

# Keeping Things Moving Along: The Aspectoid Proclitic $yə=$ in Hul'q'umi'num' Salish\*

Lauren Schneider                  Donna B. Gerds  
Simon Fraser University      Simon Fraser University

**Abstract:** Salish languages are well-known for encoding aspects such as imperfective, stative, and durative, as well as plurality, through non-concatenative means. They also have many aspectoidal particles, optional elements that may co-occur with the obligatory aspectual categories. This paper reports on the aspectoidal proclitic  $yə=$  in Hul'q'umi'num', based on original fieldwork with speakers and analysis of a corpus of stories. We explore the diverse meanings of  $yə=$ , which range from translational motion to plurality, its distribution with respect to verb type, and its combinatory properties with various aspects and other aspectoidal clitics. As a polysynthetic language with a rich verb complex, Hul'q'umi'num' has many affixes, clitics, and non-concatenative morphological processes that contribute in subtle ways to the semantics of the whole structure, adding spatial, temporal, or referential meanings, and we see in the case of  $yə=$  that it contributes to all of these domains.

**Keywords:** aspect, aspectoid, clitics, Hul'q'umi'num' Salish

## 1 Introduction

This paper researches the clitic  $yə=$  in Hul'q'umi'num', the Vancouver Island dialect of Halkomelem Salish (ISO 639-3: hur). This work is based on original fieldwork with speakers, the late Dr. Ruby Peter and the late Mrs. Delores Louie, and an analysis of a corpus of transcriptions of recordings from 17 elders.<sup>1</sup> The clitic  $yə=$  occurs in conversations, but is much more frequent in stories, with a variety of usages. For example,  $yə=$  occurs three times in the following line from the story *Raven copies his siblings* by Cecelia Leo, first with an imperfective verb, second with a durative verb, and finally with a stative verb.<sup>2</sup>

---

\* We would like to thank our colleagues Delores Louie, Nancy Hedberg, Ruby Peter, and Tom Hukari for sharing their insights on this topic and also Charles Ulrich, Daniel Reisinger, and Julia Schillo for editorial assistance.

<sup>1</sup> The Hul'q'umi'num' speakers whose stories are in the corpus are: Basil Alphonse, Elsie Canute, Pat Charlie, Manson George, Arnold Guerin, Mrs. Jimmy Joe, Andrew Misheal, Sophie Misheal, Peter Mitchell, Elwood Modeste, Bob Rice, Cecelia Leo, Wilfred Sampson, Arnold Sylvester, Eva Thomas, Samuel Tom, and Ellen White. We also consulted stories by Ruby Peter and Willie Seymour. We cannot express our appreciation enough for all the work that these speakers did on behalf of their language and communities. The story collection, curated by Donna Gerds, is based on recordings by Wayne Suttles, Thomas Hukari, and Donna Gerds made between 1962 and 2000. The stories were transcribed, translated, and edited by Ruby Peter, Donna Gerds, Arnold Guerin, Tom Hukari, Delores Louie, Theresa Thorne, and Ellen White, with assistance from Elena Barreiro, Samara Channell, Zachary Gilkison, Sarah Kell, Kaoru Kiyosawa, Zoey Peterson, Lauren Schneider, and others. The work on texts, data collection, and analysis was funded by Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, Jacobs Research Fund, American Philosophical Society, and Simon Fraser University.

<sup>2</sup> Abbreviations: ACTL = actual, ACTV = activity, AUX = auxiliary, CERT = certainty, CN = connective

- (1) wulh tus tthu **yu** 'i'mush **yu** kwun'eem' 'u tthu qwthalus **yu** sul'its' 'u tthu slhewut'.  
 wəɬ təs tʰə yə=ʔiməʃ yə=kʷəne:m ʔə tʰə qʷθaləs  
 PRF get.here DT DYN=walk<IPFV> DYN=take<DUR> OBL DT platter  
 yə=səliç ʔə tʰə sləwət  
 DYN=full<STA> OBL DT herring  
 'Someone came walking along, holding a platter of herrings.' (CL.1975.5)

Based on examples like these, where *yə=* signals that the action is done 'while moving', Suttles (2004:258) glosses *yə=* in the neighboring dialect *hənqəmīnəm* as 'along'. Gerdts and Hukari (to appear), noting that *yə=* often occurs on verbs in a series of events, call it 'serial'. These previous researchers have also noted that *yə=* prototypically occurs with imperfective verbs, but can also be used with the perfective with the meaning 'first ... (and next)', as in the following example:

- (2) **yu** yuqwqst ch tthu p'utth'tun yelh 'un'stl'uw'ut kwthu sts'uqw'shens kwthun' mun'u.  
 yə=yəqʷ-qʷs-t č tʰə ʔətʰtən yeɬ ʔən-s-ɬəw-ət  
 DYN=burn-LS:point-TR 2SG.SUB DT needle next 2POS-NM-remove-TR  
 kʷθə sčəqʷʃen-s kʷθən mənə  
 DT sliver-3POS DT.2POS son  
 '(First) burn the needle point before you take the sliver from your son's foot.'  
 (Gerdts & Hukari to appear)

Given its association with event structure and temporal flow, *yə=* could be considered to be part of the aspect system. But because *yə=* occurs only optionally and always attached to words otherwise inflected for aspect, it fits in the class of aspectoidals (Kinkade 1976:133), and the purpose of this paper is to explore its meaning and function.

Taking into consideration what we have learned about *yə=* in our study, we suggest the label 'dynamic' for this aspectoidal, as it not only adds a meaning of movement or progress to an event or state but also enlivens the story performance by drawing attention to certain sequences of actions. In Section 2, we explore the co-occurrence of *yə=* with aspectual categories and with other aspectoidal clitics. In Section 3, we describe the effects of *yə=* in serial verb constructions. In Section 4, we explore the seemingly optional nature of *yə=* and further discusses its use in narratives. In Section 5, we widen the discussion to a prefix *yə=* that is used in plural contexts. We conclude in Section 6 by briefly discussing some elements in other Central Salish languages that have a similar form or function to Hul'q'umi'num' *yə=*.

---

element, CNJ = conjunction, CNTRPT = centripetal, CS = causative, DEM = demonstrative, DIM = diminutive, DIST = distal, DT = determiner, DUR = durative, DYN= dynamic, FOC = focus, FUT = future, HS = hearsay, INCH = inchoative, INCPT = inceptive, INFO = informative, INTJ = interjection, IPFV = imperfective, LCTR = limited control transitive, LOC = locative, LS = lexical suffix, MIR = mirative, NEG = negation, NM = nominalizer, OBJ = object, OBL = oblique, PAS = passive, PL = Plural, PLR = pluractional, POS = possessive, PRF = perfect, PRLV = prolativ, PRO.DT = pro-determiner, PROG = progressive, PROP = proprietive, PROX = proximal, PRST = persistive, PST = past, Q = question, REAL = realized, REM = remote, RL = rhetorical lengthening, SCNJ = subordinating conjunction, SG = singular, STA = stative, SUB = subject, TR = transitive, VBL = verbalizer, V<sub>1</sub> = first verb in series, V<sub>2</sub> = second verb in series, 2P = second-position (clitic), < > = non-concatenative morphology, # = semantically problematic.

## 2 The aspectoidal $yə=$

In this section, we report on the aspectoidal proclitic  $yə=$  in Hul’q’umi’num’. We explore its diverse meanings, its distribution with respect to verb type, and its combinatory properties with various aspects and other aspectoidal clitics. We found it most useful to study  $yə=$  as used in a corpus of stories. All the speakers who shared their stories for the corpus used this clitic frequently, averaging between one to two instances per transcribed page. Several of the speakers used it quite densely in certain sections of texts. While it is not used as frequently as the perfect  $wəł=$  — see example (1) — it is much more frequent than some of the evidentials, such as the certainty clitic  $p'e?$ .

For all speakers, the clitic  $yə=$  is most commonly used with motion verbs, as in (3), and it can add a sense of translational motion to manner of motion verbs, as in (4), or add a motion meaning to non-motion verbs, e.g., *títələm* ‘singing’, as in (5):

- (3) wulh nem' **yu huy'u xwut'e** 'u tnanulh tuywut.  
 wəł nem **yə=həy̓ə** **ǰwəte** ?ə tnanul təywət.  
 PRF go.AUX DYN=leave<IPFV> go.toward<IPFV> OBL that.way upstream.north  
 ‘They were on their way up north.’ (WSa.784)
- (4) ni.i.i' ts'u tl'u wulh huye' tthuw'nilh xeel's, **yu 'i'mush**.  
 ni? cə λəwəl həye? t'əw̃nił ǰe:ls, **yə=ʔiməš**  
 AUX<RL> HS also.now leave PRO.DT Xeel's DYN=walk<IPFV>  
 ‘And again, Xeel's goes off walking about.’ (EW.1974.10486)
- (5) suw' huye' ts'u wulh **yu t'it'ulum'** yu t'et'un' 'al'.  
 səw̃ həye? cə wəł **yə=títələm** yə=tetən ?al  
 NM.CN leave HS PRF DYN=sing<IPFV> DYN=out.of.sight<IPFV> just  
 ‘And he left singing until he was out of sight.’ (EW.1974.111)

A corpus count of 1,010 motion verbs found that they co-occur with  $yə=$  23.8% of the time, while non-motion verbs (count 4,294) co-occur with  $yə=$  only 6.4% of the time. Although the verbs marked with  $yə=$  often involve movement, we see that the aspect of the clause also plays a part in the use of  $yə=$ .

