The Non-Perfective Suffix(es) of Columbian (Salish)

H. Dale Kinkade

University of British Columbia

- O. In attempting to come to grips with the aspect system of Columbian during the summer of 1981, I found it was crucial to achieve an understanding of two suffixes, -mix and -ax, which I had long thought were intransitive middle and active, respectively, non-perfective markers. The situation became very unclear, however, when I observed that both occurred on non-perfective forms based on either perfective middles (marked by -m) or perfective actives (with no overt marking). Upon checking further, it turned out that the distribution of -mix and -ax, is largely phonologically conditioned, and that -ax, is derived historically from -mix, and further that this distribution is exactly paralleled by a pair of lexical suffixes with the same shapes. There are several minor complications (all explainable), but virtually no exceptions that cannot be reasonable accounted for.
- 1.0. Either -mix or -əx must occur suffixed to any intransitive predicate not in the perfective aspect. The two non-perfective constructions that require these suffixes are imperfectives (prefixed by sac- or s-, or their variants) and unrealized forms (prefixed by kas-, which is derived from kai-s-). The perfective middle suffix -m is lost (or is not used; alternatively, it fuses with the m of -mix) before these suffixes, hence

non-perfective intransitives are not marked for middle.

- 1.1. The stress status of -mix is somewhat unusual in terms of stress assignment in Columbian. Normally, a suffix will either be strong, weak, or variable in terms of stress assignment to a root or a suffix, and unstressed vowels are deleted. But -mix is variable in terms of stress, yet appears to take stress from some strong roots (which it should not), and does not cause deletion of the preceding stem-vowel. And it does have an unstressed variant (other than -əx^u) without a vowel, -mx. The basic distribution of -mix is following weak stems the stressed vowel of which (in perfective forms, where no strong or variable suffix occurs) is ½. After other stressed vowels, i.e. after strong stems, -əx^u occurs, and stress remains on the stem. Either -mx or -əx^u may be used following the lexical suffixes -cin 'mouth' and -qin 'head'. I will discuss each of these three variants in turn, starting with -mx, which has the most restricted environment.
- 1.2. -mx occurs only on stems ending in a stressed vowel.

 There are few such stems in Columbian, and I have only three illustrating this variant (all with middle -m in the perfective):
- (1) lwam they went, they walked / kaslwamx they're going (to go)
- (2) ck name he got something / kasck name he's going to bring something
- (3) nk nám he sang / sacnk námx he's singing

The instances of -mx after -cin and -qin are a little different, in that they require a merger of the final \underline{n} of these two suffixes with the \underline{n} of -mx. After this has happened, stress is stem-final, and -mx rather than -mix rust occur. But the n-m merger is optional, some speakers preferring one, some the other variant. Without merger, -ax must occur.

- (4) nk ancinm he sang a some / kank ancinx
 he started to sing kank ancinx
- (5) caqqcin he landed on the shore / kascaqqcimx he's going kascaqqcinax to land
- (6) lu²pcin he's thirsty / kaslu²pcimx he's going to get kaslu²pcinex* thirsty
- (7) stəmqin he's deaf / stəmqinx he's getting deaf stəmqinəx

Perhaps expectedly, this allowed variation results in some confused forms in which the merger of n-m does not occur before -mx or the variation occurs after an unstressed variant of -cin or -qin (or both these possibilities together):

- (8) láx cnm he cried / sacláx cnmx he's crying sacláx cnəx
- 1.3. -mix occurs primarily when the stressed vowel of the perfective stem is 5; these are all weak stems.
- (9) tə́pm it thundered / kastəpmix it's going to thunder
- (10) xəllkm it spun, it turned / cxəllkmix it's spinning, it's turning
- (11) lámm he stole / kaslammíx he's going to steal
- (12) tə́mx it's worn, it's ragged / stəmx mix it became worn
 out
- (13) mox t he laughed / sacmox tmix he's laughing

- (14) cáx ax it leaked out / kascax ax mix it's going to spill (15) and a cold / kas amix he's catching a cold Stems like the following with i appear to be exceptions, but Columnia.
- bian regularly developed $\underline{\hat{\sigma}}$ to $\underline{\hat{\iota}}$ before \underline{v} , and these have underlying $\hat{\sigma}$:
- (16) k im he hunted / kask imix he's going to hunt
- (17) číyt he paddled, he rowed / kasčiytmíx he's going to paddle Even (18) is regular, if derived from *wəŷ' (and note Colville waŷ' 'goodbye', also from *wəŷ' by regular sound shift):
- (18) ?acwi? it's finished / kaswi?mix it's going to grow (of a plant)

An important group of stems with $\frac{1}{2}$ are those with the suffix -p 'inchoative':

