The Salish Vocabularies of David Thompson[1]

Anthony Mattina, University of Montana
Allan Taylor, University of Colorado

1. Introduction.   One of the prominent figures in the exploration of the Pacific Northwest, particularly with respect to the surveying and mapping of the territory, is David Thompson. Orphaned while still quite young, David was brought up in the Grey Coat School, at Westminster, near London. At the age of 14, Thompson was apprenticed to the Hudson's Bay Company. He arrived at Fort Churchill on the Hudson's Bay in September of 1784, and he began his duties immediately. He remained in Canada for the rest of his life, serving the Hudson's Bay Company and the Northwest Company in Rupert's Land from 1784 to 1812. He moved in 1812 to eastern Canada, and he remained there until his death at Toronto in 1857 at the age of 87.

Like many of the better educated traders who lived in the wilderness, Thompson committed to his journals a number of observations about the terrain, flora, fauna, and native peoples with whom he lived in daily contact. He also included extensive records of celestial and meteorological readings, which he made routinely. His writings on these matters have long been known to, and used by, historians and cartographers, and others,[2] but to the best of my knowledge no one has ever taken a critical look at, or otherwise utilized, the several Indian vocabularies collected by Thompson. Indeed, until I recently obtained copies of the vocabularies from the Archives of Ontario, it was unclear in almost all cases which languages were represented in the journals.

My attention was first called to Thompson's manuscript notes several years ago by a Canadian ethnohistorian, who told me that the notes included a Mandan vocabulary, supposedly collected at the time of Thompson's visit to the Mandan villages on the upper Missouri during the winter of 1797-1798. Since this would be the earliest Mandan vocabulary by quite a few years, I immediately obtained a copy of the vocabulary and found, to my surprise, that the bulk of it was Assiniboine, with a small fragment

which I tentatively identified as Flathead. I did nothing further with the list until
this spring, when I had sufficient time to think about it. I sent the fragment to Tony
Mattina, who agreed that the language was probably Flathead.[3] An inquiry to the Ontario
Archives turned up the much longer, dated Flathead list which is the subject of this
paper. Additional search turned up short Blackfoot and Chipewyan lists as well.

The "Saleesh and Kullyspele" word list which David Thompson collected at Saleesh
House during the winter of 1809-1810 is certainly the earliest Flathead list recorded;
it also comes very close to being the earliest list for any Salish language. The
"Friendly Village" and Atna lists of Sir Alexander Mackenzie are less than a decade older.
In spite of its early date, Thompson's list played no role in the taxonomic and other
philological work of the 19th century, lying virtually unnoticed until the present. I
say virtually unnoticed because a somewhat garbled portion of the list was published
by Elliott Coues at the end of the 19th century,[4] well beyond the time when it might
have been useful to the pioneering work in American linguistics of Gallatin, Powell,
and others. At the time of publication the vocabulary was attributed to Alexander
Henry the Younger, another trader and prominent explorer of Western Canada. Comparison
of the published list with the Thompson lists shows that Henry copied his list virtually
in toto from the manuscript list of David Thompson, though this fact is nowhere acknow-
ledged.[5]

The David Thompson Flathead list contains almost 400 entries, which range from
single lexical entries to entire sentences. Usually the items refer to matters con-
cerning trade, but other kinds of material, including such things as verb paradigms,
were also recorded.

Saleesh House was built during the fall of 1809 near the present site of Thompson
Falls, Montana. A so-far unidentified Flathead band spent the winter in the vicinity
of the post, and there was considerable contact between the Indians and the personnel of
the post. Thompson indicates that the band had two chiefs, one whom his party called
"The Orator," the other "Cartier" (because of his resemblance to a Canadian by that
name). The informant for the vocabulary was a man (the term given for mother, item
number 227 in the 1810 list, is an appellative used only by males), and it appears likely
that he was the chief called "Cartier," since there is an entry glossed as 'Cartier's
name' (item number 56 in the 1810 list). The Flathead of this entry has unfortunately
not yet been identified, so it has not been possible to connect "Cartier" with a
historical Flathead person. The list was probably obtained with the aid of Michel
Bourdeaux, one of a small party of free French-Canadian trappers who had preceded
Thompson west of the Rockies. Bourdeaux was Thompson's principal interpreter, since
he was a fluent speaker of an Interior Salish dialect, probably Flathead or Spokane.

Bourdeaux was killed in the summer of 1812 in a battle with the Blackfeet, who had consistently opposed and attempted to thwart efforts to establish trading stations among the Indians west of the mountains.

2. Orthographic interpretations of the lists.[6] It is evident from the grammar, spelling, style, and general content of Thompson's writings that he was an intelligent man who possessed a better than average education for his time. This is also shown by his transcription of Flathead, which follows norms which are almost always internally consistent and which captured enough of the phonetic content of his entries to permit their reelicitation and identification by contemporary speakers of the language. Virtually all of his recordings have been recognized and recovered. Where this has not been possible, there is often the chance that misglossing, or glosses which have been misunderstood or are meaningless today, are responsible at least as much as the recordings themselves. Thompson's recordings which are recoverable yield a goodly amount of information about the earlier state of the language, as will be shown.

Thompson was an experienced and skillful writer of English, so it is not surprising that the English alphabet and its phonetic values are the framework within which he worked. He also appears to have known some French, both spoken and written, and he occasionally uses the acute accent over e in the French manner, particularly when the e is final and would otherwise have to be read as [i] or be silent according to English norms.

In transcribing forms, Thompson sometimes proceeds phonetically (i.e. segment by segment), but whenever a portion of a Flathead utterance sounded like an existing English word, that portion is often spelled like the homophonous English word. Examples are the Flahead sequences [ti] and [yus], which are spelled respectively tea and ewes (items number 144 and 2 in the 1810 list). He often underlined portions of Flathead utterances, but there is no consistent pattern in the underlining, and it is not clear what he intended by it. It does not correspond to stress or to anything else discernible today. (See, for example, items number 8, 12, and 17 in the 1810 list.)

In writing consonants, Thompson is almost always successful in indicating their point of articulation. Manner of articulation was much more difficult for him, but he sometimes found means to deal with this problem as well. As would be expected, he had the greatest difficulty with consonants and articulations which have no analog in English.

In writing the obstruents, Thompson completely merged the velar and post-velar series, and he often failed to write anything at all for the velar and post-velar fricatives. For the stops, see items 22, 29, 35, 48 in the 1810 list; for the fricatives, items 9, 16, 31 in the same list; but compare also items 12, 15, 32, 47 in the short

list, where he heard the fricative and attempted to render it in his spelling. When
the velar and post-velar obstruents are rounded, Thompson usually captured the rounding,
which he wrote either as a following rounded vowel, as w, or as qu. For examples, see
items 4, 15, 34, 42, 49, and 127 in the 1810 list. He tended to miss the rounding of
the consonant when a rounded vowel followed, see items 1, 49, and 55 in the short list.
Glottalization and glottal stop are nowhere recorded; examples of this omission abound
in both lists.

As for the other obstruents, the affricate /c/ is written as ch, tz, or s, see
items 5, 18, 20, 40, and 62 in the short list and item number 120 in the 1810 list.
/ƛ/ was very hard for Thompson; his usual response was kl (e.g. items 62 and 102 in
the 1810 list), unless he simply missed the stop onset and heard only the lateral
quality (e.g. items 25, 89, 94, 151, 255 in the 1810 list). In item 19 in the 1810
list he combines the two. Thompson wrote the other laterals quite successfully by
combining English sh or th or h with l, in either order, although l is usually written
following the graphs for the English fricatives. Examples are items 1, 3, 22, 52, 54,
100, 122, and 180 in the 1810 list. When he erred, it was in missing the fricative
quality. In these cases he wrote l alone, see items 36, 57, 120, and 144 in the 1810
list.

Syllabic resonants are usually written with a preceding or following vowel; the
vowel chosen seems to depend on the other consonants in the environment. Examples
are items number 11, 33, 47, 109, 112, 116, 141, and 256 in the 1810 list. Fricatives
which are utterance-initial and followed by other consonants also tend to be written
with a support vowel, see items 30, 42, 55, 58, 94, and 104 in the 1810 list.

Thompson's rendering of vowel sounds is less predictable, which follows from
the multiplicity of ways that English vowels are spelled in the heavily historical
orthography of English. ee and e in open syllables, and ee in closed syllables, norm-
ally represent [i], but i is also used in this value, especially in closed syllables.
Examples from the short list are numbers 7, 14, 27, 31, 36, 45, 65, 67, and 76. The
graph i is also used with the value [ay] (e.g. item number 14 in the 1810 list) or [ə]
(items 12, 15, and 39 in the 1810 list).

a in open syllables usually represents [e], as do ai, ay, and é in all positions.
Examples are numbers 30, 38, 58, 62, 70, 134, and 152 in the 1810 list. e in closed
syllables usually represents [e] also, see numbers 1, 28, 96, 134, and 218 in the 1810
list. ae, a somewhat rare graph, represents [e], [ey], or even [i], see items 24, 75,
102, 128, 211, 230, 241, 243, and 259 in the 1810 list. a in a closed syllable, and ar
or ah in open syllables, normally represent [a]. Examples from the short list are 6,
17, 19, 36, 39, 40, 45, 67, 77.

oo, ou, or u͟ represent [u], see items 1, 4, 9, 18, 25, 33, 44, 49, and 52 in the short list. Note, however, that u͟ in a closed syllable can also represent [ə], see numbers 6 and 69 in the short list.