### 2.1 Aspect vs. aspectoid

The clitic  $yə=$  interacts in interesting ways with aspect. Hul’q’umi’num’, like other Salish languages, is well-known for encoding aspects such as imperfective, stative, and durative through non-concatenative (marked ‘<>’ in glosses) means (cf. Gerdts 1988; Hukari & Peter 1995; Suttles 2004; Urbanczyk 2011).

**Table 1:** Sample of aspectual verb inflections (Hukari & Peter 1995)

Verb inflection	APA	Gloss	Translation
<i>kwunut</i>	k <sup>w</sup> ən-ət	take-TR <sup>3</sup>	‘take, hold, catch’
<i>kwukwun’ut</i>	k <sup>w</sup> ək <sup>w</sup> əŋ-ət	take-TR<IPFV>	‘taking, catching’
<i>kwun’et</i>	k <sup>w</sup> əŋ-et	take-TR<DUR>	‘hold, possess’
<i>kwulush</i>	k <sup>w</sup> ələš	shoot	‘shoot it’
<i>huy’kwul’usht</i>	həy <sup>k</sup> wələš-t	shoot-TR<IPFV>	‘shooting it’
<i>si’kwul’esh</i>	siʔk <sup>w</sup> ələš	shoot<STA>	‘gun: holding, carrying a gun’
<i>thuyt</i>	θəy-t	fix-TR	‘fix, make it’
<i>they’t</i>	θey-t	fix-TR<IPFV>	‘fixing, making it’
<i>sthuthi’</i>	s-θəθiʔ	STA-fix<STA>	‘fixed, okay’
<i>ts’tl’um</i>	č <sup>l</sup> əm	jump	‘jump’
<i>ts’etl’um’</i>	č <sup>e</sup> ləm	jump<IPFV>	‘jumping’
<i>ts’i’ts’tl’im’</i>	čiʔč <sup>l</sup> im	jump<DUR>	‘hopping’

These languages also have large inventories of clitics as well as adverbs with aspectual, temporal, and spatial meanings. The *aspectoidal* clitics are optional elements that may co-occur with the obligatory aspectual categories (Kinkade 1976:133; cf. Friedrich 1974). Hul’q’umi’num’ has a variety of clitic types (cf. Gerdts & Werle 2014), including introducer clitics, enclitics, second-position clitics, and proclitics:

**Table 2:** Sample of Hul’q’umi’num’ clitic types

Type	Clitic	APA	Gloss
introducer	<i>i</i>	ʔi=	‘here and now’ (proximal)
enclitic	<i>ulh</i>	=əł	‘past’
2P clitic	<i>tse’</i>	=ceʔ	‘future’
proclitic	<i>wulh</i>	wəł=	‘now, then’ (perfect)
proclitic	<i>hwi’</i>	x <sup>w</sup> iʔ=	‘now, next, suddenly, unexpected’ (mirative)
proclitic	<i>hwun’</i>	x <sup>w</sup> əŋ=	‘still, yet’ (persistence)
proclitic	<i>hwu</i>	x <sup>w</sup> ə=	‘become’ (inchoative)
proclitic	<i>yu</i>	yə=	‘on-going, dynamic’

To be precise, Gerdts and Werle (2014) distinguish between two types of proclitics, the outer proclitics, such as *wəł=* and *x<sup>w</sup>iʔ=*, and the inner (aka pre-predicative) clitics *x<sup>w</sup>əŋ=*, *x<sup>w</sup>ə=*, and *yə=* based on various tests such as ordering and interchangeability, and furthermore differentiate between inner proclitics and prefixes by tests such as pauses and syllabification.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Perfective aspect is encoded by the plain form of the verb and thus is unmarked. In this paper, it should be assumed that the verb is perfective unless otherwise indicated by the gloss.

<sup>4</sup> One difference between *yə=* and the other pre-predicative clitics *x<sup>w</sup>əŋ=* and *x<sup>w</sup>ə=* is that the latter two can appear on a range of categories including nouns, adjectives, and verbs while *yə=* only appears on verbs. “Adjectives” here refers to true adjectives and not stative verbs used adjectivally. See Gerdts and Schneider (2023) for a discussion of non-verbal predicates.

We see then that *aspectoidals* are expressed by clitics, while the obligatory *aspects* are expressed via non-concatenative morphology:

**Table 3:** Aspect vs. aspectoid

Aspect	Aspectoid
non-concatenative	clitics
IPFV, STA, DUR, PL ...	PRF, INCH, MIR ...
obligatory	optional

The two different types of aspectual information can be divided neatly into categories based on function and morphological expression. The two categories work together to elaborate the internal structure of the event, the unfolding of the situation over time, and the contextualization of action or state. The next section explores the interactions of the aspectoidal clitic  $yə=$  with several aspectual categories.

## 2.2 Co-occurrence with aspect

Aspectually,  $yə=$  is used in events that are continuing — e.g.,  $yə \text{ } \acute{x}ə́tə$  ‘kept doing’ in (6) — repeating —  $yə \text{ } tə́ȳtiʔqəl$  ‘moving place to place’ in (6) — or concurrent —  $yə \text{ } títələ́m k^wsis yə \text{ } ʔilhtən$  ‘singing while eating’ in (7):

- (6) 'uw' **yu xut'u** 'ul' 'u tey' 'uw' **yu tuy'ti'qul'** 'a.a.al'.  
 ʔəw̄ **yə=ǰətə** ʔəl ʔə teȳ ʔəw̄ **yə=tə́ȳtiʔqəl** ʔəl  
 CN DYN=do<IPFV> just OBL DEM CN DYN=move.place<IPFV.PL> just<RL>  
 ‘They kept doing that, just moving from place to place.’ (WS.1977.106)
- (7) 'a.a.a, hwun' **yu t'it'ulum'** kwsis **yu 'i'lhtun'**.  
 ʔa x^wən̄ **yə=títələ́m** k^wsis **yə=ʔilhtən**  
 ah<RL> PRST DYN=sing<IPFV> DT DYN=eat<IPFV>  
 ‘And he was singing while he was eating.’ (EW.1974.102)

Given its use with continuing activities, it is not unexpected that  $yə=$  is compatible with aspects such as durative, imperfective, and stative:

**Table 4:** Frequency of co-occurrence with aspect categories

Aspect	With $yə=$	
durative	39%	(79 of 197 examples)
imperfective	13.8%	(240 of 1010 examples)
stative	7.3%	(94 of 1280 examples)
perfective	>1%	(12 of 1455 examples)

The difference in the counts reflects the fact that plain perfective verbs are by far the most frequent, and those marked durative are the least frequent.

To illustrate the frequency of  $yə=$  with various aspectual categories, we'll look at a handful of high frequency verbs. For example, the transitive form of the verb root  $\sqrt{k^wən}$  ‘take, grab, hold’, as in (8), has both an imperfective (9) and a durative (10) form:

(8) 'nem' tsun tse' **yu kwunut** kwthu nu shuptun' ...  
 nem cən ce? yə=kʷən-ət kʷθə nə ʃəptən  
 go.AUX 1SG.SUB FUT DYN=take-TR DT 1POS knife  
 'I am going to get my knife ...' (EW.11128)

(9) 'i' wulh m'i t'ul'tth'els 'i' ni' ch 'uw' **yu kwukwun'ut** 'al'.  
 ʔiʔ wəl=mi təlʔels ʔiʔ niʔ č ʔəw yə=kʷəkʷən-ət ʔəl  
 CNJ PRF=AUX spurt CNJ AUX 2SG.SUB CN DYN=take-TR<IPFV> just  
 'And sometimes they are spurting out and you can grab them.' (EW.26951)

(10) sis 'uw' hwu 'uy' tthu'w'nilh, sis 'uw' **yu kwun'etus**.  
 sis=ʔəw xʷə=ʔəy tʰəwnil, sis=ʔəw  
 NM.AUX.3POS=CN INCH=good PRO.DT NM.AUX.3POS=CN  
**yə=kʷən-et-əs**  
 DYN=take-TR-3SUB<DUR>  
 'And it became good for him, and he took it along.' (ST.6247)

The perfective form of  $\sqrt{kʷən}$  is the most frequent at about 565 cases, and it only occurs with  $yə=$  about 0.9% of the time, as in (8). The imperfective form of  $\sqrt{kʷən}$  is less frequent, with only about 18 cases in the corpus, such as (9), and it co-occurs with  $yə=$  22.2% of the time. The durative form  $kʷən-et$  occurs about 145 times in the corpus and co-occurs with  $yə=$  42.8% of the time, such as (10).

The verb root  $\sqrt{\thetaəy}$  'fix, make ready, build' has both an imperfective (11) and a stative (12) form.

