

Glossed Conversational Data in Nl̓eʔkepmxcín*

Ella Hannon
University of British Columbia

Anna Stacey
University of British Columbia

Reed Steiner
University of British Columbia

Abstract: Nl̓eʔkepmxcín, from the Northern Interior sub-family of the Salish languages, has a very limited amount of glossed data available. This work introduces 20 minutes of fully glossed conversational data. The speakers involved were two friends who discussed image prompts supplied by the fieldworkers. Data of this kind offers several advantages not conferred by regular elicitation data. Since it is less controlled by the fieldworker, it permits the possibility of a greater variety of vocabulary, sentence structures, etc. CONVERSATIONAL data also provides a body of data from which we can study phenomena not found in isolated sentences, such as question-and-response patterns and natural prosody. In future, the glossed transcriptions, audio recordings, and image prompts can be packaged together to provide learning resources for students of the language. And of course, this work adds to the too-limited body of glossed texts in the language.

Keywords: Nl̓eʔkepmxcín, Salish, conversation, text

1 Background

Nl̓eʔkepmxcín (also known as Thompson River Salish; ISO 639-3: thp) is a Northern Interior Salish language spoken along the Thompson river in British Columbia, with around 100 fluent speakers remaining (Gessner et al. 2023). Though there are only a handful of first-language speakers, language revitalization efforts are ongoing. Thus, there is an urgent need to document the language in order to support present and future learners. It is particularly useful to have *glossed* data, which consists of not only Nl̓eʔkepmxcín text and its English translation, but a more detailed breakdown of each meaningful unit in the Nl̓eʔkepmxcín text. At present, there is very little glossed data available, even compared to the other Northern Interior languages. There is only a single book of glossed text available (Egesdal et al. 2011), along with the limited glossed examples that appear in various linguistics papers on the language.

We thus begin remedying this dearth of glossed data by presenting a collection of glossed conversational data. Though any glossed data is certainly useful, our hope is that the conversational nature of the data gives it additional utility. Most glossed data that exists (that which typically occurs in linguistics papers) is from very structured elicitation sessions, where the fieldworker is studying a particular phenomenon in the language and is asking the consultant to perform discrete

* Our sincere gratitude goes to the consultants whose speech data we present in this paper, Bev Phillips, Kʷəlt̓əzetkʷuʔ (Bernice Garcia), and Cúʔsinek (Marty Aspinall), whose intuitions and patient support made this research possible. Bernice wishes it to be acknowledged that she is a Kamloops Indian Residential School speaker, who is re-learning her language. She introduces herself thus: ʔes ʔúmæcms kʷəlt̓əzetkʷuʔ təw le čəlt̓ətkʷu wəʔe ncitxʷ. ʔuʔ wəʔec ʔex netíyxs scwewxmx, ʔuʔ tékm xéʔe ne nl̓eʔkepmx e tmixʷs, ‘My traditional name is kʷəlt̓əzetkʷuʔ, my home is in Coldwater of ‘Nicola’ of Nlakaʔamux lands.’

We would like to thank our colleagues at the Nl̓ab and Salish Working Group for their ongoing collaboration, especially Lisa Matthewson. We are also grateful to our funders: the UBC Department of Linguistics, the Jacobs Research Funds, and the Kinkade Language and Culture Foundation.

tasks such as giving translations or providing judgments. This data tends to be in the form of isolated sentences, and is not spontaneously offered by the consultant (either because the sentence was created by the fieldworker, or created by the consultant in a fairly contrived setting). Thus, this data can fail to capture some more natural components of speech in the language. Eliciting conversational data is a means to try to avoid some of these limitations from typical elicitation data. The more spontaneous nature of the speech may reveal some aspects of the language that do not come up in fieldworker-controlled language tasks. As well, the continuous, back-and-forth nature of conversation allows the data to include some components of language use that wouldn't be present in other kinds of data, such as question-and-response patterns (San Roque et al. 2012), and various prosodic structures (Caldecott & Koch 2014). Finally, the friendly dynamic between the speakers permits more creative language use (including joking and singing) that allows us to see the language being used joyfully, rather than just in the more sterile elicitation setting. The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 deals with the methodology we employed for eliciting these conversations, as well as a note on glossing and transcription conventions followed throughout the paper. Section 3 discusses interesting linguistic elements about Nlɛʔkepmxcín featured in the conversational data. Section 4 presents the conversational data using a four-line gloss. Section 5 concludes.

2 Methodology

2.1 Elicitation practice

The following data comes from a single elicitation session with two speakers of the Nicola Valley dialect of Nlɛʔkepmxcín. The speakers knew one another outside of their consulting work. The elicitation approach we used comes from Caldecott and Koch's (2014) discussion of fieldwork methodologies that facilitate both the investigation of linguistic phenomena often missing from elicitation data (e.g., prosody, discourse structure) and the creation of accessible language-learning resources. We selected one of their methodologies for guided conversation: asking the consultants to have a conversation between themselves, but prompting them with images in order to give them a starting point (to prevent the awkwardness of being asked to "have a normal conversation"). Caldecott and Koch (2014) also note that this prompted approach avoids the potential pitfalls of bringing up personal topics (which the speakers may not be comfortable discussing in data that will be shared with the community) or having conversations they've already had (as may be the case if they're asked to discuss the weather, what they've been up to lately, etc.). The conversation data and accompanying images can also be shared with communities to provide a learning resource. With this in mind, royalty-free images were chosen — these are easy to find online and can be shared without copyright concerns. We tried to select photos that had enough going on to prompt conversation and involved content that would be relevant (or at least familiar) for the speakers.

Over Zoom, we would screenshare one image at a time and ask the consultants to talk to one another about what they saw in the photo. They would chat for a few minutes per photo and then let the fieldworkers know once they had nothing more to say. The photos used are presented in Figures 1 to 4 (in order of use).



Figure 1: A group playing instruments, singing, and dancing.¹



Figure 2: A family of geese setting off into the water.²

¹ Photo URL: <https://www.alamy.com/stock-photo-europe-ireland-kerry-dingle-an-irish-music-session-in-a-local-pub-11812014.html>

² Photo by pixabay user Ronile: <https://pixabay.com/photos/canada-goose-chick-branta-canadensis-273732/>



Figure 3: Another family of geese swimming, this time with older offspring.³



Figure 4: A smiling cat holds a fish while a birthday cat glowers in the background, set in an outdoor scene including the Fraser River.⁴

2.2 Glossing and transcription conventions

The data was recorded in Zoom. It was transcribed at the sentence-level in ELAN. It was then glossed using a four-line approach: Nle?kepmxcín sentence, morpheme-by-morpheme segmentation, gloss corresponding to each segmented morpheme, and an English translation of the sentence (see example (90)).

³ Photo by pixabay user Kapa65: <https://pixabay.com/photos/geese-canada-geese-family-2346322/>

⁴ Author Reed Steiner's own work, assembled from miscellaneous images.

- (90) CMA: *ʔúkʷuntes* *e sɣəqxiq*
 ʔúkʷu-n-t-Ø-es e=s-ɣəq~xiq
 deliver-DRV-TR-3OBJ-3ERG DET=NMLZ-PL~duck
e scmeyʔts *wə qʷoʔ*
 e=s-cm-eýt-s wə=qʷoʔ
 DET=NMLZ-small-agent-3POSS to=water
 ‘They brought their children to the water.’

The Nleʔkepmxcín transcription (used in the first two lines) is written in a version of the North American Phonetic Alphabet (NAPA) which is standard for the language. The primary differences between this orthography and the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) are as follows: NAPA uses <c> for IPA [tʃ], <s> for [ʃ], <ç> for [ts], <š> for [s], <x> for <χ>, and <λ> for [tʰ] (aspiration is specified in this last case, as the unaspirated variant does not exist in the language). The Leipzig glossing rules (Comrie et al. 2008) were followed as much as possible. Glosses used in this paper that do not appear in the Leipzig Glossing Rules are listed in footnote 5.⁵ As for stress marking, we marked primary stress (using an acute accent), following the style of the Nleʔkepmxcín-English dictionary (Thompson & Thompson 1996).

There are a number of phonological processes at work in Nleʔkepmxcín whereby certain morphemes are often phonologically unrealized. Instances where underlying morphemes are present but phonologically unrealized will be indicated within square brackets in line 2 of the gloss (i.e., the morpheme-by-morpheme segmentation line). Pauses in speech are represented only in line 1 (the orthographic line) by ellipses. Repeated words are often included, but false starts for sentences that appear later in full are not. Where consultants follow up Nleʔkepmxcín utterances with English ones, these have been left in as they are still meaningful parts of the conversation. As a result, not all translations are particularly literal (such as 246). Music notes (♪) in the gloss indicate that a particular utterance was sung. Square brackets in the fourth line of the gloss (i.e., the English translation) indicate linguistic metadata. Regular parentheses indicate missing context. All errors in glossing are our own.

3 Analysis

Eliciting in this way allowed us to observe some linguistic features that we had not hitherto come across in our other elicitation sessions. A selection of these features are commented on below.

