(7) Native words:

<i>leleləm</i>	'house'	<i>c-leleləm</i>	'build a house'
<i>spəʔləm</i>	'smoke'	<i>l-pəʔləm</i>	'smoke a cigarette, pipe'

(8) Borrowed words:

<i>put</i>	'boat'	<i>c-put</i>	'make a boat'
<i>kapi</i>	'coffee'	<i>l-kapi</i>	'drink coffee'

The nouns can be plural (9) or diminutive (10), and they can contain lexical suffixes (11):

(9) Plurals:

a.	<i>snəx<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'canoe'	<i>c-nəx<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'make, have a canoe'
	<i>sənix<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'canoes'	<i>c-ənix<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'make, have canoes'
b.	<i>ʔiməθ</i>	'grandchild'	<i>c-ʔiməθ</i>	'have a grandchild'
	<i>ʔəmiməθ</i>	'grandchildren'	<i>c-ʔəmiməθ</i>	'have grandchildren'
c.	<i>qeɔ</i>	'baby'	<i>c-qeɔ</i>	'have a baby'
	<i>qəleʔəq</i>	'babies'	<i>c-qəleʔəq</i>	'have babies'

(10) Diminutives:

<i>silə</i>	'grandparent'	<i>c-silə</i>	'have a grandparent'
<i>sisələ</i>	'little grandparent'	<i>c-sisələ</i>	'have a little grandparent'
<i>mənə</i>	'son/daughter'	<i>c-mənə</i>	'have a son/daughter'
<i>miḿneʔ</i>	'little son/daughter'	<i>c-miḿneʔ</i>	'have a little son/daughter'
<i>snəx<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'canoe/car'	<i>c-nəx<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'have a canoe/car'
<i>snix<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'little canoe/car'	<i>c-nix<sup>w</sup>əl</i>	'have a little canoe/car'

(11) Nouns with lexical suffixes:

<i>s-čq<sup>w</sup>-ənə</i>	'earring'	<i>c-čq<sup>w</sup>ənə</i>	'have an earring'
(NM-pierce-ear)			
<i>pəθ-šə-tən</i>	'mat'	<i>c-pəθšətən</i>	'have/make mats'
(spread-foot-instr)			
<i>q<sup>w</sup>tey<sup>ʔ</sup>-šən</i>	'shoe'	<i>tx<sup>w</sup>-q<sup>w</sup>tey<sup>ʔ</sup>šən</i>	'buy shoes'
(log-foot)			

<sup>5</sup> In fact we see some cases below with code-switching: the base is actually an English noun phrase in (69) and an English noun in (70).

Thus, all sorts of nouns can serve as bases for denominal verbs. Note that verbs generally do not serve as bases for denominal verbs.

- |      |  |                 |   |                                      |
|------|--|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| (12) | qíq̣   | 'be tied up'    | *c-qíq̣   | ('get tied up',<br>'make an arrest') |
|      | q̣ <sup>w</sup> aq̣ <sup>w</sup> əq <sup>w</sup> | 'being clubbed' | *c-q̣ <sup>w</sup> aq̣ <sup>w</sup> əq <sup>w</sup> | ('do clubbing')                      |

However, we know of one verb root  $\sqrt{k}^wən$  'get taken' that forms a denominal verb *c-k<sup>w</sup>ən* 'make a grab' (big house term). Furthermore, some roots designating psychological or cognitive events may occur with *c-*, for example: *c-haq<sup>w</sup>* 'smell, catch a whiff' (cf. *haq<sup>w</sup>-əm* 'smell') and *c-lem* 'look, catch a glimpse' (cf. *lem-ət* 'look at it'). The categorial status of such roots is unclear, and thus they do not straightforwardly contradict the claim that denominal verb prefixes attach to nouns.