(11) si.i.is 'uw' tsqw'i'qw'ul'esh, sus 'uw' **yu they'tus**, yu hwtth'utth'a'tum' thu  
 sqw'uqw'ul'ush ...  
 si.i.is ʔəw c-qʷiʔqʷələš, səs ʔəw  
 NM.AUX.3POS CN VBL-bird<PL> NM.AUX.3POS CN  
**yə=θey-t-əs** yə=xʷ-tʰətʰaʔtəm θə sqʷəqʷələš  
 DYN=fix-TR-3SUB<IPFV> DYN=LOC-skin<IPFV> DT bird<PL>  
 'So, he started hunting the birds, fixing them, pulling the skins off the birds ...' (BA.74)

(12) 'uwu ni'us **yu sthuthi** ...  
 ʔəwə niʔ=əs yə=sθəθiʔ  
 NEG AUX=3SUB DYN=fix<STA>  
 'It wasn't done properly ...' (ST.1962.131)

The imperfective form of  $\sqrt{\thetaəy}$  co-occurs with  $yə=$  15.6% (of 64) of the times it occurs, such as (11), while  $yə=$  only occurs on one of 191 perfective cases of  $\sqrt{\thetaəy}$ . The stative form  $sθəθiʔ$  co-occurs with  $yə=$  less frequently than the imperfective at 4.1% (of 169), as in (12) above.

To sum up so far,  $yə=$  typically occurs with unbounded aspects. Perfective aspect, especially in isolated examples, implies completion (i.e., is telic), and so is incompatible with  $yə=$ . On the other hand, durative, imperfective, and stative are typically unbounded.

In addition to occurring with various aspectual categories, the aspectoidal clitics also co-occur with one another.

### 2.3 Co-occurrence with other aspectoidal clitics

The clitic  $yə=$  can co-occur with other aspectoidal clitics. First, let's consider co-occurrence with 'outer proclitic' — these will occur before  $yə=$  since  $yə=$  is an 'inner' proclitic (Gerdt & Werle 2014:248).

Examples (13) and (14) demonstrate the mirative proclitic  $x^{wi}ʔ=$  (cf. Gerdt 2011) co-occurring with  $yə=$ .

- (13) 'i ch kwu'elh **hwi'** **yu** stsekwul' 'un'sh'i huli?  
 ʔi č kwəʔel **x<sup>wi</sup>ʔ=yə=scek<sup>w</sup>əl** ʔən̩ š-ʔi həli  
 AUX 2SG.SUB indeed MIR=DYN=how<STA> 2POS NM.OBL-AUX alive  
 'How is it that you are alive?' (WSa.22525)

- (14) mukw' lhwet 'uw' **hwi'** **yu** lhi'lhek<sup>w</sup> kws t'akw's.  
 mək<sup>w</sup> łwet ʔəw̄ **x<sup>wi</sup>ʔ=yə=liʔlek<sup>w</sup>** k<sup>ws</sup> ʔak<sup>ws</sup>  
 all who CN MIR=DYN=hurry<IPFV> DT.NM go.home  
 'Everyone is suddenly in a hurry to go home.' (AS.32926)

Compare with a sentence that has only  $x^{wi}ʔ=$ :

- (15) nus nuw' **hwi'** t'a'thut kw'ouyukw.  
 nəs nəw̄ **x<sup>wi</sup>ʔ=taʔθət** k<sup>w</sup>uyək<sup>w</sup>  
 1POS.NM AUX.CN MIR=try<IPFV> trolling  
 'And then I tried trolling.' (AG.29622)

$x^{wi}ʔ=$  alone often has an operational 'and then' or 'now' meaning, while  $x^{wi}ʔ=$  and  $yə=$  together tends to mean 'and then suddenly' when used with the imperfective or indicates that the speaker is surprised that some state is the case.

Examples (16) and (17) demonstrate the perfect  $wəl=$  co-occurring with  $yə=$ .

- (16) 'a.a.a 'i p'e' **wulh** **yu** 'e'wu tthu qa'.  
 ʔa ʔi p̄eʔ **wəl=yə=ʔewə** t<sup>θ</sup>ə qaʔ  
 ah<RL> AUX CERT PRF=DYN=walk<IPFV> DT water  
 'Ah, the tide was coming up.' (EW.15628)

- (17) 'a.a.asha! **wulh** **yu** t'at'ukw' thu *granny*.  
 ʔa:ša **wəl=yə=ʔatək<sup>w</sup>** θə *granny*  
 oh.shucks PRF=DYN=come.home<IPFV> DT *granny*  
 'Oh shucks! Here's granny coming home.' (EC.18249)

Compare with a sentence that has only  $wəl=$ :

- (18) ... 'i' **wulh** 'i'shul' tsun.  
 ... ʔiʔ **wəl=ʔiʔšəl** cən  
 CNJ PRF=paddle<IPFV> 1SG.SUB  
 '... and already I was paddling.' (ET.28177)

The examples with both *wəl=* and *yə=* indicate that the event is happening right now, at the time of speaking. *wəl=* alone has a variety of uses, but the one here indicates that the event being referenced was already in progress at the reference time.

Turning to examples of co-occurrence of the inner proclitics *yə=* and the inchoative *xʷə=*, we found only three examples in our corpus, and in each case *yə=* preceded *xʷə=* and also the inchoative was the culmination of a series of events, as discussed in Section 3.<sup>5</sup>

- (19) niʔ ch nemʔ yu hulʔ meelʔ s tlʔ eʔ **yu hwu** huyʔ qwthut.  
 niʔ č nem yə=həɫme:ls ʔeʔ yə=xʷə=həyqʷθət  
 AUX 2SG.SUB go DYN=kick<IPFV> also DYN=INCH=fire<IPFV>  
 ‘You are kicking again toward the fire.’ (ST.6440)

Examples (20) and (21) demonstrate the persistent proclitic *xʷəñ=* co-occurring with *yə=*.

- (20) waʔ lu niʔ uhwʔ uwʔ **hwunʔ yu** tʔ utʔ aʔ thut.  
 waʔ niʔ=xʷ ʔəwʔ xʷəñ=yə=tətəʔθət  
 maybe AUX=1PL CN still=DYN=try<IPFV>  
 ‘I guess we should keep trying.’ (WSa.816)

- (21) ʔa.a.a. **hwunʔ yu** tʔ itʔ ulumʔ kwsis **yu** ʔiʔ lhtunʔ.  
 ʔa xʷəñ=yə=titələm kʷsis yə=ʔiʔltən  
 ah<RL> PRST=DYN=sing<IPFV> DT DYN=eat<IPFV>  
 ‘And he kept singing when he was eating.’ (EW.11471)

Compare with a sentence that has only *xʷəñ=*:

- (22) **hwunʔ** leʔ lumʔ utusʔ ʔiʔ wulh nemʔ tthu qwuniʔ ...  
 xʷəñ=leləm-ət-əs ʔiʔ wəl nem tʰə qʷəniʔ  
 PRST=look-TR-3SUB<IPFV> CNJ PRF go DT seagull  
 ‘They were still watching it and a seagull went to it ...’ (MG.1439)

*xʷəñ=* alone with an imperfective verb has the meaning of ‘still doing that thing’, while both *xʷəñ=* and *yə=* with an imperfective verb has the meaning ‘keep doing that thing’.

In Table 5, the total represents how often each other clitic occurs in the corpus, and the rightmost column indicates how often that clitic co-occurs with *yə=*.

**Table 5:** Frequency of co-occurrence with other aspectoidal clitics

Clitic	APA	Gloss	Corpus total	+ <i>yə=</i>
<i>hwunʔ</i>	<i>xʷəñ</i>	persistent	294	22 (7.5%)
<i>hwiʔ</i>	<i>xʷiʔ</i>	mirative	295	12 (4%)
<i>wulh</i>	<i>wəl</i>	perfect	2,364	58 (2.4%)

<sup>5</sup> Two of the occurrences involved the frozen expression *hwuʔeyul* /*xʷəʔeyəl*/ ‘go away’. According to Gerdts and Werle (2014), the sequence of *xʷə=* + *yə=* is acceptable, and they gave one example, but we found no examples of this in the corpus.



In sum,  $yə=$  tends to occur with unbounded aspects (durative and imperfective) and thus can easily co-occur with the persistive  $x^wə́n=$ , which focuses on the middle of an event.  $yə=$  is rarer with bounded aspects (perfective) and also is infrequent with the perfect  $wə́l=$ , which signals the start or completion of an event, the inchoative  $x^wə=$ , which signals the completion of a change of state, and the mirative  $x^wiʔ=$ , which adds the meaning of surprise about an event.

### 3 The aspectoidal $yə=$ in serial verb constructions

This section explores the effect of  $yə=$  on the meaning of serial verb constructions (SVCs). Hul'q'umi'num' SVCs are monoclausal constructions made up of two or more independent verbs, which have no linking element connecting them and have shared aspect (cf. Schneider 2021). As discussed previously,  $yə=$  is more common on motion verbs than non-motion verbs, and,  $yə=$  can add motion to a non-motion verb (as in (5) above). SVCs are also frequently made up of motion verbs, and can function to add motion to a non-motion event (cf. Schneider 2022a; Schneider 2022b). Because of the similarities in semantic function, it is not surprising that we would find  $yə=$  occurring on the verbs in an SVC.

As has been established,  $yə=$  often co-occurs with imperfective aspect, as in (23) to (26).