Thompson often indicates a "long" (i.e. tense) vowel quality in a closed final syllable by writing a silent final e͟, as in the English word stoke. Representative examples are numbers 2, 30, 103, 109, and 180 in the 1810 list.

In spite of the numerous mishearings and the ambiguities of rendering, it is surprising that Thompson did as well as he did, given the complexity of Flathead phonetics and phonology. Other early recorders (e.g. Eliot, John Smith, Edward Umfreville) did worse with languages that are simpler.

The balance of this paper will consist of a transcription of the "Saleesh-Kullyspele" vocabularies of David Thompson, together with as many modern recordings of the same items as could be obtained. The list is followed by commments on the phonological, morphological, syntactic, lexical, and semantic content of the Thompson lists.

3. The Salish word lists of David Thompson. Given below are the two Salish word lists collected by David Thompson. The first list, called here the "short" list, was included without break in a long Assiniboine list identified as Mandan in the original notebook. Numbers in the right-hand margin in the short list are cross references to identical or near identical items in the 1810 list, which follows. References to items in the short list which appear in section 4 of this paper have S following the item number. The second list, found a few pages later in the same notebook, is the labelled and dated list of 1810. This list was filled in on pages which had been prepared in advance for work with informants. For the roughly 1,000 glosses which Thomposn had expected to get he was able to obtain fewer than 400. Glosses for which no Flathead equivalent was obtained have been omitted here.

The modern Flathead forms were obtained with the competent and patient help of Mr. Tony Incashola, Mrs. Dolly Linsbigler, and Mr. Clarence Woodcock. Occasional Spokane references come from the unpublished Spokane Dictionary of Barry F. Carlson. Colville forms are from Mattina's field notes.

1.		En Koo	ņk̓ʷu	239, 378
2.		Es sane	˒esél	
3.		Kel theȿ	če˒łé˒	
4.		Moose	hu̇s	
5.		Chiltz	cil	
6.		Tah Kun	t̓áq̓ən	
7.		Sees pil	sísp̓əl	
8.		A a nin	he˒é˒nəm	
9.		An oot	x̣ṇut	
10.		O pinks - O pin	úpən	
11.	Lake	Kilth Kul é	čłq̓li	182
12.	River	En togh et too goo	ņtx̣ʷetkʷ	266
13.	Wind	Snae oot	snew̓t	359
14.	Bad	Ti yae	t̓éye	24
15.	Good	Aest Ahest	x̣est	134
16.	Chevreuil	Choo ool	cu˒úlixʷ 'deer',	70
			cu˒ú 'white tail doe'	
17.	Cows	Es Stum malt é	st̓ma	55
18.	Bulls	Chooth lim	scúłəm	54
19.	Horse	Chiltz altz Kar Kin	sənč̓łca˒sqá(x̣e˒)	162
20.	Red Deer	Tae yetz é	tšec̓	263
21.	Doe	Snae chiltz un	snéč̓łce (arch), sne	264
22.	Woman	Smae em	səm˒ém	361
23.	Man	SKul ta mu	sqəltmí	196
24.	Child	Sloo e noo min tin		195
25.	Road	Su Su elth	šu˒šwe̓ł	261
26.	Where	A Kane	čen̓	Cf 353
27.	Fire	Sol e sheetztin	sol˒šítṇ	121

28. Water	Sou olth	sewɫkʷ	351, 82
29. Give	Itz quane	ckʷent 'give it to me'	142, 58
30. Fish	Swou ulth	sẃewɫ	122
31. House	Cheet too	citxʷ	166
32. Dog	Ahgk a cheen	xˀɫcin	94
33. Gun	Soo loo la meen	sululmín	140
34. Knife	In chim in	nínč̣mən	
35. Beads	Ilth quon a kam quam	ɫqʷənqʷeyń 'green beads'	30
36. Ball	Tahp a meen	t̓apmín	23, 26
37. Powder	In pook a meen	ṇpqˀʷmin	141
38. Cloth	Quol quilt		
39. Blanket	Star ke	st̓aqʷey 'quilt'	38
40. Coat	Snartz Kane	snacqéy	
41. French Men	Sa mer	séme?	203
42. Kootanees	Skultz sou a quoi	sqəɫsé	200
43. Flat Heads	Sa lees	séliš	197
44. Snake Heads	Snoo eh	snúwe	201
45. Green Indians (illeg.)	Sa ah pe tin ne	saaptní	199
46. Beaver	Skul lou	sqlew̓	29
47. Bear	Sim ah i a Kin	səmx̣éyčən 'grizzly hide'	28a
48. Rat	Kil outh	č̣č̣lexʷ	230
49. Otter	Il te Koo	ḷtkʷu	
50. Net	Hoy yape		234
51. Great	Koo toont	kʷtunt	138, 34
52. Little	Ilth Kook i you mer	ɫk̓ʷk̓ʷyúme?	188
53. Axe	Shil a meen	šəlmín	20
54. Kettle	Ilth Kape	ɫčep 'bucket'	180
55. Awl	Kilth Ko men	ƛ̓qʷúmən	19

56. What do you want	Sta_am	stem'	CF 303
57. Snow	Smae Kun Athk loo Kootanai		
		smék'ʷət	299
58. Cold	Eth Ku moos um	ix'ʷmusəm 'very cold'	76
59. Sun	Speh Kun né	spq̓ni?	316
60. Moon	do	spq̓ni?	223
61. Today	Ya tilth wae	yétɫx'ʷa	
62. Night	Koo Koo aetz	k'ʷk'ʷ?ec	235
63. How many	Koo yintz	k̓'ʷinš	167
64. Morrow	Ahl leep	x̣lip	104
65. Come here	Choo ee	cx'ʷuyš	78
66. Go away	Tut a cha iz	čci?š	133
67. You	An noo we	anwí	13
68. Me	Koo e yae	q'ʷoy?é	231
69. Him	Tu ool um	tíx'ʷləm 'different'	71S
70. Dead	Thail Thla il	ƛlil	89
71. The other	Te ool um	tíx'ʷləm	69S
72. Enough	Hoy	hoy	
73. Smoke	Tah Kaes	n̓tqeys 'he fills it'	
74. Pipe	Is men oot in	sənménx'ʷtn̩	248
75. Stem	Ta pa pik in		249
76. Great Many	OO it	x'ʷ?it	215
77. No	Ta am	tam, ta	236
78. Yes	Ah ah Ae koot	?e put (?)	366
79. Canoe	Kle a	ƛiyé?	62
80. Trade	Es too maes te	estumísti	28

1810 LIST

1. What do you call it	Stem shloo, tarn oo we	stem łu t anwí
2. Ableness	Sisse ewes	sisyús
3. If you are able	Thlanoowe Sisseewes	łu ne anwi kʷ sisyús
4. All give	Et che aɟm Itch quaint	e siyàʔm ckʷent
5. Give me the whole	Et che arm Ootch weet chil to	
		e siyàʔm kʷu cxʷícʊłtxʷ
6. Take the whole half	Num ur Kaw qui oos tum	...xʷewstʊm
7. Almost	Che chate Oo chins oo e	ccʔit u čʊn swiʔ...
8. Always	Ma hope Yoo arks ate	m̩xʷop xʷʔásqʊt
9. always snowing		
10. Not that one only--I must have two		
	Toham Shlu Koks weet chil Essané kokes weet chil too	
	tam łu kʷu ksxʷícʊłt, esesèl kʷu ksxʷícʊłtxʷ	
11. Another	In Kote	n̩kʷu
12. I am angry	Tin Si eemnt	čʊn esáymti
13. You are "	Annowe Si eemnt	anwi kʷ esáymti
14. He is "	Sha Si eemnt	šeʔ esáymti
15. Give him another	In Kote weet chilt	n̩kʷu xʷícʊłt
16. Ask him	Choon te Choo ti	cúnti cúti
17. Assist me	Es waetcht	xʷalčst 'reach out'
18. Associate	Naekschoot Narschoot	...cut ...cut
19. Awl	Kilth ko men	ƛq̓ʷómən
20. Axe	Shil a meen	šʊlmín
21. My axe	Koo ya Shil a meen	aʷoyʔè šʊlmín
22. Your axe	Keshloo Shil a meen	qe (łu) šʊlmín (qe 'our')