In fact, modified nouns can form denominal verbs. In this case the prefix appears on the first word of the phrase, i.e. the adjective.<sup>6</sup>

- |      |                                    |      |      |                      |                       |
|------|------------------------------------|------|------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| (13) | ʔi:                                | č    | ʔəw̄ | c-pəq̣               | ləmətulqən?           |
|      | AUX:Q                              | 2SUB | LNK  | VBL-white            | wool                  |
|      | 'Do you have any white wool?'      |      |      |                      |                       |
| (14) | ʔi:                                | č    | ʔəw̄ | c-čəỵx <sup>w</sup> | sce:ltən?             |
|      | AUX:Q                              | 2SUB | LNK  | VBL-dry              | salmon                |
|      | 'Do you have any dried fish?'      |      |      |                      |                       |
| (15) | ʔi:                                | č    | ʔəw̄ | c-č̣eqt              | č̣ <sup>w</sup> iləm? |
|      | AUX:Q                              | 2SUB | LNK  | VBL-long             | rope                  |
|      | 'Do you have a long rope?'         |      |      |                      |                       |
| (16) | ʔi:                                | č    | ʔəw̄ | c-č̣ew's             | səqiws?               |
|      | AUX:Q                              | 2SUB | LNK  | VBL-new              | pants                 |
|      | 'Do you have a new pair of pants?' |      |      |                      |                       |

The prefix does not appear on the noun.

- |      |                                |    |      |      |       |             |
|------|--------------------------------|----|------|------|-------|-------------|
| (17) | *ʔi                            | ʔə | č    | ʔəw̄ | pəq̣  | c-swetə?    |
|      | AUX                            | Q  | 2SUB | LNK  | white | VBL-sweater |
|      | 'Do you have a white sweater?' |    |      |      |       |             |

Phrases with multiple adjectives are possible, with the prefix on the leftmost adjective.

<sup>6</sup> Most of our examples of phrases serving as bases for denominal verbs involve the prefix *c-*, which is the most common of the prefixes. For an example with *tx<sup>w</sup>*. see (59) below.

- (18) ʔi ʔə č ʔəw̃ c-ḥəq̃ swetə?  
 AUX Q 2SUB LNK VBL-white sweater  
 'Do you have a white sweater?'
- (19) ʔi ʔə č ʔəw̃ c-ḥet ḥəq̃ swetə?  
 AUX Q 2SUB LNK VBL-thick white sweater  
 'Do you have a thick, white sweater?'
- (20) ʔi ʔə č ʔəw̃ c-ḥəws ḥet ḥəq̃ swetə?  
 AUX Q 2SUB LNK VBL-new thick white sweater  
 'Do you have a new, thick, white sweater?'
- (21) \*ʔi ʔə č ʔəw̃ ḥet c-ḥəq̃ swetə?  
 AUX Q 2SUB LNK thick VBL-white sweater  
 'Do you have a thick, white sweater?'

Such data show that it might be more appropriate to think of the deverbal forms as left-edge clitics rather than prefixes.

The form resulting from the addition of the prefix is clearly a verb. Among other things, we see that denominal verbs can appear in the continuative (imperfective) aspect typical of verbs, as seen by the forms in the third column of the following example.

- (22) a. put c-put c-pupət  
 'boat' 'make a boat' 'making a boat'
- b. telə c-telə c-tetələ  
 'money' 'have/get money' 'earning money'
- c. s-wetə c-wetə c-wewətə  
 'sweater' 'have/get a sweater' 'making a sweater'
- d. s-nəxʷət c-nəxʷət c-hənxʷət  
 'canoe' 'have/make a canoe' 'making a canoe'

Further evidence for the verbal status of the denominal verb is given in the following section.

## 2 The syntax of denominal verbs

The placement of clitics shows that denominal verbs behave like a single word. Sentential clitics, for example the question particle and the subject clitics, appear in second position in Halkomelem, after the first word.

- (23) ʔi ʔə č c-nəxʷət?  
 AUX Q 2SUB VBL-canoe  
 'Do you have a canoe?'