3.1 Potential discourse markers

The consultants frequently used the phrase *e mel nes*, glossed here as INT CNSQ INCIP, e.g., (59), (62), (75), (79), (112), (141), (172). The consequential morpheme is described by Thompson and Thompson as meaning “change from present situation: anyway, anyhow; despite the evidence,

⁵ Such glosses are as follows: AFF = affective, ANT = anticipatory, ASP = aspectual, AUG = augmentative, AUT = autonomous, CTR.MID = control middle, CNJ = conjunctive, CNSQ = consequential, CONTR = contrastive, D/C = determiner/complementizer, DIR = directive, DISC = discourse marker, DRV = directive, EMPH.INT = emphatic introductory predicate, EXCM = exclamative, INCIP = incipient, IM = immediate, INH = inherent, INT = introductory predicate, LCTL = limited control transitivity, MOD = modal, NCTL = non-control predicate, NEUT = “semantically neutral stem to which certain lexical suffixes are added” (Thompson & Thompson 1996:1), OOC = out of control, PRP = proportional, PRSM = presumptive, REP = reportative, RLT = relational, SENSE = sensory evidential, TAG = tag question, UNR = unrealized.

contrary to expectations” (1992:139), whereas the incipient is described with “depart, go toward” (1992:142). The prevalence of this phrase in our conversational data in particular suggests it could have a discourse-related role. It seems that it may be used to connect events, e.g., (62), (112), (173). Its function could be a fruitful direction for further study.

Additionally, consultant CMA used the word *téywey* (119), which is seemingly unattested in Thompson and Thompson (1992, 1996) but which she described as meaning “oh gosh”, expressing surprise, wonder, anger, etc. For this reason, we have presently glossed it as EXCM. Interestingly, she also told us that the word is not appropriate for young speakers to use.

Finally, a very lengthened *ʔo* was also used by CMA, and our suspicion that it could be a discourse marker was reinforced by the use of a similar morpheme in St’át’imcets, another Northern Interior Salish language (Lisa Matthewson, p.c.). We have glossed it as DISC for now based on this theory, but more data is needed. If it is indeed a discourse marker, then eliciting more conversational data in future should provide further instances to study.

3.2 Evidentials

Something else of note is that consultants sometimes prefer to translate sentences containing evidential morphemes, particularly *nke* and *nuk^w*, as utterances indicating speaker certainty.⁶ This is seen particularly in Conversation 1, and in lines (1), (11) to (13), (16), (188), (199), and (255). These sentences all seem to be oriented towards either the near future, e.g., (1), (11) to (13), (16), (188), or the present, e.g., (199) and (255). There are also instances of consultants choosing to use a modal when translating sentences containing evidentials, as in (122), (161), (165), (186), and (206). In these instances, utterances containing the inferential evidential *nke* are translated using the strong English modal ‘must’. This pattern of evidentials acting as modals in contexts compatible with epistemic conversational backgrounds is observed in a neighbouring language, St’át’imcets (Matthewson et al. 2007). Utterances translated as ‘maybe’, a weaker English modal, also use *nke*, as in (5) and (14), as do those translated with ‘probably’ (167). There are also two instances of *nke* being used to indicate some kind of conjecture on the part of the speaker as to the actions or states of the various subjects in the picture. This usage is seen in (181) and (192); (181) is translated using ‘seems to be’, and (192) as ‘looks like’; these could both be regarded as inferential statements on the part of the speakers based on the evidence provided by the picture, which is in line with the labelling of *nke* as an inferential evidential (Littell et al. 2010).

These conversations also feature some instances of the reportative evidential, *ek^wu* (sometimes realized as *wk^wu* or *k^wu*). As predicted by the name, this evidential appears most commonly in contexts where a speaker is relaying a story or event that they have found out about through another person, i.e., they are passing on information that they obtained from a second- or third-hand source. Examples of this are seen particularly clearly in (26) and (27), where the speaker is recounting an event that was told to her by another person. There are also examples of the reportative evidential in contexts where it is less clear who the reported information was obtained from, as in (40), or (109). Another instance of the reportative is found in (222), where participants are discussing the birthday hat that one of the pictured cats is wearing, particularly referring to the fact that it is a birthday hat. More research into the exact functions of the reportative is needed, and these glossed conversations represent a first step in and a valuable resource for examining these functions.

⁶ Nl̓eʔkepmxcín evidentials are discussed further in Hannon and Smith (2023).

3.3 Determiners and demonstratives

For the sake of glossing, we follow Kroeber (1997) in treating $(h)e=$, $l(\partial)=$, and $k=$ as base determiners, and in segmenting $t=e=$ $\langle te \rangle$, $[t]=l=$ $\langle l \rangle$, and $t=k=$ $\langle t\partial k \rangle$ into base determiners preceded by oblique prepositions. We gloss the elements introducing complement and adjunct clauses as D/C for determiner/complementizer, following Henry Davis (p.c.). However, due to the complexity of the conversational sentences and the lack of standardization in glossing conventions, we expect mistakes.

One interesting point of analysis is that the $(h)e=$ determiner is far more variable in rapid speech. Attested forms include $he=$, $\partial e=$, $h\partial=$, $\partial\partial=$, $e=$, and $\partial=$. It often phonologically blends into the previous word, sometimes to the point of dropping entirely (especially if the previous word ends in a vowel). This is especially common after demonstratives, which consultants use very frequently. The demonstratives are often chained together in strings preceding the DP, as in (217), (234), and (243).

3.4 Other morphemes

In the conversational data, there are some novel morphemes that were previously unattested in the dictionary (Thompson & Thompson 1996). One such example is visible in (240) and (241). At first, we assumed the $-sut$ morpheme was reflexive, but the translation did not indicate reflexivity. Matthewson (p.c.) notes that it looks like the St'át'imcets out-of-control suffix $-sut$, which makes more sense in this context, but is unattested in the grammar (Thompson & Thompson 1992).

Some morphemes also occurred in unexpected new contexts. Typically, we translated the word $xeym^7$ as 'indeed' (54, 78, 122). However, it received two very different translations in (166) and (246). In (166), the consultants volunteered the translation "there's a balance", acknowledging the circle of life. In (246), however, the same morpheme appears inflected as $xeymxímus$ (possibly using an indirective $-xí$ and a conjunctive $=us$) to mean "[that's what] people did". In each case, the root seems to mean something like "that's how it is", but depending on the context and inflection, it can achieve new unexpected meanings.

Other new morphemes include $\acute{q}axném$ 'holler' (61), $k^w yxus$ '?' (110), $senk$ 'mean-tempered' (119), $k^w mi$ 'small' (160), $st^w úsc$ 'face' (190), $sk^w úcs$ 'log' (199), and $stustks$ 'a wire snare trap used for catching squirrels' (252).

4 Data

The data presented in this section represent approximately 20 minutes of conversation between two speakers, CMA and KBG. The breakdown by image is as follows: 6 minutes 35 seconds for Figure 1, 4 minutes 40 seconds for Figure 2, 1 minute 50 seconds for Figure 3, and 6 minutes for Figure 4.

4.1 Conversation 1

The conversational data collected is numbered according to the order the sentences were uttered after the initial image prompt. The image used to prompt this conversation is reiterated below.

⁷ This word may be $xíy$ 'behave (a particular way)' (with the MDL suffix $-m$).



Figure 1: A group playing instruments, singing and dancing.

- (1) KBG: *x^wúy nke ʔiʔiʔiʔtis*
x^wúy=nke ʔiʔ~ʔiʔ-t-i-s
 FUT=INFER PL~sing-TR-1PL.OBJ-3ERG
 ‘They’re going to sing to us.’
- (2) KBG: *x^wúy néʔ ʔi séyʔsiʔ*
x^wúy néʔ ʔi séyʔsiʔ
 FUT DEM still play
 ‘They’re going to play.’
- (3) KBG: *séyʔsiʔ*
séyʔsiʔ
 play
 ‘They’re playing.’
- (4) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (5) KBG: *kéʔ us nke*
kéʔ=us=nke
 Q=CNJ=INFER
 ‘Maybe.’⁸

⁸ Translation not volunteered by consultant but form recognized by authors from previous elicitations.

- (6) KBG: *té?e né? fiddle peł le sté? us nke* *he guitar*
té?e né? fiddle peł le=s-té?=us=nke *he=guitar*
 NEG DEM fiddle INH D/C=NMLZ-what=CNJ=INFER DET=guitar
 ‘I don’t know the word for fiddle or guitar.’
- (7) CMA: *te? nsxəksténe* *xé?e guitar*
te? n=s=xəks-t-Ø-éne *xé?e guitar*
 NEG LOC=NMLZ=know-TR-3OBJ-1SG.ERG DEM guitar
 ‘I don’t know the word for guitar.’
- (8) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (9) KBG: *ʔuʔ x^wúy^y xé? ʔiʔiʔm*
ʔuʔ x^wúy^y xé? ʔiʔ~ʔiʔ-m
 until FUT DEM PL~sing-CTR.MID
 ‘They are going to sing.’
- (10) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (11) CMA: *x^wúy^y nuk^w... x^wúy^y nuk^w ʔiʔm ʔeł séysi?*
x^wúy^y=nuk^w x^wúy^y=nuk^w ʔiʔ-m ʔeł séysi?
 FUT=SENSE FUT=SENSE sing-CTR.MID and play
 ‘They are going to sing and play.’ [indicating their instruments]
- (12) CMA: *x^wúy^y nuk^w né? ʔiʔm ʔeł séysi?*
x^wúy^y=nuk^w né? ʔiʔ-m ʔeł séysi?
 FUT=SENSE DEM sing-CTR.MID and play
 ‘They are going to sing and play.’
- (13) KBG: *ʔeł x^wúy^y nuk^w kt t nmimł ʔiʔm ʔe ʔú?*
ʔeł x^wúy^y=nuk^w=kt t=nmimł ʔiʔ-m ʔe=ʔú?
 and FUT=SENSE=1PL.SBJ OBL=1PL.EMPH sing-CTR.MID DET=also
 ‘And we are going to have to sing too.’