23.	Arrow	Tarp e meen	ʾtapmín	36S, 26
24.	Bad	Ti yae (Ti yee ?)	téye?	14S
25.	Bag	Thlar a nar	ƛáqne?	
26.	Ball (for musket)	Tarp e meen	ʾtapmín	36S, 23
27.	Bark - Birch	Kg Quol ehné	čqʷłna?	36
28.	Barter	Es too mas te	estuwmísti	80S
29.	Beaver	Skul ow Skul oo	sqlewʾ	46S
30.	Beads	Ilth quon a quane	łqʷənqʷéyn̓	
31.	Bell, Horse			
32.	" Hawk	Etz loo le wiltch		cm luwlíwlx ʿbell	
33.	Belt	In pool chalk es tin	ŋ̓pulčá(qstn̩)	
34.	Big	Koo too oont	kʷtunt	138
35.	Berries in general	Slar erk	słaq	57
36.	Birch Tree	Kg Quol ehné	qʷłna?	27
37.	Black	K Quie	q̓ʷay	
38.	Blanket	Stork or Starké	s̓taqʷéy	39S
39.	Blood	Zina ool	sənxʷúl	
40.	Boiled Blood	Met a cheep		cm matƛáya? blood	
41.	Boss⁷ of a Buffalo	Cho lapes um		
42.	Bow	Itch quint	čkʷinč̓	
43.	Break it	In Kow oops	(ŋ)q̓əwúps 'he breaks it' Cf 46	
44.	Broken	Kow es tum	q̓əwstém	
45.	Bridle	Natch skar Kaw	(ŋ)acsqáx̣e?	
46.	Broken	Kow es tum	(see 44)	
47.	Brook	Ne she ate	ŋ̩ši?étkʷ	266
48.	Brother elder	An ner Kaest et	anqécč	
49.	Your Brother in general	Ar sin Koo sa	asənkʷsíxʷ	

50.	" younger	E seen sir	isínce?	
51.		She tis	ši?ítis 'his first born'	
52.	Buttons	Ihlu a aler Ihle le ari	illiyá?	
53.	Buffalo			
54.	" Bull	Chooth lim	scúłəm	18S
55.	" Cow	Es tum al té	stma?	17S
56.	Cartier's Name	Chin a la ma lé		
57.	Berries	Slar erk	słaq	35
58.	Bring it	Itch quaint	ck^went	142
59.	Camp here	Et chee shlees tookelth	esccíłši, tk^wk^we	
60.	Where do you camp	Kin shlaer Too Kelth	čen ... tk^wk^we	
61.	Calm	Ilth ar skum		
62.	Canoe of Pine	Kle a	ƛiyé?	79S
63.	Carp	Es koot chin		
64.	Cedar Tree white	Ahs to quor	astk^w	
65.	Red Cedar	Poon shlip	punłp	
66.	Cendre	Qua to Kin (?)	q^wélcən	
67.	Charcoal	Char cha out	cax̣^wcəx̣^wt	
68.	Charitable	Kool a quon	ŋq^wəŋq^wnéls	
69.	Do me Charity	Kin quon a meen	k^wu ŋq^wənmínt	
70.	Chevreuil	Choo oolé	cu?úlix^w 'deer'	16S
71.	Take care of it	Art tin te sha		
72.	Chieftain	Il me oom Ile me oom	ilmíx^wəm	
73.	You do not take care - Is it that you do not see it			
		Tar mar wee chil shez too	... wičłtx^w	
74.	Child Boy	Su ooltz		
75.	" Girl	A taelt	ox̣télt 'baby'	
76.	Cold	Eth Kee moos um	ix^wmúsəm	58S

Redup.?
-kʷ 'water'

77. I am cold	Chil Cheit-koo eya-Char chawlt		
		čən (?) ċaɬt	
78. Come here	Choo e	cxʷuyš	
79. Cord	Seep e	síṗi?	
80. Crane	Skul sheen	skʷalšín	
81. Cunning	Par part	pəxpáx̣t	
82. Current	Sesse ewes Sou oolth	sisyùs séwɬkʷ	Cf 351
83. Cut	Akin is - Etch Kow is	níčis - q̓aw (?)	
84. Cream Colour	Pa erk ar lips	ṗíq	
85. Dags hand (?)[8]	In chim in In kim in	ḷčmin ḷk̓min	
86. Eyed	Skit á moǿsi - Chit á moǿsi		181
87. Eyed	I pum een		
88. Day[9]	Kil ooq	čluxʷ 'evening'	
89. Dead	Thla il	ƛ̓lil	
90. Distress	Kul a quaint	q̓ʷənq̓ʷént (?)	
91. I am in distress	Kooe ya Kul a quaint	q̓ʷoy?e " (?)	
92. You are "	Shlan oo we Kul a quaint	ɬ anwí ...	
93. You are not "	Shlan oo we tarché Kul a qaint		
		ɬ anwí tam ...	
94. Dog - Male	Aghk il chin	x̣ƛ̓cin	32S
95. Door			
96. shut it	El quoth lin quome	eɬk̓ʷɬənšńép 'shut it again'	
97. open it	Too quain choot		
98. Done	**Keessee**	kʷ wi?s...	
99. It is well done	Kee seep shlees ai hest Skoolmin		
		q̓siṗ ... x̣est ck̓ʷul...	
100. Have you done it	Shlanoowe eskool me	ɬ anwi esk̓ʷulmi	

101.	Drink	Shoostin	sustṇ
102.	Duck	Saes Kloo	sesx̌ᵂům
103.	Eagle white headed	Kul Kane	pqʋlqéy
104.	Early in the Morning	Ah leep	x̣lip
105.	Earth	E Stoo loo	stúlixᵂ
106.	Eat	Eeth lint	ʔiɬʋnt
107.	Will you Eat	Seeth lint er	
108.	Enough	Hoy - Hoystum	hoy, hóystʋm 'he quit'
109.	Far	Le Kote	l̩kᵂut
110.	Fat	Koocht	q̓ᵂoct 137
111.	Father	Lar hower	l̩ʔew
112.	Fear	In ah lim	ṇx̌éləm
113.	I am afraid	Koo e ya ar (?) lim	qᵂoyʔe ṇx̌éləm
114.	I am not "	Koo e ya tarch in ar lim	qᵂoyʔe ta čən ṇx̌éləm
115.	Feather	Skoo poor ilks	sqpú(lqs)
116.	File	Tar koost in	x̣ᵂaq̓ᵂstṇ
117.	Make a Fire	Es Kum een wil	
118.	Fir Red	Sa art tar quol ip	sʔatqᵂɬp
119.	Bring wood	Eth Koom im Look	čqmìnʋm t lúkᵂ
120.	Fir White	Ska lip	cqe̓ɬp
121.	Fire Tub	Sol e sheetz tin	solʔšítṇ 27S
122.	Fish	Sew oulth	suwéw̓ɬ
123.	Fisher	Cheeps	čišps
124.	Flint	Zintz a la lé	... ololé
125.	Fool	Quar ha koot	qᵂáwqᵂət
126.	Fox Red	Ar lim Naw Kim ar los	
127.	Black or silvered	Wah wah	x̣ᵂax̣ᵂaá

128.	Gallop	Iskaels el shé	esqéčəlši
129.	Girl	Pulth Koom	
130.	Glad looking	Aetz un	
131.	Go on	E oo	ix^wúy (arch)
132.	Go	Oo é	x^wuy
133.	Get you gone	Tut a cha iz	c̓ci?š	66S
134.	Good	Ai hest	e x̣est
135.	When are you going	Kin Shlach e ewes	čen ɬa? cx^wist
136.	Dwarf Goose	Ilth kar kgan	
137.	Grease	Es ko or Es chit a mool	esq̓^wóct sčɫmul	Cf 110
138.	Great	Koo too oont	k^wtunt	34
139.	Grey	Spoo Espoom	esp̓úm
140.	Gun	Soo loo lar min - Shoo loo la min	
			sululmín
141.	Gunpowder	In pook a meen	ņpq̓^wmin	37S
142.	Give me	Itz quane	ck^went	58
143.	He gives me	O o weetz	k^wu x^wícəɫts
144.	Give him	Wee chilt tea	x^wícəɫti (pl)
145.	He gives you	Wee chilt	x^wícəɫts
146.	Give it to him	Wee chilt shá or Annowe wee chilt	
			x^wícəɫt šé
147.	Hair	Quos quite al Engun	
148.	Hang it up	Etch il a quo ser (?)	čqəlx^wéẃsənt (?)
149.	Haste make	We ate lalesh	x̣^we?ɬéš
150.	Hate	Ki ahs poose si eem tis	...aspu?ús saymtís (?)
151.	Hat	Spilth Kane	sp̓x̣qey (?) ? Cm
152.	Hear	Sa win	séwne? sṗətǥín 'woman's cornhusk hat'

