## Pharyngeal Movement in Colville and Related Phenomena in

## the Interior Languages<sup>1</sup>

Anthony Mattina University of Montana

- 0. Introduction
- 1. Pharyngeal movement in Colville
- 2. The comparative evidence
  - 2.1. Spokan
  - 2.2. Kalispel
  - 2.3. Thompson
  - 2.4. Shuswap
  - 2.5. Coeur d'Alene
- 3. Conclusions

O. In this paper I present an interesting morphophonemic phenomenon in Colville, an interior Salishan language of eastern Washington. Then I discuss similar phenomena in related languages and draw some diachronic inferences. The phenomenon in Colville is one I call "long-distance conditioning", where some element in the root interacts with an element in the suffix at a distance of several phonemes, and often one or more morphemes.

1. There occur some words in Colville which appear to have shifted a pharyngeal resonant from the root to the stressed suffix: the root has lost a pharyngeal, and the suffix has added one. Further, the vowel of the suffix is lowered to [a], homorganic with the (immediately preceding) inserted pharyngeal. Thus three changes are involved, one in the root (the loss of a pharyngeal), and two in the suffix (the insertion of a pharyngeal and the lowering of the vowel).

1

148

umlaut

The following forms are attested in Colville:

- q<sup>w</sup>əy-lscsát his clothes are dirty (q<sup>w</sup>sáy black),
   -lscút clothes, appurtenances
- la. q<sup>w</sup>əy-Sás Black man, -ús face
- 1b. i-s-t-q<sup>w</sup>ay-sáca? I am very dirty, -ica? surface
- 2. n-xəl-s-salca?-t-ən (s-xəl-xs al-t day), -ilca? inside
- 2a. xəl-p-salax" it's daylight, -úlax" earth
- 2b. c-kəl-xə-x1-sás-əm he has been watching for them, -ús eye
- 3. k-s-cəm-sálqs he has a blanket coat (\*csam cover),
  -(á)lqs clothes
- 4. si-m-ən-csát they make a fuss (ssáy-lx they are noisy)
  -cút reflexive
- 5. pw-ən-csát-əlx they make noise running down (psáw he ran down), -cút reflexive
- 6. kəl-təm-sás-s-əlx they kiss them (\*tsam suck), -ús face
- 7. c-ən-cən-cən-m-sás-əm he keeps his eyes tightly shut
  (csan tight), -ús eye
- 8. la?-la?t-xsán he gets his feet wet (lsat wet), -x(á)n feet
- 9. s-ən-pə-pt-sála?q<sup>w</sup> dumplings (psat boil), -ála?q<sup>w</sup> (dim.
  of -álq<sup>w</sup> cylindrical object)

10. c-ps-saya? senseless (psas scared), -aya??

All these examples contain lexical suffixes, and two of the root pharyngeals are unattested.

In addition to these lexical suffixes, at least one grammatical suffix attracts unto itself a pharyngeal:

11. cəm-cm-ən-t-sás he started sucking -(i)s 3rd trans
The root cum, however, is apharyngeal.

Finally, one case of vowel lowering, unaccompanied by pharyngeal intrusion (or shift), has been noticed: 12. xə-xs-álca? good tasting meat (xas good), -ílca? meat

The stress properties of the roots s, p, v, \*t, am, c, 1, 1, at, p, st and p, sa are indeterminate, which is to say that examples have not been found of these roots occurring with variable stress suffixes;  $q^w$ , ay is stress retentive (loses its stress only to an inherently stressed suffix, but retains its stress when followed by a variable stress suffix); x, al, c, am and cum are stress shifting (lose their stress to variable stress suffixes as well as to inherently stressed suffixes). All the suffixes are inherently stressed except -(a)lqs, -x(a)n and -(i)s.