⁹ This morpheme, *ʔuʔ*, can have a range of meanings from ‘only’, to ‘until’, to ‘just’, and curiously, can also mean ‘also’. It is unclear whether the *ʔuʔ* meaning ‘only’ and the *ʔuʔ* meaning ‘also’ are two distinct morphemes. More research needs to be done into *ʔuʔ*. For now, we have glossed each instance of *ʔuʔ* according to the meaning it has in that particular sentence; therefore, there are varying glosses of ‘only’, ‘until’, ‘just’, and ‘also’.

- (14) KBG: *e ké? us nke*
 e=ké?=us=nke
 DET=Q=CNJ=INFER
 ‘Maybe.’¹⁰
- (15) CMA: *ské us nke nəst*
 ské=us=nke nəst
 PRSM=CNJ=INFER TAG
 ‘I suppose they will (won’t they?).’
- (16) KBG: *ʔeʔ x^wúy^ʔ nke néʔe ʔiʔm néʔ stéʔ x^wúy^ʔ*
 ʔeʔ x^wúy^ʔ=nke néʔe ʔiʔm-m néʔ s-téʔ x^wúy^ʔ
 and FUT=INFER DEM sing-CTR.MID DEM NMLZ-what FUT
he square dancing
 he=square dancing
 DET=square.dancing
 ‘We’re gonna have to sing while we’re square dancing.’
- (17) KBG: *♪ súwle ke teteʔ eʔsnúk^weʔ ♪¹¹*
 súwle ke=te~téʔ eʔ-s-núk^weʔ
 spin.around DET=PL~DEM 2SG.POSS-NMLZ-friend
 ‘Swing your partner round and round.’
- (18) KBG: *♪ súwle ke teteʔ eʔsnúk^weʔ ♪*
 súwle ke=te~téʔ eʔ-s-núk^weʔ
 spin.around DET=PL~DEM 2SG.POSS-NMLZ-friend
 ‘Swing your partner round and round.’
- (19) KBG: *stéʔ xwúy^ʔceʔ*
 s-téʔ xwúy^ʔceʔ
 NMLZ-what more
 ‘What else?’
- (20) KBG: *ʔex wiʔ néʔ ti e fiddle*
 ʔex wiʔ néʔ ti e=fiddle
 be EMPH DEM INT DET=fiddle
 ‘And there’s a fiddle.’
- (21) CMA: *ʔeʔ square dancing*
 ʔeʔ square dancing
 and square dancing
 ‘And square dancing.’

¹⁰ Translation not volunteered by consultant but form recognized by authors from previous elicitations.

¹¹ Music notes indicate that this was sung by the consultant.

- (22) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (23) CMA: *ʔex x^wúy néʔ ʔiǎm t e dosie do e... e snúk^weʔ*
ʔéx=x^wúy néʔ ʔiǎ-m t=e=dosie do e e=s-núk^weʔ
 be=FUT DEM sing-CTR.MID OBL=DET=dosie.do DET DET=NMLZ-friend
 ‘They are going to sing dosie do with their friend(s).’¹²
- (24) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (25) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (26) KBG: *ʔex ǎp néʔ cúť nskíxzeʔ ek^wu ʔet ǎ nsk^wóz May*
ʔéx ǎp néʔ cú-t n-skíxzeʔ ek^wu ʔet ǎ=n-sk^wóz May
 be MOD DEM say-TR 1POSS-mother REP and DET=1POSS-aunt May
 ‘[My mother said that] my Aunt May used to call at square dances.’
- (27) KBG: *nem ek^wu cunwéns xéʔe*
nem=ek^wu cu-nwén-s xéʔe
 very=REP tell-NCTL-3POSS DEM
 ‘She was really good at it.’
- (28) KBG: *♪ súwle ke teteʔ eʔsnúk^weʔ súwle*
súwle ke=te~téʔ eʔ-s-núk^weʔ súwle
 spin.around DET=PL~DEM 2SG.POSS-NMLZ-friend spin.around
ke teteʔ eʔsnúk^weʔ ʔet
k=te~te eʔ-s-nuk^weʔ ʔet
 D/C=PL~DEM 2SG.POSS-NMLZ-friend and
ǎ ncúm^wqsete ♪
ǎ=n=čúm^w-qs-e-t-Ø-e[s]
 D/C=LOC=bump-nose-DIR-TR-3OBJ-3ERG
 ‘Swing your partner round and round, swing your partner round and round and give her a kiss.’

¹² This verb, *ʔex*, is glossed as either ‘be’ or progressive depending on the context in which it appears.

- (29) KBG: *pút*
pút
 be.enough
 ‘Enough.’
- (30) CMA: *teł* *te* *pút kn* *se* *ʔex* *he...*
teł *te* *pút=kn* *se* *ʔex* *he*
 extend.straight NEG be.enough=1SG.SBJ PRSM be DET
eh stéʔ *ʔəm e dance*
eh s-téʔ *ʔəm e=dance*
eh NMLZ-what PERF DET=dance
 ‘I haven’t done enough dance.’
- (31) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (32) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 women’s.dance
 ‘Women’s dance.’
- (33) KBG: *sʔéy*
s-ʔéy
 NMLZ-women’s.dance
 ‘Women’s dance.’
- (34) CMA: *sʔéy.*
s-ʔéy
 NMLZ-women’s.dance
 ‘Women’s dance.’
- (35) CMA: *ʔuʔ* *pút kn* *cúw* *e kn* *sʔéy*
ʔuʔ *pút=kn* *cúw* *e=kn* *s-ʔéy*
 only be.enough=1SG.SBJ do DET=1SG.SBJ NMLZ-women’s.dance
ɬ kʷmíʔmeʔ un
ɬ=kʷm~íʔmeʔ=un
 DET=small~PRP=1SG.CNJ
 ‘When I was little, I didn’t do enough dancing.’
- (36) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

- (37) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (38) CMA: *təteʔ sʰəksténe* *xéʔe*
təteʔ s-ʰək-s-t-Ø-éne *xéʔe*
 NEG NMLZ-know-CAUS-TR-3OBJ-1SG.ERG DEM
 ‘I don’t know that.’
- (39) KBG: *ʔúʔ xéʔe*
ʔúʔ xéʔe
 only DEM
 ‘Just that one.’
- (40) KBG: *ʔéy ʔúʔ ʔex kʷu ʔex kʷu xʷúy e cúwnwénxʷ*
ʔéy ʔúʔ ʔex=kʷu ʔex=kʷu xʷúy e=cúw-nwén-xʷ
 yes only be=REP be=REP FUT D/C=do-NCTL-2SG.ERG
stéʔ xʷúy ʔəm xéʔ e Irish jig
s-téʔ xʷúy ʔəm xéʔ e=Irish jig
 NMLZ-what FUT PFV DEM DET=Irish jig
 ‘But you know how to do the Irish jig.’
- (41) CMA: *the Irish... cúwte ʔéy*
the Irish cúw-t-e ʔéy
the Irish do-TR-1SG.ERG yes
 ‘Yeah, the Irish jig.’
- (42) CMA: *yeah e cíy téʔe kémetxémel xéʔe*
yeah e=cíy téʔe kémet~xémel xéʔe
yeah D/C=like.that NEG CONTR~AUG DEM
 ‘Yeah, like that, not like this.’
- (43) CMA: *ćé ʔixʷeʔixʷes xéʔe*
ćé ʔixʷe~ʔixʷe-s xéʔe
 EMPH.INT different~PL-3POSS DEM
 ‘They’re different.’
- (44) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (45) CMA: *ʔéy ʔes e... nem ʔes ʰəksténe wéʔ*
ʔéy ʔes e... nem ʔes-ʰək-s-t-Ø-éne wéʔ
 yes STAT eh... very STAT-know-CAUS-TR-3OBJ-1SG.ERG DEM
 ‘But I know the motions of that [square dancing].’

- (46) CMA: *yémíns xé? eh eh and eh... yémíns*
yé-mín-s xé? eh eh and eh... yé-mín-s
 good-RLT-3ERG DET eh eh and eh... good-RLT-3ERG
e séytknmx ws ?ex wé? e témñ ?es...
e=séytkn-mx=ws ?ex wé? e=témñ ?es...
 DET=people-person=CNJ be DEM D/C=then STAT
 ‘The people used to, they like(d) that kind of music.’
- (47) KBG: *?éy*
?éy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (48) CMA: *?e séy’si?s*
?e=séy’si?-s
 D/C=play-3POSS
 ‘They would play.’
- (49) KBG: *?éy*
?éy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (50) CMA: *si?séy’si?s e meł n?éye ukt*
si?séy’si?-s e meł n?éye u=kt
 several.play-3ERG INT CNSQ here to=1PL.SBJ
 ‘They’re playing their instruments.’
- (51) KBG: *ske wéwetiys e séytknmx*
ske wéw-[n]-t-iys e=séytkn-mx
 PRSM call-DIR-TR-3PL DET=people-person
 ‘They call the people.’
- (52) KBG: *čé ł wéw wə ?éye né? pi?sté? us e ks*
čé ł=wéw wə ?éye né? pi?sté? us e k=s
 EMPH.INT D/C=call PREP here DEM when CNJ INT D/C=NMLZ
ł x^wúy k čé séy’si?me x^wúy te móq^wíyxs
ł=x^wúy=k čé séy’si?-me x^wúy t=e=móq^w-íyxs
 D/C=FUT=D/C EMPH.INT play-INDF.ERG FUT OBL=DET=gather-3PL
?eł t x^wúykt séy’si?
?e t=x^wúy=kt séy’si?
 and OBL=FUT=1PL.SBJ play
 ‘They call the people that they were going to gather and they were going to play the instruments.’

