

ISLAND HALKOMELEM DETERMINERS

Michael K. Jones
UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA

Introduction

The material contained in this paper was collected between January and May, 1974. The data was collected from Mrs. Ellen White of Nanaimo, B.C. and Theresa Morris of Victoria, B.C. Mrs. White has an active knowledge of both the Nanaimo and Cowichan dialects of Halkomelem. She is presently involved with her own language project. Ms. Morris speaks Straits Coast Salish as well as the Cowichan dialect of Halkomelem.

Historically, Halkomelem was spoken on Vancouver Island from Mill Bay, some twenty five miles north of Victoria, to Nanoose Bay, just north of Nanaimo.

I would like to thank Dr. T.E. Hukari for his interest and patience in spite of my constant badgering.

There are some gaping holes in the following analysis. In light of this, then, the discussion is best considered to be a working paper. It is hoped that with more research a more accurate and thorough statement can be made about the Determiner system in Island Halkomelem.

* * * * *

Determiners in Coast Salish modify, mark, or serve as nominal constructions. The determiner system in Island Halkomelem indicates existence and orientation in time and space relative to the speaker. The system is comprised of two sub-systems, demonstratives and compound demonstratives.

The demonstratives occur in stressed and unstressed forms. Both stressed and unstressed demonstratives occur in marked and unmarked series, the marked series indicating secondary importance. Three degrees of proximity relative to the speaker are distinguished; proximal, distal, and indefinite. The functions of these parameter distinctions will be explicitly illustrated.

	MARKED (M)		UNMARKED (U)	
	unstressed	stressed	unstressed	stressed
Proximal (P)	θə	θey?	tə ¹	tey?
Distal (D)	ɬə	ɬey?	k ^w θə ²	k ^w θey?
Indefinite (I)	k ^w s(ə)	—	k ^w (ə)	—

Unstressed Demonstratives:

An unstressed demonstrative must form an immediate constituent with a nominal or verbal construction. An unstressed demonstrative occurring with a nominal construction can best be translated into English as an article, while one occurring with a predicative construction is best rendered into English as an indefinite relative pronoun.

niɬ ɬə sɬey?ni? ni? nem? 'It was a woman who went' ('be-3rd person'
det(M-D) woman locative to-go)

ni? cən əw? ləmməx^w k^wθə ni? nem? 'I saw the one who went' (locative
I hypothetical see det(U-I) locative to-go)₃

Gender:

The unstressed demonstratives distinguish gender for singular referents only. All demonstratives modifying plural referents occur in unmarked form. The marked forms are used with a human female referent or an animal which is designated as being specifically female.

tə nə mən?ə 'my son' (proximal)

k^wθə nə mən?ə 'my son' (distal)

θə nə mən?ə 'my daughter' (proximal)

ɬə nə səl?si?lə 'my grandparents/grandmothers/grandfathers' (proximal)

χ^wcenəm tə sq^weməy 'the dog ran' (male/unspecified gender, proximal)

χ^wcenəm θə sq^weməy 'the bitch ran' (proximal) (run det(M-P) dog)

¹ The Cowichan equivalents of tə and tey? are t^ə and t^{ey?}. Mrs. White feels that these are remnants of an older system which was once employed in the Nanaimo dialect.

² In less careful speech k^wθə seems to be a free variant k^wθə.

³ Any morpheme-by-morpheme translation of the predicate and its affixes has been suppressed, as it is not pertinent to the discussion at hand.

- nem? ʔə tə staʔləw 'He's going to the river' (go "oblique"
det(U-P) river)
- ni? cən ɬa·yt kʷəə qʷəni 'I killed the seagull' (locative I kill
det(U-D) seagull)⁴
- ʔi cən kʷənʔət tə pɬʷəcən? 'I am holding the sand' (locative I
hold det(U-P) sand)

The gender distinction is maintained for personal property of the speaker.

- əə nə snəxʷət 'my car' (female possessor, proximal)
- əə nə leləm 'my house' (female possessor, proximal)
- əə nə ʃʰpiʔwən 'my blouse' (female possessor, proximal)
- tə nə ʃʰpiʔwən 'my shirt' (male possessor, proximal)

It has not, as yet, been determined how far reaching this process is. It appears that the only marked form which can be used in this capacity is the proximal, əə. That is, the possessed object must be immediately at hand.

The marked demonstratives occur with diminutivized nominals, reflecting their function of marking small or secondarily important referents.

- ʔə nə yaysaqʷ 'my little hat' (distal)
- nə sʰi? ʔə nə yaysaqʷ 'I want my little hat' (my wanting det(M-D) my
little-hat)

Spacial Position:

The opposition proximal:distal seems to be a matter of proximity and visibility relative to the speaker. The proximal forms indicate that the referent is close at hand and visible, while the distal forms mark the modified element for invisibility and moderate distance relative to the speaker.