The shift of the pharyngeals is probably a function of the combination of several factors, including the stress properties of roots and affixes. In spite of the scanty evidence it is possible to conjecture that the pharyngeal of a stress retentive root is pushed onto an inherently stressed suffix (but not onto a variable stress suffix), while the pharyngeal of a stress shifting root is pushed onto both inherently stressed and variable stress suffixes. The following examples corroborate this hypothesis:

1c. s-t-q<sup>w</sup>sáy-xən-x Blackfeet, -x(á)n foot

ld. qu'say-las black robe, priest -(a)las clothes

At this point the postulation of underlying forms and

rules that account for these pharyngeal movements (as a synchronic phenomenon) seems less important than the correct reconstruction of a more general pre-Colville morphophono-logical process.<sup>2</sup>

Internal evidence suggests that pharyngeal movement from the root to the suffix was at one time a regular morphophonemic process, and that, for reasons still unknown, the pharyngeal of the root was occasionally lost, leaving as evidence of its previous existence its suffix reflex--sometimes the suffix pharyngeal and lowered vowel as in example 11, and sometimes only the lowered vowel, as in example 12. Further, there is no reason to believe the suffixes ever to have contained a pharyngeal, since the presence of the suffix pharyngeal is conditioned by the root pharyngeal.<sup>3</sup>

One further general observation should be made, that (pre-) Colville favors "long distance conditioning". The predilection of the language for this is obvious elsewhere: in the stress placement rules, in the metathesis rule of middle verbs, in the ablaut rules, and in the diachronic rules of sound change where back consonants block a vowel shift with intervening segments.

2. The Colville evidence should not be considered separately from the evidence available from related interior Salishan languages, Spokan, Kalispel, Thompson, Shuswap and Coeur d'Alene.

4

2.1. Of all the interior Salishan languages, Spokan is closest to Colville.

2.1.1. In Spokan Carlson<sup>4</sup> speaks of roots with pharyngealized or "dark" vowels. He describes the process the forms undergo as follows: "A vowel 'harmony' rule works to lower the ... suffix [vowel] before the underlying root vowel is deleted." For example:

- 13. p<sup>2</sup>c<sup>-</sup>ən-t-ás he lets his bowels go (p<u>a</u>c<sup>'</sup> loose bowels)
  -es 3rd trans. Cognates: Cv p<sup>3</sup>sac<sup>'</sup> shoot out, squirt,
  Cm pac<sup>'</sup>, Cr pic<sup>'</sup>, Ka pac, Sh pic<sup>'</sup>
- 7a. cən-əm-s-t-án I tightened it (can tight) Ka can
- 7b. čì-cən-əm-ánč-m-s-t-ən I tied the cinch, -enč stomach In Spokan there are a number of roots with pharyngealized vowels. For example:
- 14. cap rounded and hollow
- 15. tas hard Cv tsas, Ka tas, Sh? tsw
- 16. pat gravy-like substance Cv psat pour in, overflow, Sh pat overflow, boil over, hang down around edges, with darkened vowel c-pət-pt-áp have one's pants hanging down
- 17. pas, as in spas nighthawk Cv ps-psás
- 18. com bone Cv scim, Cm scam, Cr s-cam, Ka scom, Sh s-cem
- 19. nos snot Cv s-nsas, Ka nos, Sh san-sn
- 20. mtos kidney Cv mtsas, Cm mətus, Cr mátus

All these roots (with the possible exception of  $\dot{com}$ ) are presumed to function as pac and can.

5

2.1.2. The exact phonetic make up of other forms is

unclear, and Carlson thinks that there might be a contrast between pharyngealized vowels and sequences of vowels plus pharyngeals. Examples of this indeterminacy are:

- 21. lośw to fit Cv liśw, Cm láśw-, Cr léRw, Ka law, Sh liśw; and Cr laśw plunge head first, Ka loó dip, fall into a hole, Sh c-luśw
- 22.  $co^{\varsigma W}$  fringed Cv  $c \Rightarrow \varsigma^{W}$  (vowel unattested), Ka co, Sh s-cu $\varsigma^{W}$
- 23. <sup>?</sup>al to lose Cv Sal, Ka \*aál, Sh S<sup>?</sup>i<sup>?</sup>l
- ham, hamíp to melt Cv Səmáp (\*Sam), Cm hémp, Cr Rém(t),
   Ka ámt
- 25. poc, spoct a sore Cv s-puc-t
- 26. san, sən-san-t tame, gentle Cv sən-svan-t, Ka san
- 27. tcap to jar, shake
- 28. Yat movable