153. I hear   Sa win Koo eya   sèwne? qʷoy?é

154. You heard   Ihest or Sa win   x̣est kʷ séwne?

155. You have no ears   Tarks Sa win   ta kʷ essewne? 'You don't listen'

156. Herring   Chil la nou   čléne? 'sucker'

157. Hawk   Skuk K̲a̲

158. Good Horse   Skael quaelt

159. A Cut Horse   Sin quo quor ape   sənq̓ʷa?q̓ʷ?épəls

160. Swift Horse   Is tah est

161. Stone Horse

      Tah chin Sin quo quor ape   a esənq̓ʷa?q̓ʷ?épəls

162. Horse male   Chiltz altz Kar   sənčɬca?sqáx̣e?   19S

163. Mare   Smo mee chin   smu?

164. Here   Kaech Shlarkil

165. Hold (illegible)   Itz quosk   eskʷéstxʷ 'you hold'

166. House   Che̲e̲too   citxʷ   321, 31S

167. How many   Koo e̲e̲nths Queench   k̓ʷinš

168. I am hungry   Tin Kumma   ... q̓me?

169. He is   "   Es kumma te̲a̲   esčsq̓mélti (?)

170. I shall be hungry   Wartin skumma

171. You will be   "   Wart Skumma Annowe

172. Will you " "   Ie eethlint a̲r̲   ...?iɬʌntxʷ ha

173. I$ Are you hungry   Tarmar skumma a   tam kʷ (?) esčsq̓mé?

174. Eat   Eeth lint   ?iɬʌnt

175. Go a Hunting   Eooks Kil pim mé   qsčɬpmi?   384

176. It is mine   Tin Kooeya   ... qʷoyé?e   222

177. It is yours   Tarn oowee   ... anwí

178. It is his   Sne̲e̲ltz   cniɬc

179. Illness-Are you ill	Chaeltz see Annowe	čca?lès anwí		
180. Kettle	Ilth Kape	ɫčep	54S	
181. Knife	Chit a moos - Skit a moos	čqtmus 'eye wound'	• Cf 86	
182. Lake	Ilth Kart e Koo	ɫq̓etkʷ		
183. Lazy	Tar am Sisseewes-In am oo	tam sisyús, tɔnmú? (?)		
184. You lie	Se awk ist	syóqʷist 'a lie'		
185. he lies	Se awk ist tea sha	syòqʷist ... šé		
186. Leggins	Slak te	sx̣eƛ̓íšən (?)		
187. Linen	A a pis tin	ép̓stən 'wipe with'		
188. Little	Koo Koo you mer	k̓ʷək̓ʷyúme?		
189. I have lost it	Too na host	... oóst		
190. He has lost it	Host sha	oòst šé		
191. You have "	Tarn oo we host sha	t anwì oós(əntxʷ)		
192. Have you lost it	Host a	... ha		
193. Love	Ah man tine-**Skar Kark**	x̣ménčən ...		
194. Man old	Par par oot	pəx̣ʷpx̣ʷót 'old'	362	
195. Young	Swoo oo noon tin	swinúmtən	24S	
196. Man	Skul ta mu	sqəltmí	23S	
197. Men - Flat Heads	Sa leesh	séliš	43S	
198. Ear Pendants	Kully spel	qlispé		
199. Greenwood	Sa arp a tin é	saáptni	45S	
200. Kootanai	Skultz sore a quoi	sqəlsé	42S	
201. Snake Indian	Snoo eh	snúwe	44S	
202. Crow Mountain	Stem ché	stemčí		
203. Frenchmen	Sa mer	séme?	41S	
204.	Chit a nack sta			
205.	Sin a Ka ous á	čqəq̓yús 'Sioux'	?	

n̓g̓aʔáẅs ——

wouldn't this refer to the "Middle Columbia"?

207.		Sin quart oo qua (Kart)	
208.	Lower	Oo che nar Kane	učnaqéy 'Okanagan' •
209.		Sees pil is	Cf Cv sənspílx
210.	Colum	Smil Kum is	sməlqmís
211.		See waes pist	six^wépi 'Shuswap'
212.	bia	Šiń póil	Cf Cv sənpᶝ^wilx
213. Can you make it		See oos tea ar	
214. Make it		Es kool mé	√k̓^wul̓
215. Many		Oo ate	x^wʔit	76S
216. March		Koo too yé	tk^wʔúti
217. Magpie		Il lo quol ur ko kane-In or Ko ar nin	Cf an̓ʔ
218. Meat fresh		Kul lel ché	qlelč
219. dried		Etz tars (?)	
220. Mend it		Es Kool mé	√k̓^wul̓
221. Mine		Kóó é ýá	q^woyʔé
222. It is mine		Tin Koo eya		176
223. Moon		Speh kun né	sp̓qniʔ	316, 225
224. More		Staeth lim as in Opin Staethlim Moose	
		... as in ʔupneɬmús '14'	
225. Morning		Eth che shlees Speh Kun né ... sp̓qniʔ		223, 316
226. Morrow		Ah leep	x̣lip
227. Mother		Skoo é	sk̓^wuy
228. Mountain		Es maw Koo ⁻ᴇ choot	esmóq̓^w
230. Musk Rat		Kill aefth	ččlex^w	48S
231. Myself		Koo e ya	q^woyʔé	221, 222, 176

232. Mullet	Qui aetcht		
233. Small Fry	Ilth Kane		
234. Net	Hoy yape		· 50S
235. Night	Koe Koo aetz	kʷkʷʔec	
236. No	tah aₘ	ta	77S
237. Onion Roots	Eet too woy	ʔítx̣ʷeʔ	
238. Open	Mis Koo tarl é	miʔk̓ʷəɫtalé 'unwrapped'	
239. Other	In Kote	n̥k̓ʷu	Cf 1S, 378
240. The other	Kglo Ki youte		
241. Partridge	Squaes Koos	skʷískʷɔs	
242. Path	Su su elth	šuʔšw̓éɫ	338
243. People	Skael loo	sqélixʷ	
244. My Parents	Stem aelt		
245. Your "	Ars tem aelt		
246. His "	Sha stem aelt		
247. Piece	Quael oo		
248. Pipe	Sin a mane	sənm̓é(nxʷtŋ)	
249. Pipe Stem			
250. Pipe empty	seep	c̓sip 'all gone'	
251. Pitiful	Kul a quaint or Kil Kul a quaint		
		qʷ ɔn̓qʷén̓t	Cf 68, 69
252. Poplar	Mool é	mulš	
253. Presently	Quon ar	k̓ʷen̓é 'after a while'	312
254. Rain	**Stra pez**	stip̓éys	
255. Rapid	Sla art e Koo	sƛ̓x̣etkʷ	352
256. Raven	Me lar Kul uks	m̥la	
257. Red	Quol é	kʷil	

258. Return   Kee chint   čícɔnt 'meet someone'

259. Rings   Chin puk aetz   čɔnp̓qey

260. Rise up   Qouw a sta shel shé   ... šɔlši

261. Road   Su su elth   šu?šweł   338, 242

262. Roan Colour   Qua a Kin   Cm. q̓ʷáyqɔn 'roan'

263. Red Deer Male   Ta yetz a   tšec̓ 'bull elk'   20S

264.   Doe   Sna chiltz er   snéčłce 'cow elk   21S

265. Rock   Sha entz   šenš   309

266. River   Noo wart e Koo - Ne shee ate

      ...ṇtx̌ʷetkʷ, ṇši?étkʷ   47

267. Saddle   Chil skin skar   ...sqá(x̣e?)

268.   "   Stuff   Sin Keep skin Kar Kin

269. Salmon   Simil Shleek   səmłí(čɔn)

270.   "   Spokane   In tinte   Cf Cv ṇtityíx

271.   "   Kootanai Salmon   Skil oo is

272. Same   Ethz aelth   ic̓x̣éł

273. When will you return   Koon et arskit et choo e   k̓ʷɔnxàsq̓ɔt ełcx̌ʷúy

274. In one night   En koo met ars ket   ṇk̓ʷumtásq̓ɔt

275. In two nights   Essané lasket   aslásq̓ɔt

276. In three nights   Kil shleen lars Kate   ča?łlásq̓ɔt

277. In four nights   Moose lars Kate   muslásq̓ɔt

278. Ice   Weetchilt   cf.

279. I see it   Kussu wertchit sa

280. I do not see it   Tars weet chilt in   ta wičłtɔn ... 'I didn't see his ...'

281. He sees it   Weet chilt <u>tea</u>   wičłts ...

282. I have seen it or found it

      Tun é weetchil tin   ... wičłtɔn

283. Did he see it — Weet <u>cht</u> ar ⸺ *(handwritten)*

284. Has he seen or found it

           Weet chil tin <u>tea</u>   wíčɫtən ...