- tə nə mənʔə 'my son' (here beside me)
- kʷəə nə mənʔə 'my son' (in the next room and out of sight)
- əə nə mənʔə 'my daughter' (here beside me)
- ʔə nə mənʔə 'my daughter' (in the next room and out of sight)

- ni? cən ɬa·yt tə qʷəni 'I killed the seagull (which is here)'
(locative I kill det(U-P) seagull)
- ni? cən ɬa·yt kʷəə qʷəni 'I killed the seagull (out of sight)'
(locative I kill det(U-D) seagull)

⁴ The locative particles ʔi and ni? most often indicate proximity of the event in time relative to the time of the utterance. They do operate as spacial locatives though.

The speaker seems to have the option of not marking a referent for proximity or non-visibility if it is not critical to the meaning of the utterance.

x^wčənəm tə sməyəθ 'The deer ran' (run det(U-P) deer)
nem? ʔə tə sta?ləw? 'He's going to the river' (go "oblique det(U-P) river)

The indefinite forms are used to indicate great distance when modifying non-animate entities. Indefinite forms modifying human referents indicate that the referent is deceased. (see "Indefinite Referents" below)

nem? cən nem? ʔə k^wə cak^w sta?ləw? 'I'm going to the distant river' (go I go "oblique" det(U-I) distant river)

Temporal Position:

All three sets of positional markers are utilized in different temporal frames. Proximal forms are used with referents in a present, immediate past, or immediate future context.

ni? cəp wəɪ ləmət θə əw? niɪ 'I already saw her (just past)' (locative I see det(M-P) hypothetical "be-3rd person")

ʔi cən le?ləmət θə əw? niɪ 'I'm looking at her' (locative I looking det(M-P) hypothetical "be-3rd person")

nem? cən ləmət θə əw? niɪ 'I will see her (a few minutes hence)' (go I see det(M-P) hypothetical "be-3rd person")

Distal forms are used in future and past contexts if the referent is human.

ni? wəɪ hiθ-əɪ ne-n ləmnəx^w ɪə sɛni? 'I saw the woman a long time ago' (locative ? long_time_ago-"past" locative-1st person-subject see det(M-D) woman)

ni? cən cə? əw? ləmnəx^w ɪə sɛni? 'I'll see the woman (in a few years)' (locative I "future" hypothetical see det(M-D) woman)

The indefinite forms are used in constructions with non-human referents in the past and future.

ni? wəɪ hiθ-əɪ ne-n ləmnəx^w k^wə sta?ləw? 'I saw the river a long time ago' (locative ? long_time_ago-"past" locative-1st person-subject see det(U-I) river)

ɪey c_{5a} c-əw? ləmnəx^w k^wə spa.ɪ? 'I'll see the raven (in a few years)' (5a I "future-hypothetical" see det(U-I) raven)

⁵ As yet, the author has not pinned down the function of /wəɪ/ enough to warrant giving it an English gloss.

^{5a} Cognates (Straits: /ɪe?/'again'(see Efrat 1969), Clallam: /ɪay/'additionally' (see Thompson & Thompson 1971)) are noted. The author's notes do not really warrant glossing the form with either translations given, or any other consistent gloss.

Indefinite Forms:

The indefinite form is used with objects referred to in a general sense.⁶ No gender distinction is maintained with these referents, only the unmarked form, /k^wə/, is used.

- ən? s-xi? ?ə k^w ikčəm 'Do you want a handkerchief?' (your wanting "oblique" det(U-I) handkerchief)
- ən? sxi? ?ə k^w qa? 'Do you want some water?' (your wanting "oblique" det(U-I) water)
- ha? ni? əw? ni? k^w qe?mi statəlstəx^w k^wə JEFF, a·tč iə əw? ni? 'If there is any girl here who knows Jeff, call her' (if locative "hypothetical" locative det(U-I) girl know J—, call det(M-D) hypothetical "be-3rd person")

The indefinite form is also used with nominalized predicative constructions which express an event. Only the unmarked form is used in this capacity.

- sk^wey k^wə nə s-iməš 'I cannot walk' (can't det(U-I) my walking)
- sk^wey k^w s-iməšct 'We cannot walk' (can't det(U-I) our-walking)
- sxi?-s k^w s-nem? 'He wants to go' (wanting-his det(U-D)⁷ going)
- k^wə nə s-ni? ləmnəx^w 'When I saw it..' (det(U-I) my then see)

When referring to deceased humans, the indefinite forms can be used. An optional /əi/ "past" may be suffixed to the form being modified.

- k^wə nə mən?ə 'my son (dead)'
- k^ws nə mən?ə 'my daughter (dead)'
- ?i ?i ?ə tə?i k^w nə sx^wa·?ləq^wa·?-(əi) 'The ones that are here are my dead brothers' (locative locative "oblique" dem(U-I) my brothers(-"past"))

The distal forms can be employed in conjunction with the /əi/ "past" suffix to indicate 'dead' when modifying either humans or animals.