That such clitics appear after the denominal verb gives evidence that it constitutes a single word:

- (24) c-nəx<sup>w</sup>ət      ʔə      č      ceʔ?  
 VBL-canoes      Q      2SUB      FUT  
 'Will you make a canoe?'
- (25) \*c-ʔə      č      ceʔ      (s)nəx<sup>w</sup>ət?  
 VBL-Q      2SUB      FUT      canoe  
 'Will you make a canoe?'

When used in embedded clauses, denominal verbs host subordinate subject suffixes:

- (26) ʔewe:č      ɪ-ti-əx<sup>w</sup>?  
 not:Q:2SUB      VBL-tea-2SSUB  
 'Won't you take tea?'
- (27) qəl-stəx<sup>w</sup>-əs      k<sup>w</sup>-s      ʔ-tawən-s.  
 bad-CS-3ERG      DT-NM      VBL-town-3SSUB  
 'He doesn't want to go to town.'

Furthermore, denominal verbs can serve as bases for the causative suffix:

- (28) niʔ      c-ʔeleʔ-stəx<sup>w</sup>-əs      t<sup>ə</sup>ə      šəšiyət-s.  
 AUX      VBL-heart-CS-3ERG      DT      elder.sibling(PL)-3POS  
 'He made hearts for his older brothers.'
- (29) nem      c-čəltən-stamš!  
 go      VBL-pencil-CS:1OBJ  
 'Go get me a pencil!'
- (30) niʔ      tx<sup>w</sup>-səplil-stəx<sup>w</sup>-əs      ɪə      stəniʔ      k<sup>w</sup>ə      meməŋə-s.  
 AUX      VBL-bread-CS-3ERG      DT      woman      DT      child(PL)-3POS  
 'The woman bought bread for her children.'

As discussed in Gerdtz (1988) the ability to take the causative suffix is generally a property of intransitive verbs of the unergative class.<sup>7</sup> Note that the causative suffix when added to a denominal verb yields a form with benefactive semantics. Also, the limited control reflexive *-namət* can be attached to a

<sup>7</sup> Nouns can also serve as bases for the causative, although with very different semantics, meaning 'make into N':

- (i) x<sup>w</sup>iʔ      stəniʔ-st-əm      k<sup>w</sup>səs      s-ʔiʔ<sup>ə</sup>əm      t<sup>ə</sup>ə      swəyqeʔ-all.  
 now      woman-CS-PAS      DET:N:3SSUB      NM-dress(STA)      DT      man-young  
 'They have the boy dressed as a girl.'

denominal verb yielding the meaning ‘manage to’, as typical of intransitive verbs of the unergative class (Gerdts 1991, Gerdts and Hukari 1998, 2000):

(31)    ʔəwə    kʷənəs            c-yays-namət.  
           not        DT:1POS:NM        VBL-work-LC.REF  
           ‘I couldn’t manage to find a job.’

(32)    təs        ʔə        kʷθə        šxʷ-yəšʷ-s        tʰə        s-qʷsejən,  
           arrive    OBL     DT        NM-open-3POS     DT        NM-gillnet  
           yeɪ-ʔəs        ʔeʔ        təw        c-telə-namət.  
           before-3SSUB    again    rather    VBL-money-LC.REF

‘When the salmon gillnet season opened, you made a few dollars.’

This construction also works with noun phrases, as seen in example (34), in which case the suffix appears, like the verbalizing prefix, on the adjective.

(33)    niʔ        ʔə        č        c-wetə-namətʔ  
           AUX    Q        2SUB    VBL-sweater-LC.REF  
           ‘Did you manage to get a sweater?’

(34)    niʔ        ʔə        č        c-pəq-namət        swetəʔ  
           AUX    Q        2SUB    VBL-white-LC.REF    sweater  
           ‘Did you manage to get a white sweater?’