Several forms based on some of these "uncertain" roots exhibit variation between lowered and intact vowel suffixes: 21a.  $1 \Rightarrow \tilde{\xi}^{w} \circ p$ , hes  $\tilde{\xi} \circ it$  fits together

- 21b. 195<sup>w</sup>áčstən thimble, -éčst hand
- 21c. los wanten I put it together
- 22a. ncucawane Gullhead fish, -ene? ear
- 22b. scowáčst ~ scowéčst finger

Cognates from Cv (and other languages) point to Sp roots of two shapes: \*C $\$ C and CV $\$ . It is possible to conjecture that pre-Sp \*C $\$ C (< CVC) participated fully in a pharyngeal movement rule, whereas CV $\$  roots did not.

2.2. In Kalispel the lowering of the suffix vowel is conditioned by (1) the presence in the root of the vowel [a] (which must be presumed from \*Sa), and (2) by the presence in the root of the sequence V1 (which must be presumed from \*Vr).

2.2.1. Vogt describes the process as follows:<sup>5</sup> "In some cases the vowels i and e of a suffix are replaced by a, when the stem itself contains the vowel a. Stem-vowel a is usually lost." Vogt provides the following examples and comments:

- 6a. \*tam suck, tomám he sucks, estommá cont. he sucks
- 7a. ičán it is tight, escenpemá it is tightening, čenóp (with unexplained o), compl. it gets tightened
- 9a. \*pat boil, as in nptáp the water boils, esenpətpəmá cont. the water boils, -étk<sup>w</sup> water
- 10a. pas scared, surprised, ipás he is bewildered, psáp
   he gets scared, espespemá cont. he gets scared Sp ps(á)
- 26a. sənsánt tame, sənsəntuwálš he gets tame, also prob. tstsálš they jubilate, -ilš; derived reflexive with unexplained o: tspəməncót they applaud

These forms confirm the hypothesis that all of these roots should be reconstructed as \*CSVC. The unexplained o's may be presumed to be lowered u's.

2.2.2. Some roots which contain the sequence V1 (which must be presumed from \*Vr) trigger the lowering of the suffix vowel, as in the following cases:<sup>6</sup>

29. incelatk" the water is cold (<calt it is cold) Cv ca?r,

7

Sh cəl hurt, Sp cer ache, hurt, cold

- 30. šall lazy, šəllámən who by natural disposition is inclined to laziness, -émən habitual Cv xar waste time, Cr šar use no energy, Sh xal, xlal short of breath, Sp šer
- 31. k<sup>w</sup>al cracked open, sk<sup>w</sup>k<sup>w</sup>əláne oyster, -éne? ear
  Cv sk<sup>w</sup>ək<sup>w</sup>rina? clam shells, Sp sk<sup>w</sup>k<sup>w</sup>əréne? oyster
  31a. k<sup>w</sup>al, k<sup>w</sup>alip it got cracked

31a. exemplifies what Carlson has called a "reasonably regular retention of unstressed a before 1<\*r." Instead of "harmonizing" with the root vowel, the suffix vowel remains intact, and the root vowel is retained. Since not all Ka roots with 1<\*r behave this way, we might suspect that only those roots with \*r plus a pharyngeal element either (1) undergo the suffix vowel lowering and the root vowel deletion rules, or (2) do not undergo the suffix vowel lowering rule, but retain the low root vowel. This latter can be presumed to have developed al < sar. Thus pre-Sp (and pre-Cv) \*CSVr > CVr, with no further trace of the pharyngeal, while Ka (and, as will be seen, Sh) exhibit the pervasive process(es) described here.

2.3. The Thompson data allow Thompson to describe the synchronic phenomenon of "dark vowels" wholly in terms of two (phonetic) conditioning factors. Dark vowels occur (1) in stressed or closed syllables (3 becomes a, becomes b) (p. 21); and (2) in the neighborhood of re-

155

tracted consonants ("environments have yet to be fully worked out"). From the examples provided it is impossible to infer that the now near-regular vowel lowering rule was once triggered by the combination of certain roots and suffixes. Thus:

//yəs-ele?-xăn:-t-es// [ysale?xes] she drags him 32. //s-q<sup>w</sup>əm-exən// [sq<sup>w</sup>maxn] shoulder 33. //xec:-n-es// [xaces] he smokes [buckskin] 34.