- (23) *yu 'i.i.imush ts'u tthu xeel's, 'uw' yath 'uw' yu 'i'mush yu le'lum'utus tthu mustimuhw ni'ulh yu lhelhuq'utus ...*  
 $yə=ʔiməš$      $čə$      $t^θə$      $ʃe:ls,$   
 DYN=walk    HS    DT    Xeel's  
 $ʔəw̃$      $yaθ$      $ʔəw̃$      $yə=ʔiməš$      $yə=leləm̄-ət-əs$   
 CN    always    CN    DYN=walk    DYN=look-TR-3SUB<IPFV>  
 $t^θə$      $məstiməx^w$      $niʔ=ʔəl$      $yə=leləq̄-ət-əs$   
 DT    people    AUX=PST    DYN=lay.down-TR-3SUB<IPFV>  
 'Xeel's was walking along, always walking and looking at the people that he had put down on earth ...'  
 (EW.10290)

In (23), the creator *Xeel's* is doing the walking and looking, and these actions are continuously happening at the same time. In (24), the subject is also walking, but the second verb describes the direction of motion instead of simultaneous action.

- (24) *yuse'lu skweyul kwus nem' 'i'mush yu tl'upul'.*  
 $yəsələ$      $s-k^weyəl$      $k^wəs$      $nəm$      $ʔiməš$      $yə=ʔəpəl$   
 two    NM-day    DT.NM    go.AUX    walk<IPFV>    DYN=go.down<IPFV>  
 'It took him two days coming down from the mountains.'  
 (WSa.line.298)

Both (24) and (25) involve a manner of motion verb followed by a direction of motion verb.

- (25) *niłh tsun p'e' yu t'it'utsum', yu lhulheel' 'i' hwi' nets' tun'u 'i nu shhw'i.*  
 $nił$      $cən$      $peʔ$      $yə=tiitəcəm̄$      $yə=ləle:lʔ$   
 3FOC    1SG.SUB    CERT    DYN=swim<IPFV>    DYN=go.to.shore<IPFV>  
 $ʔiʔ$      $x^wiʔ$      $neč$      $təñə$      $ʔi$      $nə=šx^wʔi$   
 CNJ    MIR    different    DT.POS    PROX.AUX    POS=place.where  
 'I was indeed swimming along, going to shore and here I am at a different place.'  
 (WSa.line.463)

- (26) ts'aal'uts 'u tu'i smunmeent **yu qwasthut, yu shahwukw'um'**.  
 ča:ləc                      ʔə              təʔi                      smənme:nt  
 go.over.mountain      OBL      DT.PROX              mountain<PL>  
**yə=qʷasθət**                                      **yə=šaxʷəkʷəm**  
 DYN=go.in.water<IPFV>      DYN=bathe<IPFV>  
 'He went over the mountains, going into the water and bathing as he went.'  
 (WSa.line.743)

Example (26) illustrates how a directional verb *yə=qʷasθət* 'going into the water' can be combined with a non-motion verb *yə=šaxʷəkʷəm* 'going along bathing' — with *yə=* marked on both verbs to make them concurrent — to add motion to a non-motion event.

To investigate the effect of *yə=* on this type of imperfective construction, we began by presenting Mrs. Louie with a corpus example with *yə=* on both verbs:

- (27) hiθ 'i' wil' thuw'nilh tɬ'e' wulh **yu 'i'mush yu tsetl'um'**.  
 hiθ                      ʔiʔ              wil'                      θəw'niɬ              ʔeʔ              wəl  
 long.time              CNJ              appear              PRO.DT              also              PRF  
**yə=ʔiməš**                                      **yə=ceʔəm**  
 DYN=walk<IPFV>              DYN=jump<IPFV>  
 'Quite a while later he appeared, jumping around.'  
 (AM.4342)

Then, we isolated the verbs into a shorter sentence (28a) and started to take the *yə=* out and see how it affected the meaning (28b–e).

- (28) a. ni' **yu 'i'mush yu tsetl'um'** tthu smuyuth.  
 niʔ              **yə=ʔiməš**                                      **yə=ceʔəm**                                      t<sup>θ</sup>ə              sməyəθ  
 AUX              DYN=walk<IPFV>              DYN=jump<IPFV>              DT              deer  
 'The deer was walking, jumping.'
- b. ni' **yu 'i'mush tsetl'um'** tthu smuyuth.  
 niʔ              **yə=ʔiməš**                                      **ceʔəm**                                      t<sup>θ</sup>ə              sməyəθ  
 AUX              DYN=walk<IPFV>              jump<IPFV>              DT              deer  
 'The deer was walking and jumping (at the same time).'
- c. ni' **yu 'i'mush tsi'tstl'im'** tthu smuyuth.  
 niʔ              **yə=ʔiməš**                                      **ceʔcʔim**                                      t<sup>θ</sup>ə              sməyəθ  
 AUX              DYN=walk<IPFV>              jump<DUR>              DT              deer  
 'The deer was walking and prancing along.'
- d. ni' **'i'mush yu tsetl'um'** tthu smuyuth.  
 niʔ              **ʔiməš**                                      **yə=ceʔəm**                                      t<sup>θ</sup>ə              sməyəθ  
 AUX              walk<IPFV>                                      DYN=jump<IPFV>              DT              deer  
 'The deer was walking and jumping (over sticks in the path).'

- e. ni' 'i'mush tsetl'um' tthu smuyuth.  
 niʔ            ʔiməʃ            ceʔəm            tʰə            sməyəθ  
 AUX            walk<IPFV>            jump<IPFV>            DT            deer  
 'The deer was stepping and hopping around (playing).' (DL.10.22)

Mrs. Louie said that (28a) and (28b) are about the same; she offered (28c) as an alternative. The durative form proposed in (28c) — *ceʔcʔim* — is the jumping, hopping motion associated with deer and rabbit. Mrs. Louie interpreted (28d) as if 'there are a bunch of sticks or branches in his path that he has to jump over'. Finally, she said that (28d) and (28e) are similar; in (28e) the deer is a fawn playing, stepping, and hopping around. In this case, if *yə=* occurs on the first verb, the 'walking' and 'jumping' actions are continuously and simultaneously happening. When the *yə=* only occurs on the second verb, as in (28d), it is more like the 'walking' action is periodically interspersed with the 'jumping' action as the deer went along and had to jump over obstacles.

In the next corpus example, the original speaker only put *yə=* on the first verb.

- (29) wulh nem' **yu huy'u xwut'e** 'u tnanulh tuywut.  
 wəl nem    yə=həyə            ʃwəte            ʔə    tnanuʔ    təywət  
 PRF go.AUX DYN=leave<IPFV> go.toward<IPFV> OBL that.way upstream.north  
 'They were on their way up north.' (WSa.784)

Compare (29) with (30a–d) below; Mrs. Louie agreed with the original speaker that if *yə=* was present, it was best if it was only on *V*<sub>1</sub>.

- (30) a. nem' **yu huy'u xwut'e** 'u tnanulh tuywut.  
 nem    yə=həyə            ʃwəte            ʔə    tnanuʔ    təywət  
 go.AUX DYN=leave<IPFV> go.toward<IPFV> OBL that.way upstream.north  
 'He's leaving turning to the north. (waaay north)'
- b. #nem' **yu huy'u yu xwut'e** 'u tnanulh tuywut.<sup>6</sup>  
 #nem    yə=həyə            yə=ʃwəte            ʔə    tnanuʔ    təywət  
 go.AUX DYN=leave<IPFV> DYN=go.toward<IPFV> OBL that.way upstream.north
- c. nem' **huy'u xwut'e** 'u tnanulh tuywut.  
 nem    həyə            ʃwəte            ʔə    tnanuʔ    təywət  
 go.AUX leave<IPFV> go.toward<IPFV> OBL that.way upstream.north  
 'He's leaving turning to the north. (waaay north)'
- d. nem' **huye' xwut'e** 'u tnanulh tuywut.  
 nem    həyeʔ            ʃwəte            ʔə    tnanuʔ    təywət  
 go.AUX leave go.toward<IPFV> OBL that.way upstream.north  
 'He left, turning to the north.' (DL.10.22)

<sup>6</sup> Example (30b) is marked with # because Mrs. Louie did not think that adding *yə=* to *ʃwəte* 'going toward' made sense. Because of this, there is no translation for this line.

Mrs. Louie said that (30a) and (30c) are pretty much the same; she supplied (30d) as an alternative to (30c), making the first verb perfective. Moving  $yə=$  around in example (30) does not have quite the same effect as in (28); this is likely due to the semantics of the verbs themselves. In this case, it does not make as much sense to ‘turn north as they go along’ in the same way it makes sense to ‘hop over things as they go along’. To probe this further, a further test would be to construct a context in which the actor is unable to continue north (perhaps there is some large obstacle), and they are going along, turning to the north whenever they can.

Generally, when both verbs are imperfective, if  $yə=$  is marked on  $V_1$ , marking on  $V_2$  tends to be unnecessary and optional; both actions are continuously and simultaneously happening and are thought of as a single event.

(31) Imperfective Vs

$yə= V_1 (yə=) V_2$  |—————|  
walking + jumping = walk-hopping along (i.e., prancing)

Marking  $yə=$  exclusively on  $V_2$  in this context seems to indicate that the second action happened at the same time as the action described by  $V_1$ , but perhaps these are describing subevents of a complex action.