Halkomelem in general allows verb serialization (or verb chains) and it is also possible to have more than one denominal verb in a row, as in (2) above or the following example:

(35)    niɪ        tʰə        sɬənɬeniʔ    c-weʔ        c-yays        kʷ-s  
           3-PRO    DT        woman(PL)    VBL-own    VBL-work    DT-NM  
           neɪm-s    ʔəlɬe:m    ʔə        tʰə        spe:nxʷ.  
           go-3POS    collect    OBL     DT        camas

‘It’s the ladies who have the job of collecting camas.’

In sum, the positional evidence shows that denominal verbs are intransitive. Furthermore, the derivational evidence shows that they are unergative verbs and thus take an agent for the subject.

### 3        The syntax of denominal verb constructions

Evidence for the surface intransitivity of denominal verb constructions comes from transitive marking and ergative agreement. Transitive clauses such as (36) exhibit both of these phenomena, but denominal verb constructions (37) do not:

- (36) ni? ʔiləqə-t-əs kʷθə swəy̯qeʔ kʷθə ka:  
 AUX buy-TR-3ERG DT man DT car  
 'The man bought a car.'
- (37) ni? txʷ-ka: kʷθə swəy̯qeʔ.  
 AUX VBL-car DT man  
 'The man bought a car.'
- (38) \*ni? txʷ-ka:-t-əs.  
 AUX VBL-car-TR-3ERG  
 'He bought a/the car.'
- (39) \*ni? txʷ-ka:(-t) kʷθə ka:  
 AUX VBL-car(-TR) DT car  
 'He bought a/the car.'

Furthermore, as discussed in Gerdts (1988), many Island Halkomelem speakers do not allow proper nouns to be subjects of transitive clauses (40), but proper nouns are allowed as subjects of denominal verb constructions (41), providing evidence that these are absolutes and not ergatives in the surface syntax.

- (40) \*ni? ʔiləqə-t-əs kʷθə John kʷθə ka:  
 AUX buy-TR-3ERG DT John DT car  
 'John bought a car.'
- (41) ni? txʷ-ka: kʷθə John.  
 AUX VBL-car DT John  
 'John bought a car.'

Thus the denominal verb constructions are intransitive; the thematic object of the transitive serves as the head of the denominal verb. Nevertheless, denominal verbs can take a doubled "cognate" object in the oblique case.

- (42) c-sisə́lə ʔə́wnit ʔə́əm ʔə θə  
 VBL-grandparent(DIM) DT:LNK:3PRO wren OBL DT  
 sisə́lə-s.  
 grandparent(DIM)-3POS  
 'Wren had a grandmother.'
- (43) nem ʔ-pay ʔə kʷθə sqʷi:lməxʷ pay ni?  
 go VBL-pie OBL DT blackberry pie AUX  
 scə́ceʔ ʔə kʷθə ʔətem.  
 on OBL DT table  
 'Go and have the blackberry pie that's on the table.'



- (44) *neṁ cən ʔ-ʂxʷimelə ʔə-ʔ Wal-Mart.*  
 go 1SUB VBL-store OBL-DT Wal-Mart  
 'I'm going shopping at Wal-Mart.'  
 (literally: 'I'm going to the store to Wal-Mart.')

True doubling is not possible, as this would be semantically vacuous. Rather, the oblique NP gives some more precise detail about the N serving as the verb base. For example, in (42), the determiner in the oblique NP specifies that the grandparent in question is female, the modifier in (43) stipulates that it is a blackberry pie, and the NP in (44) gives the name of the store.

In some cases, the relationship between the noun base and the oblique NP is one of semantic overlap, similar to a classificatory function. For example, in (45) the base noun *ʔaθ-aʔqʷ* is formed with compounding lexical suffixation and literally means 'baked head', where 'head' refers to round items (see Gerds et. al 2002). This could refer to potatoes, apples, popovers, etc. The oblique NP in (45) specifies the baked thing as potatoes.