- (53) CMA: ʔéy
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (54) CMA: e x^wúy’ témn’ k séws eh séytknmx xwúy’ xeym té
 e=x^wúy’ témn’ k=séw-s eh séytkn-mx x^wúy’ xeym té
 DET=FUT then D/C=ask-3ERG eh people-person FUT indeed DEM
 témn’ e meł snes wé?... mín pumínms...
 témn’ e meł s=nes wé? mín pu-mín-m-s
 then INT CNSQ NMLZ=INCIP DEM RLT drum-RLT-INDF.ERG-3POSS
 ‘They asked the people, and they began to drum.’
- (55) CMA: téʔə
 téʔə
 NEG
 ‘Nope.’
- (56) KBG: ʔéy
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (57) CMA: ...te loud
 t=e=loud
 OBL=DET=loud
 ‘It was loud.’
- (58) CMA: pumínms te... tk [...] e
 pu-mín-m-s t=e... t=k [...] e
 drum-RLT-INDF.ERG-3POSS OBL=DET OBL=DET INT
 k sqeʔnims tékm e séytknmx e meł né?
 k=s=qeʔnīm-s tékm e=séytkn-mx e meł né?
 D/C=NMLZ=hear-3POSS all DET=people-person INT CNSQ DEM
 ‘They were drumming so all the people can hear.’
- (59) CMA: x^wúyt~x^wúyt ʔə́m stéʔ wéʔ ʔex citx^w x^wúy’
 x^wúyt~x^wúyt ʔə́m s-téʔ wéʔ ʔex citx^w x^wúy’
 PL~go.out PERF NMLZ-what DEM be house FUT
 ks ʔes néʔis ʔes cúť ċiye e meł nes
 k=s-ʔes-néʔis ʔes-cúť ċiye e meł nes
 DET=NMLZ-STAT-people.go STAT-get.ready EMPH.INT INT CNSQ INCIP
 ‘They would go out the doors and hear the drum and they’d know so they’d all get ready to go out for the evening.’

- (60) CMA: *ʔes pumínems tékm swét ʔel ʔiɬm*
 ʔes-pu-mín-em-s tékm s-wét ʔel ʔiɬ-m
 STAT-drum-RLT-INDF.ERG-3POSS all NMLZ-who and sing-CTR.MID
 ‘They all would drum and sing.’
- (61) CMA: *ʔel qaxném¹³ oo tk qaxném ʔes ewkt*
 ʔel qaxném oo t=k=qaxném ʔes-ew=kt
 and holler oh OBL=D/C=holler STAT-gather=1PL.SBJ
te séytknmx
 t=e=séytkn-mx
 OBL=DET=people-person
 ‘And some would be able to holler.’
- (62) CMA: *ʔel e meɬ nes ʔes...*
 ʔel e meɬ nes ʔes...
 and INT CNSQ INCIP STAT
 ‘And then they would...’
- (63) CMA: *lépne ɬəm ʔex nwéntne dance*
 lép-ne ɬəm ʔex nwén-t-Ø-ene dance
 forget-1SG.ERG PFV be NCTL-TR-3OBJ-1SG.ERG dance
 ‘I forgot the word for dance.’
- (64) KBG: Square dancing?
- (65) CMA: *...sɬéy*
s-ɬéy
 NMLZ-women’s.dance
 ‘The *sɬéy*.’
- (66) CMA: Hm?
- (67) KBG: *square dancing us*
 square dancing=us
 square dancing=CNJ
 ‘Square dancing [suggestion].’
- (68) CMA: *te... téʔe*
 te téʔe
 NEG NEG
 ‘No... no.’

¹³ This word does not appear in the dictionary (Thompson & Thompson 1996); this translation was given by the consultant.

- (69) CMA: *eh t ʔex us...*
 eh t=ʔex=us
 eh D/C=be=CNJ
 ‘And then they would...’
- (70) KBG: *kéʔ us stéʔ*
 kéʔ=us s-téʔ
 Q=CNJ NMLZ-what
 ‘Which one?’
- (71) CMA: *néʔ ʔéy*
 néʔ ʔéy
 DEM yes
 ‘That one.’
- (72) KBG: *ʔíx^wel ʔíy néʔe*
 ʔíx^wel ʔíy néʔe
 different yes DEM
 ‘That one’s different.’¹⁴
- (73) KBG: *ʔíx^wel e wiʔ xéʔe sʔéy*
 ʔíx^wel e=wiʔ xéʔe s-ʔéy
 different D/C=EMPH DEM NMLZ-women’s.dance
 ‘The sʔéy is different.’
- (74) CMA: *heʔéy sʔéy*
 heʔéy s-ʔéy
 yes NMLZ-women’s.dance
 ‘Yes, the sʔéy.’
- (75) CMA: *e meʔ nes ʔe k sʔéy ʔes wə*
 e meʔ nes ʔe k=s-ʔéy ʔes=wə
 INT CNSQ INCIP INT D/C=NMLZ-women’s.dance STAT=PREP
e smumútec
 e=s-mu~mútec
 DET=NMLZ-PL~woman
 ‘And the women would dance with each other.’
- (76) CMA: *ʔex ʔiʔm*
 ʔex ʔiʔ-m
 be sing-CTR.MID
 ‘They would sing.’

¹⁴ Speech from lines (68) to (73) is overlapping and difficult to decipher due to this. What is presented here is what could be deciphered (both by the authors and the consultants).

- (77) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (78) CMA: *ʔeł xeym tʔé tʔé témn swéwtiyxs e séytknmx*
ʔeł xeym tʔé tʔé témn s=wéw-t-iyxs e=séytkn-mx
 and indeed DEM DEM then NMLZ=call-TR-3PL DET=people-person
k^wntiyxs e néʔ eh s ʔe sutn
k^wn-t-iyxs e=néʔ eh s ʔe=sutn
 get-TR-3PL D/C=DEM eh s DET=thing
 ‘Long time ago, when the women used to gather and dance, they would call the people, and they grabbed something.’
- (79) CMA: *e violin e meł nes qeʔnímetiyxs e séytknmx*
e=violin e meł nes qeʔnīm-e-t-iyxs e=séytkn-mx
 DET=violin INT CNSQ INCIP hear-DIR-TR-3PL DET=people-person
ʔes... ʔes néʔís cúw cíy ʔeł ʔəkstíyxs
ʔes... ʔes=neʔís cúw cíy ʔeł ʔək-s-t-íyxs
 STAT STAT=people.go do EMPH and know-CAUS-TR-3PL
 ‘Someone would play the violin, and the neighbours would be able to hear it from across the river and up the valley, and they’d know it was time to gather.’
- (80) CMA: *x^wúy néʔís e sx^wák^ws t sk^wís ʔiłm*
x^wúy néʔís e=s=x^wák^w-s t=s-k^wís ʔił-m
 FUT people.go D/C=NMLZ=desire-3POSS OBL=NMLZ-fall sing-CTR.MID
ʔeł x^wúy k qeʔnīm néʔ néʔ tk ʔiy.... eh tk...
ʔeł x^wúy k=qeʔnīm néʔ néʔ t=k=ʔiy eh t=k
 and FUT D/C=hear DEM DEM OBL=D/C=good eh OBL=D/C
tk siłm ʔeł le ʔeł x^wúy
t=k=s-ił-m ʔeł le ʔeł x^wúy
 OBL=D/C=NMLZ-sing-CTR.MID and DEM and FUT
k sǎéy ʔéy
k=s=ǎéy ʔéy
 D/C=NMLZ=women’s.dance yes
 ‘They would gather and people would sing and dance and people would feel good.’
- (81) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (82) CMA: *ʔiy e meł xéʔ*
ʔiy e meł xéʔ
 good INT CNSQ DEM
 ‘It was good.’

- (83) KBG: *ʔel naʕʔip néʔ laʔxstis e slaʔxáns*
ʔel naʕʔip néʔ laʔx-s-t-i-s e=s-laʔx-áns
 and always DEM eat-CAUS-TR-1PL.OBJ-3ERG DET=NMLZ-eat-tooth
kʷmíʔmeʔ t e... te slaʔxáns ʔéy
kʷm~íʔmeʔ t=e t=e=s-laʔx-áns ʔéy
 small~PRP OBL=DET OBL=DET=NMLZ-eat-tooth yes
 ‘And they would always bring food with them, they would bring out a little bit of food each.’

- (84) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

- (85) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

4.2 Conversation 2



Figure 2: A family of geese setting off into the water.¹⁵

¹⁵ Photo by pixabay user Ronile: <https://pixabay.com/photos/canada-goose-chick-branta-canadensis-273732/>

(95) CMA: Must be getting close to noon, Bernice, you getting hungry?