285. Did you see it — Weet chil tin <u>ar</u>   "

286. I have not found or seen it

           Tarch weet chil tin   ta "

287. I shall not see him — Tarks weet chilt

288. Shall I see him — Annowee ars poos see we weet chil tin ar

    Think you   anwi aspuʔùs wi (?) wíčɫtən ha

289. Shall you see him — Koon ta arks weet chilt <u>ar</u>

290. Shoes — ka shin   qeʔšín

291. Sinew — Taen ne   tinš   335

292. Your sister in general — She tis Arsoo Smaem   šʔìti asmʔém 'your first sister'

293. Sister elder — Alth che chee   ɫčíčše?

294.   younger — Ilth cher <u>oops</u>   icəcʔúps

295. Singing — Iz qua a min choote   cqʷəyməncút 'dance'

296. Sleep — Seet é   sʔitš

297. Smoke — Main   menx̌ʷ

298. Will you not smoke — Tarmer Koks main   tam kʷ qsmenx̌ʷ

299. Snow — Smae koot   smék̓ʷət

300. Snow melted — Te mool é   tiʔmúlex̌ʷ

           Is in a mool é

302. Sorrel — Spaet lee - loo

303. What do you say — Stem soon An noo we   stem̓ cuntxʷ anwí   56S

304. What is said — Echeent   ec̓ínt

305. Spoon — Thloo min   ɫûʔmən

306. Star — Koo Koo sim   kʷkʷúsəm̓

307. Steel fire	In cheek a tin	ŋciqtŋ 'digger'	
308. Stealing	Koo quar oo	ʼqʷoqʷéwlš	
309. Stone	Shar en	šenš	•265
310. Stretch the Beaver	Kool em tea Skul oo	k̓ʷùləm t sqléw̓	
311. Strong	sisse cwes	sisyús	
312. Stay a little	Quonar	k̓ʷené	253
313. Strouds blue	Quol quill	qʷeyn	
315. Sturgeon	Sim e toose	smetúse?	
316. Sun	Speh kun né	spq̓ni?	223
317. Swan	Spuk a mé	spqmi	
318. Talk	Quol a qual tem or Kul a qual tem		
		qʷəlqʷéltəm	
319. Take it	Itz quost	eskʷést 'hold this'	
320.	El chil ter Kote		
321. Tents	Cheet too	citxʷ	31S, 166
322. That	Che Shloo quaint	šey̓ łu kʷent 'take that'	
323. That same	Wooth le a	u łi hé? 'what about this?'	
324. That	Shá	šey̓	
325. I am thirsty	Ka soost	qe sust 'we drink'	
326. Give me to drink	Sin mool é	scunmúle?	
327. I think	Koon ta	kʷ unté 'you think'	
328. This	Ilth quelt		
329. Thou	An oo wé	anwí	
330. Thine	Ke shloo	qe łu 'our'	
331.	In chee shloo ate		
332. Long Time	Kguk seep	q̓sip	

333. Trout large   Λ aetz <u>kis</u> ar

334. Salmon Small   Pee stel   pisɬ 'trout'

335. Thread   Taen ne   tinš   .291

336. Tobacco   Is maen ar   smenx^w

337. Tongue   Te <u>oochk</u>   tix^{w}tč

338. Track   Su su elth   šuswéɬ   242, 261

339. Does he wish to Trade   Es tum maeste <u>ar</u>   estumísti ha?   80S, 28

340. I speak Truth   Oo na uf   unéx^w

341. He "   "   OO na Kul a quaint sh<u>a</u> oo na

                                           unèx^w q^{w}əlq^{w}èlt šeẏ unéx^w

342. You "   "   Oo na Kul a quaint   unèx^w k^w q^{w}əlq^{w}èlt

343. Trot on a Horse   Is stil shish shé   escílšəlši

344. Vermillion   Yout chum   yúc̓mən 'red clay'

345. Wet it   Sa oulth Koo et toon   Cf 351

346. It is wet   E <u>nars</u>   inás

347. It is dry   Cheer <u>tars</u>   čeʔitás 'hard'

348. Where are you going   El chane oo e Annoowe   ḷčeṅ x^{w}uy anwí (?)

349. Where is he going   La che chane oo e   ḷ ččeṅ x^{w}uy (?)

350. When will you return   Queench se e tish <u>etz oo</u>   ... šeẏ eɬcx^{w}úy

351. Water   Soo alth   sewɬk^w 28S, 82, 345

352. Waterfall   Sla art e Koo   sʌ̓xetk^w 255

353. Where   La <u>shane</u>   ḷčeṅ

354. Where is it   Le chane es <u>too</u> koo   ḷčeṅ estúk^w

355. Whip   Kaethoont   qéyx^{w}ənt

356. White   Pa uk - Pa <u>erk</u>   paq, piq

357. Which   Letz chane   ḷččeṅ 'which is next'

358. Which of them   Ets chane shlarks Koo nem   ḷččeṅ ɬu aqsk^{w}ném 'which are

                                           you going to take next'

359. Wind   Snae oot   sneẃt

360. Wolf large   In che chin   ṇcícɔn

361. Woman   Smae em   sɔmʔém   •22S

362.   old   "   " Par par out   pɔx̣ʷpx̣ʷót 'old'   194

363. Wood   Look oo or A look   luk̓ʷ

364. Wood of Birch   Kquol hane   qʷɫna

365.   Cedar   Ahs to quor   sʔastkʷ

366. Yes   Ah ah   ʔe

367. Where is my Horse   Oo tes quites weet chile too na arl cheen

         uc kʷ eswìcɫtxʷ inx̣λcín 'have you seen my horse?'

368. My horse   Koo e ya shloo chin Aghk il cheen

         qʷoyʔe ɫu čɔn epɫx̣λcín 'I have a h'

369. Your horse   Annoowe Skloo kip il aghk il cheen

         anwi ɫu kʷ epɫx̣λcín 'you have a h'

370. His horse   Seet a see you weet cheen

371. Ill Put it   Ar sil ar   esɔlʔé 'it's staying here'

372. Where is my Horse   Chane sin chitz atz kar   čeṇ sɔnčɫcaʔsqá(x̣eʔ)

373. Where did you see him   La shane weet chil too   l̩čeṇ wičɫtxʷ 'where did you see his ...

374. Where is your horse   Shane nan - nark il cheen   čeṇ anx̣λcín

375. Where is his horse   Shane tea ark il cheen   čeṇ ... x̣λcín

376. Where is my Knife   La shane nin chim in   l̩čeṇ nínčmɔn

377. Thy Horse   An ar kil cheen   anx̣λcín

378. Not that one - The other

         Tar am sha - in Kote   tam šeẏ ṇk̓ʷúʔ

379. Will you go with me   Sale ootz, tin sale oo - Annowe - Koo e ya

380. Will he go with me   Tar am arch e ma tin tea etz oo e

         ... cx̣ʷuy

do you know if he will go with me

382. Are there any Beaver   We tar skul ow   xʷʔit sqleẃ (ʔ)'many beaver'

383. When do you depart   La chane - koo oo é   ḷčen kʷ xʷuy

384. Go a hunting   E ooks Kill pim me   u kʷ qsčɫpmi   ·   175

385. How many nights   Queench Ka Koo Koo aetz   kʷinš ṇkʷkʷʔec

386. Have a little food   Shart es Senn

387.   Oo Annowe Ihest arts poost   u anwi x̣est aspuʔús 'if you want to'

Addenda:

  28b Bear, black   Sim a i a Kin

  163b. Colt   Ilth ka ki eetch   em. q̓q̓aʔik

4. <u>Analysis</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>lists</u>.

4.1. <u>Velar-palatal</u> <u>shifts</u>. The most dramatic bits of evidence that mark the lists as Pre-Flathead,

consist of Thompson's orthographic k in several items which, in the modern language, have [č]. The orthographic k is presumed to represent [k], and at this stage of development the language has [k] and [č], the palatalization having affected a large part of the lexicon, but not all. While some examples are more convincing than others, they leave little doubt that the *k > Fl č shift had not been completed at the time of the recording. There is neither obvious phonetic conditioning (e. g. several items show k before a high or mid vowel), nor any apparent systemic pressure that would delay the shift in classes of items. They are simply undergoing the shift later than other vocabulary items. These items are:

3S	kel ther	če?łé?	'three'
11S	kilth kal é	čłqli	'lake'
47S	sim ah i a kin	səmx̣éyčən	'grizzly hide'
48S	kil outh	ččlexʷ	'rat'
230	kill aefth	"	"
54S, 180	I1th kape	łčep	
27	kg Quol ehné	čqʷłna?	'birch' Cf. also 36
60, 135	kin ...	čen	'where' Compare these with 348, 372, 374 and 26S
88	kil ooq	čluxʷ	'evening'[9]
175, 384	kil ...	čł ...	
258	kee ...	či ...	
269	...eek	...ič(ən)	other difficulties with this form
267	kil shleen 1 ...	ča?łl ...	vowels present difficulties
337	ch k	tč	

In addition to the cases where an item found at different points in the lists shows alternately <u>k</u> or <u>ch</u>, Thompson himself shows an alternation in at least two other items, pointing to competing pronunciations:

85 · In chim in ~ In kim in (identified as łčmin 'mean'--whatever the intended form/gloss, the alternation k ~ č / k ~ č is valid)

181, 86 Skit a moosi - Chit a moosi

Of related importance is the fact that the shift *x > Fl š must likewise not be complete at the time of recording. This is more difficult to prove for the simple reason that Thompson did not have a readily available orthographic symbol for [x], a non-English sound. Nevertheless we can be fairly certain of the inference because on the one hand Thompson wrote sh for [š], and on the other he wrote nothing in a significant number of other cases where we would expect [š] (or [x], which is to the point). Such cases include 65S, 78, 291-355, 296, 326.