(32) Imperfective Vs

$V_1 yə= V_2$  |—x—x—x—|  
walking + jumping = walking and jumping over things

In imperfective SVCs, if  $yə=$  is marked on  $V_1$ , marking on  $V_2$  tends to be optional. Both actions are continuously and simultaneously happening, where  $V_2$  is providing additional information about the manner of motion in  $V_1$ , as in (31). Marking  $yə=$  exclusively on  $V_2$  in this context seems to indicate that, while the second action is happening at the same time as  $V_1$ , perhaps  $V_2$  is an ongoing but repeating action, as in (32).

As has been demonstrated,  $yə=$  most often co-occurs with unbounded aspects. But, in the following text corpus example,  $yə=$  occurs on the second verb in the string, which is perfective.

(33) m’ihw ts’u **lheel, yu xlhastham** tst tse’ ’i’ yelh ’us nem’ ’u kw’uni’ ’un’ yu shhwunum’.  
mí=əx<sup>w</sup>      çə    **le:l**      **yə=ǰlas-θamə**      ct      ce?    ʔi?    yeł  
come=2SG.SUB    HS    go.ashore    DYN=eat-TR.2OBJ    1PL.SUB    FUT    CNJ    then  
ʔəs      nem    ʔə    k<sup>w</sup>əni?    ʔən    yə=šx<sup>w</sup>ənəm  
AUX.3POS    go    OBL    DIST.DEM    2POS    DYN=OBL.NM.go<IPFV>  
‘Come ashore, and we will feed you before you go on your way.’      (EW.10714)

In (33), there are two conceptually distinct actions, i.e., it is not the case that they will be fed while they are coming to shore. This interpretation is supported by the fact that there is a clause boundary between the verb components, which is apparent from the presence of second-position clitics (*ct* ‘we’ and *ce?* ‘future’) following the second verb, rather than subordinate subject suffixes.<sup>7</sup>

Both  $yə=$  and SVCs are more common in contexts where motion is being expressed, thus their co-occurrence is expected. These strategies work together to express the internal structure of events.

<sup>7</sup> For more on second-position clitics and clause boundaries, see Bättscher (2014) and Schneider (2021).

#### 4 Optionality and discourse use

One puzzle encountered when working on aspectoidals is their seemingly optional nature. Isolated examples can be acceptable with or without  $yə=$ . Elicitations with speakers reveal that they do not have a firm sense of the meaning that  $yə=$  contributes. For example, in the case of a progressive-type construction, the meaning really does not change with (34a) and without (34b)  $yə=$ .

- (34) a. yath 'uw' **yu** 'i'mush lhunu mun'u.  
 yaθ      ʔəw̃      **yə=**ʔiməš      lə-nə      məŋə  
 always    CN      DYN=walk<IPFV>    DT-1POS    child  
 'My daughter is always walking.'
- b. yath 'uw' 'i'mush lhunu mun'u.  
 yaθ      ʔəw̃      ʔiməš      lə-nə      məŋə  
 always    CN      walk<IPFV>      DT-1POS    child  
 'My daughter is always walking.' (DL.01.23)

Nevertheless, speakers have a clear sense of when  $yə=$  should be used. Working with Dr. Peter on transcriptions and translations of stories, she often suggested adding a  $yə=$  especially to motion verbs in the imperfective or verbs in a series, but she never suggested deleting a  $yə=$ . We mark her additions in the transcription of the text corpus with [brackets], for example:

- (35) tsakw wa'lu ni' [**yu**] shhwun'um's kws nem's t'akw'.  
 cak<sup>w</sup>    walə    niʔ    [**yə=**]šx<sup>w</sup>əŋəms    k<sup>w</sup>s    nem-s    tak<sup>w</sup>  
 be.far    maybe    AUX    [DYN=]OBL.NM.go<IPFV>    DT    go-NM    go.home  
 'Perhaps he had a long way to go going home.' (CP, *Old woman who became a bluejay*)

As we were working on this with Mrs. Louie, she suggested that  $yə=$  adds a sense that the action is more 'definite' and 'clear', like it is happening right in front of you. The presence of  $yə=$  makes the telling of the event more vivid. In testing example (35) with and without  $yə=$ , Mrs. Louie told us "you can tell it's a story with the extra *yu*." Similarly, repeatedly during elicitation, this consultant indicated that it would be easier to tell if there should be a  $yə=$  or not if there was more context about the events before and after.

Studying the use of  $yə=$  in our story corpus reveals an uneven distribution. Sometimes speakers go several minutes without using a single  $yə=$ , especially in sections that are setting the scene or relating characters' thoughts or conversations. And then when the action picks up and the characters are moving in time and space and engaging in actions central to the narrative, a flurry of  $yə=$  marked words will appear. Here is a sample paragraph from the late Willie Seymour's *squl'ew' sxwi'em' | The beaver story* (Seymour 2016).<sup>8</sup>

<sup>8</sup> *squl'ew' sxwi'em' | The beaver story* can be read and listened to at:  
<https://saalhsqwal.hwulmuhwqun.ca/willie-seymour-beaver-story/>

- (36) a. sis 'uw' **yu** tl'itl'uts'ul's nem' lemutus tu'i 'i 'u kw'i tsa'luqw.  
 sis=?əw̄                  yə=ʎiʎəçəʎs                  nem̄      lem-ət-əs                  təʔi                  ʔi  
 NM.AUX.3POS=CN      DYN=sneak<IPFV>      go      see-TR-3SUB      DT.PROX      AUX  
    ʔə                  k̄wi                  caləq̄w̄  
    OBL      DT                  upward.slope  
 ‘So he decided to go and see what was happening up here on the mountain.’  
 (lines 39–40)
- b. ni' wulh hwu stutes kwus **yu** ts'its'elhum'utus ... “Hup ho!”  
 niʔ      wəʔ      xʷə=s-tətes                                  kʷəs                  yə=çičeləm̄-ət-əs  
 AUX      PRF      INCH=STA-close<STA>      DT.NM      DYN=hear-TR-3SUB<IPFV>  
    həp ho  
    INTJ  
 ‘He snuck up closer and could hear ... “Hup ho!”’ (lines 41–42)
- c. sis 'uw' **yu** tl'itl'uts'ul's suw' lemutus 'i' squal'ew' sq'uq'ip kwus **yu** hwakw'tus tu thqet [**yu**] hunum'ust-hwus 'u tu qa'. “Hup ho!”  
 sis=?əw̄                  yə=ʎiʎəçəʎs                                  səw̄                  lem-ət-əs                  ʔiʔ                  sqələw̄  
 NM.AUX.3POS=CN      DYN=sneak<IPFV>      NM.CN      see-TR-3SUB      CNJ      beaver  
    s-qəq̄ip                                  kʷəs                  yə=xʷak̄w-t-əs                                  tə                  θqet  
    STA-be.with<STA>      DT.NM                  DYN=drag-TR-3SUB<IPFV>                  DT                  tree  
    [yə]=hənəm̄-əstxʷ-əs                  ʔə                  tə                  qaʔ                  həp ho  
    [DYN]=go-CS-3SUB<IPFV>      OBL      DT                  water      INTJ  
 ‘He crept closer and saw beavers tugging together on a tree bringing it to the water.  
 “Hup ho!”’ (lines 43–45)
- d. ni' wa'lu **yu** xi'xlhem'utus tu kwus **yu** t'at'uhwstum' tu snuhwulh, tu q'xuw'lh.  
 niʔ      walə                  yə=xiʔxləm̄-ət-əs                                  tə                  kʷəs  
 AUX      perhaps      DYN=watch-TR-3SUB<DUR>      DT                  DT.NM  
    yə=tətəxʷ-stəm̄                                  tə                  snəxʷəʔ                  tə                  q̄xəw̄ʔ  
    DYN=go.home-CS.PAS<IPFV>      DT                  canoe                  DT                  war.canoe  
 ‘They must have been watching when they brought down the canoe, that big canoe.’  
 (lines 46–47)
- e. 'u shus tl'uw' **yu** xut'e 'u tey' tuw'ne'ullh.  
 ʔə                  šəs                  ʎəw̄                  yə=xətə                                  ʔə                  teȳ                  təw̄neʔəʎʔ  
 OBL                  thus                  really                  DYN=do<IPFV>                  OBL                  DT                  PRO.DT  
 ‘So they were copying.’ (line 48) (Seymour 2016)

As Gerdts (2010) discusses, the lines in (36a), (36b), and the first half of (36c) are delivered very softly and slowly with stretched out intonation, leading up to the climactic revelation of the *sqələw̄* ‘beavers’, and then followed by a speedily delivered denouement. The use of *yə=* makes this section of the story especially vivid and dramatic.