(96) KBG: *léʔe wíkne xéʔe meɬ nes téyt*
leʔe wík-Ø-ne xéʔe meɬ nes téy-t
 DEM see-3OBJ-1SG.ERG DEM CNSQ INCIP hunger-IM
 ‘I haven’t seen that for a while and now I’m hungry.’

(97) CMA: *ʔéy ʔéy*
ʔéy ʔéy
 yes yes
 ‘Yes, yes.’

(98) KBG: *ʔex xʔe ʔúpis n-sqáczəʔ*
ʔex xʔe ʔúpi-Ø-s n-s-qáczəʔ
 be DEM eat-3OBJ-3ERG 1SG.POSS-NMLZ-father
 ‘My father used to eat those.’

(99) CMA: Oh?

(100) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(101) KBG: *lúmes néʔe scém*
lúm-[n-t]-Ø-s néʔe s-cém
 slurp-DIR-TR-3OBJ-3ERG DEM NMLZ-bone
 ‘He would slurp the bone.’

(102) CMA: *míłəm*
míłəm
 ʔ¹⁶
 ‘Yes.’

(103) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

¹⁶ The consultant said in her translation that this is a way to agree after hearing some new information, similar to something like “Oh, really?” or “Oh, I see.”

- (104) KBG: *ʔeɭ e ʔeʔúseʔs* *nem*
ʔeɭ e=ʔe~ʔ-úseʔ-s *nem*
 and DET=AFF~NEUT¹⁷-small.round.object-3POSS very
ʔemíns *xéʔe*
ʔe-mín-[t]-Ø-s *xéʔe*
 good-RLT-TR-3OBJ-3ERG DEM
 ‘And the duck eggs, he really liked those.’
- (105) CMA: Mmm.
- (106) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (107) CMA: *ʕiy nke te nsqáczeʔ ncéweʔ e meɭ*
ʕiy=nke te=n-s-qáczeʔ ncéweʔ e=meɭ
 be.like=INFER DET=1SG.POSS-NMLZ-father 1SG.EMPH D/C=CNSQ
s... nescút ʕíy témn̩ when he’s trapping
s=nes-[t-s]út ʕíy témn̩ when he’s trapping
 NMLZ=go-TR-REFL be.like then when he’s trapping
 ‘My father used to go get the eggs when he was trapping.’
- (108) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (109) CMA: *ʔes kʷné m cú xʷéʔe... nem ekʷu...*
ʔes=kʷné-m cú xʷéʔe nem=ekʷu
 STAT=grasp-CTR.MID say DEM very=REP
 ‘He gets some eggs from the nest.’
- (110) CMA: *tk xʷúsxʷəs-t xéʔe ʔe kʷyxus e xúʔu*
t=k=xʷús~xʷəs-t xéʔe ʔe kʷyxus e=xúʔu
 OBL=D/C=fierce~CHAR-IM DEM INT ? DET=?
kʷné m tuxʷə ʔeʔúseʔs
kʷné-m tuxʷə ʔe~ʔ-úseʔ-s
 grasp-CTR.MID from AFF~NEUT-small.round.object-3POSS
 ‘Those ducklings are really mean when you go to grab the eggs.’

¹⁷ This is a “semantically neutral stem to which certain lexical suffixes are added” (Thompson & Thompson 1996).

- (111) KBG: *heʔéy néx^wm*
heʔéy néx^w-m
 yes intense-CTR.MID
 ‘Yes.’
- (112) CMA: *ʔo cut ł nsqáczéʔ te ʔo ʔe meł nes*
ʔo cut ł=n-s-qáczéʔ t=e=ʔo ʔe meł nes
 DISC say D/C=1POSS-NMLZ-father OBL=DET=DISC INT CNSQ INCIP
k^wném ʔe meł nes ʕlíyxeyx^w
k^wné-m ʔe meł nes ʕl-íyx-eyx^w
 grasp-CTR.MID DET CNSQ INCIP run.fast-AUT-people
 ‘My father said he took some eggs and he ran!’
- (113) KBG: *náq^wm*
náq^w-m
 steal-CTR.MID
 ‘He stole them.’
- (114) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (115) KBG: *ʔéy xéʔe náq^wms e sxiq xéʔ ʔú*
ʔéy xéʔe náq^w-m[in]-t-Ø-s e=s-xiq xéʔ ʔú
 yes DEM steal-RLT-TR-3OBJ-3ERG DET=NMLZ-duck DEM EXCM
 ‘He used to steal the eggs.’
- (116) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (117) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (118) KBG: *ʔe meł neʔ wiʔ púystem e sxiq*
ʔe meł neʔ wiʔ púys-t-Ø-em e=s-xiq
 INT CNSQ DEM EMPH kill-TR-3OBJ-1PL.ERG DET=NMLZ-duck
 ‘We killed the ducks.’

- (119) CMA: *senk wiʔ xéʔe témn' téywey*
senk wiʔ xéʔe témn' téywey
 mean.tempered¹⁸ EMPH DEM then EXCM¹⁹
nem e sɿíqs
nem e=s-xíq-s
 very DET=NMLZ-duck-3POSS
 'They're very mean (when we steal their eggs).'
- (120) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 'Yep.'
- (121) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 'Yep.'
- (122) CMA: *ʔel ʔex nke cuntíyxs e scmeyts...*
ʔel ʔex=nke cun-t-íyxs e=s-cm-eyt-s
 and be=INFER say-TR-3PL DET=NMLZ-small-agent-3POSS
x^wuy k^w xeym ʔel ʔíy ʔeʔe e keʔ s...
x^wuy=k^w xeym ʔel ʔíy ʔeʔe e=keʔ=s
 FUT=2SG indeed and good DEM DET=Q=NMLZ
 'The mother duck must be telling her ducklings [this] is what they have to do.'
- (123) CMA: Oh no.
- (124) CMA: Swim.
- (125) KBG: *séx^wm*
séx^w-m
 bathe-CTR.MID
 'Swim.'
- (126) CMA: *ʔéy keʔ e séx^wm... ne q^woʔ*
ʔéy keʔ e=séx^w-m n=e=q^woʔ
 yes DEM DET=bathe-CTR.MID in=DET=water
 'Swim in the water.'

¹⁸ This word was not found in the dictionary (Thompson & Thompson 1996); the meaning given was provided by the consultant.

¹⁹ Same as above.

(127) CMA: *nem ye xé? tək sʔiʔtm̩ xéʔe e sʔəqxiq...*
 nem ye xé? tək=s-ʔi~ʔtm̩ xéʔe e=s-ʔəq~xiq
 very good DEM OBL=DET=NMLZ-PL~parent DEM DET=NMLZ-PL-duck
ʔes ḳʷeñstíyxs
ʔes=ḳʷeñ-s-t-íyxs
 STAT=look-CAUS-TR-3PL
 ‘The parents of the ducklings look after their ducklings really good.’

(128) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(129) CMA: *scmeyʔíyxs*
 s-cm-eyt-íyxs
 NMLZ-small-agent-3PL.POSS
 ‘Their kids.’

(130) CMA and KBG overlap here, so it’s difficult to make out what they’re saying.

(131) CMA: I diminuzed the *ḳʷmíʔmeʔ* [*ḳʷm~íʔmeʔ*; small~prp]. I said *tumíʔmeʔ*.

(132) CMA: I haven’t used that word in, oh my gosh, a long time. *tumíʔmeʔ*.

(133) KBG: *təteʔ k k scukʷs nmíml̩ xéʔe...*
 təteʔ k k=s=cukʷ-s nmíml̩ xéʔe
 NEG UNR D/C=NMLZ=finish-3POSS 1PL.EMPH DEM
ʔes naq̣ʷntm
ʔes=naq̣ʷ-n-t-Ø-m
 STAT=steal-DIR-TR-3OBJ-INDF.ERG
 ‘We’re not the only ones that steal the eggs.’

(134) CMA: Mhm.

(135) KBG: *ʔeʔúseʔ ʕe ʕuʔ xéʔe snḳʷyep*
ʔe~ʔ-úseʔ ʕe ʕuʔ xéʔe s-nḳʷyep
 AFF~NEUT-small.round.object EMPH.INT also DEM NMLZ-coyote
 ‘The coyote does too.’