//nə-xə[e]c-us// [nxa<sup>?</sup>cus] he gets smoke in his eyes 35.

2.4. In Shuswap Kuipers recognizes two separate causes for the same phenomenon of vowel lowering: "In suffixes, e i u are replaced by a e o respectively when these suffixes are combined with certain roots."

2.4.1. The first cause for the suffix vowel lowering rule remains indeterminate: "certain roots are found with suffixes with darkened vowels..." Several of the roots listed by Kuipers are cognate with Cv roots which contain a pharyngeal:

lat wet, x-lət-lt-ap have wet behind 8a.

pat overflow ... 16a.

- stam-alt cattle, stm-lt-x"-alce beef Cv stomsalt. 36. Cr s-tamá(ltumš), Ka stəmá, Sp s-tm-á?
- c-lac soaked, c-x-lc-ap having wet behind 37. Cv 1sac, Cr lac one drop falls, Sp lc(a) to drip
- 38.
- s-x-cml-os matter in the eye, also mcult Cv mcsalt, Cm məcult, Cr mac-ult, Sp mcol-t

For several other roots and forms the cognacy is either unknown or uncertain, or the cognates do not contain pharyngeals:

- 39. c-mAt lying flat, s-mt-éqe? mushroom Cf? Cv mas, c-klmas-itk<sup>w</sup> it's floating on top of the water
- 40. ta? negation, ta?-xét-s (also -xít-s) to refuse sb. (obj.) stg. Ka tá(m)
- 41. təláne (a mythical monster), tələnə-?álx<sup>w</sup> abode of təláne
- 42. cnp to vibrate, tremble, be shaken, cəcnp-ákst have one's hands vibrating, etc.
- 43. cəs-1-, s-cəs-cəs-1ólise hail Cv scəcslús ənt, Cm cəsəiúsa?, Cr s-š-cəs-lúsɛ?, Ka ssa·lúse?, Sp cs-1-úse?
- 44. nk<sup>w</sup>-p-alxkn arthritis, rheumatism, ?ank<sup>w</sup>-t bent, twisted,
  ?nk<sup>w</sup>-álxkn to have rheumatism
- 45. c-lak to lie splashed down, to lie in a heap, ?s-tlək-akst to get a splash of stg. on one's arm Cv? clak a swamp
- 46. kis-t bad, kəs-os ugly-looking Cv kas-t, ks-us ugly, Cr čεs(t), Ka čes, Sp čes
- 47. xəp-qin noon, xəp-qn-men noontime approaches
- 48. c-xen lying spread out, t-xn-éne to cover Cv xon, Cm xôn-, Cr šen, Ka šon, Sp šn
- 49. sə-x<sup>w</sup>y-anst (also -enst) woodworm Cv sx<sup>w</sup>úx<sup>w</sup>ya?, Ka sxúx<sup>w</sup>iye?, Sp s-x<sup>w</sup>úx<sup>w</sup>y-e?

Finally, there is a borrowing:

50. k<sup>w</sup>úso pig Cv kosó (also k<sup>w</sup>uk<sup>w</sup>ús), Ka lkosó (lqosó),
Sp lq<sup>w</sup>osó

It should be noted that a pharyngeal has intruded in the Cv borrowing m<sup>w</sup>oton mutton, lamb (as well as in k<sup>w</sup><sup>s</sup>áta quarter in the pronunciation of some speakers), suggesting, at again, that an earlier stage of the language, pharyngeals, now in the process of being lost, were very common sounds.

2.4.2. The other cause of the darkened vowel suffix vowels in Shuswap is 1 (<\*r) as  $C_2$ . Kuipers observes that "though some roots have all suffixes (in so far as recorded) in darkened form, others may have the darkened form in one and the regular form in another suffix... Sometimes both forms are possible... The 'regular' form of the suffix has analogically replaced the 'darkened' one in a number of cases."

Though these statements are intended to apply to all darkening roots, it appears that 1 roots (<\*r) are more subject to variation than other roots. And it isn't always clear that regular forms have analogically replaced darkened ones. In several cases the analogy might have proceeded vice-versa.