- (19) cáxp it caught fire / kascaxpmix it's going to burn
- (20) hámp it wore out / kashampmix it's going to wear out
- (21) $1\acute{a}x^{M}p$ he got hurt / $s1ax^{M}pmix$ he's getting hurt However, -p is only one variant of the inchoative morpheme--the one that occurs after weak roots (mostly with \acute{a}). With strong roots (usually, but not always, with vowels other than \acute{a}), the variant is -?- infixed between the (stressed) vowel and the following consonant (i.e. C_2 of the root). Since these strong-root inchoatives have vowels other than \acute{a} , one would expect their non-perfectives to take -ax^M. But they do not, they take -mix. The explanation for this apparent exception in the distribution of -mix is that the infixed -?- 'inchoative' converts strong stems to weak.

- (22) yá²k it burned (yák- burn up) / kasya²kmíx it's going to burn
- (23) p̃i?q it's ripe, it's cooked (p̃iq-cook) / sp̃i?qmix it's getting ripe
- (24) nak 421 it's empty / snak 421 mix it's becoming empty
- (25) ná?q it rotted (meat, etc.) (nôq rotten meat or fish, bad odor) / sna?qmix it's rotting
- 1.4. $-ax^{\omega}$ occurs only after stems with $\underline{\acute{a}}$, $\underline{\acute{1}}$, or $\underline{\acute{u}}$, i.e. strong stems. It does not matter whether the stressed vowel is in the root or in a suffix.
- (26) kis m he prayed / kaskis ax he's going to pray
- (27) ?a?ácxxx he watched / s?a?ácxxx he was watching
- (23) ḥawiyáltm she gave birth / kasḥawiyáltəx she's about to give birth
- (29) [?]acitx he's asleep / sacitxəx he's sleeping
- (30) yúpa? he played / kasyúpa?əx he's going to play
- (31) ?áyx t he's tired / sacáyx təx he's getting tired
- (32) tkiwlx he climbed up / kastkiwlxəx he's going to climb
- (33) iqilx he lay down / kasiqilxəx he's going to lie down
- (34) cəlix he stood up / kascəlixəx he's going to stand
- (35) piqcncút she cooked / kaspiqcncútəx she's going to cook
- (36) kuənksntwaxu they got married / kaskuənksəntwaxuəxu they're going to be married
- 1.5. Apparent exceptions to the distribution of these three suffixes are very few in number. Two which occur in my data may be errors, since another speaker gave the expected forms:

- (39) húμm he sucked up liquid / kashúμπκ (sic) he's going to kashúμρκ suck up liquid
- (40) çúşkştm it rattled / kaşçuşkştmix (sic) it's rattling kaşçúşkştəx"

Only six other forms have been found with other than the expected suffix variant, 41-45 with -mix after $\frac{\acute{a}}{2}$, and 46 with -ax after $\frac{\acute{a}}{2}$:

- (41) hawiym he worked / kashawiymix he's going to work
- (42) hawi he was born / kashawwimix he's going to be born
- (43) halx it's frozen / kashalx mix it's going to freeze
- (44) yass they gathered, they met / scyassmix they're gathering, assembling
- (45) ?acqá?xn he has his shoes on / sqā?qā?xnmix he put his shoes on
- (46) t1 słačáčs he got whipped / słačáčsax he's getting whipped

The presence of pharyngeals in 41-44 is striking, but does not seem to explain the irregularity. Other forms with pharyngeals, such as 20, show that the pharyngeal does not cause lowering of $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$, and forms such as 26 and 28 show that $-9x^{4}$ can occur with stems containing pharyngeals (although 28 involves another variable suffix). Nevertheless, 41-46 probably all derive from weak stems with $\frac{1}{2}$. The Thompson cognates for 44 and 45 are weak, and Columbian does automatically lower $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ before $\frac{1}{2}$.

2. Once the distribution of -mix, -mx, and -əx is seen, it can also be explained how the variants developed. They are all derived from *-mix. -mx is simply the form without its vowel,

although this variant can only occur immediately following a stressed vowel. -ox developed by vocalizing m to o with compensatory labialization of the x. The shift of m to o is not unique to this suffix, but also occurred in -úlox 'ground, earth' (from *-ulmx, and probably earlier *-ul-mix; cf. Colville -úlox, where o has lowered to o by regular development, Kalispel -úlox, Coeur d'Alene -ulomx, Shuswap -úlox, Thompson //-uyomx, and Lillooet -úlox, and in -ox 'person, people' (cf. Shuswap -hx, -híx, and the discussion below). Rather than being compensatory, the labialization of the final x might be by analogy with the development of *-ulmx to -úlox, but there are problems in the reconstruction of -x in the source of both -úlox 'ground, earth' and -ox 'person, people'.