- (45) *neṁ cən ʔ-ʔaθ-aʔqʷ ʔə kʷθə sqewθ.*  
 go 1SUB VBL-bake-head OBL DT potato  
 'I'm going to have baked potatoes.'

We see the opposite effect in (46), where the base noun of the denominal verb specifies 'cup' and the oblique NP contains a noun formed with compounding lexical suffixation meaning a big container of some type.

- (46) *neṁ c-ləpat-stamš ʔə kʷ θe:qən!*  
 go VBL-cup-CS:1OBJ OBL DT big:container  
 'Go get me a big cup!'

The generalization is that the form containing the lexical suffix (either the base of the denominal verb or the doubled NP) will have classificatory semantics.

Other types of modification are possible as well. In (47) the oblique phrase is an emphatic possessive.

- (47) *ʔi c-telə ʔə kʷθə sweʔ-s.*  
 AUX VBL-money OBL DT own-3POS  
 'She has her own money.'

Note that possessed nominals do not directly form denominal verbs.

- (48) *\*ʔi c-telə-s.*  
 AUX VBL-money-POS  
 'She has his/her money.'

In (49) and (50) the NP in the oblique phrase is a determiner-headed relative clause.

- (49)    θəyət-šən-əm    c-pe:lxən    ʔə    kʷθə    šniʔ-s    ceʔ  
 fix-foot-MID    VBL-field    OBL    DT    place-3POS    FUT
- kʷθə    sənixʷət    ʔə    kʷθə    sʰešən-s    ceʔ.  
 DT    canoe(PL)    OBL    DT    potlatch-3POS    FUT

‘They are clearing the field where the cars will be parked for the potlatch.’

- (50)    ʔi:    č    wət    c-łəxłəx    ʔə    kʷθə    ʔi  
 AUX:Q    2SUB    PST    VBL-nettle    OBL    DT    AUX
- šətə-stəxʷ-əxʷ    ʔəns-c-šʷiłəm  
 say-CS-2SSUB    2POS-VBL-rope

‘Did you get the stinging nettles that you said you were going to make rope with?’

There are several types of oblique-marked NPs in Halkomelem, including true obliques and also oblique-marked themes of antipassive and applicative constructions (“oblique objects”). Extraction facts, however, differentiate them.

- (51)    Extraction in Halkomelem (wh-questions, relative clauses, clefts, pseudo-clefts)
- a. No special morphology
    - ergatives (ergative agreement is deleted), absolutes
  - b. Nominalization with *s-*
    - oblique objects (patients of antipassives, patients of applicatives)
  - c. Nominalization with *š(xʷ)-*
    - obliques (location, direction, instrumental, manner, stimulus)

As noted in (51b), patients of antipassives (cf. 52) and patients in applicatives (cf. 53) extract via nominalization with the prefix *s-*.

- (52)    a.    niʔ    qʷs-eʔəm    łə    steniʔ    ʔə    kʷθə  
 AUX    soak-MID    DT    woman    OBL    DT
- šələm    sce:łtən.  
 salt    salmon

‘The woman soaked the salted salmon.’

- b.    stem    kʷə    niʔ    s-qʷs-eʔəm-s    łə    steniʔ?  
 what    DT    AUX    NM-soak-MID-3POS    DT    woman
- ‘What did the woman put in the water/soak?’

- (53) a. niʔ ʔam-əs-t-əs kʷθə swiʔləs  
 AUX give-DAT-TR-3ERG DT young.man  
 ʔə kʷθə pukʷ.  
 OBL DT book  
 'He gave the boy a book.'
- b. niʔ kʷθə pukʷ niʔ s-ʔam-əs-t-s  
 3PRO DT book AUX NM-give-DAT-TR-3POS  
 kʷθə swiʔləs.  
 DT boy  
 'It's the book that he gave the boy.'

Similarly, the oblique NP serving as the cognate object in the denominal verb construction in (54a) is questioned as in (54b), with an *s-* prefix on the embedded verb.