The problem cannot be solved at the moment because the comparative evidence is inconclusive. Colville roots with  $C_2$  as 1 or r (<? \* Sar) no longer behave like the other pharyngeal roots. Undoubtedly there is, somewhere in Colville, a relic of this process, but I haven't discovered it yet. Spokan, likewise, appears to have done away with a vowel lowering rule triggered by 1<\*r roots. But Kalispel retains the rule. The Ka evidence, in fact, sug-

gests that Sp probably had a similar rule until quite recently, and that the shape of these roots was probably \*CSVr. And the evidence from Cr, as will be seen, is inconclusive.

The Sh roots which darken suffix vowels are listed below, but no reconstruction of pre-Sh roots is attempted:

- 51. s-ml-óle<sup>?</sup>x<sup>w</sup> clay Cr a-mul-ślumx<sup>w</sup> soil, earth
- 52.  $mlam-alx^w$  to paint a house
- 53. mlen-1p balsam-tree, m1n-1p-altx<sup>w</sup> balsam-tree bark Sp mari-n-1p
- 54. mlok<sup>w</sup> out of joint, mlk<sup>w</sup>-p-akst (also -ekst) dislocate one's wrist
- 55. s-cls-[s]t-as to oil
- 56. sel come apart (\*sir-), x-sl-aws-qn-s to scalp Cv sər (full vowel unattested)
- 57. kl-am to cut strips of skin Cv kar, Cr čar, Sp čer(i) (čal) (čayl)
- 58. k<sup>w</sup>al yellow, green, t-k<sup>w</sup>l-se-?éłp (-?áłp) chokecherry tree Cv k<sup>w</sup>ri, Cm k<sup>w</sup>ár-, Cr k<sup>w</sup>ár, Ka k<sup>w</sup>a·lí?, Sp k<sup>w</sup>r(í) k<sup>w</sup>al(í), k<sup>w</sup>rí?, (k<sup>w</sup>alí?)
- 59. x1-xal-t steep Cr xr run uphill, Ka šal, Sp šr (šal)
- 59a. xlapt shortcut, t-xlpt-aws, t-xləpt-aws (trail) runs over mountain
- 60. x1-eix-m to spawn Cv xai
- 61.  $x^{\forall}1$  to turn, spin
- 62.  $x^{w}el-m$  to open a ditch, divert water
- 63.  $x^{\vee}$ al to be in a hurry Cv?  $x^{\vee}ar$  to tremble, Ka?  $x^{\vee}al$

159

to make a little movement (?), Sp? x<sup>w</sup>er(i) (x<sup>w</sup>al(i)) to shake

- 64. yl-jal-t strong Cr? žar be firm, strong, Ka \*el to try hard, make efforts
- 65. wl-em to burn, x-wl-nt-áke? kindling Cv war, Cr g<sup>w</sup>el, Ka u·l, Sp wr(í) (wl(í)) (wer(í))
- 66. wl-aps antler, horn, x-wl-aps-ake? spoon made of horn
- 67. w1-ank intestine, belly, stomach
- 68. yel/yal be wound around Cv yir, Ka yal (yel), Sp yir(é)(yal(é)
- 69. yelk<sup>w</sup> curve, coil, hollow, ylk<sup>w</sup>-nt-as to coil around stg. Cv yark<sup>w</sup>, Cr yark<sup>w</sup>, Sp yerk<sup>w</sup> (yalk<sup>w</sup>) (yilk<sup>w</sup>)

2.5. For Coeur d'Alene Reichard realized that the vowel darkening (lowering) processes (she called them 'vowel dissimilation') could be understood fully only when comparative evidence would be available. "I have worked upon the problem presented by these examples [of vowel dissimilation] intensively from the beginning of my work with Coeur d'Alene and I have come to the conclusion that it can be finally solved if at all, only with comparative material." Nonetheless she felt forced to explain the vocalic 'dissimilation' in terms of phonetic conditioning. She thought to have found one possible conditioning factor, but was at a loss to pinpoint others. "Certain stems containing bilabial consonants, but by no means all of them, have a progressive influence on the vowels... It is not possible

to tell in every case which consonant of the stem has the influence, in most cases it seems as if both consonants function together in this respect." And: "The rest of the stems seem to depend on some sound other than labial to influence the following vowels; it is difficult to determine what it might be." The processes in question are two: the lowering of u to >, and the much more common lowering of other vowels to a.