Other bits of evidence clinch the point: In 265 Thompson writes sha entz for šenš 'rock', where tz, which usually stands for [c] or [s], stands for [š]. The same word is written as 309 shar en. [x] is less audible that [š] to an English speaker's ear. Another case: in 167 Thompson gives the competing forms koo eenths and Queench, where ths is the closest equivalent Thompson could find to capture the presumably non-strident [x], as opposed to [š]. Two other cases are not clear, and they involve Thompson's use of é in place of the expected [š], 252 and 296. z stands for [š] in 66S, 133.

I should mention another matter, still related to the shift of the obstruents from the velar to the palatal position. The competing pronunciations hint that the velar obstruents must have been pronounced fairly forward in the mouth, while at the same time the alveolars and the developing palatals must also have been articulated within a very small region. As the modern developments of the language show, the Flatheads obviously never had problems distinguishing the alveolars from the palatal/velars. But Thompson did. With both the stops and the fricatives he often mistook the alveolars for the palatals. In addition to the cases where Thompson writes alternate pronunciations for one item (e. g. 140), or different symbols at different recordings of the same item (e. g. tz or ch for [c] in 143 ff.), there are several instances of orthographic ch (presumed to stand for [č]) where the language has [c], alongside the cases of ortho-graphic tz standing for [c] (e. g. 380). These cases include

5S	18S	32S, 94
65S	78	4
5	16	18 (possibly, if choot is [cut])
45	58	110
166, 321	273	294
295	307	374, 375, 377
360	66S	

There are also a few cases of orthographic ch where the language has [c]

16S, 70	5	15
77	144, 145, 146	304
360	344 (in this item t ch is [c]	

And there are even two cases of orthographic <u>ch</u> that stand for [s], 4 and 5.

The opposite case, where Thompson mistook a palatal for an alveolar is, as one expects, much rarer, but it occurs at least twice with the stops (357 and 358), and at least three times with the fricatives (63S, 265, 167). (In item 167 Thompson gives the competing pronunciations already discussed, while 265 should be looked at side by side with 309 where Thompson has nothing symbolizing [š]. Contrarywise, in one case, possibly two, Thompson mistakes an alveolar for a palatal: 101 and 43S.)

The Thompson lists contain several items in which orthographic <u>t</u> seems to represent [č]. If I have identified the morphemes correctly, then this choice of <u>t</u> might reflect the British pronunciation practice that palatalizes <u>t</u> before a high segment (e. g. 'tune' [tʸun]).   The evidence is far from clear, and an attempt to analyze may be little more than an excercise in frustration.

These cases include:

66S, 133	tut a cha iz	čci?š
193	ah man tine	x̣méṇčən
168	tin kumma	čən čsqme?
12	tin Si eemnt	čən esáymti
170	war tin skumma	(cf 168)
176, 222	tin kooeya	čən qʷoy?é
282	tun é eetchi tin	? čən ...
20S	tae yetz é	tšec

On the one hand it seems that in 12 and 168, 170, tin stands for čən 'I'. Cf. 171 wart Skumma Annowe 'You will be hungry' (also 173); on the other, one could suspect this is <u>t</u> plus <u>in</u>, where <u>in-</u> 'my', and <u>t</u> is the ubiquitous particle of a thousand labels.[10] And then again there is item 282. Here <u>tun é</u> looks like it stands for čən, but it can't, because <u>wíčltən</u> 'I see his ...' is a ditransitive that cannot take čən, an intransitive pronoun proclitic! Item 193 boosts the ti = č hypothesis, and items 379, 380 cannot help until we can identify what they stand for. Item 20S is likewise inconclusive evidence. There is one bit of evidence that confirms the identification of <u>tin</u> as čən. Gladys Reichard, in her 1927 edition of Father Post's <u>Kalispel Grammar</u>[11] reports: "When in about 1840, the first missionaries arrived in the midst of the Western Indian tribes, they found the Kalispel, a large and influential tribe, and they therefore, proposed to themselves to study the language of these people, although it was not among them, but among their neighbors, the Selish or Flathead Indians, that they established the first Mission. There is at any rate very little difference between these two languages. The Kalispel has a verbal form <u>chin</u> for which the Selish says <u>tin</u>..." (p. 1). Finally, I have to note the odd fact that, aside from those

forms given here, all examples translated in the 1st sg are for one reason or another aberrant. Some are actually 2nd sg or 1st pl (e. g. 327, 325) forms, and all the others have the independent pronoun q^{w}oy?é with them, probably a function of over-careful citation, or possibly a function of Thompson's insistence.

4.2. <u>Vowel shifts</u>. The most intriguing puzzles about the vowels revolve around the status of [e], [ey], and [i]. The three contrast in the modern language. There is no corresponding contrast in Colville, nor, to my knowledge, in Columbian.

Since Proto Interior Salish is reconstructed as having *i *a *u *ɔ, without mid vowels, one drools at the prospect that Thompson's two main conventions to represent [e], namely <u>a</u> and <u>ae</u>, correspond to a phonetic reality that points to the different historical sources of [e]. No such luck. Most of the Fl forms that Thompson writes with <u>e</u> correspond to *a; but so do those he writes with <u>ae</u>. But Thompson's orthographic conventions do tell us a few things about these Fl vowels: the shift that split [æ/ɛ] from *a has been completed. However the language still has a high front vowel whose range is [i] to [e]. This we know from the considerable number of entries with orthographic <u>i</u> that occur alongside <u>ee</u> and <u>e</u>, as well as a few forms with variant spellings <u>ee</u> ~ <u>i</u> (e.g. m<u>ı</u>n and <u>meen</u> to represent -min, one directly above the other, in Nos 140 and 141). It is also true that Thompson writes <u>stem</u> once as <u>stem</u> and once as <u>sta am</u>, but there is no further evidence (as in the case of [i] [e]) that [ɛ] and [a] presented for Thompson problems of recognition.

Three final comments conclude my remarks on Thompson's vowel orthographies.
(1) Mod Fl <u>sqlew</u> 'beaver' is written

| 46S | skul lou |
| 29, 382 | skul ow |

Similarly, Mod Fl <u>l?ew</u> 'man's father' is written

| 111 | Lar hower |

Does Thompson's <u>ou</u>/<u>ow</u> represent something closer to [ew] than to [aw]?
(2) Three examples have <u>o</u> where [e] is expected:

| 253, 312 | Quon <u>ar</u>, Quonar | for | k^{w}ené |
| 319 | Itz quost | " | esk^{w}ést |

(3) Item 124 <u>a la lé</u> stands for Mod Fl <u>ololé</u>. If this form derives from *ululá, Thompson's <u>a</u> must represent rounded vowels.[12]

4.3. Sporadic Replacements and Unexplained Cases

The Thompson lists show the not surprising substitution of expected n̲ with 1̲.
The phenomenon of the sporadic replacement of [n] with [1] had been observed by Boas
and continues to be observed, at least in the Interior. Items that show this are:
2S-275, 90, 91, 92, 93, 68, 251, 315. There are two cases of n̲ replacing 1̲, and this
is rather uncommon, see items 341 and 342.

The final remarks of this section are of a negative nature as they indicate what
we were not able to decipher and identify. The following is a list of such unresolved
puzzles with a few comments whenever appropriate.

(1) Thompson did not mark anything that points to the rounding expected in these
items:

39S	Star ke	for	staqᵂ'ey
38	Stork or Starké	"	"
185	Se awk ist	"	syóqᵂist
369	... loo kip il ...		... łu kᵂ epł...