(136) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

- (137) CMA: *ʔeł e sɣawm*
 ʔeł e=s-ɣawm
 and DET=NMLZ-wolf
 ‘And the wolf.’
- (138) KBG: *ʔéy tékm xéʔe*
 ʔéy tékm xéʔe
 yes all DEM
 ‘All the animals.’
- (139) CMA: *ʔeł e sʔéʔeʔ*
 ʔeł e=s-ʔéʔeʔ
 and DET=NMLZ-crow
 ‘And the crow.’
- (140) KBG: *ʔéy*
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (141) CMA: *nem xéʔ tək ʔa stéʔ sʔéʔeʔ... e mel*
 nem xéʔ tək=ʔa s-téʔ s-ʔéʔeʔ e=mel
 very DEM OBL=D/C=DISC NMLZ-what NMLZ-crow D/C=CNSQ
 nes cmix^wetíyxs e citx^wíyxs xéʔe
 nes cm-ix^we-t-íyxs e=citx^w-íyxs xéʔe
 INCIP small-?-TR-3PL DET=house-3PL.POSS DEM
 ‘The crow messes up the duck’s nest.’
- (142) KBG: *ʔéy*
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (143) CMA: Oh, poor little things.
- (144) KBG: *ʔeł e heléw*
 ʔeł e=heléw
 and DET=eagle
 ‘And the eagle.’
- (145) KBG: *ʔéy*
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

- (146) CMA: *ʔel e heléw ʔéy*
ʔel e=heléw ʔéy
 and DET=eagle yes
 ‘And the eagle, yes.’
- (147) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (148) CMA: *kʷnéṃ tə xʷéʔ... ʔel kʷnéṃ tə xʷéʔ*
kʷné-m t=ə=xʷéʔ ʔel kʷné-m t=ə=xʷéʔ
 grasp-CTR.MID OBL=DET=DEM and grasp-CTR.MID OBL=DET=DEM
t cmíʔmeʔ tək ci téʔe
t=cm~íʔmeʔ tə=k ci téʔe
 OBL=small~PRP OBL=DET EMPH DEM
 ‘They even take the little ducklings.’
- (149) KBG: *ʔéy néxʷm*
ʔéy néxʷ-m
 yes intense-CTR.MID
 ‘That’s right.’
- (150) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (151) CMA: *qʷənqʷént*
qʷən~qʷén-t
 AUG~poor-IM
 ‘Poor little thing.’
- (152) KBG: *ʔuʔ nem ýe tək sləʔxáns*
ʔuʔ nem ýe tə=k=s-ləʔx-áns
 also very good OBL=DET=NMLZ-eat-tooth
 ‘They are good to eat.’
- (153) CMA: Mmm.

4.3 Conversation 3



Figure 3: Another family of geese swimming, this time with older offspring.²⁰

- (154) KBG: *çi nuk^w té?e te ʔəxʔəxt ʔeyl ʔe s–*
çi=nuk^w té?e t=e=ʔəx~ʔəx-t ʔeyl ʔe=s
 EMPH=SENSE DEM OBL=DET=PL~tall-IM now DET=NMLZ
 ‘They seem to be a little bit bigger.’
- (155) CMA: *he?éy*
he?éy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’
- (156) CMA: *təte? k sx^wuýcx^wuýce he?éy nəst*
təte? k=s-x^wuýc-x^wuýce he?éy nəst
 NEG DET=NMLZ-AUG-more yes TAG
 ‘There seems to be less of them.’
- (157) CMA: *séy ke?hés mús çet cíks ʔu?*
séy ke?hés mús ç=eł cí-ks ʔu?
 two three four EMPH=and open-hand also
 ‘Two, three, four, five.’
- (158) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (159) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (160) CMA: *kémel k^wmi cmí?me?tus ʔes cíy sté? xwúýce?*
kémel k^wm-i cm~í?me?-t=us ʔes=cíy s-té? xwúýce?
 CONTR small-?²¹ small~PRP-IM=CNJ STAT=be.like NMLZ-what more
 ‘When they were younger, there was more of them.’

²⁰ Photo by pixabay user Kapa65: <https://pixabay.com/photos/geese-canada-geese-family-2346322/>

²¹ This may be the start of the same form as the next word.

- (161) CMA: *nem nke tumíxwətem e sté? té?e*
 nem=nke tumíxwə-t-em e=s-té? té?e
 very=INFER kill.one.by.one²²-TR-INDF.ERG DET=NMLZ-what DEM
 ‘Something must’ve killed them off.’
- (162) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (163) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (164) CMA: *qʷənqʷənem s aw*
 qʷən~qʷən-[t-Ø]-em s aw
 PL~pity-[TR-3OBJ]-1PL.ERG ? aw
 ‘I feel sorry for them.’
- (165) KBG: *téyt nke wi?*
 téy-t=nke wi?
 hunger-IM=INFER EMPH
 ‘They must’ve been hungry.’
- (166) CMA: *xeym*
xeym
 indeed
 ‘There’s a balance.’
- (167) KBG: *ʕe nke ʕəp he snkʷep or heléw*
 ʕe=nke ʕəp he=s-nkʷep or heléw
 EMPH=INFER MOD DET=NMLZ-coyote or eagle
 ‘It’s probably the coyote or the eagle.’
- (168) CMA: *ʔel ʕu? wé?e... qʷílɬxqn*
 ʔel ʕu? wé?e qʷílɬxqn²³
 and also DEM wolverine
 ‘And the same with the wolverine.’

²² This word was not found in the dictionary (Thompson & Thompson, 1996); the meaning given was provided by the consultant.

²³ This word may contain the lexical suffix *-q(i)n* ‘head’ (Thompson & Thompson 1996:295).

(169) KBG: *e sté?*
 e=s-té?
 DET=NMLZ-what
 ‘What?’

(170) CMA: *q^wíl^xqn*
q^wíl^xqn
 wolverine
 ‘The wolverine.’

(171) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(172) CMA: *ʔe x^wuy[’] témn[’] t e me s[’]úmes tek^m*
ʔe x^wuy[’] témn[’] t=e=me s[’]úm-[n-t]-Ø-s tek^m
 INT FUT then OBL=DET=? sniff-[DIR-TR]-3OBJ-3ERG all
sté? *ci te snk[’]yep e me[’] nes*
s-té? *ci t=e=s-nk[’]yep e=me[’] nes*
 NMLZ-what EMPH OBL=DET=NMLZ-coyote D/C=CNSQ INCIP
nescút *číy x^witl^a s[’]qós e q^wó?*
nes-[t-s]út *číy x^witl^a s[’]qós-s e=q^wó?*
 go-TR-REFL be.like ? ?-3POSS²⁴ DET=water
 ‘They smell everything just like the coyote. They go beside the water, on the other side of the water.’

(173) CMA: *ʔes pún^ms ʔupi né? te k^wmiʔme?*
ʔes=pún-m[in]-[t]-Ø-s ʔupi né? te=k^wm~íʔme?
 STAT=find-RLT-TR-3OBJ-3ERG eat DEM DET=small~PRP
cmíʔmeʔt... e me[’] nes s[’]laʔxáns
cm~íʔmeʔ-t e=me[’] nes s-laʔx-áns
 small~PRP-IM D/C=CNSQ INCIP NMLZ-eat-tooth
 ‘And they find some. They find the little ones, and they eat them up.’

(174) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(175) CMA: *ʔéy chíy t e xéʔe ʔu?*
ʔéy chíy t=e=xéʔe ʔu?
 yes same OBL=DET=DEM also
 ‘They do the same thing as the coyote.’

²⁴ The consultant said that *x^witl^a s[’]qós* refers to ‘the other side’.

- (176) KBG: *ʔeł nem nx^wuʔx^wúʔx^ws xéʔe*
ʔeł nem n=x^wuʔ~x^wúʔx^w-s xéʔe
 and very LOC=PL~odour-3POSS DEM
 ‘They are really smelly.’
- (177) KBG: *néx^wm wey [inaudible] mim nem nx^wuʔx^wúʔx^ws*
néx^w-m wey [inaudible] mim nem n=x^wuʔ~x^wúʔx^w-s
 intense-CTR.MID ?²⁵ [inaudible] ? very LOC=PL~odour-3POSS
 ‘It’s true; people say they really smell.’
- (178) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (179) CMA: *stéʔusnke xwúyʔe?*
s-téʔ=us=nke xwúyʔe?
 NMLZ-what=CNJ=INFER more
 ‘What else are we gonna talk about?’

4.4 Conversation 4



Figure 4: A smiling cat holds a fish while a birthday cat glowers in the background, set in an outdoor scene including the Fraser River.²⁶

- (180) CMA: *nkəʔníṃ e pús*
n=kəʔní-ṃ e=pús
 LOC=fish-CTR.MID DET=cat
 ‘The cat went fishing.’

²⁵ This could be another form of *téywey*, ‘exclamatory’.

²⁶ Author Reed Steiner’s own work, assembled from miscellaneous images.

- (181) KBG: *ʔex neʔ tʔe nke he weasel*
ʔex=neʔ tʔe=nke he=weasel
 STAT=DEM DEM=INFER DET=weasel
 ‘It seems to be a weasel.’²⁷
- (182) KBG: *stéʔ... stéʔ wiʔ ʔəm he weasel*
s-téʔ s-téʔ wiʔ ʔəm he=weasel
 NMLZ-what NMLZ-what indeed PERF DET=weasel
 ‘What’s the weasel called again?’
- (183) CMA: Oh, because she’s white?
- (184) CMA: *spíq... ʔes spíq*
spíq ʔes=spíq
 white STAT=white
 ‘[S]he’s white.’²⁸
- (185) KBG: Oh yeah, mhm.
- (186) KBG: *ʔe nke xeʔ néxtm... e pús*
ʔe=nke xeʔ né-x-t-Ø-em e=pús
 EMPH=INFER DEM give-IND-TR-3OBJ-INDF.ERG DET=cat
e... e swéwł
e e=swéwł
 DET DET=fish
 ‘She must have gave the cat the fish.’
- (187) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (188) KBG: *ʔe nke swéwł ʔe məl néʔe ws kʷukʷscútc*
ʔe=nke swéwł ʔe=məl néʔe=ws kʷukʷ-s-cút-s
 EMPH=INFER fish INT=CNSQ DEM=CNJ be.saved-CAUS-REFL-3POSS
e pús
e=pús
 DET=cat
 ‘The cat is gonna enjoy the fish.’