Cognate pharyngeal roots in Colville (and elsewhere) point to pre-Cr pharyngeal roots of the shape \*CSVc.

- 6b. tam make damp, dampen, syä-tam-álumx<sup>w</sup> one who licks people (-ilumx<sup>w</sup> person)
- 10b. pas be astonishing, pəsátc-stmən I will play a trick on him, -itc deceive
- 10c. p, s joke, tci<sup>ts-p</sup> s-tsán I am joking hither, -tcin
  mouth
- 13a. pac squirt, hence, defecate, urinate, cäl-his-t-pəc5s-əm I will squirt him in the eye, -us eye
- 16b. pat be mushy, pour mushy stuff, t-pat-á?s?ants he poured cement on rock, -is
- 26b. san tame, sə-sən-sən-t-álc-stus he broke it (horse) -ilc grow
  - 0. yac be tight, firm, tc-yəc-yəc-am-átct-əm hold on tight, -itct finger Cv ysac
  - tap shoot, tap-scänt he shot, stcint people Cv tSap,
     Ka taáp, Sp tap(i)

Several other Cr roots lower the suffix vowel, but the

examples?

71.

cognates are either apharyngeal or unidentified:

- 72. lap mark, make welt, tcin-ləp-ləp-ap-ätct my hand became welted, -itct hand Cv lap, Cm lip, Cr lip, lep, Sh lp-
- 73. 1al sprinkle, hın-1ə1-1ə1-ána?-antəm he was car sprinkled
- 74. nas wet, a-tc-nas-nas-us-tcânt he wets people's eyes, stcint people Ka, Sp nas
- 75. xäm go to live with in-laws, xäm-än-ts5t-ən he went to live with in-laws Cv s-lxmá-m-s he got married
- 76. x<sup>w</sup>äm ?, x<sup>w</sup>äm-atct woodpecker, -itct finger, wing
- 77. cux<sup>w</sup> ?, hın-tcux<sup>w</sup>-tcux<sup>w</sup>-áp-änem he retired, -ip bottom
- 78. tam scorch, atc-təm-áwäs it exists scorched on the surface, -iwäs together
- 79. pəsaq<sup>w</sup> long brittle object breaks (both regular and dissim. forms are correct)
- 80. pul poison ivy, pul-əm-ätca? he applied p. i., -itsa? all over
- 81. mas-mas kind of vegetable, an-məs-məs-átkwa? water is full of masmas

One is a borrowing,

82. pa<sup>a</sup>y from Fr. Espagne, s-pa<sup>a</sup>y-51umc Spanish, -ulumc person

but this form could have been borrowed from *espagnol*, which would explain the **J**. Another root, the cognate of which is apharyngeal in Cv, also lowers the suffix vowel. In Cv, inexplicably a pharyngeal intrudes in the suffix: lla. com suck, ni<sup>?</sup>-com-áwäs-ents he sucked amongst

Finally, there are four other Cr roots which lower the suffix vowel. They all have r or  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  as C<sub>2</sub>.

- 58a. k<sup>w</sup>ar be yellow, hın-k<sup>w</sup>ar-k<sup>w</sup>ar-áwäs-ən crossbills,
  -iwäs together
- 83. mai bubble, tcıni?-məl-páwas it bubbles from in between,
  -iwäs between

163

84. mai heat, a-məi-átct-mən-tcälıs he is making us too warm, -itct fingers ? Cv msal, Cm mai, Cr also mei

85. mul soil, earth, a-mul-ślumx<sup>w</sup> soil, earth, -ulumx<sup>w</sup> ground

3. From the available evidence I conclude that, in all the Salishan languages of the interior here discussed, at one time there was a wide spread phenomenon in which a characteristic of the root was <u>transferred</u> to a suffix. In these forms that characteristic, once transferred to the suffix, was lost to the root. The characteristic is construed to be a pharyngeal element in  $C_2$  of CCVC roots. Its reflexes in the suffixes vary from language to language, but can be subsumed under two groups: (1) movement of the pharyngeal to the suffix with attendant vowel assimilation; (2) simple vowel assimilation (lowering) with loss of the root pharyngeal. At this time the conditioning factors for these processes can be inferred only for Colville, the language I know first hand.