- 3. The three variants of -mix/-əx 'non-perfective' have exact parallels in lexical suffixes for 'person, people'. All three variants occur (-mix, -mx, -əx) with exactly the same conditioning factors (but only the third variant is common):
- (47) squirmix school children (quy- write)
- (48) staqmix midwife: "touch-doctor" (taq- touch)
- (49) spaqmix gray jay (páq- gray?)
- (50) scalám Chelan people (calán Lake Chelan)
- (51) spuqimx Spokanes
- (52) skwaxcnəx person of the Moses band (kwaxcn Rock Island; wax- live, reside)
- (53) shaptnex Nez Perce Indians
- (54) skicə ?ax " Coeur d'Alenes

- (55) scwańaytex Stick-Indian
- (56) stkənla?álq əx Canadian (kənlu? over there; -alq tree, something long, line)

This suffix should be reconstructed as *-mix (cf. Okanagan -mix, Shuswap -mix, -mx [Kuipers 1974], Coeur d'Alene -mš [Reichard 1933]), but there was also apparently a similar suffix *-mix in Proto-Salish, meaning perhaps 'a group, cluster', but came to have meanings similar to *-mix in various languages. *-mix also seems to occur in only a small number of forms in modern Interior Salishan languages, and I have only three instances in Columbian, one of which is likely a borrowing:

- (57) sqəltmix man
- (58) yəlmix m chief
- (59) nək mix Praser Valley Indians (Thompsons)
- 4. -mix and -ax are so different phonologically that they must be perceived as two morphemes. But their complementary distribution, their traceable historical development, and the parallel between these morphemes for 'non-perfective' and 'person, people' show clearly that they were originally a single morpheme each. Although the reasons for its entirely redundant marking of 'non-perfective' in Columbian is not clear (kas- and sac-would be enough), at least its history is clear. Cognates are harder to find than for -mix 'person, people', but they exist at least in Colville, Kalispel-Spokane, and Coeur d'Alene. Colville has -a?x or -x alternating with -nix, also functioning as some sort of non-perfective (Mattina 1973). In Kalispel, Vogt

identifies -i or -mi as a continuative suffix (Vogt 1940:30). Carlson (1972:75, 122) finds similar forms in Spokane. Coeur d'Alene has only -ms or -ms, but their function is unclear (although certainly analogous to Columbian non-perfective usage); Reichard (1938:576-588) mentions them largely in passing, and gives them no isolated treatment. Although the function of these suffixes in these four languages is not entirely parallel, the notion of non-perfective seems present in all three. Thompson and Lillooet³ may have cognate suffixes, but if so, their function is quite different, and they appear to be strong suffixes in both languages. In Thompson, Thompson and Thompson (forthcoming) call //-mix// 'definitive'; it "indicates an expert, extreme, or full application, or emphasizes the essence of something." In Lillooet -Mix means 'to get carried away doing something, to go too far, to do to excess'. Clearly, the history of this suffix needs further study. Its forms now seem clear, but not its semantic development.

POOTNOTES

- 1. Research on Columbian Salish has been made possible by grants from the National Science Foundation, the American Philosophical Society Library, The University of Kansas, and the University of British Columbia. I wish to thank the various people who have assisted me with Columbian: Jerome Miller, Agnes Miller, Mary Marchand, Emily Peone, Margaret Gorr, Sue Matt, and Tillie George. I also thank Laurence Thompson and Barry Carlson for comments on an earlier version of this paper.
- 2. I owe this insight to Laurence C. Thompson (personal communication) who notes that this is precisely the effect of

- infixed -?- in Thompson.
 - 3. Information on Lillooet is from Jan van Eijk.

REFERENCES

- Carlson, Barry F. 1972. A Grammar of Spokan: A Salish Language of Eastern Washington. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation in Linguistics, University of Hawaii. Distributed as University of Hawaii Working Papers in Linguistics 4.4 (1972).
- Kuipers, Aert II. 1974. The Shuswap Language: Grammar, Texts, Dictionary. The Hague: Mouton.
- Mattina, Anthony. 1973. Colville Grammatical Structure. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation in Linguistics, University of Ilawaii. Distributed as University of Hawaii Working Papers in Linguistics 5.4 (1973).
- Reichard, Gladys A. 1938. Coeur d'Alene. Handbook of American Indian Languages, ed. by Franz Boas, 3:517-707. Gluckstadt-Hamburg-New York: J. J. Augustin.
- Thompson, Laurence C. and A. Terry Thompson. Forthcoming. The Thompson Language. Victoria, B. C.
- Vogt, Hans. 1940. The Kalispel Language. Oslo: Det Norske Videnskaps-Akademi.