²⁷ Throughout both the conversation and subsequent elicitations, the animal in the foreground of the image has been lovingly identified as several different animals, including a cat, a weasel, a groundhog, and an owl (both in English and Nl̥eʔkepmxcín). The animal in the background is always identified as a cat.

²⁸ Because Nl̥eʔkepmxcín pronouns do not encode gender, consultants used “he” and “she” interchangeably in their translations to refer to the foreground cat/weasel/groundhog/owl (the background cat is always assumed male). To make the narration easier to follow, I assume the foreground cat/weasel/groundhog/owl uses she/her pronouns and updated the translations accordingly.

(189) [KBG and CMA laugh]

(190) CMA: *yé wi? he sx^wáwk^ws* – *k^wéñete te...*
yé wi? he=s-x^wáwk^w-s *k^weñ-et-e t=e*
 good indeed DET=NMLZ-heart-3POSS look-TR-IMP OBL=DET
e st^wúsc
e=st^w-ús-s
 DET=[?]-face-3POSS
 ‘[S]he is so happy — look at [her] face!’

(191) KBG: *ǀéy, néǀe*
ǀéy néǀe
 yes DEM
 ‘Yes, that.’

(192) CMA: *ǀíy te ǀéx nke sq^wíǀsm*
ǀíy t=e=ǀex=nke s-q^wíǀ-^s-m
 be.like OBL=DET=be=INFER NMLZ-smile-face-CTR.MID
 ‘It looks like [s]he’s smiling.’

(193) KBG: *heǀéy*
heǀéy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’

(194) CMA: *♪ x^wúy^ʔ kn ǀex wé? k^wuk^wscút ♪*
x^wuý=kn ǀex wé? k^wuk^w-s-t-sút
 FUT=1SG.SBJ be DEM be.saved-CAUS-TR-REFL
 ‘I [the cat] am going to be very thankful.’²⁹

(195) KBG: *ǀéy*
ǀéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(196) KBG: *ǀiǀ x^wuý^ʔ néǀe e snmiǀes ǀú?*
ǀiǀ x^wuý^ʔ néǀe e=s=n=miǀ-[t-Ø]-és ǀú?
 then FUT DEM DET=NMLZ=LOC=share-TR-3OBJ-3ERG until
 ‘Then [s]he is going to share it out.’³⁰

²⁹ The consultant is singing on behalf of the cat.

³⁰ Consultant KBG offered many translations, all of which used ‘share it out’, presumably due to the *ǀú?* at the end. How that meaning is accessed from this preposition is unclear.

(197) CMA: *heʔéy*
heʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’

(198) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(199) CMA: *ʔex nke e pús ʔes míceq lǎ́ne skʷúćs*
ʔex=nke e=pús ʔes=míceq lǎ́ne s-kʷúć-s
 be=INFER DET=cat STAT=sit there NMLZ-[crooked?]-3POSS
 ‘The cat is sitting on the log.’

(200) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(201) KBG: *kʷmínc*
kʷ-mín-t-∅-s
 wait-RLT-TR-3OBJ-3ERG
 ‘He’s waiting for someone.’

(202) CMA: *ʔes kʷmínc e sqʷiqʷnt*
ʔes=kʷ-mín-t-∅-s e=sqʷiqʷnt
 STAT=wait-RLT-TR-3OBJ-3ERG DET=groundhog
 ‘The groundhog is waiting for someone.’

(203) CMA: *tǎteʔ tǎteʔ k stpíq*
tǎteʔ tǎteʔ k=s-tpíq
 NEG NEG DET=NMLZ-weasel
 ‘No, it’s not a weasel.’

(204) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(205) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

- (206) CMA: *cút nke* [ə] *x^wuý kt* *wi?*... *x^wuý kt* *wi?*s...
cút=nke [ə]=*x^wuý=kt* *wi?* *x^wuý=kt* *wi?*-s
 say=INFER D/C=FUT=1PL.SBJ indeed FUT=1PL.SBJ indeed-3POSS
snək^wnúk^we? – (207)³¹ – *x^wuý kt* *wi? kt*
s-nək^w~núk^we? *x^wuý=kt* *wi?=kt*
 NMLZ-PL~friend FUT=1PL.SBJ indeed=1PL.SBJ
snək^wnúk^we? *tes ncín*
s-nək^w~núk^we? *t=e=s=n-t-si-en*
 NMLZ-PL~friend OBL=DET=NMLZ=give-TR-2SG.OBJ-1SG.ERG
te swéwł
t=e=swéwł
 OBL=DET=fish
 ‘Must’ve said we’re going to be friends because I gave you a fish.’
- (207) KBG: *he?éy*
he?éy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’
- (208) KBG: *he?éy*
he?éy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’
- (209) CMA: *sté?* *k xy* *né?* *k scuts* *te témñ*
s-te? *k=xy* *né?* *k=s=cut-s* *t=e=témñ*
 NMLZ-NEG DET=ask.for DEM DET=NMLZ=say-3POSS OBL=DET=then
 ‘Don’t ask her [the weasel] for it because of what he [the cat] said.’³²
- (210) KBG: *éy nex^wm*
éy nex^w-m
 yes intense-CTR.MID
 ‘Yes, you’re right.’
- (211) KBG: *čiy nuk^w* *xé?e* *te n?éye* *te tmix^w*
čiy=nuk^w *xé?e* *t=e=n-?éye* *t=e=tmix^w*
 be.like=SENSE DEM OBL=DET=LOC-here OBL=DET=land
 ‘It feels like the land is close by.’

³¹ This indicates that sentence (207) interrupted at approximately sentence (206) at about this point.

³² CMA clarifies that although the grumpy cat wants the fish, it would not be appropriate for him to ask for it directly.

(212) CMA: *nəst*
nəst
 TAG
 ‘Isn’t it?’

(213) KBG: *nʔéy wéʔe wə ɬ ʔqəmcín*
n-ʔéy wéʔe wə=ɬ=ʔqəmcín
 LOC-here DEM at=DET=Lytton
 ‘[It’s] in Lytton way.’

(214) CMA: *ʔéy, ʔéy*
ʔéy ʔéy
 yes yes
 ‘Yes, yes.’

(215) KBG: *néʔe*
néʔe
 DEM
 ‘There.’

(216) CMA: [inaudible] *kn ʔeɬ ʔuʔ téʔe*
 [inaudible]=kn ʔeɬ ʔuʔ téʔe
 [inaudible]=1SG.SBJ and until DEM
 ‘And I’m going along (doing whatever — I don’t know).’³³

(217) CMA: *ʔeɬ us... s-temn̩ xéʔ néʔ néʔ he s...*
ʔeɬ=us s-tem̩=n̩ xéʔ néʔ néʔ he=s
 and=CNJ NMLZ-what=Q DEM DEM DEM DET=NMLZ
he ssəqs e... e ʔʷumqns e pús
he=s-səq-s e e=ʔʷum-qn-s e=pús
 DET=NMLZ-sitting-3POSS DET DET=head-top-3POSS DET=cat
stéʔ wiʔ xéʔ
s-téʔ wiʔ xéʔ
 NMLZ-what indeed DEM
 ‘What is that sitting on top of the cat’s head? What is that?’

(218) CMA: *qemút n’ xéʔe*
qemut=n̩ xéʔe
 hat=Q DEM
 ‘Is that a hat?’

³³ CMA was not able to provide a full translation for this sentence, since the first part is inaudible.

- (219) KBG: *e qemúts*
 e=qemut-s
 DET=hat-3POSS
 ‘His hat.’
- (220) CMA: *e qemúts*
 e=qemut-s
 DET=hat-3POSS
 ‘His hat.’
- (221) CMA: *kʷmiʔmeʔ néʔ te qemút*
 kʷmiʔmeʔ néʔ t=e=qemút
 little DEM OBL=DET=hat
 ‘A little hat.’
- (222) KBG: *y'ekʷu néʔ e kʷísitus*
 y'=ekʷu néʔ e=kʷís-it=us
 good=REP DEM DET=fall-baby=CNJ
 ‘[It’s] referring to happy birthday.’
- (223) KBG: *ʔe meʔ xéʔe sxʷuʔs ʔiʔm*
 ʔe=meʔ xéʔe s=xʷuʔ-s ʔiʔm-m
 INT=CNSQ DEM NMLZ=FUT-3POSS sing-CTR.MID
 ‘And then she starts to sing.’
- (224) KBG: *ʔe ʔe te síʔq̣t e kʷísituxʷ*
 ʔe ʔe t=e=síʔ-q̣t e=kʷís-it=uxʷ
 good good OBL=DET=day-sky DET=fall-baby=2SG.CNJ
 ‘It was a good day that you were born.’
- (225) KBG: *nem ʔe síʔq̣t e kʷísituxʷ*
 nem ʔe síʔ-q̣t e=kʷís-it=uxʷ
 very good day-sky DET=fall-baby=2SG.CNJ
 ‘It was a very good day that you were born.’
- (226) CMA: *ʔéy*
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (227) KBG: *ʔéy*
 ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(228) CMA: *nəst*
nəst
 TAG
 ‘Right?’