The loss of (root) pharyngeals (pervasive in some languages, less so in others) impedes at this time a direct

reconstruction of these elements, but fortunately clues as to original pharyngeal roots are provided by those forms with darkened suffix vowels (after analogical formations and irregular forms have been identified),<sup>7</sup> as well as by roots with 1 and r (<\*r) as  $C_2$  if it can be proved that they contained an original pharyngeal.

## FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup>I wish to acknowledge the support of the following during my research: NSF, NEH, the Whatcom Museus (Melville and Elizabeth Jacobs Fund), SIL, and the Universities of Kansas, Hawaii, and Montana.

<sup>2</sup>Interest in the synchronic morphophonological mechanisms is outweighed by the diachronic implications of these pharyngeal movements, and panchrony has precedence over synchrony. This attitude is opposite to (but not incompatible with) Langacker's: "The history of a language may furnish the linguist with valuable clues as he begins a synchronic analysis, and it may provide evidence to corroborate an analysis proposed and justified on other grounds, but it cannot stand alone as the sole motivation for a system of rules and underlying representations. Historical accuracy is neither a necessary nor a sufficient condition for adopting a synchronic theory." (p. 27) In this context I take "theory" to mean a set of inductive rules based on the data.

<sup>3</sup>This phenomenon is strikingly homologous to that observed by Whitney in Sanskrit. In Sanskrit the aspiration of some root stops is shifted to other stops: "before obstruents and word boundaries, root-final aspiration is moved to the initial stop of the root." The phenomenon has been talked about plenty, and the question is: does the aspiration actually shift? Most recently Anderson has proposed a "readily available" alternative analysis which sets up aspiration everywhere and deletes it where necessary. Anderson argues that his analysis is superior to Whitney's on "theoretical" grounds, that is, the rules that account for the phenomenon are simpler. The problem, as I see it, is that these "theories" ultimately prevent the formulation of valid typologies.

<sup>4</sup>I am indebted to Barry F. Carlson for the Spokan data and comments which he has generously provided me.

<sup>5</sup>Vogt lists a series of examples. But there are two phenomena involved, and here I am separating them.

<sup>6</sup>Examples 29 and 30 are Vogt's; 31 and 31a are Carlson's.

<sup>7</sup>The problem is complicated by the ablaut system (and consonant gradation system), which I believe at one time permeated these languages. It is quite likely that the root pharyngeals occurred only in a-grade forms, with different assimilatory properties in the other grades.

## WORKS CONSULTED

Anderson, Stephen R. 1974. The Organization of Phonology. Academic Press.

Carlson, Barry F. 1972. A Grammar of Spokan. UHWPIL 4.

. MS. Spokan Dictionary.

- Kinkade, M. Dale and Laurence C. Thompson. 1974. "Proto-Salish \*r." IJAL 40, 22-28.
- Kinkade, M. Dale and Clarence Sloat. 1972. "Proto-Eastern Interior Salish Vowels." *IJAL 38*, 26-48.

Kuipers, Aert H. 1973. "About Evidence for Proto-Salish \*r." 8th Int'l Conf. Salish Lgs. Eugene.

. 1974. The Shuswap Language. Mouton.

- Langacker, Ronald W. 1972. Fundamentals of Linguistic Analysis. Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich.
- Mattina, Anthony. 1973. Colville Grammatical Structure. UHWPIL 4.
- Reichard, Gladys A. 1938. Coeur d'Alene. Handbook of American Indian Languages III. 517-707.
- Sloat, Clarence. 1972. "Vowel Harmony in Coeur d'Alene." IJAL 38, 234-239.

Thompson, Laurence C. and M. Terry Thompson. MS. Thompson.

Vogt, Hans. 1940. The Kalispel Language. Oslo.

Whitney, William D. 1889. Sanskrit Grammar (Second Edition). Oxford University Press.