- (54) a. niʔ cən c-ǰew ʔə tʰə ʔalənčəs.  
 AUX 1SUB VBL-payment OBL DT orange(PL)  
 'I got paid in oranges.'
- b. stem kʷə niʔ ʔən-s-c-qew?  
 what DT AUX 2POS-NM-VBL-payment  
 'What was your payment?'

The following shows another example of the extraction of the cognate object:

- (55) stem kʷə niʔ ʔən-s-c-šukʷə?  
 what DT AUX 2POS-NM-VBL-sugar  
 'What did you use for sugar?'

In sum, the nominal base of the denominal verb can "double" with an oblique NP, which tests to be an oblique object syntactically. Semantically, there is often some overlap, but not complete identity, between the base of the denominal verb and the oblique NP.

#### 4 The semantics of denominal verbs<sup>8</sup>

As seen in the translations of the above examples, the nominal base of the denominal verb is often unspecified, generic, or non-individuated. Nevertheless, the nominal can be referred to anaphorically:

- (56)    *nem*        *ɫ-pay*        *ʔiʔ*        *tʰə̀n*        *sqeʔəq.*        *niʔ*  
           go            VBL-pie        and        DT:2POS        y. sibling        AUX  
           *scə̀ceʔ*        *ʔə*        *tʰə*        *lətəm.*  
           on            OBL        DT        table.

'You and your younger brother, go have some pie. It's on the table.'

- (57)    *ʔeʔət*    *xʔiʔ*    *c-meməqeʔ*    *tʰə*    *sʃə̀liqə̀t.*    *nə̀w-əs-əs*  
           here    now    VBL-snow(CONT)    DT    children.    use-TR-3ERG  
           *čə*        *ʔə*        *kʔθə*    *s-θiməʔ-elə.*  
           hearsay    OBL    DT        NM-ice-container

'The children are making snowballs and putting them into the freezer.'

So, the denominal verb can in fact refer to something specific. Probably the most accurate description of the semantics of denominal verbs in Halkomelem is that it matches their use in English, formulated as the following principle by Clark and Clark (1979:797):

(58) Principle of Specificity:

The kind of situation that an innovation denotes is intended to be as specific as the circumstances warrant.

A further issue that arises concerning the semantics of denominal verbs is whether or not they are lexicalized. Discussing denominal verbs in Bella Coola, Mithun (1997:367) claims: "Like the suffixes, the prefixes represent elements of meaning that are frequently combined with others to create lexical

<sup>8</sup> We will not present a formal treatment of the semantics at this time. We simply note that Johns (2003) is doing interesting work on the semantics of denominal verb constructions (noun incorporation in her terms) in Inuktitut. She points out similarities in the semantics of the Halkomelem and Inuktitut constructions, suggesting that in both instances these have the semantics of light verbs. She follows a line of research proposed by Harley (2001) and bases her analysis on an HPSG approach by Koenig and Davis (2001), in which they divide up the semantics of verbs into two parts—a modal part, which can be modified by semantic operators such as deontic or epistemic modality, negation and so on, and a situational core, in effect the verb's core argument structure. Under Johns' approach, verbalizing affixes are light verbs whose semantics forms the modal part and the noun provides the situational core.

items, names for recognizable, recurring activities.... Speakers have created names for the concepts they have discussed the most." It is true that there are some frequently used expressions such as *c-telə* 'have money', *t-paʕəm* 'have a smoke/cigarette', and *ʕ-tawən* 'go to town'. However, in many examples, denominal verbs are used in rare or even unique situations, and certainly in situations that are not part of traditional culture. For example in (57) above, making snowballs and freezing them is not a usual activity. Also, buying a power saw was not an everyday occurrence:

- (59)    *nə-s-niŵ*                      *xʷiʔ*            *txʷ-power saw,*  
           1POS-NM-AUX:LNK        now            VBL-power.saw  
           *nə-s-niŵ*                      *xʷiʔ*            *c-qʷtey.*  
           1POS-NM-AUX:LNK        now            VBL-log  
           '...and I went out and bought a power saw and I went out logging.'