(2) The distance between Thompson's orthography and our guesses of what the actual
utterance was is in many cases too great not to raise the question of accuracy or
meaningfulness. We list these cases because they might trigger other suggestions.
Our guesses range from the plausible to the almost certainly wrong, but they single
out all the cases that remain to be correctly identified:

8S.	n	for	[m]#	14S. ti	for	['te]
20S.	tae yetz		[tšec']	24S. mint		[mt]
263	ta yetz		"	35S. kam quam		[qᵂeyn']
12.	si eemnt		[esáymti] (also 13, 14)			
17.	es waetcht		[x̣ᵂalčst]	52. l		Ø
59.	et chee shlees tookelth	for	[esccíłši tkᵂkᵂe]			
60.	kin shlaer Too kelth	"	[čen' u kᵂ tkᵂkᵂe]			
66.	to kin		[l̥čen']	77. Char chawlt		[cáłt]
83.	akin is		[níčis]	83. Etch kow is		...[qaw]... (?)
93.	tarché		[tam]... (?)	98. Keessee		[kᵂ wi?s...
113.	ar lim		[nx̣élem] (Cf 114. in ar lim)			
119.	ØO		...[nəm t]...	121. tz tin		[tn̥]
149.	ate lalesh		[ɓ?łéš]	205. n		[q]; Ø for [y]
216.	koo too yé		[tkᵂ?úti]	152. sa win		ɓéwne?](also 153, 154, 15
19S-162.	Øch		[sənč] (Cf. 372)	19S. tz kar kin		[ca?sqá(x̣e?)]
161.	ch		[s]	162. tz kar		"
161.	ape		[épəls]	179. chaeltz see		[čca?lés]

238. Ø	[s]	238. Ø	[ɬ]
255. arte	[x̣et] (352)	· 257. Quol é	[kʷil]
283. t <u>cht</u>	[čɬt]	323. a	[heʔ]
368. Ø	[epɬ]	387. arts	[as]

(3) We were unable to identify the following items (or some part of them as indicated): 38S,50S, 75S, 32, 40, 41, 56, 61, 63, 71, 74, 87, 97, 117, 127, 129, 130, 136, 147, 148, 151, 156, 157, 158, 160, 164, 165, 186, 206, 207, 209, 211, 213, 217, 219, 229, 232, 233, 234, 244, 245, 246, 247, 262, 268, 270, 271, 278, 301, 302, 320, 328, 331, 333, 370, 386; and

69 (kin)	124 (zintz)	168 thru 173 (all but the root)
183 (In am oo)	193 (skar kark	214 (all but /kʷuɬ)
260 (Qouw a sta)	267 (Chil skin)	279 (all but /wič)
282 (Tun é)	284 (n <u>tea</u>)	285 (in ≠ 'you')
287 (Ø ≠ 'I')	288 (we)	289 (all but wičɬt)
292 (Arsoo)	310 (<u>tea</u>) (Cf. 284, 185, 281)	
330 (ke ≠ 'thine')	345 (all but sewɬkʷ)	345 (Ø ≠ 'you')
350 (e tish)	374 (n(an))	379 (Sale ootz, tin sale oo)
380 (all but xʷuy)	382 (ar)	384 (E oo)
385 (ka)		

4.4. <u>Morphology</u>. The evidence that the language underwent morphological changes in the 200 years since the lists were compiled is unequivocal. The language has tended to elide the
post-tonic word-final consonant (clusters) of lexical suffixes.[13] The same tendency does not apply to inflectional suffixes, but a few forms show that some grammatical elements have been lost recently. But before I discuss the details of the elisions I should make two pertinent observations: (1) on the one hand we can be sure that the elision has actually occurred because any problem of recognition Thompson might have had would have caused him to hear <u>less</u>, not more than the actual form; and (2) on the other hand the suspicion that the list was provided by one who knew Colville returns, because unlike F1, Cv has retained full allomorphs of the lexical suffixes, and has not elided them as F1 has. The following cases exemplify the phenomenon:

				Cf Cv	
5S	Chiltz	for	cil		cil-kst
17S	Es Stum malt é		sƛma		sƛm-ʕalt
55	Es tum al té		"		"
20S	Tae yetz á		tšec̓		-ica? (?)
263	Ta yetz a		"		"
21S	Sna chiltz un		sne (snéc̓ɬce--arch)		-íɬca?
42S, 200	Skultz sore a quoi		sqɔlsé		(?)
33	In pool chalk est tin		ŋpuɬcá (...áqstŋ--arch)		-alqs(tŋ)
84	Pa erk ar lips		p̓iq		p̓iq-ɔlps
115	Skoo poor ilks		sqpu, sqpulqs		-əlqs
163	Smo mee chin		smu?		smúmc-xən
198	Kully spel		qlispé		
205	Sin a <u>ka</u> ousá		c̓qəq̓yús		
	(probably incorrectly identified. Cf Sinkaiuse)				
211	See waes pist		sixʷépi		
256	Melar kul uks		m̓la		-əlqs
259	Chin puk aetz		c̓ənp̓qey		-íca? (?)

In addition to these cases, others deserve individual comments.

(1) Item 10S, O p̓inks - Op̓in shows either two competing pronunciations, the first of which was eventually rejected by the informant, or, again, the knowledge of a related language (such as Cv--in which the form is ʔúpənkst; incidentally, this would be one of the items unaffected by the velar-palatal shift).

(2) Item 96, El quoth lin quome eɬkʷɬənšép, like the one just discussed, points either to an irregular change that affected this lexical item subsequent to the recording of the list, or to a Cv connection. The Cv equivalent is k̓ɬənk̓míp, and the Thompson recording shows a form more likely to be directly cognate with it rather

than with the Mod Fl:

        Thompson   quome   Mod Fl šnep   Cv knip

(3) Item 23S-196 Skultamu sqoltmí. We believe we have properly deciphered Thompson's handwriting and the final grapheme is u and not ee. If we are right then this u stands for [ix^w] and the form   has subsequently undergone the loss of the final x^w. Alternately, the form could be Cv. Cf Skael loo sqélix^w in which oo stands for ix^w (Cv sqilx^w).

(4) Item 3S kel thes če?łé? (Cv ka?łís) Similar to those already discussed, this form has either lost the final s after 1810, or is Cv. Note again k̲ in the orthography.

(5) Thompson gives the following related forms:

1S	En koo	one
11S	In Kote	... another
239	In Kote	other
378	in K̲o̲t̲e̲	... the other

The Mod Fl form has no t̲, and neither does the Cv cognate (which is not a free morpheme).

(6) The initial A of item 26S A kane čen remains unidentified. In the modern language čen--or at least the Cv cognate--occurs in a variety of constructions with various prefixes/proclitics. It is hard to say what grammatical forms Thompson collected.

(7) Thompson gives at least two spellings of -sqáxe?, namely 45 skar kaw and 19S zkar kin. I take the latter to be a mishearing.

(8) Note that if 40 kane = Fl -qey = Kalispel -qin (as reported by Vogt 1941) = Sp -qin (Carlson 1972), then a very recent change has taken place in Fl. The same morpheme k̲a̲n̲e̲ is probably in 73S, and certainly in 208 Oo che nar kane učnaqéy 'Okanagan'. This time Thompson's c̲h̲ suggests that the informant is not Colville, or he wouldn't have replaced [k] with [č].

(9) Finally, we give a list of unidentified grammatical morphemes or other errors/ puzzles:

107	(#S and er#)	225	(Eth che shlees)
220	(es, mé)	251	(ki)

4.5. Syntax

The evidence of syntactic change derives from the Mod Fl speakers' rejection of several utterances of the lists as awkward or otherwise unacceptable. All items the phonetics of which we have identified correctly that remain unrecognized by the Mod Fl speakers point unequivocally to syntactic change. All the other divergences from the Mod Fl detectable in the remaining items of the lists are attributable to stylistic changes. All such cases are discussed individually.

(1) The lists show that the Fl independent intransitive pronouns, once used freely juxtaposed with other predicates, have now ceased to behave so. Thus the Fl independent pronouns of 80, 102, 143, etc., are at least redundant and awkward, and possibly ungrammatical in the modern language. Note that the Cv dependent intransitive proclitics derive from suffixes. The personal pronoun suffix was added to the predicate, including the independent pronoun stem. In turn the independent pronoun, consisting of a pronoun stem and a pronoun suffix, preceded a predicate which also had a personal pronoun suffix. This suffix was eventually lost and its function taken over by the suffix attached to the preceding independent pronoun stem.[14] This suffix came then to be reinterpreted as a proclitic to the (following verb) stem. Item 1 has the independent pronoun as a complement ('by you'); item 3 has the independent pronoun followed by the pronoun proclitic-predicate ('you you-able'); item 12 has the pronoun proclitic plus predicate, and no independent pronoun. These are the three basic uses of these forms. However, the construction exemplified by 91, 92, 93; 113, 114, 153 are regarded as not standard, essentially with a redundant independent pronoun form. This could be a function of citation form, expanded for the benefit of the elicitor.

(2) Item 21 is ungrammatical. šəlmín 'axe' should either have the prefix epɬ- 'have' and the appropriate personal pronoun proclitic, or the prefix in- 'my'. Item 22 is translated (not surprisingly) incorrectly.