As mentioned above, Dr. Ruby Peter had strong intuitions about the importance of using the aspectoidal  $yə=$  and she often inserted it into texts she was editing. She regularly used it herself in oral performances of stories. For example, in her telling of *Tth'uwxe'le'ts* — *The basket ogress* (Peter 2016), she uses  $yə=$  three times in the lines where she describes the onerous act of preparing the kidnapped children for barbecuing:<sup>9</sup>

(37) a.  $suw' \mathbf{yu} q'eq'up'utus \mathbf{yu} hunum'st-hwus 'u tthu pi'kwun, xuxeem' stl'ul'iqulh.$

$səw'$	$yə=qəqəp'-ət-əs$	$yə=hənəm-stx^w-əs$	$ʔə$
NM.CN	DYN=tie.up-TR-3SUB<IPFV>	DYN=go-CS-3SUB<IPFV>	OBL
$t^{\theta}$	$pi?k^wən,$	$ħəħe:m$	$sʎəlɪqəl$
DT	roasting.stick	cry<PL.IPFV>	child<PL>

‘So she tied them up onto the barbecue sticks, the crying children.’

b.  $kwus wulh \mathbf{yu} t'ut'uyum'tus tthu smuq^w'iws 'u thu qulum's.$

$k^wəs$	$wəl$	$yə=tətəyəm-t-əs$	$t^{\theta}$	$sməq^wiws$
DT.NM	PRF	DYN=stick.to-TR-3SUB<IPFV>	DT	balsam.pitch
$ʔə$	$\thetaə$	$qələm-s$		
OBL	DT	eye-3POS		

‘And then she put balsam pitch into their eyes.’ (Peter 2016; Alphonse et al. 2021:13)

She also uses  $yə=$  four times in the climax of the story where she describes the character *Tth'uwxe'le'ts* burning up:

(38) a.  $'i' nilh \mathbf{yu} thextus \mathbf{yu} hun'wushum' 'u thu huy'qw.$

$ʎiʔ$	$nił$	$yə=θeħ-t-əs$	$yə=hənw'-əš-əm$	$ʔə$
CNJ	3FOC	DYN=push-TR-3SUB<IPFV>	DYN=in-TR-PAS<IPFV>	OBL
$\thetaə$	$həyq^w$			
DT	fire			

‘But she was in fact pushing her deeper into the fire.’

b.  $wulh yuq^w tth'uwxe'le'ts, \mathbf{yu} kwukwtsem'.$

$wəl$	$yəq^w$	$t^{\theta}əwħele?c$	$yə=k^wək^wcem$
PRF	burn	NAME	DYN=scream<IPFV>

‘And *Tth'uwxe'le'ts* burned up, screaming.’

c.  $kwus wulh yuq^w 'i' ni.i.i' lhakw' tthu qwa'tsup, lhakw' tthu qwa'tsups.$

$k^wəs$	$wəl$	$yəq^w$	$ʎiʔ$	$niʔ$	$łak^w$	$t^{\theta}$	$q^waʔcəp$
DT.NM	PRF	burn	CNJ	AUX<RL>	fly	DT	cinder
	$łak^w$	$t^{\theta}$	$q^waʔcəp-s$				
	fly	DT	cinder-3POS				

‘When she was burning, the cinders were flying.’

<sup>9</sup> *Tth'uwxe'le'ts* — *The basket ogress* can be read and listened to at:  
<https://sqwal.hwulmuhwqun.ca/learn/stories/tthuwxelst-the-basket-ogress/>

- d. sus 'uw' **yu** 'eeye'q, ni.i.i' hwu sqw'uli'qw'lush,  
 hay 'ul' qux sqw'uli'qw'lush, hay 'ul' qux kwus nuts'tul.  
 səs=ʔəw̃                    yə=ʔe:yeʔq,                    niʔ                    xʷə=sq̣ẉəliʔq̣ẉləš  
 NM.AUX.3POS=CN    DYN=change<IPFV>    AUX<RL>    INCH=bird<DIM.PL>  
 hay ʔəl qəx̃ sq̣ẉəliʔq̣ẉləš    hay ʔəl qəx̃ kʷəs nəçtəl  
 3FOC just more bird<DIM.PL> 3FOC just more DT.NM different  
 'And they changed into little birds, many little birds, many different kinds of birds.'  
 (Peter 2016; Alphonse et al. 2021:18)

Notably, some of the occurrences of *yə=* in texts fall on events or states that do not seem to otherwise involve motion, on-going activity, etc. and so we are left with the impression that one of the functions of *yə=* is as a narrative device used at the discretion of the story-teller to make sections of a story more dynamic.

## 5 Plural prefix *yə-*

There is also a predicative prefix (or possibly proclitic) *yə-* that occurs on verbs which seems to refer to a plural participant of an event. Hul'q'umi'num', like other Salish languages, marks plurality on nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs, with meanings related to either plural participants or pluractionality (cf. Gerdts 2012; Huijsmans & Mellesmoen 2021; Suttles 2004). As noted by Gerdts (2012), most nominals have plural forms, but only some nominals require plural inflection when they are semantically plural (39), and many nominals need not be inflected for plurality (40).

- (39) 'ii tl'e' wulh t'il't'ulum' kw'un'a **q'e'lumi**?  
 ʔi:            ʔeʔ    wəl    t̥ilt̥ələm̃            kʷəna    **q̣el̥əmiʔ**  
 AUX.Q    also    PRF    sing<IPFV.PL>    DEM    young.lady<PL>  
 'Are those young ladies singing again?' (Hukari & Peter 1995)

- (40) lukwlukwa'qwt ch tthu ni' wulh qulqulul 'u tthu **sp'eq'um**.  
 ləkʷləkʷaʔqʷ-t    č            tʰə    niʔ    wəl qəlqəl-əl            ʔə    tʰə    **sp̥eq̣əm**  
 break-TR<PL>    2SG.SUB    DT    AUX    PRF    bad-INCPT<PL>    OBL    DT    flower  
 'Break off the flowers that have gone bad.' (Hukari & Peter 1995)

Modifiers and verbs optionally inflect for plurality even if the semantically plural nominal that they reference does not.

There is also a predicative prefix (or possibly proclitic) *yə-* that sometimes occurs with semantically plural nominals:<sup>10</sup>

<sup>10</sup> As discussed above, Gerdts and Werle (2014) use tests like pauses, syllabification, and ordering with other elements to differentiate pre-predicative clitics from prefixes. However, our data on the plural are too scant to make a decision about its analysis. Dr. Peter though suggested that the dynamic *yə=* be written as a separate word while she attached the plural *yə-* to its host.



- (41) mukw' kwthu suw'wuy'qe' 'uw' tspot, ha' nem' nem' 'u tthu kw'atl'kwu 'i' nuw'  
**yuhə**'kwushus tthu pu'ult.  
 mək<sup>w</sup> k<sup>w</sup>θə səw<sup>w</sup>əy<sup>q</sup>e? ʔəw̄ c-pət haʔ nem̄ nem̄ ʔə  
 all DT man<PL> CN VBL-boat if AUX go OBL  
 t<sup>0</sup>ə k<sup>w</sup>aɬk<sup>w</sup>ə ʔiʔ nəw̄ yə-haʔk<sup>w</sup>əš-əs t<sup>0</sup>ə pəʔəlt  
 DT sea CNJ AUX.CN PL-use.it-3SUB DT boat<PL>  
 'All of the men own boats that they use for fishing.' (RP 11.22.2011)

- (42) ...shni's 'i' ni' hwi' **yule**'lumuhwus kwuw' mukw' 'ul' stsekwul mustimuhw.  
 ... šniʔs ʔiʔ niʔ x<sup>w</sup>iʔ=yə-leləməx<sup>w</sup>-əs k<sup>w</sup>əw̄  
 where.it.is CNJ AUX MIR=PL-look.LCTR-3SUB<IPFV> DT.CN  
 mək<sup>w</sup> ʔəl scek<sup>w</sup>əl məstiməx<sup>w</sup>  
 all just how people  
 '...and this is where they saw many different nations.' (WSa.157.line.61)

- (43) m'i tse' **yukwunutus** kwthunu mun'u kwthu shkwey'xutssum' — lupen, sqw'qwum, maal,  
 'i' kwthu humun.  
 mi ceʔ yə-k<sup>w</sup>ən-ət-əs k<sup>w</sup>θənə mənə k<sup>w</sup>θə šk<sup>w</sup>eɣ<sup>x</sup>əcsəm̄  
 AUX FUT PL-take-TR-3SUB DT.1POS child DT tools  
 ləpen s<sup>q</sup>w'q<sup>w</sup>əm, ma:l ʔiʔ k<sup>w</sup>θə həmən  
 shovel axe maul CNJ DT hammer  
 'My son will bring all the tools — shovels, axes, mauls, and hammers.' (RP 11.22.2011)

The nominal triggering this plural is a collective or distributive plural, and often the examples contain the quantifier *mək<sup>w</sup>* 'all' or *k<sup>w</sup>in* 'how many', and elicitation reveals that single entities are incompatible with the prefix *yə-*:

- (44) m'i tse' **yuhwuhwe** kw' kw'in'ule'ts saxwul.  
 mi ceʔ yə-x<sup>w</sup>əx<sup>w</sup>e k<sup>w</sup> k<sup>w</sup>in-əleʔc sax<sup>w</sup>əl  
 AUX FUT PL-be.lowered.down<PL> DT many-bundle grass  
 'There will be so many bales of hay lowered down.' (RP.2010)
- (45) m'i tse' (**\*yuhwe**) kw' nəts'ule'ts saxwul.  
 mi ceʔ (\*yə-)x<sup>w</sup>e k<sup>w</sup> nəc-əleʔc sax<sup>w</sup>əl  
 AUX FUT (PL-)be.lowered.down DT one-bundle grass  
 'There will be one bale of hay lowered down.' (RP.2010)

One thing we noted was that while the plural prefix *yə-* occurs on many types of verbs, it does not occur with plain adjectives or adverbs — as in the (a) examples of (46) and (47) below — rather the modifier is cast as a durative or stative — as in the (b) examples.