- k^wə nə səl?si?lə?əi 'my grandfathers (dead)'
- iə nə si?lə?əi 'my grandmother (dead)'
- iə nə pušəi 'my cat (dead, female)'

⁶ Some counter-examples have been observed. əwə tə qə? qe?mi ?i ?ə tən?a 'There isn't any girl here'. tə əw? swəy?qe? aməš qə 'It looks like a man'. It is not clear what parameters are operating in these forms. These constructions merit more attention, as the environment prescribing the proximal forms escapes me.

⁷ It would appear that the form observed here may be the result of poor transcription. It may be, however, that the form observed is actually an allomorph of -(k^wə), if so, no distributional restrictions present themselves readily.

Stressed Demonstratives:

The stressed demonstratives function as nominal phrases, they do not modify nominal constructions as the unstressed forms do.⁸ The stressed demonstratives are best translated into English as demonstrative pronouns or 3rd person personal-pronouns. Stressed demonstratives observed thus far are-

sieini ʰey?	'This/she is a little girl' (proximal)
swiwiʔqe? tey?	'This/he is a little boy' (proximal)
sieni? ʰey?	'That/she is a woman' (distal)
swəyʔqe? kʰʰey?	'That/he is a man' (distal)
səwʔwəyʔqe? tey?	'These/they are men' (proximal)

Compound Demonstratives:

The compound demonstratives are formed from an unstressed demonstrative plus /ʔi/"locative-proximal", /niʔ/"locative-distal", or /naʔ/ (unidentified). The compound forms can occur alone, as full noun phrases, or as IC partners with nominal constructions. The following forms have been observed.

təʔi~tiʔi 'this one'

GLASS tiʔi	'This one is a glass'
səmʔemʔnet təʔi	'These ones are little rocks'
ʔqix tiʔi sment	'This rock is black'

təniʔ 'that one (visible)'

nə sʰiʔ təniʔ ʃqaqə	'I want that glass (just out of reach)'
səmʔemʔnet təniʔ	'Those are little rocks'

tənʔa

səwʔwəyʔqe? ʔa tənʔa aiten	'The ones that are here men'
----------------------------	------------------------------

ʰəʔi 'this one' (marked)

sieiniʔ ʰəʔi (qeq)	'This one is a little girl/This baby is a girl'
--------------------	---

ʰəniʔ 'that one (visible)' (marked)

sieiniʔ ʰəniʔ (qeq)	'That one is a little girl/That baby is a girl'
---------------------	---

ʰənʔa (marked)

sieniʔ ʔa ʰənʔa aiten	'The one that is here is a woman.'
-----------------------	------------------------------------

⁸ It has been pointed out to me that the stressed forms modify nominal constructions in the Mainland dialects of Halkomelem. I could not find any English

Compound forms are employed in conjunction with the locative particles, /ʔi/ and /niʔ/, and the oblique particle, /ʔə/, to indicate locality.

- ʔi əwə tə q^wtəyʔsən ʔi ʔə tiʔi 'There isn't any shoe here'
 niʔ əwə tə q^wtəyʔsən niʔ ʔə təniʔ 'There isn't any shoe there (visible)'
 niʔ əwə tə q^wtəyʔsən niʔ ʔə k^wəʔi 'There isn't any shoe there (invisible)'
 əwə tə ɖaʔ ɖeʔmi ʔi ʔə tənʔa 'There isn't any girl here'

Remarks:

There are many parameters at work in the system which have not yet been uncovered. Consider the following two sentences.

- (a) sɛtəniʔ ʔəʔi (qeq) 'This one is a little girl' (little-girl demonstrative (baby))
 (b) sɛtəniʔ ʔeyʔ 'This one is a little girl'

The informant feels that sentence (a) would be appropriate if the child itself could be seen. Sentence (b) would be appropriate if the child were bundled up in a blanket and could not be seen. More oppositions like this need to be observed.

It is not clear how the alternation between [təʔi] and [tiʔi] should be analysed. The author cannot, at this time, say definitely that the alternation is phonetic. However no morphemic or morphophonemic solution seems evident.

Most of the unresolved problems herein arise from an incomplete data set. In looking at the compound forms used in locational constructions, one would think that a general compound form like k^wəʔi would be observed. The only solution is to keep trying.

_____ constructions which prompted the use of a stressed demonstrative as a modifying element. Further, plural forms of the stressed demonstratives are said to be employed in the Mainland dialects of Halkomelem, while no such forms could be elicited from Island speakers. No stressed forms employing the indefinite forms were observed, however, stylistic preference could prescribe the use of other syntactic devices.

REFERENCES

- Efrat, Barbara S. A Grammar of Non-particles in Sooke, a Dialect of Straits Coast Salish. Ann Arbor, Michigan 1969
 Thompson, Laurence C. and M. Terry Clallam: A Preview. Berkeley 1971