(3) The interrogative particle ha is sentence final in 288, 289, 339. It is sentence-initial in the modern language. It is either (or both) in Colville.

(4) If we have identified 224 correctly, then the Fl compound connecting morpheme has undergone substantial simplification. Alternately, the form might reflect the presence of the lexical suffix -čst / -kst in the construction.

(5) The grammar of √ta 'negative' must have changed. Mod Fl speakers cannot identify the various forms it occurs in:

77S	ta am
73	tar mar
236	ta ha
280	Tars
378	tar mar

(6) The morpheme represented by (t)ea, as well as its function, remains unidentified in the following occurrences:

185	˙syòqʷist ___šé	he lies
281	wičɬts ___	he sees his ...
284	wičɬtṇ ___	has he seen his ... (-n 'I')

310   k̓ʷúləm t (?) ___ sqlćw̓   stretch the beaver (he ...-s ?)

375   čeň ___ x̣ᶥcin   where is his horse

(7) If we have identified ᴼᴼ kee seep correctly as q̇sip, then its function is not understood.

(8) Finally, while the following elements remain unidentified,

150 (ki)   135 (shla)

179 (tz see)   189 (Too na)

280 (s)   363 (A)

these are incorrectly glossed:

191 (sha ≠ you)

192 (!lost ≠ you lost)

367 (na ≠ my)

4.6. Lexicon and Semantics

All the items in the lists that we were not able to identify raise important questions about the possible loss of these from the lexicon. In view of the fact that some of these items are core vocabulary (kin terms, some animal terms, etc), it becomes very important to explore further what the forms might be. Aside from all cases of possible obsolescence, several items deserve specific comments.

(1) Item 52 is recognized by contemporary Fl speakers as obsolete, and replaced in the Mod language by xpo?.

(2) Thompson's transcriptions of two items, 16S and 70, choo ool and choo oolé respectively, may or may not reflect the contrast present in the Mod language between ču?úlixʷ 'deer' and ču?ú 'white tail doe'.

(3) An apparent semantic shift has taken place with 35, glossed 'berries in general', as the modern meaning of the form is 'service berry'.

(4) Finally, one item, 212 Sim poil was crossed out by Thompson, but is recognizable as cognate with Cv sənp̓ᵂ̓ilx 'San Poil'. It raises again (along with 205, 209 and the other cases discussed) the question of the Colville connection--how much Colville did Thompson's informant know?

5. Conclusion. Notwithstanding all the unanswered questions, the lists document what would otherwise be unattested recent changes in Flathead and linguistic change in progress at the beginning of the 19th century. The best example of the first-mentioneᴶ are the lexical suffixes missing today but not yet elided at the time the vocabularies

were recorded. The best example of the second is the shift of /k/ to /č/, now complete, but still in progress at the time Thompson made his notes.

Other, broader conclusions are suggested by the list, however.

One of the more puzzling aspects of the vocabularies is their apparent mixture of traits from several contemporary Interior Salish dialects now regarded as distinct. A possible explanation for this mixed character is that an earlier dialect continuum has now been segmented and compartmentalized, with a levelling out of competing variants on each reservation. If this is the case, then it is possible that the reservation system has contributed both to the establishment of distinct "languages," as formerly nomadic bands were settled and their speech subjected to powerful local selectional forces, and to their demise, as the speakers were eventually assimilated into the larger society surrounding the reservations.

It should also be noted that the vocabularies bear witness to the universal validity of the philological method. While one does not usually associate philology with the analysis of North American Indian languages, the decipherment of these lists differs neither in method nor in the kinds of results obtained from the use of philological techniques on far older documents. In Thompson's Salish vocabularies we have a document recorded by a linguistically naive transcriber, trapped by the often contradictory orthographic conventions of his own language. All the strategies that pay off with a Gothic (or Hittite, or Chaldean, etc.) document work here too: every duplicate entry, every marginal note, every bit of historical information about the recorder and his informants, every detail about the circumstances of the recording, all give clues to the analysis of the document, which, thanks to these methods, can be compelled to yield information of value to historical and descriptive linguistics.

The Thompson lists also offer abundant testimony to the need for comparative data if interpretation of old lists is to be successful. Without the modern Flathead equivalents, virtually all of Thompson's recordings would be ambiguous at best and almost worthless at worst. This suggests that there is little hope that we will ever learn much from single recordings of now extinct, evidently isolated American Indian languages and dialects such as Adai, which survives in a short, single document from a single recorder.

FOOTNOTES

[1]We are very grateful to the Archives of Ontario for their kind
help in locating and obtaining copies of David Thompson's Indian vocabularies.
Mr. William Cooper, Archivist of the Private Manuscript Section, was
especially helpful and we thank him very sincerely.

The division of labor between the authors has been as follows: orthographic
interpretation and transcription of the Thompson manuscript lists, and the intro-
duction, were written by Taylor. Reelicitation of the list, and structural
analysis, were done by Mattina. The conclusion was written by both authors. Each
of us, however, has critically read and commented on the work of the other, so the
paper is in every sense a joint effort. This note disambiguates the use of first
person singular pronouns in the various sections of the paper.

[2]For example, Thompson's map of western Canada, drawn for the Northwest
Company in 1813-1814, was the best map available for portions of western
Canada throughout the 19th century. A contemporary American anthropologist
(Allan H. Smith) has used Thompson's recordings of longitude and latitude
to locate actual campsites in the lower Pend Oreille Valley occupied by
Thompson at the time of the readings. Thompson's description of the Mandans
makes far more interesting reading than Catlin's, and I recommend it to all
readers interested in ethnography and rhapsodic prose.

[3]Mattina's first impression was that the list was elicited from one who
knew more than one southern interior language, but tried to respond in F1,
and occasionally produced a contaminated (incorrectly doctored/guessed) form.
Later he had to conclude that the lists represent a pre-F1 dialect in which
some phonological shifts and other linguistic changes had not yet taken place.

[4]Coues, Elliott, Ed. New Light on the Early History of the Great
Northwest: The Manuscript Journals of Alexander Henry and of David Thompson,
1799-1814. New York, 1897. The Flathead vocabulary is found in volume 2,
pp. 714-718.

[5]Such reciprocal use of manuscript notes was apparently not uncommon in
the fur companies. A parallel example is the first vocabularies of Atsina
and Sarcee, published by Edward Umfreville, but perhaps collected by Andrew
Graham. It is also possible that Graham copied Umfreville's notes.

[6]For a detailed discussion that concentrates on orthography-related
problems of North American Indian languages, cf. Mary Haas' "Problems of
American Indian Philology" in Language, Culture and History, Stanford, 1978,
pp. 176-193. For an eloquent general discussion of the philological method
applied to North American Indian languages, cf. Ives Goddard's "Philological
approaches to the study of North American Indian languages: documents and
documentation" in Thomas A. Sebeok, ed. Current Trends in Linguistics,
Vol. 10, Mouton, pp. 727-745.

[7] For boss read 'hump'.

[8] For the moment I can trace this no further back than Eric Partridge's 7th Edition of A Dictionary of Slang and Unconventional English, Macmillan, 1970, where dag is defined as "A 'hard case'; a wag; a 'character'." Be a dag at means "to be extremely good at." These forms, however, are traced back to Australia, mid 1890's.

[9] The discrepancy between Thompson's gloss 'day', and the present day meaning 'evening' is problematic.

[10] Especially considering contiguous cases, e.g. 176, 177 Tin kooeya, Tarn oowee.

[11] I was not aware of this manuscript edition (American Philosophical Society Library) until July 1980. My student Brenda Speck has prepared an excellent edition of Post's Kalispel grammar, now published as Vol. 1 of the University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics. The fact that Reichard had also done an edition of that work (though arriving nowhere near the modern reworking of Speck), attests to the importance of Post's little known work. Incidentally, Reichard's comments confirm that the lists include Flathead forms.

[12] The u's are probably further reconstructible as $*w(ə)$. The stressed vowel is problematic in that other interior languages have forms with i ($<*i$). Cf. Kinkade and Sloat's reconstructions in their "Proto-Eastern interior Salish vowels." IJAL 38, pp. 26-48.

[13] Carlson reports that (nowadays) "the Spokans refer to Flathead speakers as 'those people that cut off their words'," referring to "the shortening of many forms by deletion of material beyond the accented vowel, a tendency [also] observable in Kalispel, but not as widespread." (Carlson, Barry F. A Grammar of Spokan: A Salish Language of Eastern Washington. UHWPIL 4, 4, 1972.) p. v.

[14] For a discussion of this topic and further references, cf. Laurence C. Thompson's "Salishan and the Northwest" in Campbell, Lyle, and Marianne Mithun, The Languages of Native America, Texas, 1979, pp. 692-765, esp. pp. 726-7.