- (46) a. m'i tse' 'uw' (**\*yumukw**) tthu luplaash kwun's m'i tuyqt.  
 mi ceʔ ʔəw̄ (\*yə-)mək<sup>w</sup> t<sup>0</sup>ə ləpla:š k<sup>w</sup>əns̄ mi təyq-t  
 AUX FUT CN (PL-)all DT board DT.2POS.NM come move-TR  
 'You will move all the lumber at once.'

- b. m'i tse' 'uw' **yusum'mikw'** tthu luplaash kwun's m'i tuyqt.  
 mi ce? ʔəw̄ yə=səmmikʷ tʰə ləpla:ʃ kʷəns mi təyq-t  
 AUX FUT CN PL=all<STA> DT board DT.2POS.NM come move-TR  
 'You will move all the lumber at once.' (RP.2010)

- (47) a. (**\*yu**)kw'in tthu lumutou ni' kwsetuhw?  
 (\*yə-)kʷin tʰə ləmətu niʔ kʷset-əxʷ  
 (\*PL-)how.many DT sheep AUX count-2SUB  
 'How many sheep did you count?'

- b. **yukw'ikw'un'** tse' kw' sunihwulh nem' tl'pestun?  
 yə-kʷikʷən̄ ce? kʷ sənixʷəł nem̄ ʔ-pestən  
 PL-how.many<STA> FUT DT canoe<PL> go VBL-United.States  
 'How many canoes will go to the States?' (RP.2010)

We have also not found *yə-* on nouns, and so like dynamic *yə=* it is a pre-predicative prefix limited to verbs.

Looking for the plural prefix in the neighboring dialects, we see that in the Upriver dialect of Halkomelem, *yə-* is used as a (gender unspecified) plural determiner (used mostly for humans), where it apparently denotes a collective plural, and the examples given usually involve generic statements (Galloway 1993:390–391).<sup>11</sup>

- (48) Upriver Halkomelem  
 ʔəq=əl ʃətə yə xʷəlməxʷ  
 know=PST say<IPFV> PL.DT First.Nation.person  
 'The Indian people used to say ...' (Galloway 1993:391)

This determiner serves as the base for the demonstrative *yəθé* 'those people (there), them (human)' and it is also seen as an element in the pro-determiner *yəʔa:ləm* 'that's them (gender unspecified), they, them' (Galloway 1993:403). The Downriver dialect, Musqueam, does not seem to have *yə* as a plural determiner, but it is found as an element in the plural demonstratives: *yəθéʔ*, *yəθéłəy'* 'those, they, them'; *yəná* 'these, they, them'; *yəná:ttən* 'they, them, those' (Suttles 2004:351–353). Comparative-historical data might reveal if other Salish languages had plural determiners or predicative prefixes, but for the Hul'q'umi'num' dialect, the plural *yə-* is manifested only as a predicative prefix, and when we presented data from other dialects to our consultants for their consideration, the plural determiner was not familiar to them.

This begs the question whether one or two *yə*'s are needed for the analysis of Hul'q'umi'num'. After all, one could see that it is a natural extension of a dynamic marker associated with unbounded events to grammaticize to become a pluractional marker.<sup>12</sup> They appear in the same pre-verbal position, and we have no examples of them co-occurring. However, contrasting the data in (44) and

<sup>11</sup> In Hul'q'umi'num', the masculine determiners are used for human plurals, regardless of gender.

<sup>12</sup> See work on Salish pluractionality (e.g., Bar-El 1998:§4; Gerdtz 2012; Huijsmans & Mellesmoen 2021; Matthewson 2000; Mellesmoen & Huijsmans 2019).

(45) with the following verifies the difference between plural readings and dynamic readings, and also the usefulness of the contexts provided by consultants.

- (49) ni' **yu** sts'uts'e' kwthu **snuhwulh** 'u lhu kaa.  
 niʔ      yə=scəceʔ      kʷθə      snəxwəl      ʔə      lə      ka:  
 AUX      DYN=be.on<STA>      DT      canoe      OBL      DT      car  
 'The canoe was on top of the car (as the car was moving along).'      (RP 22.11.2011)

- (50) ni' **yu** sts'uts'e' kwthu **sunihwulh** 'u lhu kaa.  
 niʔ      yə=scəceʔ      kʷθə      sənixwəl      ʔə      lə      ka:  
 AUX      DYN=be.on<STA>      DT      canoe<PL>      OBL      DT      car  
 'The canoes were on top of the car (as the car was moving along).'      (RP 22.11.2011)

In many instances is it difficult to tell, in the absence of clearer contexts, whether a pre-predicative *yə* is signaling a plural or a dynamic meaning.

- (51) ni' **yust'ut'in** kwthu sunihwulh kwsus wulh thaythut kws 'uwatul's.  
 niʔ      yə-stətɪn      kʷθə      sənixwəl      kʷsəs      wəl=θayθət      kʷs      ʔəwatəls  
 AUX      PL-line.up<STA>      DT      canoe<PL>      DT.NM      PRF=get.ready      DT.NM      race  
 'The canoes were lined up at the start of the race.'      (RP.22.11. 2011)

- (52) 'uwu ch me'mul'quhw kwun's m'i **yu kwun'eem** 'ukw' la'thun 'i' kw' lupat.  
 ʔəwə      č      meməlq-əxʷ      kʷəns      mi      yə=kʷəne:m  
 NEG      2SG.SUB      forget-2SUB      DT.2POS.NM      come      DYN=take<DUR>  
 ʔəkʷ      laʔθən      ʔiʔ      kʷ      ləpat  
 OBL.DT      plate      CNJ      DT      cup  
 'Don't forget to bring plates and cups to the meeting.'      (RP.22.11. 2011)

- (53) 'i **yu 'i'mush** 'u tthu tsuwmun sus 'uw' **yu q'eptus** tthu ts'e'luwi'.  
 ʔi      yə=ʔiməʃ      ʔə      tʰə      cəwmən      səs=ʔəw  
 AUX      DYN=walk<IPFV>      OBL      DT      shore      NM.AUX.3POS=CN  
 yə=ʔept-əs      tʰə      čeləwiʔ  
 DYN=gather.TR-3SUB<IPFV>      DT      shell<PL>  
 'She was walking along the shoreline picking up shells.'      (RP.2011)

If criteria for the dynamic, such as motion or verb chaining, are met, we have opted to analyze *yə* as the aspectoidal proclitic *yə=*, as the use of the plural prefix *yə-* is extremely rare.

## 6 Conclusion

We hope this study of *yə=* contributes to the cross-linguistic research on aspectoidals and their relationship to aspect and event semantics. As a polysynthetic language with a rich verb complex, Hul'q'umi'num' has many affixes, clitics, and non-concatenative morphological processes that contribute in subtle ways to the semantics of the whole event structure, adding temporal, spatial, and referential meanings, and we see in the case of *yə=* that it contributes to all of these domains, making it difficult to label. We have considered terms such as 'progressive', 'continuous', and

‘incompletive’, as they capture the sense that the action or state is on-going or unbounded, but these terms are generally used for aspects rather than optional aspectoidal elements.<sup>13</sup> The term ‘serial’ suggested by Gerdts and Hukari (to appear) captures the temporal use of  $yə=$  to mean ‘first, next’ and also highlights the use of  $yə=$  in a chain of verbs or in serial verb constructions (Schneider to appear).<sup>14</sup> This label though does not capture the prototypical function of  $yə=$  on motion verbs or its ability to add a meaning of motion to non-motion verbs. We have settled on the term ‘dynamic’, as it captures the meaning of movement or progress in an action or state and in addition captures the intuition of our consultants that  $yə=$  is used to draw attention to certain crucial or climactic events, thus enlivening the story performance.

We have left open the issue of the plural  $yə-$  and if it should be considered to be a manifestation of the aspectoidal, since it is very easy to conceive that the sort of on-going events signaled by the dynamic often involve pluractionality. Perhaps a comparison with similar morphemes in other Central Salish languages will shed light on this issue.

While we have found no straightforward equivalent to Hul’q’umi’num’  $yə=$  in the neighboring languages, we do find some common threads. For example,  $yéʔ$  is the verb ‘go’, frequently used as an auxiliary with motion verbs in SENĆOŦEN (cf. Campbell 2023; Montler 2018).

SENĆOŦEN (Saanich, Central Salish)

(54) a. **yéʔ** láʔ sən téyəl.  
 go PST 1SG.SUB go.upstream  
 ‘I went upstream.’

b. tə-téyəl sən.  
 ACTL-go.upstream 1SG.SUB  
 ‘I’m going upstream.’