(229) KBG: *heʔéy*
heʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’

(230) CMA: *ʔe ʔeyl nsx^wáwk^w*
ʔe ʔeyl n-s-x^wáwk^w
 good now 1SG.POSS-NMLZ-heart
te snk^wisit-cn³⁴ *ʔ*
 t=e=s=n=k^wis-it-t-si-en
 OBL=DET=NMLZ=LOC=fall-baby-TR-2SG.OBJ-[PASSIVE?]
 ‘My heart feels good that you were born.’

(231) CMA: That’s cute!

(232) KBG: *heʔéy*
heʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yes.’

(233) CMA: *tu ʔe xeʔ*
tu ʔe xeʔ
 still good DEM
 ‘That’s good.’

(234) CMA: *nem məq^wmoq^wixm* *ʔel neʔ k sýe...*
nem məq^w~moq^w-ix-m *ʔel neʔ k=s=ýe*
 very PL~gather-AUT-CTR.MID and DEM DET=NMLZ=good
ʔe k scutes *e sɬaʔxáns* *ʔe məl*
ʔe k=s=cu-t-Ø-es *e=s-ɬaʔx-áns* *ʔe məl*
 ANT DET=NMLZ=fix-TR-3OBJ-3ERG STAT-NMLZ-eat-tooth INT CNSQ
néʔ séýsiʔs
néʔ k=séýsiʔ-s
 DEM DET=play-3POSS
 ‘And then he’s gonna fix the food and then they’re gonna play.’

³⁴ The stem ends in a very audible *cn*. We originally interpreted this as a first-person ergative/second-person-singular object ending (as if a mother is singing “my heart feels good that I birthed you”) but this is likely not accurate, since the translation is very clearly patient-oriented. We suspect the *-en* might be a homophonous morpheme that passivizes the construction, although testing is necessary.

(235) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(236) KBG: *ʔeł ʔiɬm ʔeł sɬéy*
ʔeł ʔiɬ-m ʔeł s-ɬéy
 and sing-CTR.MID and NMLZ-women’s.dance
 ‘And they sang and they danced.’

(237) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(238) CMA: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

(239) KBG: *néʔe*
néʔe
 DEM
 ‘There.’

(240) KBG: *q̣uyʔcút–*
q̣uyʔ-t-sút
 laugh-TR-OOC
 ‘He laughed –’

(241) CMA: [inaudible] *q̣uyʔcút ʔe məł nes yés*
 [inaudible] *q̣uyʔ-t-sút ʔe məł nes yé-s*
 [inaudible] laugh-TR-OOC INT CNSQ INCIP good-3POSS
e sx^wéwk^wiyxs
e=s-x^wéwk^w-iyxs
 DET=NMLZ-heart-3PL
 ‘They laughed and they will feel better.’

(242) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’

- (243) KBG: *ʔeł wéʔe wə ł qʷoʔ e meł neʔ wiʔ sy'és*
ʔeł wéʔe wə=ł=qʷoʔ e meł neʔ wiʔ s=y'é-s
 and DEM at=D/C=water INT CNSQ DEM indeed NMLZ=good-3POSS
s ʕʷoy'ts ʔéye ł qʷoʔ ws
s=ʕʷoy't-[t]-Ø-s ʔeye ł=qʷoʔ=ws
 STAT=sleep-TR-3OBJ-3ERG here DET=water=CNJ
 'And over there by the water they're gonna sleep really good.'
- (244) CMA: *cu kt ʕuʔ xeʔ néʔ wiʔ qeʔnimes e qʷoʔ*
cu=kt ʕuʔ xeʔ néʔ wiʔ qeʔnīm-es e=qʷoʔ
 say=1PL.SBJ only DEM DEM indeed hear-3ERG DET=water
 'And that's all he's gonna hear is the water.'
- (245) KBG: *heʔéy*
heʔéy
yes
 'Yes.'
- (246) CMA: *téʔ xeymxím us e séytknmx téteʔ stéʔ*
téʔ xeymxím=us e=séytkn-mx téteʔ s-téʔ
 what [people.did]=CNJ DET=people-person NEG NMLZ-what
k ʔex téʔe cukʷ ʕuʔ neʔ xeʔ qʷoʔ e qeʔnīmetiyxs
k=ʔex=téʔe cukʷ ʕuʔ neʔ xeʔ qʷoʔ e=qeʔnīm-et-Ø-iyxs
 D/C=be=NEG finish until DEM DEM water D/C=hear-TR-3OBJ-3PL
 'That's what our people used to do: sleep by the water and there was nothing around.'³⁵
- (247) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
yes
 'Yep.'
- (248) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
yes
 'Yep.'

³⁵ Although the volunteered translation includes 'sleep', we do not see the root for 'sleep' in the sentence. Similarly, we do not see the root for 'hear' reflected in the translation. We suspect the translation is more idiomatic.

- (249) CMA: *peý us sné?e e sqe?nímetiyxs*
peý=us s-né?e e=s=qe?nīm-et-Ø-iyxs
 one=CNJ NMLZ-DEM DET=NMLZ=hear-TR-3OBJ-3PL
e... e snkýép
e e=snkýép
 DET DET=coyote
 ‘Once in a while they would hear a coyote.’
- (250) CMA: *ʔaw! ʔaw! ʔaw! ʔawww!*
 (imitating a coyote howling)
- (251) KBG: *ʔéy*
ʔéy
 yes
 ‘Yep.’
- (252) KBG: *ʔe məl né?e sʔexs nox^wnox^w e stpíq*
ʔe məl né?e s=ʔex-s nox^w~nox^w e=s-tpíq
 INT CNSQ DEM NMLZ=PROG-3POSS PL~run DET=NMLZ-weasel
ʔex us te k^wun wə ɬ né stustks
ʔex=us t=e=k^wun wə=ɬ=né s-tustk-s
 PROG=CNJ OBL=DET=protrude to=D/C=DEM NMLZ-wire.trap-3POSS
 ‘The little white weasel is running along until she got to the wire trap.’
- (253) KBG: *k^wuk^wscút ne? ʔeyɬ... tek m e spzspzú?*
k^wuk^w-s-cút ne? ʔeyɬ tek m e=spz~spzú?
 be.saved-CAUS-REFL DEM now all DET=PL~animal
 ‘All the animals are treating themselves very well.’
- (254) CMA: *nəst??*
nəst
 TAG
 ‘Aren’t they?’
- (255) KBG: *či nuk^w e cuk^w ʔeyɬ*
či=nuk^w e=cuk^w ʔeyɬ
 EMPH=SENSE DET=finish now
 ‘It’s finished.’

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented four glossed and annotated conversations in Nlẽ?kepmxcín. With this, we begin to fill a gap that exists in the availability of glossed texts in the language, as well as providing both Nlẽ?kepmxcín learners and scholars of linguistics with spontaneous, naturalistic speech data. Elicitation sessions targeting spontaneous, naturalistic speech are essential in language documentation, as they reveal features of the language that are often not evident in elicitations

targeting only specific linguistic phenomena. We have discussed a small selection of the linguistic features our conversations uncovered; there are certainly many more to be found and commented on.

References

- Caldecott, Marion & Karsten Koch. 2014. Using mixed media tools for eliciting discourse in Indigenous languages. *Language Documentation and Conservation* 8:209–240.
- Comrie, Bernard, Martin Haspelmath, & Balthasar Bickel. 2008. *The Leipzig Glossing Rules: Conventions for interlinear morpheme-by-morpheme glosses*. Max Planck Institute for Evolutional Anthropology.
- Egesdal, Steven M., M. Terry Thompson, & Mandy N. Jimmie. 2011. *nleʔképmxcín: Thompson River Salish Speech*. Bellingham, WA: Whatcom Museum Publications.
- Gessner, Suzanne, Tracey Herbert, & Aliana Parker. 2023. *Report on the Status of BC First Nations Languages*. First Peoples' Cultural Council.
- Hannon, Ella & Cayla Smith. 2023. A Brief Comparison of Two Nleʔkepmxcín Evidentials. *Papers for ICSNL* 58:94–116.
- Koch, Karsten A. 2004. *On predicate modification in Nleʔkepmxcín (Thompson River Salish)*. *Papers for ICSNL* 39:269–282.
- Kroeber, Paul David. 1997. Relativization in Thompson River Salish. *Anthropological Linguistics* 39(3):376–422.
- Littell, Patrick, Lisa Matthewson, & Tyler Peterson. 2010. On the Semantics of Conjectural Questions. In Tyler Peterson and Uli Sauerland (eds.), *Evidence from Evidentials*. Vancouver, BC: UBCWPL, 89–104.
- Matthewson, Lisa, Henry Davis, and Hotze Rullmann. 2007. Evidentials as epistemic modals: Evidence from Státimcets. In Jeroen van Craenenbroeck and Johan Rooryck (eds.), *Linguistic Variation Yearbook 7*, Amsterdam, Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 201–254.
- San Roque, Lila, Lauren Gawne, Darja Hoenigman, Julia Colleen Miller, Alan Rumsey, Stef Spronck, Alice Carroll, and Nicholas Evans. 2012. Getting the story straight: language fieldwork using a narrative problem-solving task. *Language Documentation and Conservation* 6:135–174.
- Thompson, Laurence C. & M. Terry Thompson. 1992. *The Thompson Language*. Missoula, MT: University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics.
- Thompson, Laurence C. & M. Terry Thompson. 1996. *Thompson River Salish dictionary: nleʔkepmxcín*. Missoula, MT: University of Montana Occasional Papers in Linguistics.