In fact, both of these examples contain the particle *xʷiʔ* 'now, next', which denotes that something has suddenly happened, possibly contrary to speaker's expectation (Gerdts and Hukari to appear). Other examples of activities that are not recurring activities or part of the cultural heritage include getting a pension, which happens once in a lifetime, or marketing flowers.

- (60)    *sis*    *ʔəŵ*    *c-pensən-steləm,*            *nə-s-niŵ*            *hay*  
           and    LNK    VBL-pension-CS:1PAS        1POS-NM-AUX:LNK    finish  
           *kʷə-nə-s-yayəs.*  
           DT-1POS-NM-work(CONT)  
           '...and I got my pension and I quit working.'

- (61)    *niʔ*    *yəxʷ*    *ʔa:*    *xʷən*    *c-peqəm*    *kʷθə*    *peqəm-eŵtxʷ?*  
           AUX    SUP    EMPH    still    VBL-flower    DT        flower-house  
           'I wonder if the flower shop still has some flowers.'

Flowers, since they were not eaten, had little significance in native culture as seen by the fact that there is one generic word meaning 'bloomer' covering all non-bush wildflowers. So, while lexicalization may indeed be an important factor in the use of lexical suffixes, it seems irrelevant in the case of denominal verbs. Again, the most accurate generalization of when denominal verbs are allowed in Halkomelem is that it matches their use in English, as posited by Clark and Clark (1979:787):

- (62)    The Innovative Denominal Verb Convention:  
           The speaker means to denote the kind of situation that he/she has good reason to believe that on this occasion the listener can readily compute uniquely on the basis of their mutual knowledge in such a way that the parent noun denotes a role in the situation...

Although further research may reveal some of the factors determining the choice of a denominal verb construction over a phrasal construction with a verb and an NP, we can conclude at this point that denominal verb constructions are used for a variety of situations in Halkomelem including when the base nominal is specific or non-specific, unique or common, novel or culturally salient. The use of denominal verbs, which we note is on the decline, is the type of polysynthetic construction that is considered the mark of a fluent speaker of the language.

## References

- Clark, Eve V., and Herbert H. Clark. 1979. When nouns surface as verbs. *Language* 55: 767–811.
- Gerdts, Donna B. 1988. *Object and Absolutive in Halkomelem Salish*. New York: Garland Publishing.
- Gerdts, Donna B. 1991. Unaccusative mismatches in Halkomelem Salish, *IJAL* 57: 230–250.
- Gerdts, Donna B., Mercedes Q. Hinkson, and Thomas E. Hukari. 2002. Numeral classifiers in Halkomelem. *ICSNL* 37:147–180.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. 1998. Inside and Outside the middle. *ICSNL* 33: 166–220.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. 2000. The dual structure of Halkomelem motion verbs, *WAIL 2000*, Santa Barbara Working Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 10, pp. 33–46.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. 2002. The morphosyntax of Halkomelem denominal verbs. Paper presented at WSCLA 7, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Gerdts, Donna B., and Thomas E. Hukari. To appear. *Halkomelem*. Munich: Lincom Europa.
- Harley, Heidi. 2001. Measuring-out and the ontology of verb roots in English. Paper presented at the Ben-University Workshop on Aspect.
- Johns, Alana. 2003. Restricting noun incorporation. University of Toronto, ms.
- Koenig, Jean-Pierre, and Anthony Davis. 2001. Sublexical modality and the structure of lexical semantic representations. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 24.71–124.
- Kroeger, Paul D. 1999. *The Salish Language Family: Reconstructing Syntax*. Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press.
- Mithun, Marianne. 1997. Lexical affixes and morphological typology. In Joan Bybee et al. (eds.), *Essays on language function and language type*, 357–72. Amsterdam: Benjamins.