(Turner 2006:40)

(55) a.  $k^w\lambda$  **yeʔ**  $k^wə$   $\acute{t}á\acute{k}^w$   $\thetaə$  *Janet*.  
 REAL go INFO go.home DT NAME  
 ‘Janet went home; Janet’s gone home.’

b. **yeʔ** sən  $\gamma i$   $\acute{t}á\text{-}\acute{t}á\acute{k}^w$ .  
 go 1SG.SUB AUX ACTL-go.home  
 ‘I’m going home; I’m on my way home.’ (already walking)

(Turner 2006:30)

Historically, there were many bilingual speakers of Hul’q’umi’num’ and SENĆOŦEN. Perhaps Hul’q’umi’num’  $yə=$  had an auxiliary verb source that procliticized as an aspectoid.

<sup>13</sup> The term ‘progressive’ is used by Hukari and Peter (1995) and Suttles (2004), and ‘continuative’ is used by Gerdts (1988) for what is now called ‘imperfective’.

<sup>14</sup> Section 3 described the effect of  $yə=$  in serial verb constructions. In imperfective SVCs, if  $yə=$  is marked on the first verb, then marking on the second tends to be optional. The second verb is typically providing additional information about the manner of the action described by the first verb, as in (31). Marking  $yə=$  exclusively on the second verb seems to indicate that the action described by that verb is an ongoing but repeating action, as in (32).

The  $dx^w$ ləšucid progressive proclitic  $lə=$  co-occurs with the same types of verbs, sometimes marking multiple verbs in a sequence (cf. Beck & Hess 2010).

$dx^w$ ləšucid (Lushootseed, Central Salish)

(56) huy       $lə=ʔibəš$        $lił-ʔig^wł$ .  
 SCNJ      PROG=walk      PRLV-shore  
 ‘Then he was walking along the shore.’ (ML 29)      (Bierwert 1996:71)

(57) čəda       $lə=ʔəł$        $lə=g^wəč$ -alík<sup>w</sup>       $dx^w-ʔal$        $k^wi$        $siʔab$   
 1SG.CNJ      PROG=come      PROG=search-ACTV      CNTRPT-LOC      REM      noble  
 ʔas-bəs-sləx̄il.  
 STA-PROP-daylight  
 ‘... so I am coming, looking for the nobleman who possesses the daylight.’  
 (HM 51) (Beck & Hess 2010:11)

In the nearby Central Salish language  $S_kwxwú7$ mesh, Bar-el (1998) analyzes the particle *wa* as an auxiliary pluractional marker that can be used to indicate an imperfective meaning.

$S_kwxwú7$ mesh (Squamish, Central Salish)

(58) a. čən-t      wa      x̄a:m.  
 1SG.SUB-PST      PLR      cry  
 ‘I was crying.’      (Bar-El 1998:38)

b. čən-t      x̄a:m.  
 1SG.SUB-PST      cry  
 ‘I cried.’      (Bar-El 1998:39)

So we see that other Central Salish languages have aspectoidals that modify an event in terms of boundedness and plurality. As often is the case in comparing across Central Salish languages, one can often find elements that are similar in either form or function, but seldom both, a complexity that can be attributed to both the time-depth of that branch of the language family and the on-going contact and multilingualism of its speakers.<sup>15</sup>

Additional cross-linguistic and language-internal research will no doubt shed more light on the analysis of the dynamic  $yə=$ . Today’s speakers and learners of Hul’q’umi’num’ have expressed interest in learning to tell stories in the traditional style of their ancestors. We hope that this examination of  $yə=$  as used in legacy materials will be of help towards that goal.

## References

Alphonse, Christopher & George, Roseanna & Joe, Martina & Johnny, Thomas & Modeste, Donna & Seymour, Sharon A.V. & Zhang, Helen Yu. 2021. Analyzing a story by Sti’tum’at: Tth’uwxe’le’ts - Basket Ogress. (Presented at the International Conference on Salish and Neighboring Languages.)

<sup>15</sup> See, for example, Gerdts and Hukari (2008) on denominal verbs.

- Bar-El, Leora Anne. 1998. *Verbal plurality and adverbial quantification: A case study of Skwxú7mesh (Squamish Salish)*. University of British Columbia. (MA Thesis.) (doi:10.14288/1.0088526) (<https://open.library.ubc.ca/soa/cIRcle/collections/ubctheses/831/items/1.0088526>)
- Bätscher, Kevin. 2014. *Interclausal and intraclausal linking elements in Hul'q'umi'num' Salish*. Burnaby: Simon Fraser University. (MA Thesis.)
- Beck, David & Hess, Thom. 2010. Two syəyəhub from Harry Moses. In Beck, David (ed.), *A festschrift for Thomas M. Hess on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*, 1–56. Bellingham, WA: Whatcom Museum.
- Bierwert, Crisca (ed.). 1996. *Lushootseed texts: An introduction to Puget Salish narrative aesthetics* (Studies in the Anthropology of North American Indians Series). Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Campbell, Jessalyn. 2023. *Serial verb constructions and auxiliary verb constructions in SENĆOŦEN*. (MA Thesis.)
- Friedrich, Paul. 1974. On aspect theory and Homeric aspect. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 40(4, Part 2). S1–S44. (doi:10.1086/ijal.40.4\_p2.42004709)
- Galloway, Brent Douglas. 1993. *A grammar of Upriver Halkomelem*. University of California Press.
- Gerds, Donna B. 1988. *Object and absolutive in Halkomelem Salish*. New York: Routledge/Garland. (<https://doi.org/htxq>)
- Gerds, Donna B. 2010. Styling a Hul'q'umi'num' Story. Simon Fraser University. (Keynote address presented at the Northwest Conference on Linguistics, Simon Fraser University.)
- Gerds, Donna B. 2011. Expected and unexpected: Ponderative particles in Halkomelem. Boulder, Colorado. (Presented at the Society for the Study of the Indigenous Languages of the Americas, Boulder, Colorado.)
- Gerds, Donna B. 2012. What agreement mismatches in Halkomelem tell us about NP architecture. Portland, OR. (Presented at the 86th Annual Meeting of the Linguistics Society of America, Portland, OR.)
- Gerds, Donna B. & Hukari, Thomas E. 2008. Halkomelem denominal verb constructions. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 74(4). 489–510. (doi:<https://doi.org/fr8qfm>)
- Gerds, Donna B. & Hukari, Thomas E. to appear. *Halkomelem*. Munich: Lincom Europa.
- Gerds, Donna B. & Schneider, Lauren. 2023. Word classes in Salish languages. In van Lier, Eva (ed.), *Handbook of word classes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gerds, Donna B. & Werle, Adam. 2014. Halkomelem clitic types. *Morphology* 24. 245–281.
- Huijsmans, Marianne & Mellesmoen, Gloria. 2021. How to distribute events: ʔayʔajuθəm pluractionals. *International Journal of American Linguistics*. The University of Chicago Press 87(3). 339–368. (doi:10.1086/714247)

- Hukari, Thomas E. & Peter, Ruby (eds.). 1995. *The Cowichan dictionary of the Hul'qumin'um' dialect of the Coast Salish People*. BC: Cowichan Tribes. (<https://sqwal.hwulmuhwqun.ca/resources/>)
- Kinkade, M. Dale. 1976. Interior Salishan particles. *Papers for ICSNL* 21, 120–147.
- Matthewson, Lisa. 2000. On distributivity and pluractionality. *Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 10. 98–114. (doi:10.3765/salt.v10i0.3105)
- Mellesmoen, Gloria & Huijsmans, Marianne. 2019. Types of pluractionality and plurality across domains in ʔayʔajuəəm. *Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 29(0). 103–116. (doi:10.3765/salt.v29i0.4599)
- Montler, Timothy. 2018. *SENĆOFEN: A dictionary of the Saanich language*. Illustrated edition. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Peter, Ruby. 2016. Tth'uwxe'le'ts | The basket ogress. *ta'ulthun sqwal*. (<https://sqwal.hwulmuhwqun.ca/learn/stories/tthuwxlets-the-basket-ogress/>)
- Schneider, Lauren. 2021. Classifying multi-verb constructions in Hul'q'umi'num' Salish. *Papers for ICSCNL* 56:392–409.
- Schneider, Lauren. 2022a. Using Hul'q'umi'num' directional SVCs to express path and manner. *Working Papers of the Linguistics Circle of the University of Victoria* 32(1). 1–20.
- Schneider, Lauren. 2022b. Expressing associated motion using Hul'q'umi'num' Salish SVCs. *Papers for ICSNL* 57:143–164.
- Schneider, Lauren. to appear. *Serial verb constructions in Hul'q'umi'num' Salish*. Burnaby, BC: Simon Fraser University. (PhD thesis.)
- Seymour, Willie. 2016. sql'ew' sxwi'em' | Beaver story. *s'aa'lh sqwal*. (<https://saalhsqwal.hwulmuhwqun.ca/willie-seymour-beaver-story/>) (Accessed June 18, 2024.)
- Suttles, Wayne P. 2004. *Musqueam reference grammar*. UBC Press.
- Turner, Claire Kelly. 2006. *The SENĆOFEN resultative construction*. University of Victoria. (MA Thesis.)
- Urbanczyk, Suzanne. 2011. Evidence from Halkomelem for word-based morphology. *Papers for ICSNL* 46:470–489.